



## Short Communication

# Salt intake reduction using umami substance-incorporated food: a secondary analysis of NHANES 2017–2018 data

Shuhei Nomura<sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup> , Shiori Tanaka<sup>4</sup>, Akifumi Eguchi<sup>5</sup>, Takayuki Kawashima<sup>6</sup>, Haruyo Nakamura<sup>3</sup>, Kaung Suu Lwin<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Yamasaki<sup>1,7</sup>, Daisuke Yoneoka<sup>1,3,8</sup> , Yuta Tanoë<sup>9</sup>, Megumi Adachi<sup>1</sup>, Hitomi Hayabuchi<sup>10</sup>, Shosei Koganemaru<sup>1</sup>, Toshihide Nishimura<sup>11</sup>, Byron Sigel<sup>1,12</sup>, Hisayuki Uneyama<sup>13</sup> and Kenji Shibuya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Global Health Policy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan:

<sup>2</sup>Department of Health Policy and Management, School of Medicine, Keio University, 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan: <sup>3</sup>Tokyo Foundation for Policy Research, Tokyo, Japan: <sup>4</sup>Division of Prevention, National Cancer Center Institute for Cancer Control, Tokyo, Japan: <sup>5</sup>Centre for Preventive Medical Sciences, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan: <sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematical and Computing Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan:

<sup>7</sup>School of Medicine, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan: <sup>8</sup>Infectious Disease Surveillance Center at the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan: <sup>9</sup>Institute for Business and Finance, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan:

<sup>10</sup>Graduate School of Health and Environmental Sciences, Fukuoka Women's University, Fukuoka, Japan: <sup>11</sup>Faculty of Nutrition, Kagawa Nutrition University, Saitama, Japan: <sup>12</sup>Division of Cancer Statistics Integration, Center for Cancer Control and Information Services, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan: <sup>13</sup>Ajinomoto Co., Inc., Tokyo, Japan

Submitted 17 June 2022: Final revision received 30 September 2022: Accepted 3 November 2022: First published online 1 December 2022

### Abstract

**Objective:** Excessive salt intake raises blood pressure and increases the risk of non-communicable diseases (NCD), such as CVD, chronic kidney disease and stomach cancer. Reducing the Na content of food is an important public health measure to control the NCD. This study quantifies the amount of salt reduced by using umami substances, i.e. glutamate, inosinate and guanylate, for adults in the USA.

**Design:** The secondary data analysis was performed using data of the US nationally representative cross-sectional dietary survey, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2017–2018. Per capita daily salt intake corresponding to the NHANES food groups was calculated in the four hypothetical scenarios of 0%, 30%, 60% and 90% market share of low-Na foods in the country. The salt reduction rates by using umami substances were estimated based on the previous study results.

**Setting:** The USA

**Participants:** 4139 individuals aged 20 years and older in the USA

**Results:** Replacing salt with umami substances could help the US adults reduce salt intake by 7.31–13.53% (7.50–13.61% for women and 7.18–13.53% for men), which is equivalent to 0.61–1.13 g/d (0.54–0.98 g/d for women and 0.69–1.30 g/d for men) without compromising the taste. Approximately, 21.21–26.04% of the US adults could keep their salt intake below 5 g/d, the WHO's recommendation in the scenario where there is no low-Na product on the market.

**Conclusions:** This study provides essential information that the use of umami substances as a substitute for salt may help reduce the US adults' salt intake.

**Keywords**  
Sodium  
Salt  
Umami  
USA

After smoking, the second major preventable behavioural risk factor for non-communicable disease (NCD) is unhealthy diet<sup>(1)</sup>. Among the unhealthy diet, excessive salt intake is one of the greatest contributors to the burden of NCD. High-salt

diet raises blood pressure<sup>(2)</sup>, triggers CVD<sup>(3,4)</sup> and chronic kidney disease<sup>(5)</sup>, and increases the risk of developing stomach cancer<sup>(6,7)</sup>. In 2019, approximately 1.9 million deaths worldwide were attributed to high-salt diet<sup>(1)</sup>, and the

\*Corresponding author: Email nom3.shu@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.





number of deaths attributed to the behavioural risk has increased by 42.8% in the last 30 years<sup>(8)</sup>. Moreover, the reduction of salt intake is one of the nine targets in the NCD Global Monitoring Framework<sup>(9)</sup> set by the WHO in 2013. Salt intake reduction is also known to be one of the most cost-effective or even cost-saving NCD control measures<sup>(10)</sup>. However, as of 2020, no country has achieved the goal of a 30% reduction in salt intake between 2011 and 2025<sup>(11)</sup>.

High-salt diets are a major policy issue, especially in East Asian countries, Eastern European countries, and the USA<sup>(8,12,13)</sup>. While the WHO recommends daily salt intake of 5 g or less<sup>(14)</sup>, adults aged 20 years and older were consuming 8.97 g/d in the USA in 2017–2018<sup>(15)</sup>.

In recent years, the replacement of sodium chloride (NaCl, the chemical name for salt) with umami has been discussed as a healthy and natural solution to reduce salt intake<sup>(16–18)</sup>. Umami, which means pleasant savoury taste in Japanese, is induced by monosodium glutamate (MSG) and 5'-ribonucleotides, such as guanosine monophosphate and inosine monophosphate. The amount of Na in MSG, for example, is 12.28 g/100 g, that is 1/3 of that in NaCl (39.34 g/100 g)<sup>(19)</sup>. It is the fifth basic taste alongside the classical four basic tastes of saltiness, sweetness, bitterness and acidity<sup>(20)</sup>. However, few studies have been conducted to empirically evaluate the impact of umami on salt reduction at the population level. In this study, we examined the impact of incorporating umami into the daily salt intake of adults in the USA.

## Methods

### *Study design and participants*

We used anonymous secondary open data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) for non-institutionalised adults aged 20 years and older between 2017 and 2018 in the USA. The NHANES, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), is a cross-sectional survey with a stratified, multi-stage probability sample design. The NHANES collects 24-h dietary intake recalls for 2 d using the interview-administered Automated Multiple-Pass Method (AMPM) for a nationally representative sample over a 2-year study period<sup>(21,22)</sup>. The dietary intake could be either pre-packaged or prepared at home. The questionnaires, data sets and all related documents for each NHANES cycle are available on the NCHS website<sup>(23)</sup>.

### *Demographic data*

For the first day of interview, interviewers collected demographic information from the participants at each household, including their gender and ages. We created age groups as 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79 and 80+ years at 10-year intervals.

### *Food and sodium intake data*

All foods and beverages reported in the interviews were assigned a food code using the Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies (FNDDS) 2017–2018 edition. The food code converts consumed foods and beverages reported in the interviews into gram quantities and determines the corresponding nutrient (e.g. Na) content. It should be noted that a previous study analysing 24-h urinary Na data collected using AMPM suggests that the method is a valid means of determining Na intake in adults<sup>(24)</sup>.

The FNDDS provides an eight-digit food code to uniquely identify each food/beverage. The first digit in the food code identifies one of nine major food groups: (1) milk, (2) meat and fish, (3) eggs, (4) legumes, nuts and seeds, (5) grains, (6) fruits, (7) vegetables, (8) fats, oils and salad dressings, and (9) sugars, sweets and beverages. The second and subsequent digits of the food code indicate the specific subgroups within the nine major food groups. In this study, all analyses were conducted at the subgroup level, but the estimation results are presented for the major food groups, separating fish and meat.

In this study, the average intake of each food group and the corresponding Na intake derived from the 2-d dietary interview was calculated and analysed as a daily value. Salt equivalent intake (g) was defined as  $\text{Na (mg)} \times 2.54/1000$ . Please note that we did not apply the sampling weight in order to evaluate the distribution of daily salt intake on an individual basis to examine how much it changes before and after the incorporation of umami substances<sup>(25)</sup>.

### *Sodium reduction rate in various food products with the incorporation of umami substances*

According to scientific literature, the incorporation of umami substances can reduce Na in various food products, while maintaining their palatability. From inception to 6 April 2022, we searched for English-language articles that estimate the potential Na reduction rates by umami substances using the PubMed with the search terms ('sodium intake' OR 'salt intake' OR 'sodium reduction' OR 'salt reduction') AND ('umami' OR 'MSG' OR 'monosodium glutamate' OR 'inosinate' OR 'CDG' OR 'calcium diglutamate' OR 'guanilate' OR 'guanylate'). The search strategy was iterative; however, we also explored bibliographies of potentially eligible studies to look for additional articles. Based on previous studies and input from several food and nutrition experts (co-authors), we estimated Na reduction rates for umami substances by NHANES food subgroups as listed in Table S1.

### *Estimating salt intake reduction with the incorporation of umami substances*

As people in the USA may already consume certain amounts of low-Na foods in their diet, we set four hypothetical scenarios in which 0%, 30%, 60% and 90% of food

on the market is low-Na products. We assumed that the share of the low-Na food products on the market is same across all food groups, and that people consume the low-Na products at the same rate as these market shares. We calculated the possible amount of salt reduction at the population level for each major food group by the above-mentioned scenarios and gender. The Na reduction rate for each NHANES subgroup, expressed as an upper-lower interval in Table S1, represents the range of possible salt reduction rates estimated in the literature. The upper and lower limits were then used to calculate the maximum and minimum possible salt reduction for each subgroup at the individual level.

The following equations give the upper and lower limits of the  $j$ -th food subgroup-specific reduction in salt intake due to the incorporation of umami substances in the  $i$ -th individual.

Upper reduction in salt intake of the  $j$ -th item under the  $k$ th scenario in the  $i$ -th individual:

$$= S_{ij} - S_{ij} \times U_j \times (1 - M_k),$$

Lower reduction in salt intake of the  $j$ -th item under the  $k$ th scenario in the  $i$ -th individual:

$$= S_{ij} - S_{ij} \times L_j \times (1 - M_k),$$

where  $S_{ij}$  refers to the current salt intake of the  $j$ -th food subgroup in the  $i$ -th participant;  $U_j$  and  $L_j$  refer to the upper and lower limits of the salt reduction rate of the  $j$ -th food subgroup, and  $M_k$  refers to the  $k$ -th scenario of the market share of low-Na products (denoted as  $M_k=0, 0.3, 0.6$  or  $0.9$  ( $k=1, 2, 3, 4$ , respectively)).

Salt reduction was assumed to be zero in food groups when no evidence was found in the literature. After calculating the individual-level salt reduction for each scenario and subgroup using the above formula, we aggregated the amount of salt that could be reduced per major food group and calculated the average value at the population level.

We also calculated the percentage of the population that has already reached and would reach the WHO recommendation of daily salt intake (5 g/d) using umami substances in the four hypothetical scenarios by gender and age group<sup>(26)</sup>. R version 4.0.5 was used for all analyses.

## Results

The NHANES 2017–2018 cohort comprised a total of 5569 respondents aged 20 years and older (2867 women and 2702 men), with mean age of 51.50 and SD of 17.81. The analysis included a total of 4139 individuals (2162 women and 1977 men), with mean age of 51.36 and SD of 17.50, who had 2 d of dietary intake data on the usual amount

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the study participants and their current salt intake

Age (years)	Number of participants	Current mean salt intake*		Percentage of people below WHO‡ recommendation (%)
		Mean (g/d)	SD†	
<b>Total population</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD†</b>	
20–29	585	9.14	4.17	13.68
30–39	647	9.04	4.19	12.52
40–49	615	8.87	3.92	15.28
50–59	706	8.24	3.73	17.14
60–69	869	7.89	3.49	19.91
70–79	463	7.46	3.34	23.97
80+	254	7.03	2.46	20.08
All	4139	8.35	3.80	17.18
<b>Women</b>				
20–29	304	8.03	3.40	18.75
30–39	362	7.70	3.14	17.40
40–49	329	7.42	3.33	23.71
50–59	381	7.04	2.78	23.10
60–69	431	6.76	2.77	28.07
70–79	223	6.51	2.82	33.18
80+	132	6.40	2.19	27.27
All	2162	7.20	3.04	23.91
<b>Men</b>				
20–29	281	10.34	4.58	8.19
30–39	285	10.73	4.72	6.32
40–49	286	10.54	3.89	5.59
50–59	325	9.63	4.19	10.15
60–69	438	9.01	3.75	11.87
70–79	240	8.35	3.54	15.42
80+	122	7.71	2.55	12.30
All	1977	9.61	4.14	9.81

\*Current mean salt intake is non-weighted. These estimates exclude discretionary salt which was not recorded in NHANES.

†Standard deviation.

‡World Health Organisation.

of food consumed for both days. We excluded the respondents who did not complete the 2-d dietary intake data.

Table 1 shows the non-weighted gender- and age-group-specific mean daily salt intake, and the population percentage achieving the WHO recommendation salt intake level. These estimates exclude discretionary salt which was not recorded in NHANES. Women had a lower salt intake than men across all age groups. The mean daily salt intake was highest among women aged 20–29 years (8.03 g/d) and men aged 30–39 years (10.73 g/d), but lowest among those aged 80+ years for both women (6.40 g/d) and men (7.71 g/d). By age group, salt intake tended to be higher among younger than older persons. Of the total population, 17.18% has already achieved the WHO recommendation.

The amount of salt intake that is possibly reduced by using umami substances for NHANES major food groups is presented under the four scenarios in Table 2. For the scenario that assumes no low-Na products on the market, the highest amount of expected salt reduction was identified in vegetable (0.24–0.35 g/d), followed by milk (0.18–0.33 g/d) and meat (0.11–0.42 g/d). The total amount of salt reduction across all major food groups in the scenarios, that 0%, 30%, 60% and 90% of food on the market is low-Na products, was 0.61–1.13 g/d, 0.43–0.79 g/d, 0.24–0.45 g/d and 0.06–0.11 g/d, respectively.

**Table 2** Estimated lower-upper mean reduction in salt intake using umami substances by market share scenarios of low-sodium products, gender and the NHANES\* major food groups

	Current mean salt intake (SD†) (g/d)		Estimated lower-upper mean reduction in salt intake (g/d)			
			Scenario 1 (current market share of low-Na products = 0 %)	Scenario 2 (current market share of low-Na products = 30 %)	Scenario 3 (current market share of low-Na products = 60 %)	Scenario 4 (current market share of low-Na products = 90 %)
<b>Milk</b>	Mean	SD†				
Total population	0.56	0.61	0.18–0.33	0.13–0.23	0.07–0.13	0.02–0.03
Women	0.52	0.56	0.17–0.31	0.12–0.22	0.07–0.12	0.02–0.03
Men	0.61	0.67	0.19–0.35	0.13–0.24	0.08–0.14	0.02–0.03
<b>Meat</b>						
Total population	2.61	1.96	0.11–0.42	0.08–0.30	0.05–0.17	0.01–0.04
Women	2.08	1.50	0.09–0.33	0.06–0.23	0.04–0.13	0.01–0.03
Men	3.16	2.22	0.14–0.52	0.10–0.37	0.06–0.21	0.01–0.0
<b>Fish</b>						
Total population	1.14	1.13	0.01–0.01	0.00–0.00	0.00–0.00	0.00–0.00
Women	1.02	1.03	0.00–0.00	0.00–0.00	0.00–0.00	0.00–0.00
Men	1.31	1.23	0.01–0.01	0.01–0.01	0.00–0.00	0.00–0.00
<b>Eggs</b>						
Total population	0.54	0.52	NA‡	NA	NA	NA
Women	0.46	0.44	NA	NA	NA	NA
Men	0.62	0.58	NA	NA	NA	NA
<b>Legumes, nuts and seeds</b>						
Total population	0.70	0.88	0.03–0.04	0.02–0.03	0.01–0.02	0.00–0.00
Women	0.60	0.81	0.02–0.04	0.02–0.03	0.01–0.02	0.00–0.00
Men	0.82	0.95	0.03–0.04	0.02–0.03	0.01–0.02	0.00–0.00
<b>Grains</b>						
Total population	3.06	2.28	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.01
Women	2.69	1.90	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.01
Men	3.47	2.59	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.01
<b>Fruits</b>						
Total population	0.04	0.13	NA	NA	NA	NA
Women	0.04	0.15	NA	NA	NA	NA
Men	0.03	0.10	NA	NA	NA	NA
<b>Vegetables</b>						
Total population	1.08	1.08	0.24–0.35	0.17–0.24	0.10–0.14	0.02–0.03
Women	0.97	0.91	0.20–0.30	0.14–0.21	0.08–0.12	0.02–0.03
Men	1.19	1.23	0.28–0.40	0.19–0.28	0.11–0.16	0.03–0.04
<b>Fats, oils and salad dressings</b>						
Total population	0.39	0.50	0.03	0.02	0.01	0
Women	0.36	0.46	0.03	0.02	0.01	0
Men	0.41	0.54	0.03	0.02	0.01	0
<b>Sugar, sweets and beverages</b>						
Total population	0.31	0.35	NA	NA	NA	NA
Women	0.27	0.29	NA	NA	NA	NA
Men	0.36	0.41	NA	NA	NA	NA
<b>All foods</b>						
Total population	8.35	3.80	0.61–1.13	0.43–0.79	0.24–0.45	0.06–0.11
Women	7.20	3.04	0.54–0.98	0.38–0.68	0.22–0.39	0.05–0.10
Men	9.61	4.14	0.69–1.30	0.48–0.91	0.27–0.52	0.07–0.13

\*NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

†Standard deviation.

‡NA referrers to no evidence on the salt reduction with the incorporation of umami substances.

Table 3 presents the estimated salt intake when umami substances were used as substitute for salt by gender and age group under the four scenarios. The mean daily salt intake when umami substances were used was estimated to be 7.22–7.74 g/d, 7.56–7.92 g/d, 7.90–8.11 g/d and 8.24–8.29 g/d under the scenarios that 0%, 30%, 60% and 90% of food on the market was low-Na products, respectively. Additionally, the percentages of women and men who would achieve the WHO recommendation using umami substances in the scenarios that 0%, 30%, 60% and 90% of food on the market is low-Na products

were 29.42–35.11% and 12.24–16.14%; 28.08–31.22% and 11.43–13.40%; 26.09–27.89% and 10.67–11.73%; and 24.24–24.70% and 9.91–9.96%, respectively.

## Discussion

The incorporation of umami substances into certain foods could potentially reduce daily salt intake by 7.31–13.53%, which is equivalent to 0.61–1.13 g/d at the population level in the USA. A previous study that used the

**Table 3** Estimated lower-upper interval of salt intake and the percentage that the US\* population would reach the WHO recommendation of daily salt intake (5 g/d) when umami substances are used as substitute for salt in the four hypothetical scenarios by gender and age group

Age (years)	Estimated lower-upper interval of mean salt intake (g/d), percentage of people below WHO† recommendation (%)							
	Scenario 1	%	Scenario 2	%	Scenario 3	%	Scenario 4	%
<b>Total population</b>								
20–29	8.05–8.52	16.07–20.00	8.38–8.71	15.38–16.75	8.71–8.89	15.04–15.73	9.03–9.08	13.68–13.85
30–39	7.88–8.41	16.54–20.09	8.23–8.60	15.46–17.93	8.58–8.79	13.91–14.84	8.92–8.98	12.83–12.98
40–49	7.72–8.23	18.37–22.60	8.07–8.42	17.72–19.67	8.41–8.61	16.42–18.21	8.75–8.81	15.77–15.93
50–59	7.11–7.62	22.38–26.91	7.45–7.81	20.82–23.80	7.78–7.99	18.41–20.40	8.12–8.17	17.28–17.56
60–69	6.74–7.28	23.36–29.11	7.09–7.47	22.55–24.97	7.43–7.65	21.86–22.78	7.78–7.83	20.02–20.48
70–79	6.27–6.85	30.02–35.21	6.63–7.03	28.29–31.97	6.98–7.22	25.92–28.51	7.34–7.40	24.41–24.41
80+	6.06–6.51	25.20–33.86	6.35–6.67	23.62–28.35	6.64–6.82	22.05–24.02	6.93–6.98	20.08–20.87
All	7.22–7.74	21.21–26.04	7.56–7.92	20.13–22.71	7.90–8.11	18.72–20.71	8.24–8.29	17.40–17.66
<b>Women</b>								
20–29	7.05–7.46	21.05–25.33	7.34–7.63	20.72–21.71	7.64–7.81	20.72–21.05	7.93–7.98	18.75–19.08
30–39	6.70–7.14	23.48–27.62	7.00–7.31	21.82–24.86	7.30–7.48	19.34–20.72	7.60–7.65	17.68–17.96
40–49	6.42–6.86	28.57–33.13	6.72–7.03	27.36–30.09	7.02–7.20	25.23–28.27	7.32–7.36	24.32–24.62
50–59	6.06–6.49	30.71–36.48	6.35–6.66	28.35–32.28	6.65–6.82	24.93–27.56	6.95–6.99	23.36–23.88
60–69	5.80–6.24	32.48–39.21	6.09–6.39	32.02–34.57	6.38–6.55	30.86–31.79	6.66–6.71	28.31–29.00
70–79	5.55–5.99	40.81–47.09	5.84–6.15	39.01–43.05	6.13–6.30	36.32–38.57	6.41–6.46	34.08–34.08
80+	5.47–5.89	34.09–45.45	5.75–6.05	31.82–39.39	6.13–6.30	29.55–32.58	6.31–6.35	27.27–28.79
All	6.22–6.65	29.42–35.11	6.51–6.82	28.08–31.22	6.81–6.98	26.09–27.89	7.10–7.15	24.24–24.70
<b>Men</b>								
20–29	9.14–9.66	10.68–14.23	9.50–9.86	9.61–11.39	9.86–10.07	8.90–9.96	10.22–10.27	8.19–8.19
30–39	9.38–10.02	7.72–10.53	9.78–10.24	7.37–9.12	10.19–10.45	7.02–7.37	10.60–10.66	6.67–6.67
40–49	9.22–9.81	6.64–10.49	9.61–10.03	6.64–7.69	10.01–10.24	6.29–6.64	10.40–10.46	5.94–5.94
50–59	8.34–8.95	12.62–15.69	8.73–9.15	12.00–13.85	9.11–9.36	10.77–12.00	9.50–9.56	10.15–10.15
60–69	7.67–8.32	14.38–19.18	8.07–8.52	13.24–15.53	8.47–8.73	13.01–13.93	8.87–8.94	12.10–11.87
70–79	6.93–7.65	20.00–24.17	7.36–7.86	18.33–21.67	7.78–8.07	16.25–19.17	8.21–8.28	15.42–15.42
80+	6.70–7.18	15.57–21.31	7.00–7.18	14.75–16.39	7.31–7.50	13.93–14.75	7.61–7.66	12.30–12.30
All	8.31–8.92	12.24–16.14	8.70–9.13	11.43–13.40	9.09–9.33	10.67–11.73	9.48–9.54	9.91–9.96

\*United States.

†World Health Organisation.



NHANES 2013–2016 data showed that salt intake could be reduced by 7.3 % by replacing salt with MSG<sup>(27)</sup>. In comparison, our study expanded the scope of study from MSG to umami substances, which includes MSG and 5'-ribonucleotides, such as guanosine monophosphate and inosine monophosphate, and selected the wider range of foods. As such, our findings suggested umami substances have a greater potential to reduce salt intake than in the previous study. Also, our study found that the replacement of salt in meat products has the greatest impact on reducing daily salt intake by up to 0.11–0.42 g/d (4.21–16.09 %).

On the other hand, global recognition of MSG as an effective and practical solution for salt reduction remains a major challenge. The study in 1968 reported that MSG in Chinese food has caused numbness and palpitations in the neck and arms, and it is linked to various health problems, known as the Chinese restaurant syndrome<sup>(28)</sup>. Following this study, several studies also reported the association between MSG and various health effects, including asthma, urticaria, atopic dermatitis, dyspnea, tachycardia, metabolic syndrome, obesity and blood pressure increase<sup>(29–33)</sup>. However, other studies, including a double-blind placebo-controlled trial, have evaluated the reported reactions to MSG and confirmed a lack of plausible evidence between MSG intake and the development of such symptoms<sup>(34–37)</sup>. Furthermore, major scientific committees and regulatory bodies, such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) and the European Commission Scientific Committee on Food (SCF), have assessed the safety of MSG, and all separately came to a conclusion that MSG is safe to consume at a normal intake level and there is no evidence linking the use of MSG to long-term medical problems for the general public<sup>(38)</sup>.

Public measures, such as a nutrition labelling system alone, may not sufficiently reduce daily salt intake because lowering Na intake may not be a priority among consumers<sup>(39)</sup>. It might be difficult to reduce Na content in food if it affects their palatability<sup>(40)</sup>. Therefore, food industries should make efforts to adapt low-Na food products to the consumer preferences<sup>(41)</sup>. In this context, combining umami substances with other flavours of food might be an effective way to reduce salt intake<sup>(42,43)</sup>. Umami substances enhance the flavour of food itself, and consumers should accept umami substances since they are naturally present in various foods<sup>(44)</sup>.

Our study has several strengths and limitations. The strength of our analysis is that we used the data of the NHANES, a large, nationally representative sample, which allowed us to estimate average salt intake at the population level. In addition to the previously described limitations with NHANES data<sup>(45–47)</sup>, this study has the following limitations. First, this study used existing literature to determine salt reduction rates by using umami substances. The evidence may not be sufficient for all food groups. Second, we assumed the same share of the low-Na food

products on the market across all food groups, though it is not likely the case in real settings. Third, the intake and usage of low-Na products may differ depending on the place where the meal is served or prepared (e.g. home or restaurant). However, this was not considered in this study due to insufficient data that allow us to properly examine these factors. Finally, we were unable to consider the acceptability of umami substances among consumers in the US to fully demonstrate the effects of salt reduction<sup>(18,48,49)</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

*Acknowledgements:* None. *Financial support:* This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (grant number 21H03203) and the joint research grant from Ajinomoto Co., Inc. *Conflict of Interest:* K.S. reports a grant from the Ajinomoto Co., Inc. While H.U. is employed by Ajinomoto Co., Inc., he declares that has no other conflict of interest. All other authors declare no conflict of interest. *Authorship:* Conceptualisation: S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K., H.U. and K.S.; Data curation: S.N., K.S.L., L.Y., M.A., S.K. and B.S.; Formal analysis: S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K. and K.S.L.; Funding acquisition: K.S.; Investigation: S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K., K.S.L., L.Y., D.Y., Y.T., M.A., S.K., B.S. and K.S.; Methodology, S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K., K.S.L. and Y.T.; Project administration: S.N. and K.S.; Resources: S.N.; Software: S.N.; Supervision: S.N., H.H., T.N. and K.S.; Validation: S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K., K.S.L., D.Y., H.H., T.N., H.U. and K.S.; Visualisation: S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K. and K.S.L.; Writing – original draft preparation: S.N., H.N. and K.S.L.; Writing – review and editing: S.N., S.T., A.E., T.K., H.N., K.S.L., L.Y., D.Y., Y.T., M.A., H.H., S.K., T.N., B.S. and K.S. The opinions, results and conclusions reported in this paper are those of the authors and are independent of the funding bodies. *Ethics of human subject participation:* Ethical approval was not required in this study because the analysis was performed on secondary data, which did not include personal identifiers.

## Supplementary material

For supplementary material/s referred to in this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S136898002200249X>

## References

1. GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators (2020) Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* **396**, 1223–1249.

2. Aburto NJ, Ziolkovska A, Hooper L *et al.* (2013) Effect of lower sodium intake on health: systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ* **346**, f1326.
3. Singh GM, Danaei G, Farzadfar F *et al.* (2013) The age-specific quantitative effects of metabolic risk factors on cardiovascular diseases and diabetes: a pooled analysis. *PLoS One* **8**, e65174.
4. Thomopoulos C, Parati G & Zanchetti A (2014) Effects of blood pressure lowering on outcome incidence in hypertension. 1. Overview, meta-analyses, and meta-regression analyses of randomized trials. *J Hypertens* **32**, 2285–2295.
5. Xie X, Atkins E, Lv J *et al.* (2016) Effects of intensive blood pressure lowering on cardiovascular and renal outcomes: updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* **387**, 435–443.
6. D'Elia L, Rossi G, Ippolito R *et al.* (2012) Habitual salt intake and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Clin Nutr* **31**, 489–498.
7. World Cancer Research Fund & American Institute for Cancer Research (2007) *Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective*. Washington, DC: American Institute for Cancer Research.
8. Chen X, Du J, Wu X *et al.* (2021) Global burden attributable to high sodium intake from 1990 to 2019. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **31**, 3314–3321.
9. World Health Organization (2014) *Noncommunicable Diseases Global Monitoring Framework: Indicator Definitions and Specifications*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
10. Cobiac LJ, Vos T & Veerman JL (2010) Cost-effectiveness of interventions to reduce dietary salt intake. *Heart* **96**, 1863–1864.
11. DI (2020) *Global Nutrition Report: Action on Equity to End Malnutrition*. Bristol: Development Initiatives.
12. Powles J, Fahimi S, Micha R *et al.* (2013) Global, regional and national sodium intakes in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis of 24 h urinary sodium excretion and dietary surveys worldwide. *BMJ Open* **3**, e003733.
13. GBD 2017 Diet Collaborators (2019) Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* **393**, 1958–1972.
14. World Health Organization (2020) Salt Reduction. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salt-reduction> (accessed October 2021).
15. United States Department of Agriculture (2018) WWEIA/NHANES 2017–2018 Data Tables. [https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUserFiles/80400530/pdf/1718/tables\\_1-56\\_2017-2018.pdf](https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUserFiles/80400530/pdf/1718/tables_1-56_2017-2018.pdf) (accessed October 2021).
16. Hayabuchi H, Morita R, Ohta M *et al.* (2020) Validation of preferred salt concentration in soup based on a randomized blinded experiment in multiple regions in Japan—influence of umami (L-glutamate) on saltiness and palatability of low-salt solutions. *Hypertens Res* **43**, 525–533.
17. Nomura S, Ishizuka A, Tanaka S *et al.* (2021) Umami: an alternative Japanese approach to reducing sodium while enhancing taste desirability. *Health* **13**, 629–636.
18. Umeki Y, Hayabuchi H, Adachi H *et al.* (2021) Feasibility of low-sodium, high-potassium processed foods and their effect on blood pressure in free-living Japanese men: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Nutrients* **13**, 3497.
19. Maluly HD, Ariseto-Bragotto AP & Reyes FGR (2017) Monosodium glutamate as a tool to reduce sodium in food-stuffs: technological and safety aspects. *Food Sci Nutr* **5**, 1039–1048.
20. Beauchamp GK (2009) Sensory and receptor responses to umami: an overview of pioneering work. *Am J Clin Nutr* **90**, 723S–727S.
21. Blanton CA, Moshfegh AJ, Baer DJ *et al.* (2006) The USDA Automated Multiple-Pass Method accurately estimates group total energy and nutrient intake. *J Nutr* **136**, 2594–2599.
22. Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ *et al.* (2008) The US Department of Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection of energy intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr* **88**, 324–332.
23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention About the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about\\_nhanes.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about_nhanes.htm) (accessed October 2021).
24. Rhodes DG, Murayi T, Clemens JC *et al.* (2013) The USDA Automated Multiple-Pass Method accurately assesses population sodium intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr* **97**, 958–964.
25. Steinfeldt LC, Martin CL, Clemens JC *et al.* (2021) Comparing two days of dietary intake in What We Eat in America (WWEIA), NHANES, 2013–2016. *Nutrients* **13**, 2621.
26. WHO (2012) *Guideline: Sodium Intake for Adults and Children*. Geneva: WHO.
27. Wallace TC, Cowan AE & Bailey RL (2019) Current sodium intakes in the United States and the modelling of glutamate's incorporation into select savory products. *Nutrients* **11**, 2691.
28. Schaumburg H (1968) Chinese-restaurant syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **278**, 1122.
29. Gann D (1977) Ventricular tachycardia in a patient with the 'Chinese restaurant syndrome'. *South Med J* **70**, 879–881.
30. Ratner D, Eshel E & Shoshani E (1984) Adverse effects of monosodium glutamate: a diagnostic problem. *Isr J Med Sci* **20**, 252–253.
31. He K, Zhao L, Daviglus ML *et al.* (2008) Association of monosodium glutamate intake with overweight in Chinese adults: the INTERMAP Study. *Obesity* **16**, 1875–1880.
32. Insawang T, Selmi C, Cha'on U *et al.* (2012) Monosodium glutamate (MSG) intake is associated with the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in a rural Thai population. *Nutr Metab* **9**, 1–6.
33. Shi Z, Yuan B, Taylor AW *et al.* (2011) Monosodium glutamate is related to a higher increase in blood pressure over 5 years: findings from the Jiangsu Nutrition Study of Chinese adults. *J Hypertens* **29**, 846–853.
34. Geha RS, Beiser A, Ren C *et al.* (2000) Multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multiple-challenge evaluation of reported reactions to monosodium glutamate. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **106**, 973–980.
35. Brosnan JT, Drewnowski A & Friedman MI (2014) Is there a relationship between dietary MSG and (corrected) obesity in animals or humans? *Amino Acids* **46**, 2075–2087.
36. Nakamura H, Kawamata Y, Kuwahara T *et al.* (2013) Long-term ingestion of monosodium L-glutamate did not induce obesity, dyslipidemia or insulin resistance: a two-generation study in mice. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* **59**, 129–135.
37. Williams AN & Woessner KM (2009) Monosodium glutamate 'allergy': menace or myth? *Clin Exp Allergy* **39**, 640–646.
38. Walker R & Lupien JR (2000) The safety evaluation of monosodium glutamate. *J Nutr* **130**, 1049S–1052S.
39. Leahy M (2019) The sodium conundrum: evolving recommendations and implications. *Nutr Today* **54**, 31–41.
40. dos Santos BA, Campagnol PC, Morgano MA *et al.* (2014) Monosodium glutamate, disodium inosinate, disodium guanylate, lysine and taurine improve the sensory quality of fermented cooked sausages with 50 % and 75 % replacement of NaCl with KCl. *Meat Sci* **96**, 509–513.
41. Hoppu U, Hopia A, Pohjanheimo T *et al.* (2017) Effect of salt reduction on consumer acceptance and sensory quality of food. *Foods* **6**, 103.
42. Henney JE, Taylor CL & Boon CS (editors) (2010) *Strategies to Reduce Sodium Intake in the United States*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
43. Prescott J (2004) Effects of added glutamate on liking for novel food flavors. *Appetite* **42**, 143–150.
44. Ninomiya K (2015) Science of umami taste: adaptation to gastronomic culture. *Flavour* **4**, 13.
45. Kurotani K, Akter S, Kashino I *et al.* (2016) Quality of diet and mortality among Japanese men and women: Japan Public Health Center based prospective study. *BMJ* **352**, i1209.



46. Oba S, Nagata C, Nakamura K *et al.* (2009) Diet based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top and subsequent mortality among men and women in a general Japanese population. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1540–1547.
47. Sogaard AJ, Selmer R, Bjertness E *et al.* (2004) The Oslo Health Study: the impact of self-selection in a large, population-based survey. *Int J Equity Health* **3**, 3.
48. Wang S, Zhang S & Adhikari K (2019) Influence of monosodium glutamate and its substitutes on sensory characteristics and consumer perceptions of chicken soup. *Foods* **8**, 71.
49. Miyaki T, Retiveau-Krogmann A, Byrnes E *et al.* (2016) Umami increases consumer acceptability, and perception of sensory and emotional benefits without compromising health benefit perception. *J Food Sci* **81**, S483–S493.