ON THE HAHN-BANACH EXTENSION PROPERTY

BY TING-ON TO(1)

1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider real linear spaces. By $(V: \parallel \parallel)$ we mean a normed (real) linear space V with norm $\|$ $\|$. By the statement "V has the (Y, X) norm preserving (Hahn-Banach) extension property" we mean the following: Y is a subspace of the normed linear space X, V is a normed linear space, and any bounded linear function $f: Y \rightarrow V$ has a linear extension $F: X \rightarrow V$ such that ||F|| = ||f||. By the statement "V has the unrestricted norm preserving (Hahn-Banach) extension property" we mean that V has the (Y, X) norm preserving extension property for all Y and X with $Y \subset X$. By $(V: \leq)$ we mean a partial ordered linear space (OLS) with the vector ordering \leq which is not necessarily antisymmetric. By the statement "V has the (Y, X) dominated (Hahn-Banach) extension property" we mean the following: $(V: \leq)$ is an OLS, Y is a subspace of the linear space X, and for any sublinear (i.e., subadditive and positively homogeneous) function $p: X \to V$, any linear function $f: Y \to V$ such that $f(y) \le p(y)$ for all $y \in Y$ has a linear extension $F: X \to V$ such that $F(x) \le p(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By the statement "V has the unrestricted dominated (Hahn-Banach) extension property" we mean that V has the (Y, X) dominated-extension property for all Y and X with $Y \subset X$.

The classical Hahn-Banach theorem asserts that the real number field R has the unrestricted norm preserving extension property and also the unrestricted dominated extension property. In [1], G. Elliott and I. Halperin proved that for all finite-dimensional normed linear spaces V there is a single pair (Y_0, X_0) such that when V has the (Y_0, X_0) norm preserving extension property then V must have the unrestricted norm preserving extension property. This result is stated precisely as follows:

THEOREM I. Let $X_0 = C(3)$, the normed linear space with sup norm of all continuous functionals on the discrete topological space of three elements, and let Y_0 be a subspace of X_0 generated by (0, 1, 1) and (1, 0, 1).

If a finite-dimensional normed linear space V has the (Y_0, X_0) norm preserving extension property then V has the unrestricted norm preserving extension property.

The question arises: Is there a corresponding result to the above theorem for dominated extensions in ordered linear spaces? The answer is "yes". We shall show in the main theorem (§3) that there exists a class \mathfrak{A} of OLS's which includes

Received by the editors May 5, 1969.

⁽¹⁾ This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis prepared at the University of Saskatchewan under the supervision of Professor P. M. Cuttle. The author wishes to express his acknowledgement to Professor P. M. Cuttle for his guidance and encouragement.

the finite dimensional OLS's such that there is a single pair (Y_0, X_0) of linear spaces such that if $V \in \mathfrak{A}$ has the (Y_0, X_0) dominated extension property then V must have the unrestricted dominated extension property.

2. Preliminaries. Besides Theorem I, some results proved in [2], [3], [4] and [6] will be used in the proof of our main theorem. Let V be a linear space. A non-empty subset C of V is said to be a wedge if $u, v \in C$ and $t \in R, t \ge 0$, imply that u + v and tu are in C. A wedge C is said to be sharp if $u \in C$ and $-u \in C$ imply that u = 0, the zero element of V. If $(V: \leq)$ is an OLS, then the set $C = \{v: v \geq 0\}$ is a wedge and is called the positive wedge of $(V: \leq)$. Conversely, a wedge C in a linear space V determines a vector ordering \leq by taking $v \geq 0$ iff $v \in C$. Therefore, a wedge C in V uniquely determines and is determined by a vector ordering \leq . The positive wedge C corresponding to the vector ordering \leq is sharp iff the vector ordering is antisymmetric. For convenience, if C is the positive wedge corresponding to the ordering \leq , we sometimes write (V: C) instead of $(V: \leq)$. A wedge C in an OLS (V: C) is said to be reproducing if V is the linear hull of C. An OLS $(V: \leq)$ is said to have the least upper bound property if every set of elements with an upper bound has a least upper bound (not necessarily unique). The least upper bound is unique if the ordering \leq is antisymmetric (or, equivalently, the positive wedge C is sharp). If (V: C) has the least upper bound property and if C is reproducing and sharp, we call (V: C) a boundedly complete vector lattice. A point e of an OLS $(V: \leq)$ is said to be an order unit of V if e > 0 is such that, given any $v \in V$ we have $-\lambda e \le v \le \lambda e$ for some $\lambda \in R$. A point u of a wedge C in V is said to be a core point of C if C contains a line segment through u in each direction. A wedge C in a linear space V is said to be lineally closed if every line intersects C in a set which is closed in the natural topology of the line, or equivalently, if $v_1 \in C$, $v \in C$ and $tv_1 - v \in C$ for some real $t \ge 0$ implies that $t_v v_1 - v \in C$; where $t_v = \inf \{t \in R : t \in C\}$ $tv_1 - v \in C, t \ge 0$. We state without proof some results which will be used in the sequel.

THEOREM II ([6]). If an OLS $(V: \leq)$ has the least upper bound property, then V has the unrestricted dominated extension property.

THEOREM III (W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman [3], [4]). If a finite-dimensional OLS (V: C) has the unrestricted dominated extension property, then C is lineally closed.

THEOREM IV. (1) A point u is a core point of the wedge C in (V: C) iff u is an order unit of $(V: \leq)$ where \leq is the vector ordering corresponding to C. (2) If C is a finite dimensional wedge (i.e., the linear hull of C is finite dimensional), then C has a core point relative to its linear hull.

THEOREM V ([3] p. 211). Let (V: C) be an OLS and let V_1 be the linear hull of C. Then (V: C) has the (Y, X) dominated extension property (unrestricted dominated extension property) iff $(V_1: C)$ has the (Y, X) dominated extension property (unrestricted dominated extension property).

10

Let (V: || ||) be a normed linear space, *e* be a vector of norm one in *V* and let $P = \{v \in V: v = \lambda(u+e), \lambda \in R, \lambda \ge 0, ||u|| \le 1\}$. Then *P* is a wedge and (V: P) is an OLS with *e* as an order unit. We shall call this OLS (V: P) the OLS deduced from (V: || ||) and *e*. Conversely, if (V: C) is an OLS with an order unit *e* and is such that *C* is sharp and lineally closed then the function $|| ||: V \rightarrow R$ defined by $||x|| = \inf \{\lambda \in R: -\lambda e \le x \le \lambda e, \lambda \ge 0\}$ for all $x \in V$, is a norm. We shall call this normed linear space (V: || ||) the normed linear space deduced from (V: C) and the order unit *e*. Moreover, it is easy to verify that the set

$$P = \{x \in V \colon x = \lambda(u+e), \lambda \in R, \lambda \ge 0, \|u\| \le 1\}$$

coincides with C and the OLS (V: P) deduced from (V: || ||) coincides with the original OLS (V: C). From this remark and Theorem 1, Theorem 2 of [2], we have the following theorem:

THEOREM VI (Nachbin [2]). Let (V: C) be an OLS with an order unit e such that C is sharp and lineally closed. Let (V: || ||) be the normed linear space deduced from (V: C) and the order unit e. Then (V: || ||) has the unrestricted norm preserving extension property iff (V: C) is a boundedly complete vector lattice.

3. The main theorem. We begin with the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let $(V: \leq)$ be an OLS with an order unit e such that the positive wedge C corresponding to \leq is lineally closed and sharp, and let (V: || ||) be the normed linear space deduced from $(V: \leq)$ and the order unit e. If $(V: \leq)$ has the (Y, X) dominated extension property, then (V: || ||) has the (Y, X) norm preserving extension property when X is normed by any normed linear space norm.

Proof. Assume that $(V: \leq)$ has the (Y, X) dominated extension property and that || || is a normed linear space norm on X. Let $f: Y \rightarrow V$ be a bounded linear function and define a function $p: X \rightarrow V$ by p(x) = ||f|| ||x||e for all $x \in X$. Then p is sublinear. Moreover, from the assumption that (V: || ||) is deduced from $(V: \leq)$ and the order unit e of $(V: \leq)$, and that C is lineally closed, we have

$$p(y) = ||f|| ||y|| e \ge ||f(y)|| e \ge f(y)$$
 for all $y \in Y$.

Therefore, by the (Y, X) dominated extension property of $(V: \leq)$ there exists a linear extension F of f on the whole space X into V such that $||f|| ||x|| e = p(x) \geq F(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since $||v|| = \inf \{\lambda \geq 0: -\lambda e \leq v \leq \lambda e\}$ for all $v \in V$, $||f|| ||x|| \geq ||F(x)||$ for all $x \in X$. This implies that $||F|| \leq ||f||$. On the other hand,

$$||F|| = \sup \{ ||F(x)|| : ||x|| \le 1, x \in X \}$$

$$\ge \sup \{ ||F(y)|| : ||y|| \le 1, y \in Y \}$$

$$= ||f||.$$

Thus, ||F|| = ||f||. This shows that (V: || ||) has the (Y, X) norm preserving extension property.

2-с.м.в.

TING-ON TO

LEMMA 2. Let $R_2 = \{(a, b): a, b \in R\}$ and let $R_3 = \{(a, b, c): a, b, c \in R\}$. If a finite dimensional OLS (V: C) has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property, then the positive wedge C is lineally closed.

Proof. We remark that if (V: C) has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property then it has the (R, R_2) dominated extension property. With this remark, it is easily seen from the proof of Theorem III ([4] pp. 844–849, [5]) that if the unrestricted dominated extension property of (V: C) is replaced by the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property the result is still valid and hence the lemma follows.

LEMMA 3. If $(V: \leq)$ is a finite dimensional OLS such that the ordering \leq is antisymmetric and if $(V: \leq)$ has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property, then $(V: \leq)$ has the unrestricted dominated extension property.

Proof. Let C be the positive wedge of V corresponding to \leq . By Theorem V, we may assume that V is the linear hull of C without loss of generality. Then by Theorem IV, since V is finite dimensional, C has a core point e which is an order unit of $(V: \leq)$. Define $\| \|: V \to R$ by $\|v\| = \inf \{\lambda \in R: \lambda e \geq v \geq -\lambda e, \lambda \geq 0\}$ for all $v \in V$. Since \leq is antisymmetric, C is sharp. Furthermore, since $(V; \leq)$ has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property, then, by Lemma 2, C is lineally closed. Hence $(V: \| \|)$ is the normed linear space deduced from $(V: \leq)$ and the order unit e. Thus, by Lemma 1, (V: || ||) has the (R_2, R_3) norm preserving extension property when R_3 is normed by any normed linear space norm. Let $X_0 = C(3)$ and let $Y_0 = \{\lambda(0, 1, 1) + u(1, 0, 1) : \lambda, u \in R\} \subset C(3)$. Since C(3) is a three-dimensional normed real linear space and Y_0 is a two-dimensional subspace of C(3), (V: || ||)has the (Y_0, X_0) norm preserving extension property. Thus, by Theorem I, $(V: \parallel \parallel)$ has the unrestricted norm-preserving extension property. Then, by Theorem VI, the original ordered linear space $(V: \leq)$ is a boundedly complete vector lattice, and by Theorem II, $(V: \leq)$ has the unrestricted dominated extension property.

THEOREM. Let $(V: \leq)$ be an OLS and let $V_0 = \{v \in V: v \geq 0 \text{ and } -v \geq 0\}$. If the quotient linear space V/V_0 is finite dimensional, then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (1) V has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property.
- (2) V has the unrestricted dominated extension property.

Proof. Clearly (2) implies (1). To see (1) implies (2), assume that $(V: \leq)$ has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property. By Theorem V, we may assume that the positive wedge C of (V, \leq) is reproducing without loss of generality. Let V_1 be a subspace of V such that $V_1 \cong V/V_0$. Then $V = V_1 \bigoplus V_0$, the algebraic direct sum of the subspaces V_1 and V_0 . We show that $(V_1: \leq)$ has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property. Let $p: R_3 \rightarrow V_1$ be a sublinear function and let $f: R_2 \rightarrow V_1$ be a linear function such that $f(y) \leq p(y)$ for all $y \in R_2$. Since (V, \leq) has the R_2, R_3

dominated extension property, f has a linear extension $F: R_3 \rightarrow V$ such that $F(x) \le p(x)$ for all $x \in R_3$. Let $F(x) = F_1(x) + F_0(x)$, where $F_1(x) \in V_1$ and $F_0(x) \in V_0$ for all $x \in R_3$. Since $F(y) = f(y) \in V_1$ for all $y \in R_2$, $F_0(y) = 0$ and hence $F_1(y) = f(y)$ for all $y \in R_2$. Also, the linearity of F implies the linearity of F_1 : thus F_1 is a linear extension of f on R_3 into V_1 . Furthermore, F_1 is dominated by p. Indeed, since $F_0(x) \in V_0$,

$$p(x) - F_1(x) = p(x) - F(x) + F_0(x) \ge 0$$
 for all $x \in R_3$.

This shows that $(V_1: \leq)$ has the (R_2, R_3) dominated extension property. By our assumption $(V_1: \leq)$ is finite dimensional, and since the set $\{v \in V_1: v \geq 0 \text{ and } -v \geq 0\} = \{0\}$, the ordering \leq is antisymmetric on V_1 . Therefore, by the proof of Lemma 3 $(V_1: \leq)$ is a boundedly complete vector lattice. It follows that V has the least upper bound property and hence, by Theorem II, V has the unrestricted dominated extension property.

References

1. G. Elliott, and I. Halperin, *Linear normed spaces with extension property*, Canad. Math. Bull. 9, No. 4 (1966), 433-441.

2. L. Nachbin, A theorem of the Hahn-Banach type for linear transformations, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 28-46.

3. W. E. Bonnice, and R. J. Silverman, *The Hahn-Banach theorem for finite dimensional spaces*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 210–222.

4. ——, The Hahn-Banach extension and the least upper bound properties are equivalent, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 18, No. 5 (1967), 843–850.

5. T. O. To, A note of correction to a theorem of W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 163–166.

6. M. M. Day, Notes on Ordered Linear Spaces, University of Illinois, 1950, unpublished.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN

1970]