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1. Introduction. Interest in the ranges of Lyapunov transformations began with Taussky in [5]. Recently in a series of papers, Loewy has studied the ranges of Lyapunov transformations on matrices. In particular in [2] and [3], the following result was obtained.

Theorem. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n, n}$ and suppose that $L_{A}$ is invertible. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) $B=(\alpha I+i \beta A)(\gamma A+i \delta I)^{-1}$ for some real scalars with $\alpha \gamma+\beta \delta=1$.
(ii) $L_{A}(\operatorname{PSD}(n))=L_{B}(\operatorname{PSD}(n))$.

Here $L_{A}$ is the Lyapunov transformation corresponding to $A$ i.e. $L_{A}(X)=A X+X A^{*}$, and $\operatorname{PSD}(n)$ denotes the set of all positive semi-definite $n \times n$ matrices.

In this short note we shall obtain a generalization of the above result to the case when $A$ and $B$ are bounded linear operators on any Hilbert space.
2. Mappings which preserve the positive cone. Let $H$ be any Hilbert space and let $L(H)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on $H . L(H)^{\text {sa }}$ and $L(H)^{+}$will denote the self-adjoint and positive parts of $L(H)$ respectively.
2.1 Lemma. Suppose $\alpha$ is an invertible bounded linear mapping of $L(H)$ onto itself which takes $L(H)^{+}$onto itself, and maps I to I. Then $\alpha$ is either $a^{*}$-automorphism of $L(H)$ or $a^{*}$-anti-automorphism of $L(H)$.

Proof. Let $H \geqslant 0$. Then $\|H\| I-H \geqslant 0$, so that $\alpha(H) \leqslant \alpha(\|H\| I)=\|H\| I$. Thus $\|\alpha(H)\| \leqslant$ $\|H\|$.

The same argument applied to $\alpha^{-1}$ shows that $\|H\| \leqslant\|\alpha(H)\|$. Hence $\alpha$ is isometric on $L(H)^{+} . \alpha$ therefore preserves the extreme points of the positive part of the unit ball, i.e. $\alpha$ maps projections onto projections.

From here we may argue as Kadison in [1] to show that $\alpha$ is (in the terminology of [1]) a $C^{*}$-isomorphism of $L(H)$. The proof is completed by noting that it is shown also in [1] that such a map on $L(H)$ must be either a ${ }^{*}$-automorphism or a ${ }^{*}$-anti-automorphism of $L(H)$.
2.2 Lemma. Suppose $L_{A}$ and $L_{B}$ are two invertible Lyapunov transformations of $L(H)$ and that $L_{A}\left(L(H)^{+}\right)=L_{B}\left(L(H)^{+}\right)$. Then there is some non-singular $V$ in $L(H)$ such that $L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}(X)=V X V^{*}$ for all $X$ in $L(H)$.

Proof. $L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\left(L(H)^{+}\right)=L(H)^{+}$. Let $T \in L(H)^{+}$be such that $L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}(T)=I$. Then $\|T\|^{-1} T \leqslant I$, so that $\|T\|^{-1} I=L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\left(\|T\|^{-1} T\right) \leqslant L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}(I)$. Thus $L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}(I)$ is invertible and equals $S^{2}$ for some non-singular $S$ in $L(H)^{\text {sa }}$.
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Let $\alpha(X)=S^{-1} L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}(X) S^{-1}$. Then $\alpha$ satisifies the conditions of Lemma 2.1 and so is either a ${ }^{*}$-automorphism or a ${ }^{*}$-anti-automorphism.

If $\alpha$ is a *-automorphism, we can find a unitary $U$ in $L(H)$ such that $\alpha(X)=U X U^{*}$ (see [ 4 Ch .4 ], for example). Taking $V=S U$ gives the required result.

Now if $\alpha$ is a ${ }^{*}$-anti-automorphism of $L(H)$, by the same token we can find a conjugate linear isomorphism $U$ of $H$ onto itself such that $\alpha(X)=U X^{*} U^{-1}$ for all $X$ in $L(H)$. Thus $L_{B}(X)=L_{A}\left(S U X^{*} U^{-1} S\right.$ ). Now if $X$ is given by $X \xi=\langle\xi, \sigma\rangle \tau$ (for some $\sigma, \tau$ in $H), X^{*} \xi=\langle\xi, \tau\rangle \sigma$ and evaluating both sides at $\sigma$ gives

$$
\langle\sigma, \sigma\rangle B \tau+\left\langle B^{*} \sigma, \sigma\right\rangle_{\tau}=\left\langle U^{-1} S \sigma, \tau\right\rangle A S U \sigma+\left\langle U^{-1} S A^{*} \sigma, \tau\right\rangle S U \sigma
$$

The L.H.S. is linear in $\tau$, the R.H.S. is conjugate linear in $\tau$. Thus both sides are identically zero, from which one can deduce that $A$ and $B$ are both scalar multiples of $I$. $S$ can then be chosen to be a positive multiple of $I$, so that $\alpha$ is the identity map. This contradicts the assumption that $\alpha$ is an anti-automorphism.
3. Main result. We first prove:
3.1 Lemma. $L_{A}=L_{B}$ if and only if $B=A+i \lambda I \quad$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. "if" is easy. Suppose $A=A_{1}+i A_{2}$ (with $A_{i}$ self-adjoint) and suppose that $L_{A}=0$. Then $0=L_{A}(I)=2 A_{1}$, and so $A_{1}=0$. Hence, for all $X$ in $L(H), 0=L_{A}(X)=$ $i\left(A_{2} X-X A_{2}\right)$ and so $A_{2}=\lambda I$ for some real $\lambda$.
3.2 Theorem. Suppose $L_{A}$ and $L_{B}$ are two non-singular Lyapunov transformations. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) $B=(\alpha I+i \beta A)(\gamma A+i \delta I)^{-1}$ for some real $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ with $\alpha \gamma+\beta \delta=1$.
(ii) $L_{A}\left(L(H)^{+}\right)=L_{B}\left(L(H)^{+}\right)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). As in [2] and [3] we can show that (i) implies that either $L_{B}$ is a positive multiple of $L_{A}$ or a positive multiple of $L_{(A+i \lambda I)^{-1}}$ for real $\lambda$. From this (ii) follows easily.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Using Lemma 2.2, we can find some non-singular $V$ in $L(H)$ such that $B X+X B^{*}=A V X V^{*}+V X V^{*} A^{*}$ for all $A$ in $L(H)$. If $X$ is given by $X \xi=\langle\xi, \sigma\rangle \tau$ where $\sigma, \tau$ are arbitrary vectors of norm one, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \tau+\left\langle B^{*} \sigma, \sigma\right\rangle \tau=\left\langle V^{*} \sigma, \sigma\right\rangle A V \tau+\left\langle V^{*} A^{*} \sigma, \sigma\right\rangle V \tau \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing two values of $\sigma$ and eliminating $B \tau$ from (1) we see that

$$
V=(\gamma A+i \delta I)^{-1}
$$

for some scalars $\gamma$ and $\delta$. If $\gamma=0, V$ is a multiple of $I$ and so $L_{B}$ is a multiple (which must be positive) of $L_{A}$. Lemma 3.1 then allows us to display $B$ in the form (i). We shall therefore assume that $\gamma \neq 0$. Multiplying $V$ by some scalar of unit modulus leaves the equations unaltered, but allows us to assume that $\gamma$ is real. Further, using (1) with $\sigma=\tau$ gives

$$
2 \operatorname{Re}\langle B \sigma, \sigma\rangle=2 \gamma^{-1} \operatorname{Re}\langle V \sigma, \sigma\rangle+i \gamma^{-1}(\bar{\delta}-\delta)|\langle V \sigma, \sigma\rangle|^{2}
$$

and so $\delta=\bar{\delta}$ as required.

Returning once more to (1), we see that $B+\lambda I=\nu A V+\mu V$ for some scalars $\lambda, \mu, \nu$. This may be rewritten as $B V^{-1}=(\alpha I+i \beta A)$ for some scalars $\alpha, \beta$. Using the original equation we get $B V^{-1} X V^{*-1}+V^{-1} X V^{*-1} B^{*}=A X+X A^{*}$ for all $X$ in $L(H)$ which reduces to

$$
(\bar{\alpha} \gamma+\beta \delta-1) A X+(\alpha \gamma+\delta \bar{\beta}-1) X A^{*}=i(\gamma \bar{\beta}-\beta \gamma) A X A^{*}
$$

This shows that $(\gamma \vec{\beta}-\beta \gamma)=0$, and so $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and gives $\gamma L_{\alpha A}+(\beta \delta-1) L_{A}=0$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, $\bar{\alpha} A=-\gamma^{-1}(\beta \delta-1) A+i \lambda I$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\alpha=-\gamma^{-1}(\beta \delta-1)$ as required, or $A$ is a multiple of $I$. In this case either $L_{B}$ or $-L_{B}$ maps $L(H)^{+}$onto $L(H)^{+}$ and so (as in §2) $L_{B}(X)=U X U^{*}$ for some $U$ in $L(H)$. Arguing as above shows that $U$ is a multiple of $I$, and so (by Lemma 3.1) also is $B$. This completes the proof.
3.3 Remarks. For finite dimensional $H, L_{B}$ is non-singular whenever $L_{A}$ is, and so Theorem 3.2 gives Loewy's theorem. Essentially, this is because any linear mapping taking $\operatorname{PSD}(n)$ onto $\operatorname{PSD}(n)$ must be non-singular. This may be false, however, in general. For example, when $H=\ell^{2}\left(Z^{+}\right)$and $U$ is the unilateral shift, the mapping $\alpha(X)=U^{*} X U$ takes $L(H)^{+}$onto $L(H)^{+}$yet has no inverse.

The author would like to thank the referee for making some helpful comments in the preparation of this paper.
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