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## Abstract

The Horikawa index and the local signature are introduced for relatively minimal fibered surfaces whose general fiber is a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 with unique trigonal structure.

## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ (resp. $B$ ) be a non-singular projective surface (resp. curve) defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and $f: S \rightarrow B$ a relatively minimal fibration whose general fiber $F$ is a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 4. According to [2], we say that $f$ is Eisenbud-Harris special or $E$-H special for short (resp. Eisenbud-Harris general) if $F$ has a unique $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{1}$ (resp. two distinct $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{1}$ 's), or equivalently, the canonical image of $F$ lies on a quadric surface of rank 3 (resp. rank 4) in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.

For E-H general fibrations of genus 4, two important local invariants, the local signature and the Horikawa index, are introduced in the appendix in [2]. The purpose of this short note is to show that an analogous result also holds for E-H special fibrations of genus 4, that is, to show the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of fiber germs of relatively minimal $E-H$ special fibrations of genus 4 . Then, the Horikawa index Ind: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and the local signature $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ are defined so that for any relatively minimal $E-H$ special fibration $f: S \rightarrow B$ of genus 4 , the slope equality

$$
K_{f}^{2}=\frac{24}{7} \chi_{f}+\sum_{p \in B} \operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right),
$$

and the localization of the signature

$$
\operatorname{Sign}(S)=\sum_{p \in B} \sigma\left(f^{-1}(p)\right),
$$

hold.

Note that the above slope equality was established in [7] under the assumption that the multiplicative map $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} f_{*} \omega_{f} \rightarrow f_{*} \omega_{f}^{\otimes 2}$ is surjective, and that for non-hyperelliptic fibrations of genus 4, the slope inequality

$$
K_{f}^{2} \geq \frac{24}{7} \chi_{f}
$$

was shown independently in [3] and [6].

## 2. Proof of theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be a relatively minimal E-H special fibration of genus 4. Since the general fiber $F$ of $f$ is non-hyperelliptic, the multiplicative map $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} f_{*} \omega_{f} \rightarrow f_{*} \omega_{f}^{\otimes 2}$ is generically surjective from Noether's theorem. Thus, we have the following exact sequences of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2} f_{*} \omega_{f} \rightarrow f_{*} \omega_{f}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on $B$ and the cokernel $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion sheaf on $B$. Then, the first injection defines a section $q \in H^{0}\left(B, \operatorname{Sym}^{2} f_{*} \omega_{f} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right), 2 T-\pi^{*} \mathcal{L}\right)$, where $\pi: \mathbb{P}_{B}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right) \rightarrow$ $B$ is the projection and $T=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}\left(_{*} \omega_{f}\right)}(1)$ is the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_{B}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right)$. The section $q$ can be regarded as a relative quadratic form $q:\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right)^{*} \rightarrow f_{*} \omega_{f} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, which defines the determinant $\operatorname{det}(q): \operatorname{det}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-4}$. Note that for a non-hyperelliptic fibration $f$ of $\operatorname{genus} 4, \operatorname{det}(q)=0$ if and only if $f$ is $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}$ special. On the other hand, $Q=(q) \in\left|2 T-\pi^{*} \mathcal{L}\right|$ is regarded as the unique relative quadric on $\mathbb{P}_{B}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right)$ containing the image of the relative canonical map $\Phi_{f}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right)$. Since $f$ is E-H special, the general fiber of $\left.\pi\right|_{Q}: Q \rightarrow B$ is a quadric of rank 3 on $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(F, K_{F}\right)\right)=\mathbb{P}^{3}$. The closure of the set of vertexes of general fibers of $\left.\pi\right|_{Q}$ defines a section $v: B \rightarrow Q$, which corresponds to some quotient line bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of $f_{*} \omega_{f}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the kernel of the surjection $f_{*} \omega_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and put $P=\mathbb{P}_{B}\left(f_{*} \omega_{f}\right)$ and $P^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}_{B}(\mathcal{E})$. Let $\tau: \widetilde{P} \rightarrow P$ be the blow-up of $P$ along the section $v(B)$. Then, the relative projection $P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ from the section $v(B)$ extends to the morphism $\tau^{\prime}: \widetilde{P} \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ with

$$
\tau^{* *} T^{\prime}=\tau^{*} T-E,
$$

$\underset{\widetilde{Q}}{\text { where }} T^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}_{B}(\mathcal{E})$ and $E$ is the exceptional divisor of $\tau$. Let $\widetilde{Q}$ denote the proper transform of $Q$ on $\widetilde{P}$. It follows that in $\operatorname{Pic}(\widetilde{P})$,

$$
\widetilde{Q}=\tau^{*} Q-2 E=\tau^{\prime *}\left(2 T^{\prime}-\pi^{\prime *} \mathcal{L}\right),
$$

where $\pi^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is the projection. Let $Q^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime}(\widetilde{Q})$ be the image of $\widetilde{Q}$ via $\tau^{\prime}$. It follows that $Q^{\prime} \in$ $\left|2 T^{\prime}-\pi^{\prime *} \mathcal{L}\right|$ and $\widetilde{Q}=\tau^{\prime *} Q^{\prime}$. The general fiber of $\left.\pi^{\prime}\right|_{Q^{\prime}}: Q^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is a conic on $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(F,\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{F}\right)\right)=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of rank 3, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Note that the composite $\tau^{\prime} \circ \Phi_{f}: S \rightarrow Q^{\prime} \subset P^{\prime}$ of the relative canonical map $\Phi_{f}: S \rightarrow P$ and the projection $\tau^{\prime}: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ determines the unique trigonal structure of the general fiber $F$ of $f$. Let $q^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(P^{\prime}, 2 T^{\prime}-\pi^{\prime *} \mathcal{L}\right)=H^{0}\left(B, \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)$ be a section which defines $Q^{\prime}=\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Then $q^{\prime}$ can be regarded as a relative quadratic form $q^{\prime}: \mathcal{E} * \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, which has non-zero determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(q^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-3}$ since $Q^{\prime}$ is of rank 3. Thus, $\operatorname{det}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \in H^{0}\left(B, \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-3}\right) \operatorname{defines}$ an effective divisor $\Delta_{Q^{\prime}}=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ on $B$. The degree of $\Delta_{Q^{\prime}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{Q^{\prime}}=2 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{E}-3 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal desingularization of the rational map $\tau^{-1} \circ \Phi_{f}: S \rightarrow \widetilde{P}$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widetilde{P}$ the induced morphism. Put $\Phi=\tau \circ \widetilde{\Phi}: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow P, \Phi^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow P^{\prime}, M=\Phi^{*} T$ and $M^{\prime}=\Phi^{* *} T^{\prime}$. Then we can write $\rho^{*} K_{f}=M+Z$ for some effective vertical divisor $Z$ on $\widetilde{S}$. Since $M^{\prime}=M-\widetilde{\Phi}^{*} E$, we can also write $\rho^{*} K_{f}=M^{\prime}+Z^{\prime}$, where $Z^{\prime}=Z+\widetilde{\Phi}^{*} E$ is also an effective vertical divisor on $\widetilde{S}$. Since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is of degree 3 onto the image $Q^{\prime}$, we have $\Phi_{*}^{\prime} \widetilde{S}=3 Q^{\prime}$ as cycles. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\prime 2} & =\left(\Phi^{\prime *} T^{\prime}\right)^{2} \widetilde{S}=T^{\prime 2} \Phi_{*}^{\prime} \widetilde{S} \\
& =3 T^{\prime 2} Q^{\prime}=3 T^{\prime 2}\left(2 T^{\prime}-\pi^{\prime *} \mathcal{L}\right) \\
& =6 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{E}-3 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

while we have

$$
M^{\prime 2}=\left(\rho^{*} K_{f}-Z^{\prime}\right)^{2}=K_{f}^{2}-\left(\rho^{*} K_{f}+M^{\prime}\right) Z^{\prime}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{f}^{2}=6 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{E}-3 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}+\left(\rho^{*} K_{f}+M^{\prime}\right) Z^{\prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), we can delete the term $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{E}$ and then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{6} K_{f}^{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left(\rho^{*} K_{f}+M^{\prime}\right) Z^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} \Delta_{Q^{\prime}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, taking the degree of (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{f}^{2}=4 \chi_{f}-\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}+\text { length } \mathcal{T} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4) in the equation (2.5), we get

$$
K_{f}^{2}=\frac{24}{7} \chi_{f}+\frac{1}{7}\left(\rho^{*} K_{f}+M^{\prime}\right) Z^{\prime}+\frac{3}{7} \operatorname{deg} \Delta_{Q^{\prime}}+\frac{6}{7} \text { length } \mathcal{T}
$$

For a fiber germ $f^{-1}(p)$, we define $\operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)$ by

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)=\frac{1}{7}\left(\rho^{*} K_{f}+M^{\prime}\right) Z_{p}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{7} \operatorname{mult}_{p} \Delta_{Q^{\prime}}+\frac{6}{7} \text { length }_{p} \mathcal{T}
$$

where $Z=\sum_{p \in B} Z_{p}$ is the natural decomposition with $(f \circ \rho)\left(Z_{p}\right)=\{p\}$ for any $p \in B$. For the definitions of $M^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}$, etc., we do not use the completeness of the base $B$. Thus, we can modify the definition of Ind for any fiber germ of relatively minimal E-H special fibrations of genus 4 which is invariant under holomorphically equivalence. Thus, we can define the Horikawa index Ind: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
K_{f}^{2}=\frac{24}{7} \chi_{f}+\sum_{p \in B} \operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)
$$

The non-negativity of $\operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)$ is as follows. From the nefness of $K_{f}$, we have $\rho^{*} K_{f} Z_{p}^{\prime} \geq 0$. For a sufficiently ample divisor $\mathfrak{a}$ on $B$, the linear system $\left|M^{\prime}+(f \circ \rho)^{*} \mathfrak{a}\right|$ is free from base points. Thus, by Bertini's theorem, there is a smooth horizontal member $C \in\left|M^{\prime}+(f \circ \rho)^{*} \mathfrak{a}\right|$ and then $M^{\prime} Z_{p}^{\prime}=\left(M^{\prime}+(f \circ\right.$ $\left.\rho)^{*} \mathfrak{a}\right) Z_{p}^{\prime}=C Z_{p}^{\prime} \geq 0$.

Once the Horikawa index is introduced, we can define the local signature since $\operatorname{Sign}(S)=K_{f}^{2}-$ $8 \chi_{f}$ and $e_{f}=12 \chi_{f}-K_{f}^{2}$ is localized by using the topological Euler numbers of the singular fibers (cf. [1, Section 2]). Indeed, we put

$$
\sigma\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)=\frac{7}{15} \operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)-\frac{8}{15} e_{f}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right),
$$

where $e_{f}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)=e_{\text {top }}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)+6$ is the Euler contribution at $p \in B$. Then we have $\operatorname{Sign}(S)=$ $\sum_{p \in B} \sigma\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)$.

Remark 2.1. In [5], we define a Horikawa index $\operatorname{Ind}_{g, n}$ for fibered surfaces of genus g admitting a cyclic covering of degree $n$ over a ruled surface (called primitive cyclic covering fibrations of type ( $g, 0, n$ )). For $g=4$ and $n=3$, these fibrations are non-hyperelliptic $E$-H special fibrations of genus 4 . One can check the Horikawa index $\operatorname{Ind}_{4,3}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)$ in [5, (4.5)] and $\operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{-1}(p)\right)$ in Theorem 1.1 are coincide by using the technique of $[4$, Appendix] which we left to the reader.
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