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#### Abstract

Let $\alpha$ be a positive irrational real number, and let $f_{\alpha}(n)=$ $[(n+1) \alpha]-[n \alpha]-[\alpha], n \geq 1$, where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding $x$. It is shown that the sequence $f_{\alpha}$ has a certain 'substitution property' if and only if $\alpha$ is the root of a quadratic equation over the rationals.


1. Introduction. The astronomer J. Bernoulli [1] considered the sequence $([(n+1) \alpha+1 / 2]-[n \alpha+1 / 2]: n \geq 1)$, for positive irrational numbers $\alpha$, and gave (without proof) an explicit description of the terms of this sequence, based on the simple continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$.
A. A. Markov [5] proved the validity of Bernoulli's description, and did this by first describing the terms of the sequence $f_{\alpha}=\left(f_{\alpha}(n): n \geq 1\right)$, where $f_{\alpha}(n)=[(n+1) \alpha]-$ $[n \alpha]-[\alpha]$. A beautiful exposition (about 2 pages long) of Markov's proof is given by B. A. Venkov [8].
K. B. Stolarsky [7] gave a different description of the sequence $f_{\alpha}$, for certain values of $\alpha$. A. S. Fraenkel,M. Mushkin, and U. Tassa [3] gave a very short and polished proof which extended Stolarsky's result to all positive $\alpha$, including rational values. Both [7] and [3] contain extensive lists of references.

Stolarsky gave two proofs of his result. In his second proof, he used Markov's theorem to show that if $\alpha=[0, k, k, \ldots]=\frac{1}{k+} \frac{1}{k+} \frac{1}{k+} \ldots$, then the sequence $f_{\alpha}$, which is a sequence of 0 's and 1 's, is invariant under the substitution $0 \rightarrow B_{1}=0^{k-1} 1,1 \rightarrow B_{2}=0^{k-1} 10$. To say that $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under this substitution means that if each 0 in $f_{\alpha}$ is replaced by the block $B_{1}$, and each 1 is replaced by $B_{2}$, then the resulting sequence is identical with $f_{\alpha}$. Here $0^{k-1}$ indicates a block of $k-1$ consecutive 0 's, and if $k=1$ then $0^{k-1}$ is the empty block. (If $k=3$, the substitution is $0 \rightarrow 001,1 \rightarrow 0010$.)

In this note we give a simple proof, which does not use Markov's theorem, of a generalization of Stolarsky's result. Our main results are based on the fact that if $\alpha$ is any quadratic irrational, then the simple continued fraction for $\alpha$ is periodic.

Throughout, we use the standard notation $\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]$ for the simple continued fraction $a_{0}+1 /\left(a_{1}+1 /\left(a_{2}+\ldots\right)\right)$.

We show that if $\alpha=\left[0, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}}\right]=\left[0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, \ldots\right]=[0$, $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}+\alpha\right]$ then there are blocks $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ (not both of length 1) such that $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under the substitution $0 \rightarrow B_{1}, 1 \rightarrow B_{2}$.

[^0]We also show that such blocks $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ cannot be found for all quadratic irrationals $\alpha$.

However, we show that it is true that for every quadratic irrational $\alpha, f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under a substitution of the following kind. There always exist blocks $s, t, C_{1}, C_{2}$ of 0 's and 1's (where $C_{1}$ is longer than $s$ or $C_{2}$ is longer than $t$ ) such that $f_{\alpha}$ may be written as a sequence of $s$ 's and $t$ 's, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ may be written as blocks of $s$ 's and $t$ 's, and $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under the substitution $s \rightarrow C_{1}, t \rightarrow C_{2}$.

Finally, we show that if $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under a substitution in the above sense, then $\alpha$ is a quadratic irrational. Thus the 'substitution property' of $f_{\alpha}$ characterizes quadratics among the irrationals.
2. Results. We will make use of the sequence $g_{\alpha}=\left(g_{\alpha}(n): n \geq 1\right)$, the characteristic function of the sequence $([n \alpha]: n \geq 1)$, where $g_{\alpha}(n)=1$ if $n=[k \alpha]$ for some $k$, and $g_{\alpha}(n)=0$ otherwise. We will also use the fact (from the definition of $f_{\alpha}$ ) that if $j$ is any integer with $0 \leq j<\alpha$, then $f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha-j}$.

It will be convenient to use the notation $1 / b=[0, b], 1 /(a+1 / b)=[0, a, b]$, etc., for positive real numbers $a, b$.

We begin with a fact which is mentioned by Fraenkel, Mushkin, and Tassa [3]:
LEMMA 1. For any irrational $\alpha>1, g_{\alpha}=f_{1 / \alpha}$.
PROOF. It is straightforward to show from the definitions of $g_{\alpha}$ and $f_{1 / \alpha}$ that $g_{\alpha}(n)=$ $1 \Rightarrow f_{1 / \alpha}(n)=1$ and $g_{\alpha}(n)=0 \Rightarrow f_{1 / \alpha}(n)=0$.

DEFINITION. Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed, and let $w$ be any block of 0 's and 1 's or any sequence of 0 's and 1's. Then $h_{k}(w)$ is obtained from $w$ by applying the substitution $0 \rightarrow 0^{k-1} 1,1 \rightarrow 0^{k-1} 10$, where $0^{k-1}$ is a block of $k-1$ consecutive 0 's. That is, $h_{k}(w)$ is obtained from $w$ by replacing each 0 in $w$ by $0^{k-1} 1$, and each 1 by $0^{k-1} 10$. If $k=1$ the substitution is $0 \rightarrow 1,1 \rightarrow 10$.

LEMMA 2. Let $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha$ be given, where $\alpha$ is irrational and $0<\alpha<1$. Then $h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=g_{k+\alpha}=f_{1 /(k+\alpha)}$.

Proof. By definition,

$$
f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha}(1) f_{\alpha}(2) \ldots f_{\alpha}(j) \ldots,
$$

where

$$
f_{\alpha}(j)=[(j+1) \alpha]-[j \alpha], j \geq 1
$$

and

$$
h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=D_{1} D_{2} \ldots D_{q} D_{q+1} \ldots
$$

where

$$
D_{j}=h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}(j)\right), j \geq 1
$$

Note that each block $D_{j}$ contains exactly one " 1 ", which is in the $k$ th position, and has length either $k$ or $k+1$.

Consider $h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ now as a sequence of 0 's and l's, and let $n$ be the position in this sequence of the $(q+1)^{\text {st " }} 1$ ", that is, the 1 in the block $D_{q+1}$. Then

$$
n=L\left(D_{1} D_{2} \ldots D_{q}\right)+k
$$

where $L\left(D_{1} D_{2} \ldots D_{q}\right)$ denotes the length of $D_{1} D_{2} \ldots D_{q}$.
Since the block $D_{j}$ has length $k$ if $f_{\alpha}(j)=0$ and has length $k+1$ if $f_{\alpha}(j)=1$, it follows that

$$
L\left(D_{1} D_{2} \ldots D_{q}\right)=q k+f_{\alpha}(1)+\cdots+f_{\alpha}(q) .
$$

Since $f_{\alpha}(j)=[(j+1) \alpha]-[j \alpha]$, and $[\alpha]=0$, the sum telescopes to

$$
L\left(D_{1} D_{2} \ldots D_{q}\right)=q k+[(q+1) \alpha] .
$$

Thus $n$, the position of the $(q+1)^{\text {st } " 1 " ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e q u e n c e ~} h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$, satisfies

$$
n=q k+[(q+1) \alpha]+k=[(q+1)(k+\alpha)] .
$$

Thus $\left[h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)(n)=1\right] \Leftrightarrow[n=[(q+1)(k+\alpha)]$. for some $q \geq 0] \Leftrightarrow\left[g_{k+\alpha}(n)=1\right]$. That is, $h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=g_{k+\alpha}$.

Using Lemma 1, this gives

$$
h_{k}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=g_{k+\alpha}=f_{1 /(k+\alpha)}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha=\left[0, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}}\right]$. Then $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under the substitution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow B_{1}=h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}(0) \\
& 1 \rightarrow B_{2}=h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\circ$ denotes composition.
Proof. By Lemma 2, we have $h_{a_{m}}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{1 /\left(a_{m}+\alpha\right)}=f_{\left[0, a_{m}+\alpha\right]}, h_{a_{m-1}} \circ h_{a_{m}}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=$ $f_{1 /\left(a_{m-1}+\left[0, a_{m}+\alpha\right]\right)}=f_{\left[0, a_{m-1}, a_{m}+\alpha\right]}, \ldots, h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\beta}$, where $\beta=\left[0, a_{1}\right.$, $\left.a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}+\alpha\right]=\alpha$.

REMARK. The blocks $B_{1}=h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}(0)$ and $B_{2}=h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}(1)$ can be described as follows. Let $S_{0}=0, S_{1}=0^{a_{1}-1} 1$, and for $2 \leq j \leq m$, let $S_{j}=\left(S_{j-1}\right)^{a_{j}} S_{j-2}$. Then $B_{1}=S_{m}$, and $B_{2}=S_{m} S_{m-1}$. This can be seen by induction on $m$.

COROLLARY. For any block w of O's and 1 's, let $H(w)$ be obtained from $w$ by replacing each 0 by $B_{1}$, and each 1 by $B_{2}$. (That is, $H=h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}$.) Then $f_{\alpha}$ can be generated by starting with $w=f_{\alpha}(1)$ and repeatedly replacing $w$ by $H(w)$.

Proof. Let $E_{1}=f_{\alpha}(1)$ and for $k \geq 1$ let $E_{k+1}=H\left(E_{k}\right)$. Then by the Theorem and induction, each $E_{k}$ is an initial segment of $f_{\alpha}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\beta>0$ be any quadratic irrational. Since $f_{\beta}=f_{\beta-[\beta]}$, assume without loss of generality that $0<\beta<1$, so that (for suitable $a_{i}, b_{j}$ ) $\beta=\left[0, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}\right.$, $\left.\overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}}\right]$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =h_{b_{1}} \circ h_{b_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{b_{q}}(0) \\
t & =h_{b_{1}} \circ h_{b_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{b_{q}}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=h_{b_{1}} \circ h_{b_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{b_{q}} \circ h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}(0) \\
& C_{2}=h_{b_{1}} \circ h_{b_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{b_{q}} \circ h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f_{\beta}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ can be written as sequences of s's and $t$ 's, and $f_{\beta}$ is invariant under the substitutions $\rightarrow C_{1}, t \rightarrow C_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=\left[0, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}}\right]$, so that $\beta=\left[0, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{q}+\alpha\right]$. Let $H_{1}=$ $h_{b_{1}} \circ h_{b_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{b_{q}}, H_{2}=h_{a_{1}} \circ h_{a_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{a_{m}}, B_{1}=H_{2}(0), B_{2}=H_{2}(1)$. Then we have $s=H_{1}(0), t=H_{1}(1)$, and $C_{1}=H_{1}\left(B_{1}\right), C_{2}=H_{1}\left(B_{2}\right)$, so that $C_{1}, C_{2}$ can be written as blocks of $s$ 's and $t$ 's.

By the proof of Theorem 1, $H_{1}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\beta}$, so that $f_{\beta}$ can be written as a sequence of $s$ 's and $t$ 's, and $H_{2}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\alpha}$. Note that $H_{1}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\beta}$ is obtained from $f_{\alpha}$ by applying the substitution $0 \rightarrow s, 1 \rightarrow t$, and $H_{2}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\alpha}$ is obtained by applying the substitution $0 \rightarrow B_{1}, 1 \rightarrow B_{2}$.

Therefore we can transform $f_{\beta}$ into $f_{\beta}$ by successively applying the substitutions $[s \rightarrow$ $0, t \rightarrow 1],\left[0 \rightarrow B_{1}, 1 \rightarrow B_{2}\right]$, and $\left[B_{1} \rightarrow C_{1}, B_{2} \rightarrow C_{2}\right]$, which transform $f_{\beta}$ into $f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}$ into $f_{\alpha}$, and $f_{\alpha}$ into $f_{\beta}$ respectively.

Theorem 3. Let $\beta=[0,5,1,1,1, \ldots]$. Then there do not exist non-trivial blocks $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of 0 's and l's such that $f_{\beta}$ is invariant under the substitution $0 \rightarrow B_{1}, 1 \rightarrow B_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=[0,1,1, \ldots]=(\sqrt{5}-1) / 2$. Then $f_{\alpha}(1)=1$, and by Theorem 1 , $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under $0 \rightarrow 1,1 \rightarrow 10$, so $f_{\alpha}=10110 \ldots$ By the proof of Theorem 1, $h_{5}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\beta}$, so $f_{\beta}=t s t t s \ldots$, where $s=00001, t=000010$. Thus

$$
\begin{array}{rlrllllllll}
f_{\beta} & =000010 & 00001 & 000010 & 000010 & 00001 & 000010 & 00001 & 000010 & \ldots \\
& = & t & s & t & t & s & t & s & t & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

It was shown by Karhumaki [4] that the sequence $f_{\alpha}=10110 \ldots$ does not contain any $4^{\text {th }}$ power. That is, $f_{\alpha}$ contains no non-empty block of the form $D D D D$. (See also [2] and [6].) Thus also the sequence $f_{\beta}=t$ tststst $\ldots$, when regarded as a sequence of s's and $t$ 's, contains no non-empty block of the form $D D D D$, where $D$ is a block of s's and $t$ 's.

Now suppose that $f_{\beta}$ is invariant under $0 \rightarrow B_{1}, 1 \rightarrow B_{2}$, and for any block or sequence $w$ of 0 's and 1 's, let $H(w)$ be the result of applying this substitution to $w$. Note that $f_{\beta}=$ $H\left(f_{\beta}\right)=B_{1} B_{1} B_{1} B_{1} B_{2} \ldots$, so that $B_{1}$ is some initial segment of $f_{\beta}$.

Our goal is to show that $B_{1}$ can be written as a block of $s$ 's and $t$ 's, which form an initial segment of $f_{\beta}$, when $f_{\beta}$ is regarded as a sequence of s's and t's. Since $f_{\beta}=B_{1} B_{1} B_{1} B_{1} \ldots$, this will contradict Karhumaki's result, and the proof will be finished.

To this end, first note that the block $B_{1}$ must contain at least one " 1, " since otherwise, by the Corollary to Theorem $1, f_{\beta}$ would be identically 0 . Note also that $f_{\beta}$ consists of blocks of either 4 or 5 consecutive 0 's separated by single 1 's. This implies that the block $B_{1}$ ends either with 1 or with 10 , since otherwise $f_{\beta}=H\left(f_{\beta}\right)=B_{1} B_{1} \ldots$ would contain a block of more than five consecutive 0 's. (For example if $B_{1}=C 100$, then since $C=00001 D$ (which is true since $B_{1}$ is an initial segment of $f_{\beta}=000010 \ldots$ ), we would have

$$
f_{\beta}=H\left(f_{\beta}\right)=B_{1} B_{1} \ldots=C 100 C 100 \ldots=C 10000001 D 100 \ldots,
$$

with too many consecutive 0 's.)
Suppose now that $B_{1}$ fails to be an initial segment of $s$ 's and $t$ 's in $f_{\beta}$ (when $f_{\beta}$ is regarded as a sequence of $s$ 's and $t$ 's).

If $B_{1}$ ends in 0 , then $B 1=C s 0$, where $C s$ is an initial segment of $s$ 's and $t$ 's in $f_{\beta}$ (when $f_{\beta}$ is regarded as a sequence of $s$ 's and $t$ 's). Note that $C=00001 D$. Then since $C s$ is an initial segment of $f_{\beta}$, we have $f_{\beta}=C s \underline{00001} \ldots$, but also we have

$$
f_{\beta}=H\left(f_{\beta}\right)=B_{1} B_{1} \ldots=C s 0 C s 0 \ldots=C s \underline{000001 D s 0 \ldots,}
$$

a contradiction.
If $B_{1}$ ends in 1 , then $B_{1}=C 00001$, where $C t$ is an initial segment of $s ' s$ and $t$ 's in $f_{\beta}$ (when $f_{\beta}$ is regarded as a sequence of $s$ 's and $t$ 's). Note again that $C=00001 D$. Then since $C t$ is an initial segment of $f_{\beta}$, we have $f_{\beta}=C t \ldots=C 00001000001 \ldots$, but also we have

$$
f_{\beta}=H\left(f_{\beta}\right)=B_{1} B_{1} \ldots=C 00001 C 00001 \ldots=C 00001 \underline{00001 D 00001 \ldots,}
$$

a contradiction.
Thus we have shown that if $f_{\beta}$ is invariant under a substitution $0 \rightarrow B_{1}, 1 \rightarrow B_{2}$, then $B_{1}$ can be written as a block of $s$ 's and $t$ 's, which form an initial segment of $f_{\beta}$, when $f_{\beta}$ is regarded as a sequence of s's and $t$ 's. This gives the desired contradiction to Karhumaki's result, and completes the proof.

THEOREM 4. Let $\alpha$ be a positive irrational real number, and let $f_{\alpha}$ be written as a sequence on $s, t$, where $s$, t are blocks of 0's and l's. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be blocks of s's and t's such that $f_{\alpha}$ is invariant under the non-trivial substitution $s \rightarrow C_{1}, t \rightarrow C_{2}$. Then $\alpha$ is a quadratic irrational.

Proof. First consider the case where $0<\alpha<1$ and $s=0, t=1$. Suppose that $C_{1}$ contains $a 0$ 's and $b 1$ 's, and that $C_{2}$ contains $c 0$ 's and $d 1$ 's.

For any block $w$ of 0 's and 1's, let $H(w)$ denote the word obtained from $w$ by replacing each 0 by $C_{1}$ and each 1 by $C_{2}$. Let $E_{1}=H\left(f_{\alpha}(1)\right)$ and for $p \geq 1$ let $E_{p+1}=H\left(E_{p}\right)$. Let $e_{p}$ denote the number of 1 's which occur in the block $E_{p}$. Since the number of 1's which occur in the block $f_{\alpha}(1) f_{\alpha}(2) \ldots f_{\alpha}(n)$ is $f_{\alpha}(1)+f_{\alpha}(2)+\cdots+f_{\alpha}(n)$, which equals
$[(n+1) \alpha]$, we have $e_{p}=[(L(E p)+1) \alpha]$. Also, $e_{p+1}=e_{p} d+\left(L\left(E_{p}\right)-e_{p}\right) b$, and $L\left(E_{p+1}\right)=$ $e_{p}(c+d)+\left(L\left(E_{p}\right)-e_{p}\right)(a+b)$, so that

$$
\frac{e_{p+1}}{L\left(E_{p+1}\right)}=\frac{\frac{e_{p} d}{L\left(E_{p}\right)}+\left(1-\frac{e_{p}}{L(E p)}\right) b}{\frac{e_{p}(c+d)}{L\left(E_{p}\right)}+\left(1-\frac{e_{p}}{L\left(E_{p}\right)}\right)(a+b)} .
$$

Taking the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\alpha=\frac{\alpha d+(1-\alpha) b}{\alpha(c+d)+(1-\alpha)(a+b)},
$$

so that $\alpha$ is a quadratic irrational.
The general case can be handled similarly. We omit the details.
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