

# ON THE TRIAD EXCISION THEOREM OF BLAKERS AND MASSEY

SHÔRÔ ARAKI

The purpose of the present paper is to give a new proof to the triad excision theorem of Blakers and Massey [1], in case  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ , by the aid of path spaces and in connection with a recent work of J. P. Serre [2].

**1. Preliminary.** Let  $X, A, B$  be topological spaces such that  $X \supset A, B$ . By  $\mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$  we denote the totality of paths in  $X$  which start  $A$  and terminate in  $B$ ; an element  $(\sigma, I) \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$  is represented by a continuous map  $\sigma: I \rightarrow X$  of the closed unit interval  $I$  into  $X$  such that  $\sigma(0) \in A$  and  $\sigma(1) \in B$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$  is topologized by the compact open topology.

Let  $p_s$  be the projection of  $\mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$  to  $A$  such that for  $(\sigma, I) \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$   $p_s(\sigma, I) = \sigma(0)$ , and let  $p_t: \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X) \rightarrow B$  be the projection such that  $p_t(\sigma, I) = \sigma(1)$  for  $(\sigma, I) \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$ .

In the sequel, it is assumed that for a triad  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  and for spaces of paths such as  $\mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)$ , and so on,  $X, A, B, A \cap B$ , and spaces of paths are all arcwise connected, and that a reference point of any spaces of paths used, is taken to be an element represented by a constant map  $e: I \rightarrow x_0$ .

The following relations are obvious:

- (a)  $\pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{x_0, x_0}(X), e) \approx \pi_i(X, x_0)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ ,
- (b)  $\pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), e) \approx \pi_i(X, A, x_0)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ ,
- (c)  $A$  is a deformation-retract of  $\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X)$ ,
- (d)  $\pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A), e) \approx \pi_i(X; A, B, x_0)$  for all  $i \geq 2$

where  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  is a triad.

The above isomorphisms (a), (b) and (d) are referred to as *canonical isomorphisms*.

Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of topological spaces, i.e.,  $X \supset A$ . Suppose that  $X$  is  $p$ -connected for  $p \geq 1$  and  $(X, A, x_0)$  is  $q$ -connected for  $q \geq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)$  is  $(q-1)$ -connected.  $(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X), p_t, X)$  has a fibred structure in the sense of J. P. Serre, the fibre of which is  $\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)$ . Considering this fibre space, we have the following exact homology sequence with respect to integer coefficients, following J. P. Serre, [2] Chap. III. prop. 5 p. 468;

Received March 17, 1953.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)) \xrightarrow{h^*} H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A, X}(X)) \xrightarrow{\hat{p}_i^*} H_{p+q}(X) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} H_{p+q-1}(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)) \rightarrow \dots \\
 \dots \rightarrow H_1(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)) \rightarrow H_1(\Omega_{A, X}(X)) \rightarrow H_1(X) \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma^*$  is transgression.

Now, we define homomorphisms

$$c_k^* : H_k(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X) ; G) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(X, A ; G) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1$$

by constructing chain maps, where  $G$  is an arbitrary coefficient group. For this we use singular cubical homology groups as homology groups defined by J. P. Serre, [2] p. 440.

Let  $(u^k, \varphi)$  be a singular cube of  $\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)$ , then  $\varphi$  defines a map

$$\bar{\varphi} : I \times u^k \rightarrow X,$$

which gives a singular cube  $(I \times u^k, \bar{\varphi})$  of  $X$ . By the correspondence

$$c_k : (u^k, \varphi) \rightarrow (I \times u^k, \bar{\varphi})$$

and by linearity we get a chain homomorphism

$$c_k : C_k(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(X).$$

From the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 d \circ c(u^k, \varphi) &= d(I \times u^k, \bar{\varphi}) \\
 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} I \times (\lambda_i^0 u^k - \lambda_i^1 u^k) - 0 \times u^k + 1 \times u^k, \bar{\varphi} \right) \\
 &= - (I \times du^k, \bar{\varphi}) - (0 \times u^k, \bar{\varphi}) + (1 \times u^k, \bar{\varphi}) \\
 &= -c \circ d(u^k, \varphi) - (0 \times u^k, \bar{\varphi})
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(1 \times u^k, \bar{\varphi})$  is a degenerate cube and  $\bar{\varphi}(0 \times u^k) \subset A$ , and from the fact that if  $(u^k, \varphi)$  is degenerate cube,  $(I \times u^k, \bar{\varphi})$  is also degenerated, it is concluded that  $c_k$  induces the following homomorphism

$$c_k^* : H_k(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X) ; G) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(X, A ; G).$$

LEMMA 1. *Let  $(X, x_0)$  be  $p$ -connected for  $p \geq 1$ , and let  $(X, A, x_0)$  be  $q$ -connected for  $q \geq 1$ . Then*

- i)  $c_k^*$  are isomorphisms onto for  $k \leq p + q - 1$ ,
- ii)  $c_{p+q}^*$  is a homomorphism onto.

*Proof.* We consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)) & \xrightarrow{h^*} & H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A, X}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\hat{p}_i^*} & H_{p+q}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} & H_{p+q-1}(\Omega_{A, x_0}(X)) & \xrightarrow{h^*} & \dots \\
 \downarrow c_{p+q}^* & & \Downarrow \hat{p}_s^* & & \Downarrow c^* & & \downarrow c_{p+q-1}^* & & \\
 H_{p+q-1}(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & H_{p+q}(A) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_{p+q}(X) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{p+q}(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_1(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_1(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow c_1^* & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_2(X, A) & \longrightarrow & H_1(A) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Let

$$(u^{i+1}, \varphi) \in C_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X))$$

be given, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} i \circ p_s(u^{i+1}, \varphi) &= (0 \times u^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}) \in C_{i+1}(A) \subset C_{i+1}(X), \\ p_t(u^{i+1}, \varphi) &= (1 \times u^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}) \in C_{i+1}(X), \\ d(I \times u^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}) &= -(I \times du^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}) - (0 \times u^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}) \\ &\quad + (1 \times u^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves

$$i^* \circ p_s^* = i^* \circ p_t^* \tag{\alpha}$$

Next, given

$$(u^i, \varphi) \in C_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \circ c(u^i, \varphi) &= d(I \times u^i, \bar{\varphi}) \\ &= -c \circ d(u^i, \varphi) - (0 \times u^i, \bar{\varphi}) \\ &= -p_s \circ h(u^i, \varphi) - c \circ d(u^i, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the identity

$$\partial^* \circ c^* = -p_s^* \circ h^* \tag{\beta}$$

is established.

By J. P. Serre, [2] p. 469, we get the following equivalent homology sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow p_t^* \\ H_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_{i+1}(X) \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X)) & \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\ \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} & H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X)) & \end{array}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq p + q - 1$ , i.e., we have  $\Sigma^* = \partial^* \circ p_t^{*-1}$ .

We now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{i+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A,X}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) & & \\ \downarrow p_t^* & \searrow \partial^* & \\ H_{i+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} & H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A,x_0}(X)) \\ \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow c_i^* \\ & & H_{i+1}(X, A) \end{array}$$

Let

$$\sum_j (u_j^{i+1}, \varphi_j) \in Z_{i+1}(\Omega_{A,X}(X), \Omega_{A,x_0}(X))$$

be given, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p'_i(\sum_j (u_j^{i+1}, \varphi_j)) &= \sum_j (1 \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) \in Z_{i+1}(X), \\ \partial(\sum_j (u_j^{i+1}, \varphi_j)) &= \sum_j (du_j^{i+1}, \varphi_j) \in Z_i(\Omega_{A,x_0}(X)), \\ c \circ \partial(\sum_j (u_j^{i+1}, \varphi_j)) &= \sum_j (I \times du_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) \in Z_{i+1}(X, A). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following chain

$$\sum_j (I \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) \in C_{i+2}(X),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\sum_j (I \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j)) &= -\sum_j (I \times du_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) - \sum_j (0 \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) + \sum_j (1 \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) \\ &= -(c \circ \partial - p'_i)(\sum_j (u_j^{i+1}, \varphi_j)) - \sum_j (0 \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_j (0 \times u_j^{i+1}, \bar{\varphi}_j) \in C_{i+1}(A)$ . This proves

$$j^* \circ p'_i{}^* = c^* \circ \partial^*, \tag{\gamma}$$

so that

$$c^* \circ \sum^* = j^* \circ \iota^* \tag{\delta}$$

has been established.

( $\alpha$ ), ( $\beta$ ) and ( $\delta$ ) show that it holds some commutativity or anti-commutativity in each tetragon of the firstly mentioned diagram. As  $p_s^*$  is isomorphism onto by ( $c$ ) and as  $\iota^*$  is isomorphism onto induced by identity map, by using “five lemma,” we get the first conclusion of this lemma.

( $\alpha$ ), ( $\beta$ ) and ( $\gamma$ ) show that the following diagram is commutative or anti-commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{p+q+1}(\Omega_{A,X}(X), \Omega_{A,x_0}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A,x_0}(X)) \\ \downarrow p'_{i,p+q+1} & & \downarrow c_{p+q}^* \\ H_{p+q+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{p+q+1}(X, A) \\ \xrightarrow{h^*} H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A,X}(X)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{p+q}(\Omega_{A,X}(X), \Omega_{A,x_0}(X)) \\ & \Downarrow p'_{s,p+q} & \Downarrow p'_{i,p+q} \\ \xrightarrow{\partial^*} H_{p+q}(A) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_{p+q}(X). \end{array}$$

By J. P. Serre, [2] Chap. III prop. 5 cor. 1 p. 469, we have

( $\epsilon$ )  $p'_{i,p+q}$  is an isomorphism onto, and  $p'_{i,p+q+1}$  is a homomorphism onto.

Then, by using a “partial conclusion of five lemma,” we get the second con-

clusion of this lemma. (q.e.d.)

As a collorary of this lemma, we can easily prove the Hurewicz theorem in the relative case.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $(X, A, B, x_0)$  be a triple, then*

$$\pi_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), e) \approx \pi_i(A, B, x_0) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)) & \xrightarrow{j'} & \pi_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X)) \\ & & \Downarrow k_A & & \downarrow p_s & & \Downarrow k_B \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i+1}(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_i(A, B) & \xrightarrow{i} & \pi_i(X, B) \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{j'} & & \pi_{i-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)) \rightarrow \dots \\ & & & & \Downarrow k_A & & \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{j} & & \pi_i(X, A) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)), \\ & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_2(X, A) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, B) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, A), \end{array}$$

where the upper sequence is a homotopy sequence of the pair  $(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X))$  and the lower sequence is a homotopy sequence of the triple  $(X, A, B, x_0)$ .  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  are canonical isomorphisms and  $p_s$  denotes also the homomorphism induced by the projection  $p_s$ .

Firstly, we prove that  $(k_A, p_s, k_B)$  is a homomorphism of the sequences, i.e., that  $\partial \circ k_A = p_s \circ j'$ ,  $i \circ p_s = k_B \circ \partial'$ ,  $j \circ k_B = k_A \circ i'$ .

The identity  $j \circ k_B = k_A \circ i'$  is obvious.

Let  $\alpha \in \pi_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X))$  be given such that a map  $f : (E^i, \dot{E}^i) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), e)$  represents  $\alpha$ , then

$$k_A \circ f = \bar{f} : (E^i \times I, E^i \times 0, E^i \times 1 \cup \dot{E}^i \times I) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$$

is defined by  $f$  canonically. The map

$$\partial \circ k_A \circ f = \bar{f}|(E^i \times 0, \dot{E}^i \times 0) \rightarrow (A, x_0) \subset (A, B)$$

is identical to the map  $p_s \circ j' \circ f$ , which proves the identity

$$\partial \circ k_A = p_s \circ j'.$$

Secondly, if  $\beta \in \pi_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X))$  is represented by a map

$$g : (E^{i-1} \times I, E^{i-1} \times 0, E^{i-1} \times 1 \cup \dot{E}^{i-1} \times I) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), e),$$

$g$  defines canonically a map

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g} : (E^{i-1} \times I \times I', E^{i-1} \times I \times 0', E^{i-1} \times 0 \times 0', \\ E^{i-1} \times 1 \times I' \cup E^{i-1} \times I \times 1' \cup \dot{E}^{i-1} \times I \times I') \rightarrow (X, A, B, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $i \circ p_s \circ g$  and  $k_B \circ \partial' \circ g$  are the following restrictions of  $\bar{g}$  respectively :

$$\begin{aligned}
 i \circ p_s \circ g &= \bar{g}|(E^{i-1} \times I \times O', E^{i-1} \times O \times O', E^{i-1} \times 1 \times O' \cup \dot{E}^{i-1} \times I \times O') \\
 &\quad \longrightarrow (A, B, x_0) \subset (X, B, x_0), \\
 k_B \circ \partial' \circ g &= \bar{g}|(E^{i-1} \times O \times I', E^{i-1} \times O \times O', E^{i-1} \times O \times 1' \cup \dot{E}^{i-1} \times O \times I') \\
 &\quad \longrightarrow (X, B, x_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

A homotopy between two maps  $i \circ p_s \circ g$  and  $k_B \circ \partial' \circ g$  will be given in  $(E^{i-1} \times I \times I')$  as follows :

$$G_\theta(E^{i-1} \times I \times I') = \begin{cases} \bar{g}|(E^{i-1} \times t \times 2\theta t) & 0 \leq \theta \leq 1/2, \\ \bar{g}|(E^{i-1} \times (2 - 2\theta)t \times t) & 1/2 \leq \theta \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

This proves the identity

$$i \circ p_s = k_B \circ \partial'.$$

It follows that  $(k_A, p_s, k_B)$  is a homomorphism of the sequences. Since  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  are isomorphisms and since  $(k_A, p_s, k_B)$  is a homomorphism of the sequences it is concluded in virtue of "five lemma" that  $p_s$  also is isomorphism. (q.e.d.)

Let  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  be a triad, then  $(\mathcal{Q}_{X, x_0}(X); \mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), e)$  is also a triad, where  $\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X) \cap \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X) = \mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(X)$ . The following lemma can be proved easily by considering homotopy sequences of each triads and by the above lemma and by "five lemma."

LEMMA 3. *Let  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  be triad, then*

$$\pi_i(X; A, B, x_0) \approx \pi_i(\mathcal{Q}_{X, x_0}(X); \mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), e) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 2.$$

LEMMA 4. *Let  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  be a triad such that*

*$X = (Int A) \cup (Int B)$ , and let  $(A, A \cap B)$  be  $n$ -connected ( $n \geq 1$ ), then  $(X, B)$  is  $n$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \pi_n(X, B)$  be represented by a map

$$f : (E^m, E^{m-1}, J^{m-1}) \longrightarrow (X, B, x_0),$$

where  $m \leq n$ . If we put  $U = f^{-1}(Int A)$  and  $V = f^{-1}(Int B)$ , then  $\{U, V\}$  is an open covering of  $E^m$ .

We subdivide  $E^m$  simplicially such that the mesh of this subdivision is smaller than the Lebesgues number of  $\{U, V\}$ . Let  $K$  and  $L_1$  be maximal subcomplexes contained in  $U$  and  $V$  respectively. Let us put  $L = L_1 + E^{m-1} + J^{m-1}$  and  $M = K \cap L$ , then we have  $K \cup L = E^m$ . Let

$$g : (K, M) \longrightarrow (A, A \cap B)$$

be a restriction of  $f$ . As  $K$  is  $m$ -dimensional,  $m \leq n$ , and as  $(A, A \cap B)$  is  $n$ -connected,  $g$  is deformable into  $A \cap B$  relative to  $M$ . Denoting this deforma-

tion by  $g_t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= g, \\ g_t(K) &\subset A \cap B, \\ g_t|M &= g|M \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We define a deformation  $f_t$  of  $f$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_t|K &= g_t \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ f_t|L &= f|L \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a deformation of  $f$  into  $B$  relative to  $L$ , which establishes the lemma. (q.e.d.)

**2. Proof of the triad excision theorem of Blakers and Massey.**

Now we proceed to prove a theorem of A. L. Blakers and W. S. Massey, [1] p. 192, in case  $m, n \geq 2$ . The theorem is stated as follows.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  be a triad which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a)  $X = (Int A) \cup (Int B)$ ;
- (b)  $(A, A \cup B)$  is  $m$ -connected,  $m \geq 2$ , and  $(B, A \cap B)$  is  $n$ -connected,  $n \geq 2$ ;  
*then the triad  $(X; A, B)$  is  $(m + n)$ -connected.*

A triad with the condition (a) is said to be *proper* by a denomination of S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod, [3] p. 34. From Lemma 4  $(X, A)$  is  $n$ -connected,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $(X, B)$  is  $m$ -connected,  $m \geq 2$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{Q}_{X, x_0}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(X)$  are all arcwise connected. If  $(X; A, B, x_0)$  is proper, it is obvious that  $(\mathcal{Q}_{X, x_0}(X); \mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), e)$  is also a proper triad. Thus, from Lemma 3 it is sufficient for us to consider the triad  $(\mathcal{Q}_{X, x_0}(X); \mathcal{Q}_{A, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), e)$  instead of the given triad. As  $\mathcal{Q}_{X, x_0}(X)$  is contractible, it is sufficient to prove the theorem in a special case where  $X$  is contractible.

*Proof.* As  $(X, A)$  is  $n$ -connected from Lemma 4, and as  $X$  is contractible,  $A$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected. Thus, by Lemma 1 it is seen that

- (1)  $c_i^* : H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A); Z) \approx H_{i+1}(A, A \cap B; Z)$   
for  $0 < i \leq m + n - 2$ ,
- (2)  $c_{m+n-1}^* : H_{m+n-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A); Z) \rightarrow H_{m+n}(A, A \cap B; Z)$   
*is a homomorphism onto.*

As  $(X, B)$  is  $m$ -connected and  $X$  is contractible, we have, from the same Lemma 1,

- (3)  $c_i^* : H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X); Z) \approx H_{i+1}(X, B; Z) \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$

From (1), (3) and from the excision theorem in homology theory we have

$$(4) \quad l_i^* : H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A) ; Z) \approx H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X) ; Z) \\ \text{for } 0 < i \leq m + n - 2.$$

Next, we consider the following diagram. The commutativity of this diagram is easily seen :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{m+n-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A) ; Z) & \xrightarrow{l_{m+n-1}^*} & H_{m+n-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X) ; Z) \\ \downarrow c_{m+n-1}^* & & \Downarrow c_{m+n-1}^* \\ H_{m+n}(A, A \cap B ; Z) & \xrightarrow{e_{m+n}^*} & H_{m+n}(X, B ; Z) \end{array}$$

Since  $e_{m+n}^*$  is an excision isomorphism, and since  $c_{m+n-1}^*$  is an isomorphism by (3) and since  $c_{m+n-1}^*$  is a homomorphism onto by (2), we have

$$(5) \quad l_{m+n-1}^* : H_{m+n-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A) ; Z) \rightarrow H_{m+n-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X) ; Z) \\ \text{is a homomorphism onto.}$$

By (4) and (5), and by considering the homology sequence of the pair  $(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A))$  we can prove

$$(6) \quad H_i(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A) ; Z) \approx 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < i \leq m + n - 1.$$

From (6) and from the Hurewicz theorem in the relative case where  $\pi_1(\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X)) \approx 1, \pi_1(\mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A)) \approx 1, (\mathcal{Q}_{B, x_0}(X), \mathcal{Q}_{A \cap B, x_0}(A), e)$  is  $(m + n - 1)$ -connected. This is equivalent to the fact that  $(X ; A, B, x_0)$  is  $(m + n)$ -connected. (q.e.d.)

In an analogous way as above we can also prove the theorem corresponding to the case where  $m \geq 2, n = 1$ , and  $(A, A \cap B)$  is  $(m + 1)$ -simple. But it is unnecessarily too long for us to put down here the proof, so that it is omitted.

We can also prove quite analogously as above a generalization of the triad excision theorem, which has been announced by J. C. Moore [4].

REFERENCES

[1] A. L. Blakers and W. S. Massey: *The homotopy groups of a triad. II.* Ann. of Math., vol. 55 (1952), pp. 192-201.  
 [2] J. P. Serre: *Homologie singulière des espaces fibres.* Ann. of Math., vol. 54 (1951), pp. 425-505.  
 [3] S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod: *Foundations of Algebraic Topology,* Princeton Univ. Press, 1952.  
 [4] J. C. Moore: Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 58 (1952), Abstract; No. 396.

*Mathematical Institute,  
Nagoya University*