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#### Abstract

We obtain partial improvement toward the pointwise convergence problem of Schrödinger solutions, in the general setting of fractal measure. In particular, we show that, for $n \geqslant 3, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} e^{i t \Delta} f(x)$ $=f(x)$ almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure for all $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ provided that $s>(n+1) / 2(n+2)$. The proof uses linear refined Strichartz estimates. We also prove a multilinear refined Strichartz using decoupling and multilinear Kakeya.
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## 1. Introduction

The solution to the free Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{cases}i u_{t}-\Delta u=0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.1}\\ u(x, 0)=f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

[^0]is given by
$$
e^{i t \Delta} f(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\left(x \cdot \xi+t|\xi|^{2}\right)} \widehat{f}(\xi) d \xi
$$

In [6], Carleson proposed the problem of identifying the optimal $s$ for which $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} e^{i t \Delta} f(x)=f(x)$ almost everywhere whenever $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and proved convergence for $s \geqslant 1 / 4$ when $n=1$. Dahlberg and Kenig [7] then showed that this result is sharp. The higher dimensional case has since been studied by several authors. In particular, almost everywhere convergence holds if $s>$ $1 / 2-1 /(4 n)$ when $n \geqslant 2(n=2$ due to Lee [13] and $n \geqslant 2$ due to Bourgain [3]). Recently Bourgain [4] gave counterexamples showing that convergence can fail if $s<n / 2(n+1)$. Since then, the first three authors [8] improved the sufficient condition when $n=2$ to the almost sharp $s>1 / 3$.

In this article, we obtain the following partial improvement in higher dimensions:

THEOREM 1.1. Let $n \geqslant 3$. For every $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $s>(n+1) / 2(n+2)$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} e^{i t \Delta} f(x)=f(x)$ almost everywhere.

A natural refinement of Carleson's problem was initiated by Sjögren and Sjölin [17]: determine the size of divergence set, in particular, consider

$$
\alpha_{n}(s):=\sup _{f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \operatorname{dim}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} e^{i t \Delta} f(x) \neq f(x)\right\},
$$

where dim stands for the Hausdorff dimension. Note that when $s>n / 2$ the solution is continuous and so $\alpha_{n}(s)=0$. Various counterexamples were constructed and in summary the previous results yield

$$
\alpha_{n}(s) \geqslant \begin{cases}n, & s<\frac{n}{2(n+1)} \quad \text { (Bourgain [4]) } \\ n+\frac{n}{n-1}-\frac{2(n+1) s}{n-1}, & \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \leqslant s<\frac{n+1}{8} \\ n+1-\frac{2(n+2) s}{n}, & \frac{n+1}{8} \leqslant s<\frac{n}{4} \quad \text { (Lucà-Rogers [16]) } \\ n-2 s, & \frac{n}{4} \leqslant s \leqslant \frac{n}{2} \quad \text { (Ž̌ubà-Rogerinić [18]). }\end{cases}
$$

And the previous best known upper bounds are
$\alpha_{n}(s) \leqslant \begin{cases}n+1-\left(2+\frac{2}{2 n-1}\right) s, & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 n}<s \leqslant 1-\frac{3}{2(n+1)} \\ & \text { (Lucà-Rogers [14]) } \\ n+1-\frac{1}{n+1}-2 s, & 1-\frac{3}{2(n+1)} \leqslant s<\frac{n}{4} \\ & \text { (Lucà-Rogers [14]) } \\ n-2 s, & \frac{n}{4} \leqslant s \leqslant \frac{n}{2} \\ \text { (Barceló-Bennett-Carbery-Rogers [1]). }\end{cases}$
The case $n=1$ has been solved completely. In higher dimensions, we improve Lucà-Rogers' result:

Theorem 1.2. Let $n \geqslant 3$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}(s) \leqslant n+1-\left(2+\frac{2}{n+1}\right) s, \quad \frac{n+1}{2(n+2)}<s<n / 4 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 1.3. Theorem 1.2 also holds when $n=2$ and that recovers the previous results of Lee [13], Bourgain [3] and Lucá-Rogers [14], by a different method. In [8], the almost sharp result $s>1 / 3$ is obtained in the setting of Lebesgue measure, and the sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate in [8] implies directly the following generalized improvement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}(s) \leqslant 3-3 s, \quad 1 / 3<s<1 / 2 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.2. By standard arguments, an upper bound for $\alpha_{n}(s)$ can be obtained from appropriate maximal estimates with respect to fractal measure (see for example [14]). More precisely,

Definition 1.4. Let $\alpha \in(0, n]$. We say that $\mu$ is $\alpha$-dimensional if it is a probability measure supported in the unit ball $B^{n}(0,1)$ and satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(B(x, r)) \leqslant C_{\mu} r^{\alpha}, \quad \forall r>0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.5 (Lucà-Rogers, [14, Lemma 7.1]). Let $\alpha>\alpha_{0} \geqslant n-2 s$ and suppose that

$$
\left\|\sup _{0<t<1}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{1}(d \mu)} \leqslant C_{\mu}\|f\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)},
$$

whenever $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mu$ is $\alpha$-dimensional. Then $\alpha_{n}(s) \leqslant \alpha_{0}$.

In view of Lemma 1.5, it suffices to prove the following Schrödinger maximal estimate with respect to fractal measure:

THEOREM 1.6. Let $n \geqslant 3$ and $s>(\alpha+1) / 2(n+2)+(n-\alpha) / 2$. Then

$$
\left\|\sup _{0<t<1}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{2}(d \mu)} \leqslant C_{\mu}\|f\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)},
$$

whenever $f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mu$ is $\alpha$-dimensional.
Denote $d \mu_{R}(x):=R^{\alpha} d \mu(x / R)$. We write $A \lesssim B$ if $A \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} R^{\varepsilon} B$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. By a localization argument (see [13, Lemma 2.3]), Littlewood-Paley decomposition and parabolic rescaling, Theorem 1.6 can be reduced to the following:

Theorem 1.7. Let $n \geqslant 3, \alpha \in(0, n]$ and $\mu$ be $\alpha$-dimensional. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{0<t<R}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(d \mu_{R}\right)} \lesssim R^{(\alpha+1) / 2(n+2)}\|f\|_{2}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $R \geqslant 1$ and $f$ has Fourier support in $A(1):=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|\xi| \sim 1\right\}$.
The key ingredient in our proof is linear refined Strichartz estimate. Linear and bilinear refined Strichartz were derived in [8] to solve the pointwise convergence problem in two dimensions. In [9], via polynomial partitioning developed in [11, 12] and linear and bilinear refined Strichartz, some new weighted restriction estimates were established, and as applications improved results were obtained for the Falconer distance set problem and the spherical average decay rates of the Fourier transform of fractal measures. In this article, we prove a multilinear refined Strichartz (see Theorem 4.2) using decoupling and multilinear Kakeya. The multilinear refined Strichartz may have its own interest. It is also interesting to think about how to exploit this estimate to further improve the weighted restriction and the Schrödinger maximal estimates in higher dimensions.

In Section 2, we recall wave packet decomposition briefly. We prove Theorem 1.7 in Section 3 using linear refined Strichartz estimate. In Section 4 we prove a multilinear refined Strichartz.

## 2. Wave packet decomposition

We use the same setup as in [8, 12], which we briefly recall. Let $f$ be a function with Fourier support in the unit ball $B^{n}(0,1)$. We break up $f$ into pieces
$f_{\theta, v}$ that are localized in both position and frequency. Cover $B^{n}(0,1)$ by finitely overlapping balls $\theta$ of radius $R^{-1 / 2}$ and cover $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by finitely overlapping balls of radius $R^{(1+\delta) / 2}$, centered at $v \in R^{(1+\delta) / 2} \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Here $\delta=\varepsilon^{2}$ is a small parameter. Using partition of unity, we have a decomposition

$$
f=\sum_{(\theta, v) \in \mathbb{T}} f_{\theta, v}+\operatorname{RapDec}(R)\|f\|_{2},
$$

where $f_{\theta, v}$ is Fourier supported in $\theta$ and has physical support essentially in a ball of radius $R^{1 / 2+\delta}$ around $\nu$. The functions $f_{\theta, v}$ are approximately orthogonal. For any set $\mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ of pairs $(\theta, \nu)$, we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{(\theta, v) \in \mathbb{T}^{\prime}} f_{\theta, v}\right\|_{2}^{2} \sim \sum_{(\theta, v) \in \mathbb{T}^{\prime}}\left\|f_{\theta, v}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

For each pair $(\theta, \nu)$, the restriction of $e^{i t \Delta} f_{\theta, v}$ to $B_{R}^{n+1}$ is essentially supported on a tube $T_{\theta, \nu}$ with radius $R^{1 / 2+\delta}$ and length $R$, with direction $G(\theta) \in S^{n}$ determined by $\theta$ and location determined by $\nu$, more precisely,

$$
T_{\theta, v}:=\left\{(x, t) \in B_{R}^{n+1}:\left|x+2 t \omega_{\theta}-v\right| \leqslant R^{1 / 2+\delta}\right\} .
$$

Here $\omega_{\theta} \in B^{n}(0,1)$ is the center of $\theta$, and

$$
G(\theta)=\frac{\left(-2 \omega_{\theta}, 1\right)}{\left|\left(-2 \omega_{\theta}, 1\right)\right|}
$$

In our discussion of refined Strichartz estimates, we will use the concept of a wave packet being tangent to an algebraic variety. Let $m$ be a dimension in the range $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1$. We write $Z\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1-m}\right)$ for the set of common zeros of the polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1-m}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The variety $Z\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1-m}\right)$ is a transverse complete intersection if

$$
\nabla P_{1}(x) \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla P_{n+1-m}(x) \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } x \in Z\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1-m}\right)
$$

Suppose that $Z$ is an algebraic variety. For any tile $(\theta, v) \in \mathbb{T}$, we say that $T_{\theta, v}$ is $E R^{-1 / 2}$-tangent to $Z$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\theta, \nu} \subset N_{E R^{1 / 2}} Z \cap B_{R}^{n+1}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \text { Angle }\left(G(\theta), T_{z} Z\right) \leqslant E R^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any nonsingular point $z \in N_{2 E R^{1 / 2}}\left(T_{\theta, v}\right) \cap 2 B_{R}^{n+1} \cap Z$.
Let

$$
\mathbb{T}_{Z}(E):=\left\{(\theta, v) \mid T_{\theta, v} \text { is } E R^{-1 / 2} \text {-tangent to } Z\right\}
$$



Figure 1. $\sim \sigma$ many cubes in a horizontal slab.
and we say that $f$ is concentrated in wave packets from $\mathbb{T}_{Z}(E)$ if

$$
\sum_{(\theta, v) \notin \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{Z}}(E)}\left\|f_{\theta, v}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \operatorname{RapDec}(R)\|f\|_{2} .
$$

Since the radius of $T_{\theta, \nu}$ is $R^{1 / 2+\delta}, R^{\delta}$ is the smallest interesting value of $E$.

## 3. Linear refined Strichartz and proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7 using linear refined Strichartz estimates developed in [8].

Theorem 3.1 (Linear refined Strichartz in dimension $n+1$ ). Let $p_{n+1}=$ $2(n+2) / n$. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has frequency supported in $B^{n}(0,1)$. Suppose that $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots$ are lattice $R^{1 / 2}$-cubes in $B_{R}^{n+1}$, so that

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \quad \text { is essentially constant in } j .
$$

Suppose that these cubes are arranged in horizontal slabs of the form $\mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times\left\{t_{0}, t_{0}+R^{1 / 2}\right\}$, and that each such slab contains $\sim \sigma$ cubes $Q_{j}$ (see Figure 1). Let $Y$ denote $\bigcup_{j} Q_{j}$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} R^{\varepsilon} \sigma^{-1 /(n+2)}\|f\|_{L^{2}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 was proved in [8] in dimension 2, using Bourgain-Demeter $l^{2}$ decoupling theorem [5] and induction on scales. The proof of Theorem 3.1 in higher dimensions is similar and we will present the proof in Section 4.

It follows from the Strichartz inequality that $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}}$. We get an improvement when $\sigma$ is large. The condition that $\sigma$ is large forces the solution $e^{i t \Delta} f$ to be spread out in space.

This linear refined Strichartz estimate is sharp. To see this, consider the following example. Suppose that $e^{i t \Delta} f$ is a sum of $\sigma$ wave packets supported on disjoint $R^{1 / 2} \times \cdots \times R^{1 / 2} \times R$-tubes. We can take $Y$ to be the union of these tubes. By scaling, we can suppose that $\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right| \sim 1$ on these $\sigma$ tubes and negligibly small elsewhere, and then a direct calculation shows that $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \sim$ $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(B_{R}^{n+1}\right)}} \sim \sigma^{-1 /(n+2)}\|f\|_{L^{2}}$. So Theorem 3.1 roughly says that if $e^{i t \Delta} f$ is 'as spread out as' $\sigma$ disjoint wave packets, then its $L^{p_{n+1}}$ norm cannot be much bigger than the $L^{p_{n+1}}$ norm of $\sigma$ disjoint wave packets.

Now we prove Theorem 1.7 using linear refined Strichartz estimate:
Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $n \geqslant 3, \alpha \in(0, n]$ and $\mu$ be $\alpha$-dimensional. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{0<t<R}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(d \mu_{R}\right)} \lesssim R^{(\alpha+1) / 2(n+2)}\|f\|_{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $R \geqslant 1$ and all $f$ with Fourier support in $A(1):=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|\xi| \sim 1\right\}$.
Without loss of generality we assume that $\|f\|_{2}=1$. Let $H$ be a dyadic number and denote

$$
A_{H}:=\left\{x \in B_{R}^{n}: \sup _{0<t<R}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right| \sim H\right\} .
$$

Note that we have a trivial bound $H \lesssim 1$ by Hölder's inequality. We also can assume that $R^{-C}<H$ for a large constant $C$, since the contributions from those $A_{H}$ with $H \leqslant R^{-C}$ are negligible. Therefore there are only $\sim \log R$ many relevant $H$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{0<t<R}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(d \mu_{R}\right)} \lesssim H\left(\int_{A_{H}} d \mu_{R}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \text { for some dyadic } H \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By viewing $\left|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right|$ essentially as constant on unit balls, we can cover $A_{H}$ by projection of a set $X$ described as follows: $X$ is a union of unit balls in $B_{R}^{n} \times[0, R]$ satisfying that each vertical thin tube of dimensions $1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times R$ contains at most one unit ball in $X$, and

$$
\left|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right| \sim H \quad \text { on } X
$$

Next we decompose $B_{R}^{n} \times[0, R]$ into $R^{1 / 2}$-cubes $Q_{j}$ and consider those $Q_{j}$ 's which intersect $X$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda, \gamma, \sigma}$ denote the collection of those $Q_{j}$ 's such that

- $Q_{j}$ contains $\sim \lambda$ unit balls in $X$;
- $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \sim \gamma$;
- the horizontal $R^{1 / 2}$-slab containing $Q_{j}$ contains $\sim \sigma R^{1 / 2}$-cubes satisfying the above two conditions.

Define $Y_{\lambda, \gamma, \sigma}:=\bigcup_{Q_{j} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\lambda, \gamma, \sigma}} Q_{j}$. Note that we can assume

$$
1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant R^{n / 2}, \quad R^{-C} \leqslant \gamma \leqslant R^{C}, \quad 1 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant R^{n / 2}
$$

where $C$ is a large constant. Therefore there are only $\sim(\log R)^{3}$ many relevant dyadic ( $\lambda, \gamma, \sigma$ ) and by (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{0<t \leqslant R}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(d \mu_{R}\right)} \lesssim H\left(\int_{A_{H} \cap \operatorname{Proj}(Y)} d \mu_{R}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y=Y_{\lambda, \gamma, \sigma}$ for some $(\lambda, \gamma, \sigma)$. Denote $Y:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} Q_{j}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \lesssim R^{1 / 2} \sigma . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right|$ is essentially constant on unit balls, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\int_{A_{H} \cap \operatorname{Proj}(Y)} d \mu_{R}(x)\right)^{1 / p_{n+1}} \lesssim\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y, d x d t)}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$
\left\|\sup _{0<t \leqslant R}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f\right|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(d \mu_{R}\right)} \lesssim\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}}\left(\int_{A_{H} \cap \operatorname{Proj}(Y)} d \mu_{R}(x)\right)^{1 /(n+2)},
$$

and by Theorem 3.1, (3.5) and the assumption that $\mu$ is $\alpha$-dimensional, this is further controlled by

$$
\lesssim \sigma^{-1 /(n+2)}\left(N R^{\alpha / 2}\right)^{1 /(n+2)} \lesssim \sigma^{-1 /(n+2)}\left(\sigma R^{1 / 2} R^{\alpha / 2}\right)^{1 /(n+2)}=R^{(\alpha+1) / 2(n+2)}
$$

as desired.

## 4. Multilinear refined Strichartz estimate

DEFINITION 4.1. We say functions $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, have frequencies $k$-transversely supported in $B^{n}(0,1)$, if for any points $\xi_{i} \in \operatorname{supp} \widehat{f}_{i} \subset$ $B^{n}(0,1)$,

$$
\left|G\left(\xi_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge G\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| \geqslant c>0
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant, and $G(\xi):=(-2 \xi, 1) /|(-2 \xi, 1)| \in S^{n}$.
Theorem 4.2 ( $k$-linear refined Strichartz in dimension $n+1$ ). Let $p_{n+1}=$ $2(n+2) / n$ and $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n+1$. Suppose that $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, have
frequencies $k$-transversely supported in $B^{n}(0,1)$. Suppose that $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{N}$ are lattice $R^{1 / 2}$-cubes in $B_{R}^{n+1}$, so that
$\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)}$ is essentially constant in $j, \quad$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Let $Y$ denote $\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} Q_{j}$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right|^{1 / k}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} R^{\varepsilon} N^{-(k-1) / k(n+2)} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{2}^{1 / k} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2 was proved in [8] for the case $k=2$ in dimension 2 . We will first present the proof of the linear refined Strichartz in Section 4.1. And then in Section 4.2 we prove Theorem 4.2, by combining the proof of the linear case with a geometric estimate derived from Multilinear Kakeya.
4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof uses the Bourgain-Demeter $l^{2}$ decoupling theorem, together with induction on the radius and parabolic rescaling. First we recall the decoupling result of Bourgain and Demeter in [5].

THEOREM 4.3 (Bourgain-Demeter). Let $m \geqslant 2$ and $p_{m}:=2(m+1) /(m-1)$. Suppose that the $R^{-1}$-neighborhood of the unit paraboloid in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is divided into $R^{(m-1) / 2}$ disjoint rectangular boxes $\tau$, each with dimensions $R^{-1 / 2} \times \cdots \times R^{-1 / 2} \times$ $R^{-1}$. Suppose $\widehat{F}_{\tau}$ is supported in $\tau$ and $F=\sum_{\tau} F_{\tau}$. Then

$$
\|F\|_{L^{p_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}} \lesssim\left(\sum_{\tau}\left\|F_{\tau}\right\|_{L^{p_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

To set up the argument, we decompose $f$ as follows. We break the unit ball $B^{n}(0,1)$ in frequency space into small balls $\tau$ of radius $R^{-1 / 4}$, and divide the physical space ball $B_{R}^{n}$ into balls $B$ of radius $R^{3 / 4}$. For each pair ( $\tau, B$ ), we let $f_{\square_{\tau, B}}$ be the function formed by cutting off $f$ on the ball $B$ (with a Schwartz tail) in physical space and the ball $\tau$ in Fourier space. We note that $e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square_{\tau, B}}$, restricted to $B_{R}^{n+1}$, is essentially supported on an $R^{3 / 4} \times \cdots \times R^{3 / 4} \times R$-box, which we denote by $\square_{\tau, B}$. The box $\square_{\tau, B}$ is in the direction given by $(-2 c(\tau), 1)$ and intersects $t=0$ at a disk centered at $(c(B), 0)$, where $c(\tau)$ and $c(B)$ are the centers of $\tau$ and $B$, respectively. For a fixed $\tau$, the different boxes $\square_{\tau, B}$ tile $B_{R}^{n+1}$. In particular, for each $\tau$, a given cube $Q_{j}$ lies in exactly one box $\square_{\tau, B}$. Therefore, the decoupling theorem tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(Q)} \lesssim\left(\sum_{\square}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(Q)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second ingredient is induction on the radius. Using parabolic rescaling and induction on the radius, we get a version of our main inequality for each function $f_{\square}$. It goes as follows:

Suppose that $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots$ are $R^{1 / 2} \times \cdots \times R^{1 / 2} \times R^{3 / 4}$-tubes in $\square$ (running parallel to the long axis of $\square$ ), and that

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(S_{j}\right)} \quad \text { is essentially constant in } j .
$$

Suppose that these tubes are arranged into $R^{3 / 4}$-slabs running parallel to the short axes of $\square$ and that each such slab contains $\sim \sigma_{\square}$ tubes $S_{j}$. Let $Y_{\square}$ denote $\bigcup_{j} S_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Y_{\square}\right)}} \lesssim R^{\varepsilon / 2} \sigma_{\square}^{-1 /(n+2)}\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply this inequality, we need to identify a good choice of $Y_{\square}$. We do this by some dyadic pigeonholing. For each $\square$, we apply the following algorithm to regroup tubes in $\square$ :
(1) We sort those $R^{1 / 2} \times \cdots \times R^{1 / 2} \times R^{3 / 4}$-tubes $S$ contained in the box according to the order of magnitude of $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(S)}}$, which we denote $\lambda$. For each dyadic number $\lambda$, we use $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}$ to stand for the collection of tubes $S \subset \square$ with $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(S)}} \sim \lambda$.
(2) For each $\lambda$, we sort the tubes $S \in \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}$ by looking at the number of such tubes in an $R^{3 / 4}$-slab. For any dyadic number $\eta$, we let $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \eta}$ be the set of tubes $S \in \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}$ so that the number of tubes of $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}$ in the $R^{3 / 4}$-slab containing $S$ is $\sim \eta$.

We let $Y_{\square, \lambda, \eta}$ be the union of the tubes in $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \eta}$. Then we represent

$$
e^{i t \Delta} f=\sum_{\lambda, \eta}\left(\sum_{\square} e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square} \cdot \chi_{Y_{\square, \lambda, n}}\right) .
$$

Since there are $\lesssim \log R$ choices for each of $\lambda, \eta$, we can choose $\lambda, \eta$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \lesssim(\log R)^{2}\left\|\sum_{\square} e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square} \cdot \chi_{Y_{\square}, \lambda, n}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for a fraction $\approx 1$ of all cubes $Q_{j}$ in $Y$. We need this uniform choice of $(\lambda, \eta)$, which is independent of $Q_{j}$, because later we will sum over all $Q_{j}$ and arrive at $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Y_{\square,, i, n}\right)}}$.

We fix $\lambda$ and $\eta$ for the rest of the proof. Let $Y_{\square}$ stand for the abbreviation of $Y_{\square, \lambda, \eta}$. We note that $Y_{\square}$ obeys the hypotheses for our inductive estimate (4.3), with $\sigma_{\square}$ being the value of $\eta$ that we have fixed.

The following geometric estimate will play a crucial role in our proof. Each set $Y_{\square}$ contains $\lesssim \sigma_{\square}$ tubes in each slab parallel to the short axes of $\square$. Since the angle between the short axes of $\square$ and the $x$-axes is bounded away from $\pi / 2$, it follows that $Y_{\square}$ contains $\lesssim \sigma_{\square}$ cubes $Q_{j}$ in any $R^{1 / 2}$-horizontal row. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Y_{\square} \cap Y\right| \lesssim \frac{\sigma_{\square}}{\sigma}|Y| . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we sort the boxes $\square$ according to the dyadic size of $\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. We can restrict matters to $\lesssim \log R$ choices of this dyadic size, and so we can choose a set of $\square$ 's, $\mathbb{B}$, so that $\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is essentially constant for $\square \in \mathbb{B}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square} \cdot \chi_{Y_{\square}}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fraction $\approx 1$ of cubes $Q_{j}$ in $Y$.
Finally we sort the cubes $Q_{j} \subset Y$ according to the number of $Y_{\square}$ that contain them. We let $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ be a set of cubes $Q_{j}$ which obey (4.6) and which each lie in $\sim \mu$ of the sets $\left\{Y_{\square}\right\}_{\square \in \mathbb{B}}$. Because (4.6) holds for a large fraction of cubes, and because there are only dyadically many choices of $\mu,\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \approx|Y|$. By the equation (4.5), we see that

$$
\left|Y_{\square} \cap Y^{\prime}\right| \leqslant\left|Y_{\square} \cap Y\right| \lesssim \frac{\sigma_{\square}}{\sigma}|Y| \approx \frac{\sigma_{\square}}{\sigma}\left|Y^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Therefore, the multiplicity $\mu$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \lesssim \frac{\sigma_{\square}}{\sigma}|\mathbb{B}| . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now are ready to combine all our ingredients and finish our proof. By decoupling, we have for each $Q_{j} \subset Y^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square} \cdot \chi_{Y_{\square}}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Q_{j}\right)}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}: Q_{j} \subset Y_{\square}}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the number of $Y_{\square}$ containing $Q_{j}$ is $\sim \mu$, we can apply Hölder to get

$$
\left\|\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square} \cdot \chi_{Y_{\square}}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Q_{j}\right)}} \lesssim \mu^{1 /(n+2)}\left(\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}: Q_{j} \subset Y_{\square}}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}\left(Q_{j}\right)}^{p_{n+1}}\right)^{1 / p_{n+1}}
$$



Figure 2. Tubes in a given slab in the $\square$.

Now we raise to the $p_{n+1}$ th power and sum over $Q_{j} \subset Y^{\prime}$ to get

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{P_{n+1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)}}^{p_{n+1}} \lesssim \mu^{2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Y_{\square}\right)}}^{p_{n+1}} .
$$

Since $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \gtrsim|Y|$, and since each cube $Q_{j} \subset Y$ makes an equal contribution to $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}}$, we see that $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \approx\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)}}$ and so

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}}^{p_{n+1}} \lesssim \mu^{2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}\left(Y_{\square}\right)}}^{p_{n+1}} .
$$

By a parabolic rescaling, Figure 2 becomes Figure 3.
Henceforth, applying our inductive hypothesis (4.3) at scale $R^{1 / 2}$ to the righthand side, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}}^{p_{n+1}} \lesssim \mu^{2 / n} \sigma_{\square}^{-2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}}\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p_{n+1}} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging in our bound for $\mu$ in (4.7), this is bounded by

$$
\lesssim \sigma^{-2 / n}|\mathbb{B}|^{2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}}\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p_{n+1}} .
$$

Now since $\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is essentially constant among all $\square \in \mathbb{B}$, the last expression is

$$
\sim \sigma^{-2 / n}\left(\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}}\left\|f_{\square}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p_{n+1} / 2} \leqslant \sigma^{-2 / n}\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{p_{n+1}} .
$$



Figure 3. Cubes in a given slab in an $R^{1 / 2}$-cube.

Taking the $p_{n+1}$ th root, we obtain our desired bound:

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \lesssim \sigma^{-1 /(n+2)}\|f\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

This closes the induction on radius and completes the proof.
4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.2. One key ingredient in our proof is Bennett-Carbery-Tao multilinear Kakeya estimates:

Theorem 4.4 (see [2] and [10]). Suppose that $S_{j} \subset S^{m-1}, j=1, \ldots, k$. Suppose that $l_{j, a}$ are lines in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and that the direction of $l_{j, a}$ lies in $S_{j}$. Suppose that for any vectors $v_{j} \in S_{j}$,

$$
\left|v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}\right| \geqslant v
$$

Let $T_{j, a}$ be the characteristic function of the 1-neighborhood of $l_{j, a}$. Let $Q_{s}$ denote any cube of side length $S$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $S \geqslant 1$, there holds

$$
\int_{Q_{s}} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{N_{j}} T_{j, a}\right)^{1 /(k-1)} \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Poly}\left(v^{-1}\right) S^{\varepsilon} \prod_{j=1}^{k} N_{j}^{1 /(k-1)}
$$

Now we begin the proof of Theorem 4.2. By Hölder,

$$
\left\|\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right|^{1 / k}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}}^{1 / k} .
$$

For each $i$, we process $\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}}$ following the proof of Theorem 3.1. We decompose $f_{i}=\sum_{\square} f_{i, \square}$, and we follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 up to equation (4.9). Therefore, for each $i$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}(Y)}} \lesssim\left[\mu_{i}^{2 / n} \sigma_{i, \square}^{-2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}}\left\|f_{i, \square}\right\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}}\right]^{1 / p_{n+1}} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the following geometric estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i}^{1 /(k-1)} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{i, \square}\left|\mathbb{B}_{i}\right|\right)^{1 /(k-1)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting with (4.10) and inserting this estimate, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{P_{n+1}(Y)}}^{1 / k} & \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\mu_{i}^{2 / n} \sigma_{i, \square}^{-2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}}\left\|f_{i, \square}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p_{n+1}}\right]^{1 / p_{n+1} \cdot 1 / k} \\
& \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[N^{-2(k-1) / k n}\left|\mathbb{B}_{i}\right|^{2 / n} \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}}\left\|f_{i, \square}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p_{n+1}}\right]^{1 / p_{n+1} \cdot 1 / k} \\
& \lesssim N^{-(k-1) / k(n+2)} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $\left\|f_{i, \square}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is essentially constant among all $\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}$. It remains to prove the claim (4.11). See Figure 4 to get some intuition about how two transversal families of tubes intersect. For the higher order of linearity, we need to invoke multilinear Kakeya estimates Theorem 4.4.

Recall that $Y^{\prime} \subset Y,|Y| \lesssim\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$, the number of $R^{1 / 2}$-cubes in $Y$ is $N$, and for each $Q$ in $Y^{\prime}$,

$$
\#\left\{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}: Q \subset Y_{\square}\right\} \sim \mu_{i} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
N \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i}^{1 /(k-1)} \lesssim \sum_{Q \in Y^{\prime}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\#\left\{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}: Q \subset Y_{\square}\right\}\right)^{1 /(k-1)} .
$$

Cover $B_{R}^{n+1}$ by balls $B$ of radius $R^{3 / 4}$. Observe that if an $R^{1 / 2}$-cube $Q$ inside $B$ is contained in some $Y_{\square}$, then $B$ is contained in 10■. Define

$$
\mathbb{B}_{i, B}:=\left\{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i}: B \in 10 \square\right\},
$$



Figure 4. At most $O\left(\sigma_{1, \square} \sigma_{2, \square}\right)$ cubes created by two transversal families of rectangular boxes.
then

$$
N \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i}^{1 /(k-1)} \lesssim \sum_{B: R^{3 / 4} \text {-balls }} \sum_{Q \in Y^{\prime}: Q \subset B} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\#\left\{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i, B}: Q \in Y_{\square}\right\}\right)^{1 /(k-1)} .
$$

Note that for each $B$, we have $k$ transverse collections of $R^{1 / 2} \times \cdots \times R^{1 / 2} \times R^{3 / 4}-$ tubes passing through it, and the number of such tubes in the $i$ th collection is $\lesssim\left|\mathbb{B}_{i, B}\right| \cdot \sigma_{i, \square}$. It follows from the multilinear Kakeya estimate that

$$
\sum_{Q \in Y^{\prime}: Q \subset B} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\#\left\{\square \in \mathbb{B}_{i, B}: Q \in Y_{\square}\right\}\right)^{1 /(k-1)} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\left|\mathbb{B}_{i, B}\right| \cdot \sigma_{i, \square}\right)^{1 /(k-1)} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
N \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i}^{1 /(k-1)} \lesssim \sum_{B: R^{3 / 4} / \text {-balls }} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\left|\mathbb{B}_{i, B}\right| \cdot \sigma_{i, \square}\right)^{1 /(k-1)}
$$

By the definition of $\mathbb{B}_{i, B}$ and multilinear Kakeya again,

$$
\sum_{B: R^{3 / 4}-\text { balls }} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathbb{B}_{i, B}\right|^{1 /(k-1)} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathbb{B}_{i}\right|^{1 /(k-1)}
$$

Combining these together, we get the desired estimate (4.11).
4.3. Refined Strichartz estimates in variety case. We remark that, by the same technique as in [8], Theorem 3.1 and 4.2 can be generalized to variety case as follows. We skip the rigorous proof and refer interested readers to [8, Section 7].

THEOREM 4.5 (Linear refined Strichartz for $m$-variety in dimension $n+1$ ). Let $m$ be a dimension in the range $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1$. Let $p_{m}=2(m+1) /(m-1)$. Suppose that $Z=Z\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1-m}\right)$ is a transverse complete intersection where Deg $P_{i} \leqslant D_{Z}=R^{\delta_{\operatorname{deg}}}$. Here $\delta_{\operatorname{deg}} \ll \delta$ is a small parameter. Suppose that $f \in$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is Fourier supported in $B^{n}(0,1)$ and concentrated in wave packets from $\mathbb{T}_{Z}(E)$. Suppose that $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots$ are lattice $R^{1 / 2}$-cubes in $B_{R}$, so that

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{m}\left(Q_{j}\right)}} \text { is essentially constant in } j .
$$

Suppose that these cubes are arranged in horizontal slabs of the form $\mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times\left\{t_{0}, t_{0}+R^{1 / 2}\right\}$, and that each such slab contains $\sim \sigma$ cubes $Q_{j}$. Let $Y$ denote $\bigcup_{j} Q_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{p_{m}(Y)}} \lesssim E^{O(1)} R^{-(n+1-m) / 2(m+1)} \sigma^{-1 /(m+1)}\|f\|_{L^{2}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.6 ( $k$-linear refined Strichartz for $m$-variety in dimension $n+1$ ). Let $m$ be a dimension in the range $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1$. Let $p_{m}=2(m+1) /(m-1)$. Suppose that $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, have frequencies $k$-transversely supported in $B^{n}(0,1)$, where $2 \leqslant k \leqslant m$. Suppose that the functions $f_{i}$ are concentrated in wave packets from $\mathbb{T}_{Z}(E)$, where $Z=Z\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n+1-m}\right)$ is a transverse complete intersection with $\operatorname{Deg} P_{i} \leqslant D_{Z}=R^{\delta_{\operatorname{deg}}}$. Here $\delta_{\operatorname{deg}} \ll \delta$ is a small parameter. Suppose that $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{N}$ are lattice $R^{1 / 2}$-cubes in $B_{R}^{n+1}$, so that

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{p m}\left(Q_{j}\right)} \text { is essentially constant in } j, \quad \text { for each } i=1,2, \ldots, k .
$$

Let $Y$ denote $\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} Q_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|e^{i t \Delta} f_{i}\right|^{1 / k}\right\|_{L^{p m}(Y)} \lesssim E^{O(1)} R^{-(n+1-m) / 2(m+1)} N^{-(k-1) / k(m+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / k} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get some intuition, we consider a special case of Theorem 4.5, in which the variety $Z$ is naturally replaced by an $m$-plane $V$, and $E \approx 1$. In the planar case, all wave packets are contained in the $\approx R^{1 / 2}$-neighborhood of $V$, and the absolute value $\left|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right|$ is essentially constant along $(n+1-m)$-planes which are parallel to $V^{\prime}$, where $V^{\prime}$ is a subspace transverse (roughly normal) to $V$.

Note that $\left.e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right|_{V}$ is a Fourier extension operator in dimension $m$. Denote $\left.e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right|_{V}$ by $e^{i r \Delta} h(y)$ for some function $h$ Fourier supported in $B^{m-1}(0,1)$, where $(y, r)$ denote coordinate variables for $V$. Hence the conclusion in Theorem 4.5 can be rephrased in terms of $h$. Indeed, observe that

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f(x)\right\|_{L^{p_{m}(Y)}}^{p_{m}} \sim R^{(n+1-m) / 2}\left\|e^{i r \Delta} h(y)\right\|_{L^{p_{m}(Y \cap V)}}^{p_{m}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{2}^{2} & \sim R^{-1}\left\|e^{i t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}^{n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
& \sim R^{-1} R^{(n+1-m) / 2}\left\|e^{i r \Delta} h\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}^{n+1} \cap V\right)}^{2} \sim R^{(n+1-m) / 2}\|h\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the estimate (4.12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{i r \Delta} h\right\|_{L^{p m}(Y \cap V)} \lesssim \sigma^{-1 /(m+1)}\|h\|_{L^{2}} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is exactly the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 in dimension $m$. Similarly the $m$ plane case of Theorem 4.6 is essentially Theorem 4.2 in dimension $m$.
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