## ON THE MINIMAL GRAPH WITH A GIVEN NUMBER OF SPANNING TREES

## by J. SEDLÁČEK

Let G be a finite connected graph without loops or multiple edges. A maximal tree subgraph T of G is called a spanning tree of G. Denote by k(G) the number of all trees spanning the graph G. A. Rosa formulated the following problem (private communication): Let  $x(\neq 2)$  be a given positive integer and denote by  $\alpha(x)$  the smallest positive integer y having the following property: There exists a graph G on y vertices with x spanning trees. Investigate the behavior of the function  $\alpha(x)$ .

Obviously,  $\alpha(1)=1$ ,  $\alpha(3)=3$ ,  $\alpha(4)=4$ ,  $\alpha(5)=5$ ,  $\alpha(6)=6$ ,  $\alpha(7)=7$ ,  $\alpha(8)=4$ ,  $\alpha(9)=5$ , etc. The minimal graph  $G_{\min}$  need not be unique. For instance, we have  $\alpha(30)=6$  and Figures 1 and 2 show two nonisomorphic graphs  $G_{\min}$  on six vertices with  $k(G_{\min})=30$ .



Figures 1 and 2.

It is easy to see that  $\alpha(x) \le x$  for each positive integer  $x \ne 2$ . Further, the following estimates are true: If  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , x > 6 then by considering the graph consisting of a circuit of length x/3 and circuit of length 3, having one vertex in common,

$$\alpha(x) \leq \frac{x}{3} + 2.$$

If  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , x > 5 then by considering the graph consisting of a circuit of length (x+4)/3 with a single chord,

$$\alpha(x)\leq\frac{x+4}{3}.$$

If  $x = p_{11}^{a_1} p_{22}^{a_2} p_{3}^{a_3} \dots p_{s}^{a_s}$  and if  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_s$  are odd primes then by considering the graph with  $a_i$  circuits of length  $p_i$  meeting at a single vertex,

$$\alpha(x) \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i(p_i-1).$$

Received by the editors December 24, 1969.

In the following theorem  $\lg x$  means the natural logarithm of x. The symbol [n] means the maximal integer m with  $m \le n$ .

THEOREM 1.

$$\alpha(x) > [\sqrt{\lg x}]$$
 for each  $x \neq 2$ .

**Proof.** For x=1 this is obvious. Assume  $x \ge 3$  and put  $R = [\sqrt{\lg x}]$ . If G is a graph on n vertices with  $n \le R$  then we have  $k(G) \le R^{R-2}$  (Cayley's formula). Therefore

$$\log k(G) \le (R-2) \lg R \le R \lg R < R^2 = [\sqrt{\lg x}]^2 \le \lg x$$

hence k(G) < x. Thus  $\alpha(x) > R$ . Hence the proof.

Theorems 2 and 3 are easy corollaries of the preceding Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \alpha(x) = +\infty.$$

THEOREM 3. For every positive integer n the equation  $\alpha(x) = n$  has at most a finite number of roots.

In a former paper [1] we defined the set  $A_n$  as a set of all natural numbers m for which there exists a graph G with n vertices and k(G)=m. Put  $A_n=\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$ , where  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_r$ . We showed that for  $n \ge 8$  we have

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} x_r = n^{n-2}, & x_{r-1} = (n-2)n^{n-3}, \\ x_{r-2} = (n-2)^2 n^{n-4}, & x_{r-3} = (n-1)(n-3)n^{n-4}, \\ x_{r-4} = (n-2)^3 n^{n-5}, & x_{r-5} = (n-3)(n-2)(n-1)n^{n-5}, \\ x_{r-6} = (n-2)(n^2 - 4n + 2)n^{n-5}, & x_{r-7} = (n-3)^2 n^{n-4}, \\ x_{r-8} = (n-4)(n-1)^2 n^{n-5}, & x_{r-9} = (n-2)^4 n^{n-6}. \end{cases}$$

Further

$$A_1 = A_2 = \{1\}, A_3 = \{1, 3\}, A_4 = \{1, 3, 4, 8, 16\}, A_5 = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 20, 21, 24, 40, 45, 75, 125\}.$$

Let *n* be a given positive integer. We shall engage in solving the equation  $\alpha(x) = n$ . For  $\alpha(x) = 1$  we have x = 1. The equation  $\alpha(x) = 2$  has no solution. For  $\alpha(x) = 3$  we get x = 3 and for  $\alpha(x) = 4$ , x = 4, 8,16. The equation  $\alpha(x) = 5$  has the roots x = 5, 9, 11, 12, 20, 21, 24, 40, 45, 75, 125. Denote by M(n) the set of all roots of the equation  $\alpha(x) = n$ . We have  $M(1) = \{1\}$  and for n > 1 the following relation holds:

$$M(n) = A_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i = A_n - A_{n-1}$$

In [2] we showed that  $|A_{n+1}| \ge |A_n| + n - 1$ . From this inequality Theorem 4 follows immediately:

516

THEOREM 4.

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} |M(n)| = +\infty.$ 

Let be given an equation  $\alpha(x) = n$ , where  $n \ge 8$ . We are able to determine ten roots by using the formulas (1). Obviously  $(n-1)^3 < (n-2)^4$  and  $(n-1)^{n-6} < n^{n-6}$ , hence  $(n-1)^{n-3} < (n-2)^4 n^{n-6}$ . We conclude  $\alpha(x_i) = n$  for  $i = r, r-1, r-2, \ldots, r-9$ .

## REFERENCES

J. Sedláček, On the spanning trees of finite graphs, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 91 (1966), 221–227.
...., On the number of spanning trees of finite graphs, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 94 (1969), 217–221.

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, ALBERTA

1970]