# THE PERFECT SEPTENARY FORMS WITH $\Delta_{4}=2$ 
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The aim of this paper is to enumerate all equivalence classes of perfect septenary forms with $\Delta_{4}=2$. This is an important section of the complete enumeration of perfect septenary forms by the method which was cutlined in Stacey (1975). There are nine equivalence classes of these forms, four of which were announced for the first time in Stacey (1975). This work forms part of the author's D. Phil. thesis and was done at Oxford under the supervision of Dr. B. J. Birch. Though many of the calculations required for the proof were first done by computer, most of them can be done by hand. For the calculations of $\S 5$, use of the computer was absolutely necessary; in contrast, in $\S 4$ the attempt to persuade the computer to do most of the work was best abandoned. The computing was done on the I.C.L. 1906A at Oxford University.

## 1. Definitions and notation

A perfect form is a positive definite quadratic form whose coefficients can be determined from its minimum value at nonzero integral points and from knowledge of the points where it attains this minimum. Positive definite $n$-ary forms $f$ and $g$ are equivalent if there exists an integral unimodular transformation $T$ and a positive constant $c$ such that $f T=c g$, i.e. for all points $\boldsymbol{x}, f(\boldsymbol{x} T)=c g(\boldsymbol{x})$. A nonzero integral $n$-vector where $f$ attains its minimum value (always assumed to be one) is called a minimal vector of $f$ and an $s \times n$ matrix $X(f)$ whose rows in any order are the minimal vectors is called a minimal matrix of $f$. We always require that only one of a minimal vector and its negative belong to $X(f)$ although they may be freely interchanged at any time. Clearly, if $f$ is perfect, $s \geqq \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ since $f$ has $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ coefficients to be determined.

Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ submatrix of a minimal matrix. If the $n$-vector $a$ or $-a$ is a row of $A$, we write $a \in A$. If every row of $A$ is a row of $B$ then we write $A \subset B$, whilst if $A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$, then $A$ and $B$ are equal as minimal submatrices. $A$ and $B$ are equivalent if there exists an integral unimodular transformation $T$ such that $A T=B$. If $f$ is equivalent to $g, X(f)$ is equivalent to $X(g)$. Conversely
if $X(f)$ is equivalent to $X(g)$ and $f$ is perfect, $f$ is equivalent to $g$. Aut $(A)$ is the group of integral unimodular transformations $T$ such that $A T=A$.

If $m>n$, we define $\operatorname{Det}(A)=0$. Otherwise $\operatorname{Det}(A)=$ g.c.d. $\{\mid$ determinant $\left(A_{\alpha}\right) \mid: A_{\alpha}$ is any $m \times m$ submatrix of $\left.A\right\}$. If all $\left|\operatorname{determinant}\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|=0$ then $\operatorname{Det}(A)=0$. We note that if $m=n, \operatorname{Det}(A)=|\operatorname{determinant} A|$. If $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ are integral $n$-vectors, $\operatorname{Det}\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}$ is defined to be $\operatorname{Det}(V)$ where $V$ is any $m \times n$ matrix with these vectors as its rows.

Let $r$ be any positive nonzero integer. If $r>\min \{m, n\}, \Delta_{r}(A)=0$. Otherwise $\Delta_{r}(A)=\max \left\{\operatorname{Det}\left(A_{\alpha}\right) \mid A_{\alpha}\right.$ is any $r \times n$ submatrix of $\left.A\right\}$. We define $\Delta_{r}(f)=\Delta_{r}(X(f))$.

The vector $v=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right)$ is primitive if $v \neq 0$ and g.c.d. $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}=1$. We define ${ }^{r}[v]=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{r}\right)$ and $[v]^{r}=\left(v_{n+1-r}, \cdots, v_{n}\right)$, for any $1 \leqq r \leqq 7$. $[v]^{\prime}$ is called the $r$-ending of $v$. If $z$ is an integral $r$-vector and $A$ is a set (or matrix) of minimal vectors, $N_{A}(z)=N(z)$ is the number of vectors in $A$ with $r$-ending congruent modulo 2 to $z$.

We denote by $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ the $i$ th unit row vector. A matrix which contains $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{r}$ and no row vector not in the linear space generated by these vectors is called an $r$-array. If $B$ and $C$ are $m_{1} \times n$ and $m_{2} \times n$ matrices respectively, $\{B, C\}$ is the $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \times n$ matrix whose rows are the rows of $B$ followed by the rows of $C$. The vectors of $C$ are said to combine with respect to $B$ if a linear combination of every pair of vectors of $C$ is a vector of $B$. Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, vector always means row vector.

Two theorems are fundamental in all that follows.
Theorem 1. If $f$ is a positive definite quadratic form then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}(f), \Delta_{2}(f), \Delta_{3}(f) \leqq 1 \\
& \Delta_{4}(f), \Delta_{5}(f) \leqq 2 \\
& \Delta_{6}(f) \leqq, 4 \Delta_{7}(f) \leqq 8
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Watson (1971).
Theorem 2. Let $f$ be an n-ary positive definite quadratic form with
Then

$$
\Delta_{n}(f)=n_{1}
$$

(i) if $n=4$ and $n_{1}=2$, $f$ is equivalent to

$$
B_{4}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{4}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i}^{2}-x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)
$$

(ii) if $n=6$ and $n_{1}=4$, $f$ is equivalent to

$$
B_{6}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{6}\right)=\Sigma_{1 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq 6} x_{i} x_{j}-x_{1} x_{2}
$$

(iii) if $n=7$ and $n_{1}=8, f$ is equivalent to

$$
E_{7}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)=\Sigma_{1 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq 7} x_{i} x_{j}-x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right) .
$$

Proof. Watson (1971).

Corollary 3. If $f$ is a septenary form and $\Delta_{4}(f)=2$, then $f$ is equivalent to a form whose minimal matrix contains the $12 \times 7$ matrix $Y_{4}^{12}$ whose rows are $e_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq 4), e_{i}+e_{4}(1 \leqq i \leqq 3), z=\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_{i}, \quad z-e_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq 3)$ and $z+e_{4}$. If $X(f)$ contains four vectors $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{3}, \boldsymbol{y}_{4}$, such that Det $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{3}, \boldsymbol{y}_{4}\right\}$ $=2$ then $X(f)$ also contains the eight vectors $\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1} \pm y_{2} \pm y_{3} \pm y_{4}\right)$.

Proof. Note that if $v \in Y_{4}^{12}$ then $[v]^{3}=0$ and ${ }^{4}[v] \in X\left(B_{4}\right)$.
Definition 4. Let $A$ be an $a \times 7$ subset of a minimal matrix $X(f)$ containing $e_{n}$ for some $2 \leqq n \leqq 6$ and all $(a-1)$ vectors of $X(f)$ which are in the subspace generated by $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n-1}$. An integral vector $\boldsymbol{v}$ is a fitting vector of $A$ if
(i) $v \neq e_{n}$ and $\left[v-e_{n}\right]^{8-n}=0$,
(ii) for any $y \in A$, such that $v \equiv y+e_{n}$ (modulo 2) then $\left\{y, v, e_{n}\right\}$ is a dependent set of vectors,
(iii) for any $y_{1}, y_{2} \in A$ such that $v \equiv y_{1}+y_{2}+e_{n}$ (modulo 2) either $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}$ is dependent or the four vectors $\frac{1}{2}\left(v-\boldsymbol{e}_{n} \pm \boldsymbol{y}_{1} \pm \boldsymbol{y}_{2}\right)$ belong to $A$.

We denote by $F(A)$ the set of fitting vectors of $A$. Two fitting vectors $v$, $\boldsymbol{w} \in F(A)$ are compatible if $\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{e}_{n} \in F(A)$. A set of $m$ fitting vectors of $A$ is compatible if its vectors are pairwise compatible.

Lemma 5. Let $A$ be a matrix as in Definition 4 and let $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{m}\right\}$ be a set of $m$ n-vectors with $\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{i}-\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right]^{8-n}=\mathbf{0}$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq m$. If $\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right\}$ is a subset of a minimal matrix of a positive definite form then $w_{i} \in F(A)$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq m$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right\}$ is a compatible set of fitting vectors.

Proof. For each $i,\left\{A, w_{i}\right\}$ must satisfy (ii) and (iii) above because (ii) ensures that $\Delta_{3}\left(\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right\}\right) \neq 2$ and (iii) ensures that either $\Delta_{4}\left(\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right\}\right) \neq 2$ or $\Delta_{4}\left(\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right\}\right)=2$ and Corollary 3 holds for $A$. Hence $\boldsymbol{w}_{i} \in F(A)$. Given any pair $i, j$ such that $1 \leqq i<j \leqq m,\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{j}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}_{i}-\boldsymbol{w}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{n},-\boldsymbol{w}_{j}+2 \boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}$. Hence, by the first part, $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}-\boldsymbol{w}_{j}+e_{n} \in F(A)$ so $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{j}$ are compatible. Consequently $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{m}\right\}$ is a compatible set of fitting vectors.

The concepts of fitting vectors and compatibility are basic to our method of enumerating perfect forms. We will find all inequivalent minimal matrices which obey Theorem 1 and contain $Y_{4}^{12}$ (necessary, by Corollary 3) by extending $Y_{4}^{12}$, one dimension at a time, by all inequivalent suitably sized compatible sets of fitting vectors. To eliminate equivalent compatible sets, the following observations are useful.

Lemma 6. Let $A$ be as in Definition 4 and let $\boldsymbol{w}$ be an integral n-vector.
(i) If $[\boldsymbol{w}]^{8-n}$ is primitive, $\left\{A \backslash\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}, \boldsymbol{w}\right\}$ is equivalent to $A$.
(ii) If $v \in F(A), T \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{n} T=e_{n}$ then $\{A, v\}$ is equivalent to $\{A, v T\}$.
(iii) If $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in F(A)$ then $\{A, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{A,-\boldsymbol{v}+2 \boldsymbol{e}_{n}, \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}$.
(iv) If $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in F(A)$ then $\{A, v\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{A,-v+2 e_{n}\right\}$.

Proof. This is obvious.

## 2. The 5-arrays

Henceforth, let $f$ denote a perfect septenary form with $\Delta_{4}(f)=2$. We will carry out the enumeration of forms by finding all inequivalent minimal matrices which obey Theorem 1, contain $Y_{4}^{12}$ and are large enough to be minimal matrices of perfect forms, i.e. have at least thirty rows. The matrix requires thirty rows because $Y_{4}^{12}$ has twelve rows but defines only ten coefficients. We first describe the 5 -arrays which must be contained in the minimal matrices.

Lemma 7. If $X(f) \supset Y_{4}{ }^{12}, \Delta_{6}(f)<4$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$, $\boldsymbol{w} \in X(f) \backslash Y_{4}{ }^{12}$, then $[v]^{3}$ is primitive and if $[v]^{3} \equiv[w]^{3}$ (modulo 2) then $[v]^{3}= \pm[w]^{3}$.

Proof. Let $v=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{7}\right)$. Since $v \in X(f) \backslash Y_{4}{ }^{12},[v]^{3} \neq 0$. If a positive integer $k$ divides $v_{5}, v_{6}, v_{7}$ then $2 k$ divides $D=\operatorname{Det}\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{3}, \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\boldsymbol{e}_{3}+2 \boldsymbol{e}_{4}, \boldsymbol{v}\right\}$. As $\Delta_{5}(f) \leqq 2$ and $D \neq 0, k=1$ so $[v]^{3}$ is primitive.

If $\Delta_{6}(f)<4$, then $D=\operatorname{Det}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+2 e_{4}, v, w\right\} \leqq 3$ for all $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in X(f)$. Hence if $[\boldsymbol{v}]^{3} \equiv[\boldsymbol{w}]^{3}(\operatorname{mcd} 2)$ then the vectors $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+$ $2 \boldsymbol{e}_{4}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}$ must be dependent otherwise $D \geqq 4$. Consequently $[\boldsymbol{v}]^{3}=\gamma[\boldsymbol{w}]^{3}$ and since $[v]^{3}$ is primitive, $\gamma= \pm 1$.

Definition 8.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{5}^{15}=\left\{Y_{4}^{12}, e_{5}, e_{1}+e_{5}, e_{4}+e_{5}\right\} \\
& Y_{5}^{16}=\left\{Y_{5}^{15},-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{5}\right\} \\
& Y_{5}^{20}=\left\{v:[v]^{2}=0 \text { and }{ }^{5}[v]\right. \text { is a }
\end{aligned}
$$

minimal vector of the perfect quinary form $\left.\sum_{1 \leqq i<j \leqq 5} x_{i} x_{j}-x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\right\}$.
Lemma 9. The fitting vectors of $\left\{Y_{4}^{12}, e_{5}\right\}$ are
(i) $y+e_{5},-y+e_{5}$ for all $y \in Y_{4}^{12}$
(ii) $\pm\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right)+\boldsymbol{e}_{5}$ for all $1 \leqq i<j \leqq 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm\left(e_{i}+e_{j}+2 e_{4}\right)+e_{5} \text { for all } 1 \leqq i<j \leqq 3 \\
& \pm\left(e_{i}+e_{j}+2 e_{k}+2 e_{4}\right)+e_{5} \text { for all } 1 \leqq i, j, k \leqq 3, i<j \text { and } k \neq i, k \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

If $v$ is any fitting vector of (ii) and $X(f) \supset\left\{Y_{4}{ }^{12}, e_{5}, v\right\}$ then there exists an integral unimodular transformation $S$ such that $X(f) S \supset Y_{5}{ }^{20}$.

Proof. The calculation of fitting vectors is straightforward from Definition 4. If $v$ is any fitting vector of (ii) above, transformations as in Lemma 6 (ii) and (iv), can be found to show that $\left\{Y_{4}{ }^{12}, e_{5}, v\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{Y_{4}{ }^{12}, e_{5}, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{5}\right\}=B$. By applying Corollary 3 to $B$ we see that if $X(g) \supset B$ then $X(g)$ also contains

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and an integral unimodular $S$ exists such that $\{B, C\} S=Y_{5}^{20}$.
Proposition 10. $f$ is equivalent to a perfect form whose minimal matrix contains $Y_{5}^{15}, Y_{5}^{16}$ or $Y_{5}^{20}$

Proof. If $\Delta_{6}(f)=4$, by Theorem 2, $f$ is equivalent to a form $g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)$ such that $g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{6}, 0\right)=B_{6}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{6}\right)$. Since there exists a $6 \times 6$ unimodular transformation $T$ such that $Y_{5}{ }^{20} \subset X\left(B_{6}\right) T$ the result follows.

Hence we may assume $\Delta_{6}(f) \leqq 3$ and $X(f) \supset Y_{4}{ }^{12}$. By Lemma 7, vectors in $X(f) \backslash Y_{4}{ }^{12}$ have at most seven different 3-endings, yet, for $f$ to be perfect, $X(f) \backslash Y_{4}^{12}$ contains at least eighteen vectors. Consequently one nonzero 3-ending occurs at least 3 times so we may choose $\boldsymbol{u} \in X(f) \backslash Y_{4}^{12}$ such that $N\left([u]^{3}\right) \geqq$ $N\left([w]^{3}\right)$ for all $w \in X(f) \backslash Y_{4}^{12}$ and $N\left([u]^{3}\right) \geqq 3$. By Lemma 7 and Lemma 6(i), there exists an integral unimodular transformation $T$ such that $\left\{Y_{4}{ }^{12}, \boldsymbol{u}\right\} T=$ $\left\{Y_{4}{ }^{12}, e_{5}\right\}$ and $X(f) T$ contains at least two vectors $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}$ with $[v]^{3}=[w]^{3}=\left[e_{5}\right]^{3}$ $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \neq \boldsymbol{e}_{5}$. Now to satisfy Theorem $1, \boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ must belong to $F\left(\left\{Y_{4}^{12}, \boldsymbol{e}_{5}\right\}\right)$. By Lemma 9 and Lemma 6(iii), if $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{e}_{5}, \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{e}_{5}, \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}\right\} \notin Y_{4}^{12}$, there exists an integral unimodular $S$ such that $X(f T S) \supset Y_{5}^{20}$. On the other hand, it is fairly easy to see that, using the equivalences of Lemma 6, there are just two inequivalent sets of compatible fitting vectors, producing $Y_{5}^{15}$ and $Y_{5}^{16}$, which have the property that $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{e}_{5}, \boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{e}_{5}, \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}\right\} \subset Y_{4}^{12}$ for all suitable pairs $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}$. The proposition is thereby proved.

Theorem 11. A perfect septenary form with $\Delta_{4}=2$ is equivalent to a form $f$ with
(i) $\Delta_{7}(f)=8$
or (ii) $\Delta_{7}(f)=4=\Delta_{6}(f)$
or (iii) $\Delta_{7}(f) \leqq 4, \Delta_{6}(f) \leqq 3, X(f) \supset Y_{6}^{36}$ where $Y_{6}{ }^{36}$ is the $36 \times 7$ matrix with rows $v$ such that $[v]^{1}=0$ and ${ }^{6}[v]$ is a minimal vector of the perfect senary form $E_{6}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Sigma_{1 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq 6} x_{i} x_{j}-x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)$
or (iv) $\Delta_{7}(f) \leqq 4, \Delta_{6}(f) \leqq \stackrel{4}{3}, X(f) \supset Y_{5}^{20}, X(f) \not Y_{6}^{36} T$ for any integral unimodular $T$
or (v) $\Delta_{7}(f) \leqq 4, \Delta_{6}(f) \leqq 3, X(f) \supset Y_{4}{ }^{12}$ but $X(f) \ngtr Y_{5}^{20} T$ for any integral unimodular T. In this case we may also insist that either $X(f) \supset Y_{5}^{15}$ and $N(z) \leqq 3$ for all nonzero integral $z$ or $X(f) \supset Y_{5}^{16}$.

Proof. The preceding theorems, lemmas and propositions. Note that $Y_{6}^{36} \supset Y_{5}^{20}$.

In the remaining sections we will treat in turn each of the above five categories, finding all equivalent classes of perfect forms which fall in each.

## 3. Categories (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 11

Proposition 12. If $\Delta_{7}(f)=8, f$ is equivalent to $E_{7}$, the absolutely extreme form in seven variables.

Proof. Theorem 2.
Proposition 13. There is no perfect form with $\Delta_{7}(f) \leqq 4$ and $X(f) \supset Y_{6}^{36}$.
Proof. If $X(f) \supset Y_{6}^{36}$, thirty-six vectors determine twenty-one coefficients and so at least seven more vectors are required for $X(f)$. Consequently $X(f)$ has at least forty-three minimal vectors and so by Watson (1971a), $f$ is equivalent to $E_{7}$ and $\Delta_{7}(f)=8$.

We now turn to consider category (ii). By Theorem 2 we may assume that $X(f) \supset Y_{6}^{30}$, the $30 \times 7$ minimal matrix whose rows $v$ are such that $[v]^{1}=0$ and ${ }^{6}[v]$ is a minimal vector of $B_{6}$. Since $\Delta_{6}(f)=\Delta_{7}(f)$, for all $v \in X(f) \backslash Y_{6}^{30}$, $\left|[v]^{1}\right|=1$ so that, for any such $v$, there exists an integral unimodular $T$ such that $\left\{Y_{6}^{30}, \mathfrak{v}\right\} T=\left\{Y_{6}^{30}, e_{7}\right\} ;$ hence we may assume that $X(f) \supset\left\{Y_{6}^{30}, e_{7}\right\}=A$.

Following Barnes (1957), p. 469 we display the symmetry of $A$ by using the transformation $U$ with determinant -2 ,

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$Y_{6}^{30} U$ has thirty rows $e_{i} \pm e_{j}(1 \leqq i<j \leqq 6)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{6}^{30}\right)$ contains the group $U S U^{-1}$, where $\mathscr{S}$ is the group of transformations which permute and arbitrarily change the signs of the first six components of the 7 -vectors.

Lemma 14. $F(A) U$ has the following members:
(i) $\Sigma_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{7}$ for all $\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=\left|\varepsilon_{j}\right|=1,1 \leqq i<j \leqq 6$,
(ii) $\boldsymbol{e}_{7}+\Sigma_{i, j . k, h} \varepsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ for all $\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=\left|\varepsilon_{j}\right|=\left|\varepsilon_{k}\right|=\left|\varepsilon_{h}\right|=1,1 \leqq i<j<k<h \leqq 6$,
(iii) $2 \varepsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{7}$ for $\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=1,1 \leqq i \leqq 6$,
(iv) any vector congruent modulo 2 to $\sum_{i=1}^{7} e_{i}$ with seventh component equal to +1 .
If $X(f)$ contains $\{A, v\}$ then, by repeated use of Corollary $3, X(f)$ also contains the submatrix $I(v)$ defined by
(v) $I\left(\left(\sum_{i, j} \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right)=\phi$
(vi) $I\left(\left(\Sigma_{i, j k, h} \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right) U=\left\{\varepsilon_{m} e_{m}+\varepsilon_{p} e_{p}+e_{7} \mid m<p ; m, p \in\{i, j, k, h\}\right\}$
(vii) $I\left(\left(2 \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right) U=\left\{\varepsilon_{i} e_{i} \pm \boldsymbol{e}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{7} \mid 1 \leqq j \leqq 6, i \neq j\right\}$,
(viii) if $v \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{7} e_{i}$ (modulo 2) then $I\left(v U^{-1}\right)=\phi$.

Proof. A straightforward calculation. Although the results have been given in transformed coordinates, the calculations must be performed in the original coordinates.

Proposition 15. If $X(f)$ contains a submatrix equivalent to $\left\{A,\left(2 \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$, then $f$ is equivalent to the perfect septenary form with forty-two minimal vectors, $B_{7}(x)=\Sigma_{1 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq 7} x_{i} x_{j}-x_{1} x_{2}$.

Proof. $X(f)$ also contains the ten vectors of $I\left(\left(2 \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right)$ and this complete matrix defines the form.

Proposition 16. The minimal matrix of every perfect form of category (ii) contains a submatrix equivalent to $\left\{A,\left(2 \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$.

Proof. Let us assume $X(f)$ contains no submatrix equivalent to $\left\{A,\left(2 \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$. Consequently no two different vectors of $X(f) U \backslash A U$ are congruent modulo 2 .

If $X(f)$ contains any vector $v=\left(\sum_{i, j, h, k} \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}$, it also contains $I(\boldsymbol{v})$ and $\{A, \boldsymbol{v}, I(\boldsymbol{v})\}$ is equivalent to $B=\left\{A, \boldsymbol{w}=\left(\Sigma_{3}^{7} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right) U^{-1}, I(\boldsymbol{w})\right\} . B$ contains thirty-eight minimal vectors and determines a form with two parameters. If $z \in F(A), z$ is compatible with $B \backslash A$ and $\{B, z\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of this proposition then it can readily be seen that $\{B, z\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{B,\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right\},\left\{B,\left(e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$ or $\left\{B,\left(\sum_{i=1}^{7} e_{i}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$. On applying Corollary 3 to each of these arrays, it is seen that each implies the presence of at least four more fitting vectors in $X(f)$. Consequently $X(f)$ has at least forty-three minimal vectors and by Watson (1971a), $f$ is equivalent to $E_{7}$.

Thus it remains to assume that $X(f)$ contains no subset equivalent to $\left\{A,\left(\Sigma_{3}^{7} e_{i}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$ or to $\left\{A,\left(2 e_{1}+e_{7}\right) U^{-1}\right\}$. The vectors of $X(f) U \backslash A U$ are thus of the form (i) and (iv) of Lemma 14, and for all pairs $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in X(f) U \backslash A U$, $\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{e}_{7}$ is also of form (i) or (iv). But it is not hard to see that it is impossible
to obtain a compatible set of more than five such vectors, whilst $X(f) \in A$ requires at least six vectors. The result follows.

Hence we have shown by Propositions 16 and 15 that $B_{7}$ is the only perfect form belonging to category (ii). Thus categories (i), (ii) and (iii) contain only two forms $E_{7}$ and $B_{7}$.

## 4. Category (iv) of Theorem 11

In this section it is assumed that $X(f) \supset Y_{5}^{20}$, but $X(f) \nsubseteq Y_{6}^{36} T, X(f) \nsupseteq Y_{6}^{36} T$ for any integral unimodular $T$. Following Barnes (1957), p. 482 we define

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

which has $\operatorname{det} P=3$ and which transforms $Y_{5}^{20}$ to the matrix $Y$ with rows $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}-\boldsymbol{e}_{j}(1 \leqq i<j \leqq 5)$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}(1 \leqq i<j<k \leqq 5)$. The following lemma shows that when calculations involve only tests of equality and congruence modulo 2 (in particular, calculation of fitting vectors and testing compatibility) we can work with $Y$ instead of $Y_{5}^{20}$ to take advantage of its obvious symmetry. Results can be transformed back to $Y_{5}^{20}$ without loss; in particular $F\left(\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}\right\} P\right)=F\left(\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}\right\}\right) P$. We also note that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)$ contains $P \mathscr{S} P^{-1}$ where $\mathscr{S}$ is the group of transformations permuting the first five coordinates.

Lemma 17. If $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{7}\right)$ is an integral vector, so is $\boldsymbol{v} P$; whilst if $\sum_{i=1}^{s} v_{i} \equiv 0$ (modulo 3), $v P^{-1}$ is also integral. If $p \neq 0$ (modulo 3) $\operatorname{Det}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, w_{n}\right\} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad$ if. and only if $\operatorname{Det}\left\{w_{1} P, \cdots, w_{n} P\right\} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $\operatorname{Det}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right\}=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Det}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1} P, \cdots, w_{n} P\right\}=0$.

Proof. This is obvious after calculating $P^{-1}$ and noting that, provided g.c.d. $\{p, \operatorname{det} P\}=1, v P \equiv \mathbf{0}(\operatorname{modulo} p)$ implies $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \mathbf{0}(\bmod u l o p)$.

Lemma 18. $F\left(\left\{Y_{5}{ }^{20}, e_{6}\right\}\right) P$ consists of:
(i) $\pm \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{e}_{6}$ for all $\boldsymbol{y} \in Y_{5}^{20}$,
(ii) $\pm\left(\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i}-2 e_{j}\right)+e_{6}$ for all $1 \leqq j \leqq 5$,
(iii) $\pm\left(\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i}+e_{j}\right)+e_{6}$ for all $1 \leqq j \leqq 5$,
(iv) $\pm\left(2 e_{i}+e_{j}\right)+e_{6}$ for all $1 \leqq i, j \leqq 5 ; i \neq j$, $\cdot$
(v) $e_{i}+e_{j}+e_{k}-e_{h}+e_{6}$ for all $1 \leqq i, j, k, h \leqq 5 ; i, j, k, h$ distinct.

If $X(f)$ contains $\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}, v\right\}$ then $X(f)$ also contains the submatrix $I(v)$ defined by:
(vi) $I\left(\left( \pm \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{e}_{6}\right) P^{-1}\right) P=\phi$ for all $\boldsymbol{y} \in Y_{5}^{20}$,
(vii) $I\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i}-2 e_{j}+e_{6}\right) P^{-1}\right) P=\left\{e_{r}+e_{s}+e_{t}+e_{6}, e_{r}-e_{j}+e_{6} \mid 1 \leqq\right.$ $r, s, t \leqq 5, r, s, t$ distinct, $j \notin\{r, s, t\}\}$,
(viii) $I\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i}+e_{j}+e_{6}\right) P^{-1}\right) P=\left\{e_{r}+e_{s}+e_{j}+e_{6} \mid 1 \leqq r<s \leqq 5\right.$, $j \notin\{r, s\}\}$,
(ix) $\left.I\left(2 e_{i}+e_{j}+e_{6}\right) P^{-1}\right) P=\left\{e_{i}+e_{j}+e_{r}+e_{6}, \quad e_{i}-e_{r}+e_{6} \mid 1 \leqq r \leqq 5\right.$, $r \notin\{i, j\}\}$,
(x) $\left.I\left(e_{i}+e_{j}-e_{k}-e_{h}+e_{6}\right) P^{-1}\right) P=\left\{e_{r}-e_{s}+e_{6} \mid r \in\{i, j\}, s \in\{k, h\}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{e_{i}+e_{j}+e_{t}+e_{6},-e_{k}-e_{h}-e_{t}+e_{6} \mid t \in\{1, \cdots, 5\} \backslash\{i, j, k, h\}\right\}$.

Proof. Calculation from the definition of fitting vectors. The matrices $I(v)$ are calculated by repeated use of Corollary 3 . By Lemma $17, Y_{5}{ }^{20}$ can be used throughout. Its obvious symmetry simplifies the calculations a great deal

Proposition 19. If $X(f) P$ contains a subset equivalent to $\left\{Y, e_{6}, \sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i}-\right.$ $\left.2 e_{j}+e_{6}\right\} \quad(1 \leqq j \leqq 5)$ then $\Delta_{6}(f) \geqq 4$.

Proof. Any such $X(f)$ is equivalent to a minimal matrix $X(g)$ containing $\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}, v=(1,1,1,1,-1,1,0) P^{-1}, I(v)\right\}$. These thirty minimal vectors completely determine a perfect senary form equivalent to $B_{6}$ and $\Delta_{6}\left(B_{6}\right)=4$.

Lemma 20. If $v$ is a fitting vector of $Y_{5}^{20}$ of type (iii), (iv) or (v) of Lemma 18, then $C(v)=\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}, v, I(v)\right\}$ is equivalent to $C\left((2,1,1,1,1,1,0) P^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. As $\mathscr{S} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{5}^{20} P\right)$ and $P \mathscr{P} P^{-1} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)$, we need consider only $v P \in\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1} P=(1,2,0,0,0,1,0), w_{2} P=(0,1,1,-1,-1,1,0), w_{3} P=(2,1,1,1,1,1,0)\right.$ and

$$
C\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=C\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=C\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{3}\right)
$$

Proposition 21. If $v, w \in X(f) \backslash Y_{5}^{20}$ and $[w]^{2} \equiv[v]^{2}$ (modulo 2) then $[w]^{2}= \pm[v]^{2}$.

Proof. Let us assume that $[w]^{2} \equiv[v]^{2}$ (modulo 2) but $[v]^{2} \neq \pm[w]^{2} . X(f)$ may be transformed in such a way that $X(f) T \supset\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, w=-e_{6}+e_{7}, v\right\}$ where $[v]^{2}=(1,1)$. If $v_{0} \equiv \boldsymbol{v}(\bmod 2)$ and $\left[v_{0}\right]^{2}=(1,1)$ then there exists an integral unimodular $S$ such that $\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{v}\right\} S=\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\}$. Hence we may assume that $v P \in\{(1,-1,0,0,0,1,1),(1,1,-1,-1,0,1,1),(1,1,1,1,0,0,1,1),(-2,-1$, $0,0,0,1,1),(1,1,1,1,-1,1,1)\}$. Since $\Delta_{3}(f) \neq 2, v P=(1,-1,0,0,0,1,1)$ and $v P=(1,1,1,0,0,1,1)$ are immediately excluded. Repeated application of Corollary 3 shows that with the four remaining choices of $\boldsymbol{v}$,

$$
X(f) \supset B=\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{e}_{6}, \boldsymbol{e}_{7}, v-e_{6}, v-\boldsymbol{e}_{7}, I\left(v-\boldsymbol{e}_{6}\right), I\left(v-e_{6}\right) \sigma\right\}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{i} \sigma=\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq 5$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{6} \sigma=\boldsymbol{e}_{7}, \boldsymbol{e}_{7} \sigma=\boldsymbol{e}_{6}$. Now the case $\boldsymbol{v} P=$ ( $1,1,1,1,-1,1,1$ ) may be excluded by Proposition 19. Thus it remains to consider only $v P \in\{(-2,-1,0,0,0,1,1),(-2,-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1)\}$. By Lemma 20, these cases are equivalent so we take $v P=(-2,-1,0,0,0,1,1) . B$ then defines a two parameter form:

$$
b\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)=E_{7}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)-x_{2}\left((1-\varepsilon) x_{6}+(1-\eta) x_{7}\right)
$$

so at least two more vectors $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{2}$ are required for $X(f)$. If $\left[u_{1}\right]^{2} \equiv(1,0)$ (modulo 2), then by using $\Delta_{7}(f)<4$, it is easy to see that $\pm\left[u_{1}\right]^{2} \in\{(1,0),(1,2)$, $(1,-2)\}$. But if $\left[u_{1}\right]^{2}= \pm(1,0)$ then $X(f)$ contains more than twenty-eight minimal vectors in the 6 -space defined by $x_{7}=0$; hence by Watson (1971a) $X(f)$ belongs to category (i), (ii) or (iii). Otherwise we have $\pm\left[u_{1}\right]^{2} \in\{(1,2)$, $(1,-2)\}$ but in these cases either $\operatorname{det}\left(\left[u_{1}\right]^{2},(1,-1)\right)=3$ or $\operatorname{det}\left(\left[v_{1}\right]^{2},(1,1)\right)=3$ and then, since $\Delta_{5}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)=2, \Delta_{7}(f) \geqq 6$ so $f$ belongs to category (i). A similar argument shows that $\left[u_{1}\right]^{2} \equiv(0,1)$ (modulo 2 ) is also impossible, thus $\left[u_{1}\right]^{2} \equiv\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right]^{2} \equiv(1,1)$ (modulo 2). In order that the two parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$ of $b(x)$ can be determined, $\left[u_{1}\right]^{2} \neq\left[u_{2}\right]^{2}$ and since $\Delta_{7}(f)<4$, we may take $\left[u_{2}\right]^{2}=(1,1)$ and $\left[u_{2}\right]^{2}=(-1,1)$.

As $B$ has thirty-eight minimal vectors, $\left({ }^{5}\left[u_{1}\right], 0,0\right)$ must belong to $\pm Y_{5}{ }^{20}$; otherwise $X(f)$ has at least six more vectors ending in $(1,1)$ and this is forbidden by Watson (1971a). If $u_{1}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{5}, 0,0\right), f\left(u_{1}\right)=1=f\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{5}, 0,0\right)+1+$ $(\varepsilon-\eta) y_{2}=2+(\varepsilon-\eta) y_{2}$. Since $\left|y_{2}\right| \leqq 1$, for any $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{5}, 0,0\right) \in Y_{5}^{20}$, we immediately have $|\varepsilon-\eta|=1$ and so either $(0,1,0,0,0,-1,1)$ or $(0,-1,0,00,-1$, 1) belongs to $X(f)$. But on applying Corollary 3 again, it is seen that $X(f)$ contains a subset equivalent to $Y_{6}^{36}$. The result follows.

Lemma 22. The largest set of vectors from $Y_{5}^{20}$ which combine with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$ has four members. Under $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)$ there are two inequivalent sets of three combining vectors and one set of four combining vectors.

Proof. The transformation

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

takes $Y_{5}^{20}$ to the matrix with rows $e_{1} \pm e_{j}(1 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq 5) . Y_{5}^{20} S$ is stabilized by $\mathscr{P}$, the group of all permutations and arbitrary changes of sign of the first five components; indeed by Barnes (1957, p. 469) Aut $\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)=S \mathscr{S} S^{-1}$. It is now easy to find the inequivalent combining sets of $Y_{5}^{20} S$. When transformed to become subsets of $Y_{5}^{20} P$, the inequivalent combining sets are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

Lemma 23. If $X(f)$ belongs to category (iv) it is equivalent to a minimal matrix with $N(1,0) \geqq N(z)$ for all integral 2-vectors $z \not \equiv 0$ (modulo 2) which contains
(i) $A=\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}, v=(-2,-1,0,0,0,1,0) P^{-1}, I(v)\right\}$ if $N_{X(f)}(z)>5$ for some integral 2 -vectors $z \neq 0$ (modulo 2),
or (ii) $B=\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(e_{1}-e_{j}+e_{6}\right) P^{-1} \mid 2 \leqq j \leqq 5\right\}$ if $N_{X(f)}(z) \leqq 5$ for all integral 2-vectors $z \neq 0$ (modulo 2 ).

A defines the eight parameter form $a\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)=E_{6}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{6}\right)-(1-\varepsilon) x_{2} x_{6}+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{7} \gamma_{i} x_{i} x_{7}$, whilst $B$ defines the form $b\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)=E\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{6}\right)-(1-\varepsilon) x_{1} x_{6}$ $+\sum_{i=1}^{7} \gamma_{i} x_{i} x_{7} . E_{6}$ was defined in Theorem 11.

Proof. Choose a vector $v \in X(f) \backslash Y_{5}^{20}$ with $N\left([v]^{2}\right)$ as large as possible. If a positive integer $k$ divides $[v]^{2}$, then

$$
k \cdot \Delta_{5}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)=2 k<\Delta_{6}(f)<4
$$

so $k=1$. Hence $[v]^{2}$ is primitive and there exists an integral unimodular $T$ such that $\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, v\right\} T=\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}\right\}$. As $Y_{5}^{20}$ determines fifteen coefficients, $X(f) T$ requires at least thirteen vectors not in $Y_{5}^{20}$ : hence $N_{X(\rho) T}(1,0) \geqq 5$. Consequently by Proposition 21, there are at least five vectors with 2 -ending ( 1,0 ). Let $X(f) T \supset\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}, v\right\}$. By Proposition 19, vP is not of the type (ii) of Lemma 18 whilst if $v P$ is of the type (iii), (iv) or (v) of Lemma $18, X(f) T$ contains a subset equivalent to $A$ and $N(1,0) \geqq 8$. Note that, by Watson $(1971 a), N_{X(f) T}(1,0)=8$.

The case remains where all vectors of $X(f) T \backslash\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, \boldsymbol{e}_{6}\right\}$ which end in $(1,0)$ are of the type (i) of Lemma 18. In order to ensure that $X(f) T$ does not contain a subset equivalent to $A$, we also insist that if $v, w \in X(f) T \backslash\left\{Y_{5}^{20}, e_{6}\right\}$ then $\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{e}_{6}$ is also of type (i) (by Lemma 6(iii)). This means that the set of vectors of $X(f) T$ ending in $(1,0)$ is a combining set with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$. Lemma 22 now immediately shows that all suitable such arrays are equivalent to $B$.

Proposition 24. $X(f)$ is equivalent to a minimal matrix which has $N(0,1) \geqq N(1,1)$ and contains one of
(i) $\quad G_{1}=A \cup\left\{e_{7}, v=(-2,-1,0,0,0,0,1) P^{-1}, I(v)\right\}$,
(ii) $\quad G_{2}=A \cup\left\{e_{7}, v=(-1,-2,0,0,0,0,1) P^{-1}, I(v)\right\}$,
(iii) $G_{3}=A \cup\left\{e_{7}, v=(-2,-1,-1,-1,-1,0,1) P^{-1}, I(v)\right\}$,
(iv) $G_{4}=A \cup\left\{e_{7}, v=(-1,-2,-1,-1,-1,0,1) P^{-1}, I(v)\right\}$,
(v) $A$ and two sets of vectors ending respectively in $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$ or $(-1,1)$ which combine with respect to $Y_{5}{ }^{20}$,
(vi) $B$ and two sets of vectors ending respectively in $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$ or $(-1,1)$, which combine with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$.

Proof. By Lemma 23, $X(f)$ is equivalent to a minimal matrix $X(g)$ containing $C \in\{A, B\}$ and having $N(1,0) \geqq N(z)$ for all integral 2-vectors $z \not \equiv 0$ (modulo 2). If $X(g) \supset .\{C, v\}$ then as $\Delta_{5}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)=2,2\left|[v]^{1}\right| \leqq \Delta_{7}(g) \leqq 4$ so $\left|[v]^{1}\right| \leqq 2$. Since $[v]^{1} \equiv 1$ (modulo 2) for $v \neq C$, we deduce $[v]^{1}= \pm 1$. Choose $v \in X(g) \backslash C$ such that $N\left([v]^{2}\right) \geqq N\left([w]^{2}\right)$ for all $w \in X(g) \backslash C$. Then there exists $T$ such that $\{C, v\} T=\left\{C, e_{7}\right\}$ and $N_{X(g) T}(0,1) \geqq N_{X i g) T}(1,1)$. The remaining part of the proposition is proved by considering $F\left(Y_{5}^{20} \cup e_{7}\right)=F\left(Y_{5}^{20} \cup e_{6}\right) \sigma$ where $\boldsymbol{e}_{i} \sigma=\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq 5, \boldsymbol{e}_{6} \sigma=\boldsymbol{e}_{7}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{7} \sigma=\boldsymbol{e}_{6}$. On considering $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$, the result follows similarly to Lemma 23.

Lemma 25. There is no perfect form of category (iv) which contains a subset equivalent to $G_{1}$ or $G_{4}$.

Proof. The coefficients of $a(x)$ defined by $G$ are $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{4}=\gamma_{5}=\gamma_{7}=1$ and by $G_{4}$ are $1=\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{7}, \gamma_{3}=\gamma_{4}=\gamma_{5}=0$. As all vectors in $X(f) \backslash G_{1}$ and $X(f) \backslash G_{4}$ have the same 2 -ending (by Proposition 21) in neither case can the parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be determined separately.

Lemma 26. There is no perfect form of category (iv) whose minimal matrix contains a subset equivalent to $G_{2}$ or $G_{3}$.

Proof. $G$ determines the form $a(x)$ with the relations $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{7}=1$, $1+\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{4}=\gamma_{5}$ and $G_{3}$ determines the form $a(x)$ with the relations $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{7}=1, \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{4}=\gamma_{5}$. Hence if we assume $X(f) \supset G_{i}(i=2$ or 3$)$, $X(f)$ requires at least three minimal vectors with 2 -ending congruent modulo 2 to $(1,1)$. Since $\Delta_{5}(f)=2$ and $\Delta_{7}(f) \leqq 4$ we see that if $v \in X(f) \mid G_{i}$ then $[v]^{2} \in \pm\{(1,1),(-1,1)\}$. If the vectors of $X(f) \backslash G_{i}$ do not combine with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$, then by Lemmas 6 and $18, N_{X(f)}(1,1) \geqq 8$ and $X(f)$ contains at least forty-four minimal vectors. But, by Watson (1971a), $f$ is equivalent to $E_{7}$ and does not belong to category (iv). Hence the vectors $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}$ of $X(f) \backslash G_{i}$ combine with respect to $Y_{5}{ }^{20}$.

It can be easily seen that if the minimum value of $a(x)$ is one, $0 \leqq \varepsilon \leqq 1$, whilst if $\varepsilon=0$ or $\varepsilon=1, X(f) \supset Y_{6}^{36} T$ for some integral unimodular $T$. Consequently, the value of $\varepsilon$ must not be integral. Let $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}=\left(w_{i 1}, \cdots, w_{i 7}\right)$ where $\left|w_{i 6}\right|=w_{i 7}=1$. For both $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}, \varepsilon$ is determined from a system of three equations: $\varepsilon w_{j 2} w_{j 6}+\gamma_{3} w_{j 7}\left(w_{j 2}+w_{j 3}+w_{j 4}+w_{j 5}\right)+\gamma_{6} w_{j 6} w_{j 7}=$ integer for suitable $j \in\{1, \cdots, k\}$. Assume, without loss of generality that $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. Consider the determinant of the set of equations

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
w_{12} w_{16} & \left(w_{12}+w_{13}+w_{14}+w_{15}\right) w_{17} & w_{17} w_{16} \\
w_{22} w_{26} & . & \cdot \\
w_{32} w_{36} & . & w_{37} w_{36}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Since $w_{17}=w_{27}=w_{37}=1, w_{16}=w_{26}+w_{36} \in\{+1,-1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{3}$ combine with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$,
$\pm D=\left|\begin{array}{lcc}* & * & 1 \\ y_{12} & y_{12}+y_{13}+y_{14}+y_{15} & 0 \\ y_{22} & y_{22}+y_{23}+y_{24}+y_{25} & 0 \\ y_{12} & y_{13}+y_{14}+y_{15} \\ y_{22} & y_{23}+y_{24}+y_{25}\end{array}\right| \quad l$
where $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}=\left(y_{11}, \cdots, y_{17}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}=\left(y_{21}, \cdots, y_{27}\right)$ are 2 combining vectors of $Y_{5}^{20}$. But it is easily seen that $\left\{\left(z_{2}, z_{3}+z_{4}+z_{5}\right) \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{7}\right) \in Y_{5}^{20}\right\}=$
$\{ \pm(1,0), \pm(0,1), \pm(1,-2), \pm(0,0)\}$. Since $\varepsilon$ is not an integer, $|D|$ must not be 0 or 1 . Consequently we must have $\left(y_{12}, y_{13}+y_{14}+y_{15}\right) \in\{(+1,0),(-1,0)\}$ and $\left(y_{22}, y_{23}+y_{24}+y_{25}\right) \in\{(1,-2),(-1,2)\}$. But then $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ do not combine with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$. The lemma is thereby proved.

It remains now to consider cases (v) and (vi) of Proposition 24. We require first the following lemma.

Lemma 27. If $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{y}_{5} \in Y_{5}^{20}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{3}, \boldsymbol{y}_{4}\right\}$ is a combining set with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$ then $D=\operatorname{det}\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{5}\right\}<2$. If $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$ combines with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$ then $D<2$ unless $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$ is equivalent to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P^{-1} .
$$

Proof. $D \leqq \Delta_{5}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)=2$. If $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{y}_{4}\right\}$ is a combining set, by Lemma 22, it can be transformed to $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}-\boldsymbol{e}_{j} \mid j=2,3,4,5\right\} P^{-1}$ and as no vector of $Y_{5}^{20} P$ is congruent modulo 2 to $e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}$, clearly $D \neq 2$. If $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$ is a combining set we can assume it to be $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}-e_{j} \mid j=2,3,4\right\}$ or $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{3}\right.$, $\left.e_{1}+e_{4}+e_{5}\right\}$ and it is easy to check that $D$ can be two only in the latter case.

Proposition 28. If $X(f)$ belongs to cases (v) or (vi) of Proposition 24, then $X(f)$ is equivalent to a minimal matrix containing $A_{1}=\left\{A, E_{1}\right\}, A_{2}=\left\{A, E_{2}\right\}$, $B_{1}=\left\{B, E_{2}\right\}$ where $E_{1}=\left\{e_{7}, e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{7}, e_{1}-e_{3}+e_{7}, e_{1}+e_{4}+e_{5}+e_{7}\right\} P^{-1}$ and $E_{2}=\left\{e_{7}, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{7}, e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{7}, e_{3}-e_{5}+e_{7}\right\} P^{-1}$.

Proof. Since $X(f)$ belongs to cases (v) or (vi) of Proposition 24, we assume $X(f)$ contains $A$ or $B$. As has been noted before $X(f) \ngtr Y_{6}^{36} T$ for any integral unimodular $T$ implies that the coefficient $\varepsilon$ of $a(x)$ or $b(x)$ is not an integer. It may also again be assumed that $e_{7} \subseteq X(f)$ and that $N_{X(f)}(1,0) \geqq N_{X(f)}(0,1)$ $\geqq N_{X(\rho)}(1,1)$. The coefficients $\varepsilon, \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \psi_{6}$ of $a(\boldsymbol{x})$ or $b(\boldsymbol{x})$ are determined by seven vectors $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{r}$ with $\left[w_{i}\right]^{2}=(0,1)$ and $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{t}$ with $\left[z_{i}\right]^{2}=\left[z_{j}\right]^{2} \in$ $\{(1,1),(-1,1)\}$ for all $1 \leqq i<j \leqq t$. Here $t=7-r$ and $r+1 \geqq t$. On writing $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}=\left(w_{i 1}, \cdots, w_{i 7}\right)$ and $z_{i}=\left(z_{i 1}, \cdots, z_{i 7}\right)$ the equations which determine the coefficients are

$$
\begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_{i} w_{j i} w_{j 7}=\text { integer } & \text { for } j=1, \cdots r \\ \varepsilon z_{j k} z_{j 6}+\sum_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_{i} z_{j i} z_{j 7}=\text { integer } & \text { for } j=1, \cdots t\end{cases}
$$

where $k=1$ or $k=2$ according as $X(f)$ contains $B$ or $A$. Since $\varepsilon$ is not an in-
teger the determinant $D$ of these equations is not 0,1 or -1 . The sets $\left\{w_{1}, \cdots, w_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{t}\right\}$ combine with respect to $Y_{5}^{20}$, so by Lemma $22, r \leqq 4$. As $1+r \geqq 7-r$ we need consider only $r=4$ and $r=3$.

Let us first assume $r=4$. On simplifying we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm D=\left|\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & w_{11} w_{12} & \cdots & w_{15} & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & & . & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & & . & 0 \\
0 & w_{41} & \cdots & w_{45} & 0 \\
z_{1 k} & z_{11} & \cdots & z_{15} & 1 \\
z_{2 k} & \cdot & & . & 1 \\
z_{3 k} & z_{31} & \cdots & z_{35} & 1
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & w_{11} & w_{12} & \cdots & w_{15} \\
0 & . & . & . & . \\
0 & . & . & . & . \\
0 & . & . & . & w_{45} \\
y_{1 k} & y_{11} & . & . & y_{15} \\
y_{2 k} & y_{21} & . & . & y_{25}
\end{array}\right| \begin{array}{l}
\text { where } z_{1}-z_{3}=y_{1} \in Y_{5}^{20} \\
\text { and } \\
z_{1}-z_{2}=y_{2} \in Y_{5}^{20} \\
\text { and } \\
y_{1}-y_{2} \in Y_{5}^{20} .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $D \neq 0,\left(y_{1 k}, y_{2 k}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Define $y_{0}$ by $y_{0}={ }^{5}\left[y_{1}\right]$ if $y_{1 k}=0, y_{0}={ }^{5}\left[y_{2}\right]$ if $y_{2 k}=0$ and $y_{0}={ }^{5}\left[y_{1}-y_{2}\right]$ otherwise. Then, since $\left|z_{i}\right| \leqq 1$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq 5$ and $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{5}, 0,0\right) \in Y_{5}^{20}$ we have $|D|=\operatorname{Det}\left({ }^{5}\left[w_{1}\right],{ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right],{ }^{5}\left[w_{3}\right],{ }^{5} \boldsymbol{w}[4], y_{0}\right)$. But by Lemma 27, $|D| \in\{0,1\}$ so there is no suitable minimal matrix for $r=4$ and we assume the other possibility, $r=3$. Treating $D$ similarly we arrive at $|D|=\operatorname{Det}\left\{{ }^{5}\left[w_{1}\right],{ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right],{ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{3}\right], \boldsymbol{y}_{0}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right\}$ where $\left({ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right], 0,0\right),\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}, 0,0\right) \in Y_{5}{ }^{20}$; $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$ is a combining set with respect to $Y_{5}{ }^{20}$ and $\left(y_{0}, 0,0\right),\left(y_{1}, 0,0\right) \in Y_{5}{ }^{20}$. By Lemma 27, $D \notin\{0,1,-1\}$ only if $\left\{{ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\right],{ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right],{ }^{5}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_{3}\right]\right\}$ is equivalent under $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{5}^{20}\right)$ to $\left\{(1,-1,0,0,0,0,0),(1,0,-1,0,0,0,0),(1,0,0,1,1,0,0\} P^{-1}\right.$. On examining such combining sets inequivalent on $A$ and $B$, the proposition follows.

Proposition 29. If $X(f)$ belongs to cases (v) or (vi) of Proposition 24, $f$ is equivalent to the form $\phi_{6}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)=\sum_{1 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq 7} x_{i} x_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{6}\right.$ $\left.+x_{2} x_{5}+x_{2} x_{6}+2 x_{3} x_{4}+2 x_{3} x_{5}+2 x_{3} x_{6}+x_{4} x_{5}+x_{6} x_{7}\right)$.

Proof. On calculating the coefficients for the arrays of Proposition 28 we have for $A_{1}$ and $B_{1} \gamma_{1}=1+\alpha+\beta, \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}=-1, \gamma_{4}=\alpha, \gamma_{5}=\beta, \gamma_{6}=\gamma$ whilst for $A_{2}$ and $B_{2} \gamma_{1}=-1, \gamma_{2}=\beta, \gamma_{3}=\alpha, \gamma_{4}=\alpha-1, \gamma_{5}=\alpha-1, \gamma_{6}=\gamma$. Consequently no minimal matrix containing $A_{2}$ which satisfies Proposition 21 can determine separately the parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\beta$, so $A_{2}$ is not a suitable subset of $X(f)$. Once again if the minimum value of $f$ is to be one and $f$ belongs to category (iv) we require $0<\varepsilon<1$.

If $X(f) \supset B$, the determinant of the definining equations is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm D & =\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
z_{11} z_{16} & \left(z_{11}+z_{14}\right) z_{17} & \left(z_{11}+z_{15}\right) z_{17} & z_{16} z_{17} \\
z_{21} z_{26} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
z_{31} z_{36} & \cdot & \cdot & z_{46} z_{47} \\
z_{41} z_{46} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{lll}
y_{11} & y_{14} & y_{15} \\
y_{21} & y_{24} & y_{25} \\
y_{31} & y_{34} & y_{35}
\end{array}\right| \text { for combining set }\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\} \\
\text { of vectors in } Y_{5}^{20} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

But it is simple to check for such a set $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{3}\right\}, D \in\{0, \pm 1\}$ so that $\varepsilon$ is an integer. Consequently, $B_{1}$ is not a suitable subset of $X(f)$. Similarly for $B_{2}$ we require

$$
\pm D=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13}+y_{14}+y_{15} \\
y_{21} & \cdot & \cdot \\
y_{31} & . & y_{33}+y_{34}+y_{35}
\end{array}\right| \notin\{0,1,-1\}
$$

and again it is easy to check that this never happens for a combining set $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{3}\right\}$.

With $A_{1}$ we require
$\pm D=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}y_{12} & y_{11}+y_{14} & y_{11}+y_{15} \\ y_{22} & . & . \\ y_{32} & . & y_{31}+y_{45}\end{array}\right| \notin\{0,+1\}$.

As $\left\{\left(w_{2}, w_{1}+w_{4}, w_{1}+w_{5}\right) \mid \boldsymbol{w} \in \pm Y_{5}^{20}\right\}= \pm\{(0,1,1),(0,0,1),(0,1,0),(1,0,1)$, $(1,1,0),(1,0,0),(1,-1,0),(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1)\}$ there are combining sets for which $|D|=2$, although not for which $|D|>2$. Since $0<\varepsilon<1$, we have in consequence, $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$. Also if $\alpha$ or $\beta$ is integral, the minimal matrix contains further minima with $x_{6}=0$, so we have $\alpha, \beta \in\left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Since $|1+\alpha+\beta|<1$ (otherwise we have another unsuitable minimal vector), we therefore have $\alpha=\beta=-\frac{1}{2}$. Then since $f(0,0,1,0,0,-1,1)=1-\gamma \geqq 1$ we have $\gamma \leqq 0$, hence $\gamma=0$, $\gamma=-\frac{1}{2}$ or $\gamma=-1$. But the form with $\gamma=-\frac{1}{2}$ is not perfect whilst if $\gamma=0$ or $\gamma=-1$ we obtain perfect forms as given in the proposition.

Thus, the above lemmas, and propositions have proved that there is only one class of perfect forms belonging to category (iv), namely those equivalent to $\phi_{6}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{7}\right)$ which has thirty-six minimal vectors and determinants $4 / 2^{7}$.

## 5. Category (v) of Theorem 11

In this section we search for all perfect forms $f$ such that $\Delta_{4}(f)=2$ and $X(f)$ contains no set of twenty minimal vectors in a 5 -space. By Proposition 10, it can be assumed that $X(f) \supset Y_{5}^{16}$ or, if $N(z) \leqq 3$ for all nonzero 3-vectors $z$, that $X(f) \supset Y_{5}^{15}$. Further, in the proof of Proposition 10 , it is shown that if $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in X(f) \backslash Y_{4}^{12}$ and $[\boldsymbol{v}]^{3} \equiv[\boldsymbol{w}]^{3}(\operatorname{modulo} 2)$ then $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ combine with respect to $Y_{4}^{12}$.

Lemma 30. If $X(f) \supset Y_{5}{ }^{r}$ where $r=15$ or 16 and $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in X(f) \backslash Y_{5}{ }^{r}$ then $[v]^{2}$ is primitive (or maybe zero if $r=15$ ) and $[v]^{2} \equiv[\boldsymbol{w}]^{2}$ (modulo 2) implies that $[\boldsymbol{v}]^{2}= \pm[\boldsymbol{w}]^{2}$.

Proof. If $[v]^{2} \neq 0$ and $k$ divides $[v]^{2}$ then $k \cdot \Delta_{5}\left(Y_{5}{ }^{r}\right)=2 k \leqq \Delta_{6}(f) \leqq 3$ so $k=1$ and $[\boldsymbol{v}]^{2}$ is primitive. Now assume that $[\boldsymbol{v}]^{2} \equiv[\boldsymbol{w}]^{2} \not \equiv 0$ (modulo 2) and $[v]^{2} \neq \pm[w]^{2} . X(f)$ may then be transformed by an integral unimodular transformation $T$ so that $X(f) T$ contains $\left\{Y_{5}{ }^{r}, \boldsymbol{w} T=e_{6}, v T\right\}$ where $[v T]^{2}=(1,2)$. Also if $\boldsymbol{v}_{0} T \equiv \boldsymbol{v} T$ (modulo 2 ) and $\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{0} T\right]^{2}= \pm(1,2)$ then $\left\{Y_{5}{ }^{r}, \boldsymbol{e}_{6}, v_{0} T\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{Y_{5}{ }^{r}, \boldsymbol{e}_{6}, v T\right\}$. Using this transformation and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Y_{5}{ }^{r}\right)$ it can be readily seen that if $r=16$, we must consider $v T \in\{(1,0,0,0,1,1,2),(0,1,0,0,1,1,2)$, $(0,0,0,1,1,1,2)\}$ and if $r=15, v T \in\{(1,0,0,0,1,1,2),(0,1,0,0,1,1,2),(1,1,0$, $1,1,1,2),(0,0,0,1,1,1,2)\}$. As the case of $r=15$ is similar, we consider only $r=16$. If $\boldsymbol{v} T=(1,0,0,0,1,1,2)$ then clearly $\Delta_{3}(X(f))=2$. If $\boldsymbol{v} T=(0,1,0,0,1,1,2)$ then, by Corollary 3 another sixteen minimal vectors belong to $X(f T)$. After calculating the coefficients defined by this array, it can be seen that there are even more minimal vectors and twenty vectors belong to the 5 -space $x_{6}=x_{7}=0$. If $\boldsymbol{v} T=(0,0,0,1,1,1,2)$, by Corollary 3 twelve more vectors belong to $X(f T)$ and there are twenty vectors in the 5 -space $x_{2}=x_{3}=0$. Hence if $r=16$, $[v]^{2} \equiv[w]^{2}$ (modulo 2) implies that $[v]^{2}= \pm[w]^{2}$. The proof for $r=15$ is done by a similar examination of cases.

The procedure for completing $Y_{5}{ }^{r}(r=15,16)$ to a minimal matrix is now established. Since equations from $Y_{5}{ }^{r}$ determine $(r-2)$ coefficients, there exists an integral 2-vector $z \neq 0(\bmod 2)$ such that $Y_{5}{ }^{r} \cup\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \in X(f),[v]^{2} \equiv z(\bmod 2)\right\}$ determines at least $(r+3)$ coefficients. On transforming one such vector $\boldsymbol{v}$ to $\boldsymbol{e}_{6}$, it can be shown that $X(f)$ contains one of the fourteen inequivalent double subscripted 6-arrays listed below. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}=\left\{Y_{5}^{15}, e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{6}\right\} \\
& A_{3}=\left\{Y_{5}^{15}, e_{6}, e_{2}+e_{6},-e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{6}\right\} \\
& D_{2}=\left\{Y_{5}^{16}, e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{6},-e_{2}-e_{4}+e_{6}\right\} \\
& C_{1}=\left\{Y_{5}^{16}, e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{4}+e_{6},-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}=\left\{Y_{5}^{16}, e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{4}+e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{6}\right\} \\
& C_{3}=\left\{Y_{5}^{16}, e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{6},-e_{2}-e_{4}+e_{6}, e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $w=-e_{5}+e_{6}$ and $u=e_{5}+e_{6}$. The fourteen inequivalent 6 -arrays are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{21}=\left\{A_{2}, w, e_{2}+w\right\} \\
& A_{22}=\left\{A_{2}, e_{1}+u, 2 e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+2 e_{4}+u\right\} \\
& A_{31}=\left\{A_{3}, e_{1}+u, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{4}+u,-e_{3}-e_{4}+u\right\} \\
& A_{32}=\left\{A_{3}, e_{1}+u, e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{4}+u\right\} \\
& D_{22}=\left\{D_{2}, w, e_{3}+w\right\} \\
& C_{31}=\left\{C_{3}, w, e_{3}+w\right\} \\
& C_{32}=\left\{C_{3}, w\right\} \\
& C_{33}=\left\{C_{3}, e_{3}+w\right\} \\
& C_{11}=\left\{C_{1}, w\right\} \\
& C_{12}=\left\{C_{1}, e_{1}-e_{2}+w\right\} \\
& C_{21}=\left\{C_{2}, w\right\} \\
& C_{22}=\left\{C_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2}+u\right\} \\
& C_{23}=\left\{C_{1}, e_{1}+u, 2 e_{1}+e_{4}+u, e_{1}+e_{4}+u\right\} \\
& C_{24}=\left\{C_{23}, e_{1}-e_{2}+u\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 31. There are six equivalence classes of perfect forms with $\mathrm{I}_{4}=2$ which have no set of twenty minimal vectors in a 5 -space. They are epresented by:

$$
\phi_{19}(x)=x\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
4 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & -2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\
-2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right) \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathbf{t r}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{9}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
4 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
1 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4
\end{array} \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{t}},\right. \\
& K_{5}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
6 & 3 & 3 & 3 & -3 & -2 & -3 \\
3 & 6 & 3 & 3 & -3 & 0 & -2 \\
3 & 3 & 6 & 2 & -3 & -3 & -3 \\
3 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-3 & -3 & -3 & 0 & 6 & 1 & 2 \\
-2 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 1 \\
-3 & -2 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 6
\end{array}\right], \\
& K_{6}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
6 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 6 & 0 & -3 & 1 & -3 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 6 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-3 & -3 & -3 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
-3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 6 & -2 & -2 \\
-1 & -3 & 1 & 2 & -2 & 6 & 2 \\
-1 & -3 & 1 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 6
\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{r}}, \\
& K_{7}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrc}
4 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\
-2 & -2 & -2 & 4 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 4 & -2 \\
2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -2 & 4
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{r}}, \\
& K_{8}(x)=x\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
4 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & -2 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
-2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\
-2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\
1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{r}},
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two forms above are "well-known" and have thirty-four and thirty-two pairs of minimal vectors respectively. $K_{5}, K_{6}$ and $K_{8}$ each have thirty minimal vectors and $K_{7}$ has thirty two. These perfect forms were announced for the first time in Stacey (1975).

Proof. Unfortunately the calculations involved in extending all the 6 -arrays are long and a computer is required. The computation required approximately fifteen minutes on the Oxford Computing Centre's 1906A after considerable experimentation to find a workable method.

The success of the computation depends largely on having an efficient algorithm for implementing Corollary 3. For the computation the notion of compatible fitting vectors is extended so that if $A$ is one of the 6 -arrays listed above $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{w} \in F\left(\left\{A, e_{7}\right\}\right), \boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ are compatible if and only if
(i) $\boldsymbol{v - w}+e_{7} \in F\left(\left\{A, e_{7}\right\}\right)$,
(ii) $[v]^{3} \equiv[w]^{3}$ (modulo 2) implies $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ combine with respect to $Y_{4}{ }^{12}$,
(iii) whenever $\operatorname{Det}\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{3}, \boldsymbol{w}_{4}\right\}=2$ for $\boldsymbol{w}_{i} \in\{A, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}\}$ implies, by Corolary 3 , the existence of $v_{0}$ with $\left[v_{0}\right]^{1}= \pm 1$ in $X(f)$, then $v_{0} \in F\left\{\left(A, e_{7}\right\}\right)$ and he pairs $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\}$ satisfy (i) and (ii) above.

The 6 -arrays are extended in the following way. If $\boldsymbol{v} \in X(f) \backslash A$ then $[v]^{1}\left|\cdot \Delta_{5}\left(Y_{5}^{r}\right)=2\right|[v]^{1} \mid \leqq \Delta_{6}(f)<4$, so that $[v]^{1}= \pm 1$. Choose a $v \in X(f) \backslash A$ uch that $N\left([v]^{2}\right) \geqq N(0,1), N(1,1)$. There exists an integral unimodular transormation $T$ such that $\boldsymbol{v} T=\boldsymbol{e}_{7}, A T=A$ and $X(f) T$ has the property that $\mathrm{V}(1,0) \geqq N(0,1) \geqq N(1,1)$. The usual counting argument on the number of :oefficients to be determined gives a bound on $N(0,1)$ and all suitably sized :ompatible subsets of fitting vectors with 2 -ending $(0,1)$ can then be found. There tre about 400 of these. The computer programme made elementary use of the quivalences of Lemma 6 to eliminate redundant arrays and always made full ise of Corollary 3 . The arrays can then be completed by searching for compatible ubsets of $F\left(\left\{A, e_{7}\right\}\right)$ with 2 -ending congruent to ( 1,1 ) modulo 2 . If a sufficiently arge array is produced, it is tested to see if it defines a perfect form. The perfect orms are then divided into equivalence classes by hand.

Propostion 32. $K_{8}(x)$ is not equivalent to either of the perfect forms $L_{7}^{2}$ of larnes $(1959)$ or $R_{7}(5,2)$ of Scott $(1964)$ although all three have thirty minizal vectors and, when the minimum value is one, determinant $3^{4} \cdot 2^{-11}$.

Proof. $\Delta_{4}\left(L_{7}^{2}\right)=\Delta_{4}\left(R_{7}(5,2)\right)=1$ but $\Delta_{4}\left(K_{8}\right)=2$.
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