ON IWASAWA THEORY OF RUBIN–STARK UNITS AND NARROW CLASS GROUPS

YOUNESS MAZIGH

Faculté des sciences de Meknès, Département de mathématiques, Université Moulay Ismail, B.P. 11201 Zitoune, Meknès 50000, Maroc e-mail: y.mazigh@edu.umi.ac.ma

(Received 3 February 2018; revised 25 August 2018; accepted 13 September 2018; first published online 31 October 2018)

Abstract. Let K be a totally real number field of degree r. Let K_{∞} denote the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of K, and let L_{∞} be a finite extension of K_{∞} , abelian over K. The goal of this paper is to compare the characteristic ideal of the χ -quotient of the projective limit of the narrow class groups to the χ -quotient of the projective limit of the rth exterior power of totally positive units modulo a subgroup of Rubin–Stark units, for some $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ -irreducible characters χ of $\text{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K_{\infty})$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R23, 11R29, 11R42.

1. Introduction. Let K be a number field, and let Cl_K^+ denote the narrow class group of K, that is, the quotient group of the group of fractional ideals of K modulo the subgroup of principal fractional ideals generated by a totally positive element α of K, i.e., α is an element of K^* such that $\sigma(\alpha)$ is positive for every embedding $\sigma : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The natural homomorphism of Cl_K^+ onto the ideal class group of K induces, for every odd prime p, an isomorphism of the p-primary component of Cl_K^+ onto the p-class group of K. But, the 2-primary components are not necessarily isomorphic. Before we explain our results in details, we set some notation.

Let *K* be a totally real number field of degree $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let K_{∞} denote the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of *K* and L_{∞} a finite extension of K_{∞} , abelian over *K*. Fix a decomposition of

$$\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K) = \operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K_{\infty}) \times \Gamma, \ \Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Then, the fields $L := L_{\infty}^{\Gamma}$ and K_{∞} are linearly disjoint over K.

If F/K is a finite abelian extension of K, we write $A^+(F)$ for the 2-part of the narrow class group of F and $\mathcal{E}^+(F)$ for the group of the totally positive units of F. For a \mathbb{Z} -module M, let $\widehat{M} = \lim_{n \to \infty} M/2^n M$ denote the 2-adic completion of M. Let

$$A^+_{\infty} := \varprojlim A^+(F)$$
 and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^+_{\infty} := \varprojlim \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^+(F)$,

where the projective limit is taken over all finite sub-extensions of L_{∞} , with respect to the norm maps. Let

$$\chi: G_K \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2^{\times}$$

YOUNESS MAZIGH

be a non-trivial totally even character of the absolute Galois G_K of K (i.e., it is trivial on all complex conjugations inside G_K) that factors through L. Denote the ring generated by the values of χ over \mathbb{Z}_2 by \mathcal{O} , and let Δ be the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ denote the ring \mathcal{O} on which Δ acts via χ . For any $\mathbb{Z}_2[\Delta]$ -module M, we define the χ -quotient M_{χ} of M by

$$M_{\chi} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}(\chi).$$

For any profinite group \mathcal{G} , we define the Iwasawa algebra

$$\mathcal{O}[[\mathcal{G}]] := \lim \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}],$$

where the projective limit is over all finite quotients \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} . In case $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma$, we shall write

$$\Lambda := \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]].$$

Let L_{χ} denote the fixed field of ker(χ), and let K(1) be the maximal 2-extension inside the Hilbert class field of K. In the sequel, we will assume (for simplicity) that

$$L = L_{\chi}$$
 and $K = L \cap K(1)$.

In particular, L is totally real.

For a 2-adic prime p of K, let Frob_p denote a Frobenius element at p inside the absolute Galois group of K. Assume that

- (\mathcal{H}_1) the extension L/\mathbb{Q} is unramified at 2,
- (\mathcal{H}_2) for any 2-adic prime \mathfrak{p} of K, we have $\chi(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 1$,
- (\mathcal{H}_3) the Leopoldt conjecture holds for every finite extension F of L in L_{∞} for the prime 2.

We will denote by $\widehat{\operatorname{St}}_{\infty}^+$ the projective limit $\varinjlim_n \widehat{St}_n^+$, where St_n^+ is the module constructed

by the Rubin–Stark elements (see Definition 3.1). In particular, \widehat{St}^+_{∞} is a submodule of

$$\bigwedge \widehat{\mathcal{E}^+_{\infty}}.$$

In [9], for a fixed odd rational prime p, we used the theory of Euler systems to bound the size of the χ -quotient of the p-class groups by the characteristic ideal of the χ -quotient of the rth exterior power of units modulo Rubin–Stark units, in the non-semi-simple case, thus extending the results of [4].

In this paper, we consider the case p = 2. More precisely, we use the Euler system formed by Rubin–Stark elements to compare the characteristic ideal of the χ -quotient of the projective limit of the 2-part of the narrow class groups to the χ -quotient of the projective limit of the *r*th exterior power of totally positive units modulo \widehat{St}_{∞}^+ . We draw the attention of the reader to the fact that, because of many complications, the case p = 2 is not often treated in the literature, unlike [6, 14]. The following theorem summarizes our results. THEOREM 1.1. Assume that Hypotheses H_1 , H_2 and H_3 hold. Then,

$$\operatorname{char}((A_{\infty}^{+})_{\chi}) \quad divides \quad \lambda \cdot \operatorname{char}\left(\left(\left(\bigwedge^{r} \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}}\right) / \widehat{\operatorname{St}_{\infty}^{+}}\right)_{\chi}\right),$$

where λ is a power of 2 explicitly given in formula (18).

Treating the case p = 2 leads to several complications. The first comes from the non-triviality of the cohomology groups of the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{R} . More precisely, for a number field F and a real place w of F, the cohomology group $H^i(F_w, M)$ is not necessarily trivial, where M is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{F_w}]$ -module. Hence, the result of [1, Proposition 3.8] does not apply, since the cohomological dimension of $G_{K,\Sigma}$ is infinite. The second complication is the need to modify the canonical Selmer structure \mathcal{F}_{can} , and to study the Λ -structure of the projective limit of these Selmer groups. This problem is treated in Section 2.3. For this, we use a relation between the universal norms in \mathbb{Z}_p -extension and the Λ -structure of certain modules. This is already known, thanks to Vauclair who applied some homological proprieties in [19, 20] to determine this relation.

To control the contributions from infinite places, we use a slight variant of Galois cohomology, the so-called totally positive Galois cohomology $H^*_+(G_{K,\Sigma}, .)$, see Section 2.2, introduced by Kahn in [8], based on ideas of Milne [12]. Totally positive Galois cohomology has been used by several authors, such as Chinburg et al. [5] and Assim and Movahhedi [2].

2. Iwasawa theory of Selmer groups.

2.1. Selmer structures. In this subsection, we recall some definitions concerning the notion of Selmer structure introduced by Mazur and Rubin in [10, 11]. For any field k and a fixed separable algebraic closure \overline{k} of k, we write $G_k := \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ for the Galois group of \overline{k}/k . Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of a finite extension Φ of \mathbb{Q}_2 , and let D denote the divisible module Φ/\mathcal{O} . For a 2-adic representation T with coefficients in \mathcal{O} , we define

$$D(1) = D \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2(1), \qquad T^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(T, D(1)),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2(1) := \lim \mu_{2^n}$ is the Tate module.

For a number field F, let F_w denote the completion of F at a given place w of F. Let us recall the local duality theorem (cf. [12, Corollary I.2.3]): For i = 0, 1, 2, there is a perfect pairing

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2-i}(F_w, T) \times H^i(F_w, T^*) & \xrightarrow{\langle , \rangle_w} & H^2(F_w, D(1)) \cong D, \text{ if } w \text{ is finite,} \\ \widehat{H}^{2-i}(F_w, T) \times \widehat{H}^i(F_w, T^*) & \xrightarrow{\langle , \rangle_w} & \widehat{H}^2(F_w, D(1)), \text{ if } w \text{ is infinite,} \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where $\widehat{H}^*(F_w, .)$ denotes the Tate cohomology group.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let T be a 2-adic representation of G_F with coefficients in \mathcal{O} , and let w be a non-2-adic prime of F. A local condition \mathcal{F} at the prime w on T is a choice of

an \mathcal{O} -submodule $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T)$ of $H^1(F_w, T)$. For the 2-adic primes, a local condition at 2 will be a choice of an \mathcal{O} -submodule $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_2, T)$ of the semi-local cohomology group:

$$H^{1}(F_{2}, T) := \bigoplus_{w|2} H^{1}(F_{w}, T).$$

Let I_w denote the inertia subgroup of G_{F_w} . We say that T is unramified at w if the inertia subgroup I_w of w acts trivially on T. We assume in the sequel that T is unramified outside a finite set of places of F.

DEFINITION 2.2. A Selmer structure \mathcal{F} on T is a collection of the following data:

- a finite set Σ(F) of places of F, including all infinite places, all 2-adic places and all places where T is ramified,
- a local condition on T, for every $w \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F})$.

If $w \notin \Sigma(\mathcal{F})$, we will write $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T) = H^1_{ur}(F_w, T)$, where $H^1_{ur}(F_w, T)$ is the subgroup of unramified cohomology classes:

$$H^1_{w}(F_w, T) = \ker(H^1(F_w, T) \longrightarrow H^1(I_w, T)).$$

If \mathcal{F} is a Selmer structure on T, we define the Selmer group $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F, T) \subset H^1(F, T)$ to be the kernel of the localization map

$$H^1(G_{F,\Sigma(\mathcal{F})}, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F})} (H^1(F_w, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T)) ,$$

where $G_{F,\Sigma(\mathcal{F})} := \operatorname{Gal}(F_{\Sigma(\mathcal{F})}/F)$ is the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of *F* unramified outside $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$.

A Selmer structure \mathcal{F} on T determines a Selmer structure \mathcal{F}^* on T^* . Namely,

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}^*), \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(F_w, T^*) := H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T)^{\perp}, \text{ if } w \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}^*) - \Sigma_2,$$

under the local Tate pairing \langle , \rangle_w and

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(F_2, T^*) := H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_2, T)^{\perp},$$

under the pairing $\bigoplus_{w|2} \langle , \rangle_w$. Here, Σ_2 denotes the set of 2-adic places of *F*.

There is a natural partial ordering on the set of Selmer structures on *T*. Namely, we will say that $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{F}'$ if and only if

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}'}(F_w, T)$$
 for all places w.

If $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{F}'$, we have an exact sequence [10, Theorem 2.3.4]

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(F,T) \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}'}(F,T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}'}(F_{w},T) / H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(F_{w},T) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}}(F,T^{*})^{\vee}$$
$$\longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}'^{*}}(F,T^{*})^{\vee}, \tag{2}$$

where $()^{\vee}$ denotes the Pontryagin dual.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let w be a place of F, and let F_w^{ur} denote the maximal unramified extension of F_w . Define the subgroup of universal norms

$$H^{1}(F_{w}, T)^{u} = \bigcap_{F_{w} \subset k \subset F_{w}^{ur}} \operatorname{cor}_{k, F_{w}} H^{1}(k, T),$$

where the intersection is over all finite unramified extensions k of F_w . Let $H^1(F_w, T)^{u,sat}$ denote the \mathcal{O} -saturation of $H^1(F_w, T)^u$ in $H^1(F_w, T)$, i.e., $H^1(F_w, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w, T)$ is a free \mathcal{O} -module and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w, T)/H^1(F_w, T)^u$ has a finite length. For a submodule N of a finitely generated \mathcal{O} -module M, the \mathcal{O} -saturation N^{sat} of N in M is the pre-image under the canonical map $M \longrightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Phi$ of $N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Phi$. Following [11, Definition 5.1], we define the unramified Selmer structure \mathcal{F}_{ur} on T by

•
$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{ur}) := \{ \mathfrak{q} : T \text{ is ramified at } \mathfrak{q} \} \cup \{ \mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \mid 2 \} \cup \{ w : w \mid \infty \},$$

• $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w, T) = \begin{cases} H^1(F_w, T)^{u,sat}, \text{ if } w \nmid 2\infty; \\ H^1(F_w, T), & \text{ if } w \mid \infty. \end{cases}$, and
 $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_2, T) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\mid 2} H^1(F_\mathfrak{p}, T)^{u,sat}.$

For future use, we record here the following well-known properties of unramified Selmer structure:

(i)

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{ur}}(F_{w}, T^{*}) = H^{1}_{ur}(F_{w}, T^{*})_{div}, \quad H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{ur}}(F_{2}, T^{*}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|2} H^{1}_{ur}(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, T^{*})_{div}.$$
(3)

(ii) If $w \nmid 2$ and T is unramified at w, then

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{w}}(F_{w}, T) = H^{1}_{w}(F_{w}, T)$$
 and $H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}}(F_{w}, T^{*}) = H^{1}_{w}(F_{w}, T^{*}).$

(iii) Let Cl_F denote the ideal class group of F. Then,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{\infty}}(F, \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\vee} \cong Cl_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where for an abelian group A, A_{div} denotes the maximal divisible subgroup of A.

Assertion (i) follows from [15, Section 2.1.1, Lemme] and Assertions (ii) and (iii) follow immediately from [17, Lemma 1.3.5] and [10, Section 6.1], respectively.

2.2. Totally positive Galois cohomology. Let Σ be a finite set of places of F containing infinite places and all 2-adic places. If F' is an extension of F, we denote also by Σ the set of places of F' lying above places in Σ . Let $G_{F,\Sigma}$ be the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension F_{Σ} of F, which is unramified outside Σ . If w is a place of F, we denote the decomposition group of w in \overline{F}/F by G_w .

For a finite $\mathcal{O}[G_{F,\Sigma}]$ -module M, we write M_+ for the cokernel of the injective map

$$M \longrightarrow \oplus_{w \mid \infty} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M ; \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \oplus_{w \mid \infty} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M \longrightarrow M_+ \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M$ denotes the induced module. Following [8], we define the *i*th totally positive Galois cohomology $H^i_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M)$ of M by

$$H^{i}_{+}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) := H^{i-1}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M_{+}).$$

We first list the following facts that hold for an arbitrary number field F.

PROPOSITION 2.4. We have the following properties: (i) There is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i}_{+}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) \longrightarrow H^{i}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \mid \infty} H^{i}(F_{w}, M)$$
$$\longrightarrow H^{i+1}_{+}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

- (*ii*) For $i \notin \{1, 2\}$, we have $H^i_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) = 0$.
- (iii) If F'/F is an extension unramified outside Σ with Galois group G, then there is a cohomological spectral sequence

$$H^p(G, H^q_+(G_{F',\Sigma}, M)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M).$$

Proof. See [8, Section 5].

The following corollary is a direct consequence of (ii) in Proposition 2.4.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let F'/F be a finite Σ -ramified extension with Galois group G. Then, the corestriction map induces an isomorphism

$$H^2_+(G_{F',\Sigma}, M)_G \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M)$$
.

To go further, we need the following remark. If M_{Σ} denotes the cokernel of the canonical map $M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M$, then for all $i \ge 0$, we have

$$H^{i}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M_{\Sigma}) = H^{i+1}_{c}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M),$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where $H_c^{i+1}(G_{F,\Sigma}, .)$ is the continuous cohomology with compact support (for the definition, see [13, Section 5.7.2]). Note that

$$H^i_c(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) \cong H^{3-i}(G_{F,\Sigma}, M^*)^{\vee}, \tag{5}$$

for all $i \ge 1$, cf. [13, Proposition 5.7.4], where $M^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M, \mu_{2^{\infty}})$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let Σ_f denote the set of finite places in Σ . Then, there is a long exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^1(F_w, M) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{F,\Sigma}, M^*)^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^2_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(F_w, M)
\longrightarrow H^0(G_{F,\Sigma}, M^*)^{\vee} .$$
(6)

Proof. Consider the commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \oplus_{w \in \Sigma} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M \longrightarrow M_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \oplus_{w \mid \infty} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M \longrightarrow M_+ \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

678

Using the snake lemma, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_w}^{G_F} M \longrightarrow M_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow M_+ \longrightarrow 0 .$$
(7)

Taking the $G_{F,\Sigma}$ -cohomology of the exact sequence (7) and since $H^i_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) = 0$ for $i \notin \{1, 2\}$ (see Proposition 2.4), we get the exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^1(F_w, M) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{F,\Sigma}, M_{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow H^2_+(G_{F,\Sigma}, M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(F_w, M)$$
$$\longrightarrow H^2(G_{F,\Sigma}, M_{\Sigma}).$$

To obtain the desired result, it suffices to observe that

$$H^1(G_{F,\Sigma}, M_{\Sigma}) = H^1(G_{F,\Sigma}, M^*)^{\vee}$$
 and $H^2(G_{F,\Sigma}, M_{\Sigma}) = H^0(G_{F,\Sigma}, M^*)^{\vee};$

this is a consequence of properties (4) and (5).

2.3. Iwasawa theory. Throughout this subsection, we fix a totally real number field *K*. Let $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $K_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} K_n$ denote the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of *K*. Assume that all algebraic extensions of *K* are contained in a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} . If *F* is a finite extension of *K* and *w* is a place of *F*, fix a place \overline{w} of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ lying above *w*. The decomposition (resp. inertia) group of \overline{w} in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F$ is denoted by G_w (resp. I_w). If *v* is a place of *K* and *F* is a Galois extension of *K*, we denote the decomposition group of *v* in *F*/*K* by $D_v(F/K)$. Recall that

$$\chi : G_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}$$

is a non-trivial totally even character, factoring through a finite abelian extension L of K. Assume that L and K_{∞} are linearly disjoint over K. Let $L_n = LK_n$ and let $L_{\infty} = LK_{\infty}$ be the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of L. In the sequel, we will denote by T the 2-adic representation

$$T = \mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}).$$

Let Σ be a finite set of places of *K* containing all infinite places, all 2-adic places and all places where *T* is ramified. If *F* is an extension of *K*, we denote also by Σ the set of places of *F* lying above places in Σ .

Let us recall the definition of the canonical Selmer structure \mathcal{F}_{can} on T:

•
$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{can}) = \Sigma$$
,
• $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(F_w, T) = \begin{cases} H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{w}}(F_w, T), \text{ if } w \nmid 2\infty \\ H^1(F_w, T), \text{ if } w \mid \infty \end{cases}$ and

 $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{com}}(F_2, T) = \bigoplus_{w|2} H^1(F_w, T),$

where \mathcal{F}_{ur} is the unramified local condition, see Example 2.3. Let

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(FK_{\infty}, T) := \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(FK_{n}, T), \quad H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{can}}(FK_{\infty}, T^{*}) := \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{can}}(FK_{n}, T^{*}),$$

where the projective (resp. injective) limit is taken with respect to the corestriction (resp. restriction) maps. For an \mathcal{O} -module M, we denote by $M^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M, \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ its Pontryagin dual.

YOUNESS MAZIGH

Note that the Kolyvagin system (see [17, 10]) machinery permits to obtain bounds on the associated Selmer groups. More precisely, the Kolyvagin–Rubin approach shows (see [17, Theorem 2.3.3]) that if a non-trivial Euler system exists, then the index of the Euler system in $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{cam}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ gives a bound for $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{cam}}(K_{\infty}, T^*)^{\vee}$. It is well know that the Rubin–Stark elements give rise to Euler systems for the 2-adic representation $T = \mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})$ [16]. To find a bound for the narrow class group, we need to modify the canonical Selmer structure, cf. Proposition 2.12.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let F be a finite extension of K, and let \mathcal{F} be a Selmer structure on T. We define the positive Selmer structure \mathcal{F}^+ by

•
$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}^+) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}),$$

• $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+}(F_w, T) = \begin{cases} H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T), \text{ if } w \nmid \infty, \\ 0, & \text{ if } w \mid \infty. \end{cases}$

The following lemma is a first step towards our purpose.

LEMMA 2.8. Let F be a finite Galois extension of L, and let Cl_F^+ be the narrow class group of F. Then,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{ur}}(F, T^*) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(Cl_F^+, T^*).$$

Proof. Let w be a finite place of F. Since χ is a character factoring through L, the decomposition group G_w acts trivially on T^* . Then,

$$H^1_{ur}(F_w, T^*) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(G_w/I_w, T^*).$$

Moreover, G_w/I_w is torsion-free and T^* is divisible, then Hom $(G_w/I_w, T^*)$ is divisible; therefore,

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{w}}(F_{w}, T^{*}) = H^{1}_{ur}(F_{w}, T^{*}),$$

by (3). In particular, $H^1(F_w, T^*)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_w}(F_w, T^*)$ injects into $\operatorname{Hom}(I_w, T^*)$. Hence,

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{ur}}(F, T^{*}) = \ker(H^{1}(G_{F,\Sigma}, T^{*}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma} H^{1}(F_{w}, T^{*})/H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{ur}}(F_{w}, T^{*}))$$
$$= \ker(\operatorname{Hom}(G_{F,\Sigma}, T^{*}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_{f}} \operatorname{Hom}(I_{w}, T^{*})).$$

Using class field theory, we obtain the result.

Let

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(FK_{\infty}, T) := \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(FK_{n}, T), \quad H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(FK_{\infty}, T^{*}) := \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(FK_{n}, T^{*}),$$

where the projective (resp. injective) limit is taken with respect to the corestriction (resp. restriction) maps.

We now want to study the relation between $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{+,\infty}}(K_{\infty}, T^*)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{+,\infty}}(L_{\infty}, T^*)$.

DEFINITION 2.9. We define the Selmer structures \mathcal{F}_{Σ} on T by

• $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}) = \Sigma$, • $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}}(F_w, T) = H^1(F_w, T)$ if $w \in \Sigma$.

Let

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{\Sigma}}(FK_{\infty}, T) := \varprojlim_{n} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{\Sigma}}(FK_{n}, T), \quad H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{\Sigma}}(FK_{\infty}, T^{*}) := \varinjlim_{n} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{\Sigma}}(FK_{n}, T^{*})$$

where the projective (resp. injective) limit is taken with respect to the corestriction (resp. restriction) maps.

LEMMA 2.10. Let \mathcal{G} denote the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K)$. Then, the $\mathcal{O}[[\mathcal{G}]]$ -modules $H^1_{\mathcal{H}^{+,*}_{\mathrm{rom}}}(L_{\infty}, T^*)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{H}^{+,*}_{\mathrm{rom}}}(L_{\infty}, T^*)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Let Σ_2 denote the set of 2-adic places. Observe that $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{+,*} \leq \mathcal{F}_{can}^{+,*}$, then by (2) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{\Sigma}}(L_n, T^*) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{con}}(L_n, T^*) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f - \Sigma_2} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{ur}}(L_{n,w}, T^*) .$$

Passing to direct limit over n, the result follows from the proof of [1, Proposition 3.5].

The following proposition is crucial for our purpose.

PROPOSITION 2.11. The Λ -modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^*)^{\vee}$ and $(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(L_{\infty}, T^*)^{\vee})_{\text{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K_{\infty})}$ are pseudo-isomorphic.

Before we prove this result, we need a preliminary result: For every finite Galois extension F of K, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{+,*}}(F, T^{*})^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^{2}_{+}(G_{F,\Sigma}, T) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\oplus}_{w \in \Sigma_{f}} H^{2}(F_{w}, T) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (8)$$

where $\widetilde{\oplus}_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(F_w, T)$ denotes the kernel of the map $\oplus_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(F_w, T) \longrightarrow H^0(F, T^*)^{\vee}$.

Indeed, by dualizing the exact sequence defining the module $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{\Sigma}}(F, T^*)$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{+,*}}(F, T^{*}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(G_{F,\Sigma}, T^{*}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_{f}} H^{1}(F_{w}, T^{*}),$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$\oplus_{w\in\Sigma_f} H^1(F_w, T) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{F,\Sigma}, T^*)^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{+,*}}(F, T^*)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence, the exact sequence (8) follows from Proposition 2.6.

Now, we prove the Proposition 2.11.

Proof. Let *n* be a nonnegative integer, and let Δ_n denote the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$. Then, the exact sequence (8) induces the commutative diagram

YOUNESS MAZIGH

where all vertical maps are induced by the corestriction. The one of the middle is an isomorphism by Corollary 2.5. By the snake lemma, we obtain

$$\operatorname{coker}(N'_n) \cong \operatorname{ker}(N''_n)$$
 and $\operatorname{ker}(N'_n) \cong \operatorname{coker}(\alpha_n)$,

where

$$\alpha_n: H_1(\Delta_n, H^2_+(G_{L_n,\Sigma}, T)) \longrightarrow H_1(\Delta_n, \widetilde{\oplus}_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(L_{n,w}, T)).$$

The orders of the groups

$$H_0(\Delta_n, \widetilde{\oplus}_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(L_{n,w}, T))$$
 and $H_1(\Delta_n, \widetilde{\oplus}_{w \in \Sigma_f} H^2(L_{n,w}, T))$

are bounded independently of n (cf. [1, Lemma 3.7]). Therefore, the Λ -modules

 $H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{con}}(K_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee}$ and $(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{con}}(L_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee})_{\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K_{\infty})}$ are pseudo-isomorphic. This finishes the proof.

For a nonnegative integer *n*, let A_n^+ denote the 2-part of the narrow class group of L_n , and let

$$A_{\infty}^{+} := \varprojlim_{n} A_{n}^{+},$$

where the injective limit is taken with respect to the norm maps.

PROPOSITION 2.12. If one of the hypotheses H_2 or H_3 holds, then

$$\operatorname{char}((A^+_{\infty})_{\chi}) \quad divides \quad \operatorname{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{\operatorname{con}}}(K_{\infty}, T^*)^{\vee}).$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{ur}}(L_{n,2}, T^{*})^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{ur}}(L_{n}, T^{*})^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(L_{n}, T^{*})^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_w}(L_{n,2}, T^*) \cong \bigoplus_{w|2} \operatorname{Hom}(G_w/I_w, T^*),$$

we obtain

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(L_{n,2}, T^*)^{\vee} \cong \bigoplus_{v|2} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})[\operatorname{Gal}(L_n/K)/D_v(L_n/K)].$$

Passing to the projective limit and taking the Δ -co-invariants, we get

$$(\mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})[\mathcal{G}/D_v(L_\infty/K)])_{\Delta} \simeq \begin{cases} \text{finite,} & \text{if } \chi(D_v(L/K)) \neq 1; \\ \mathcal{O}[\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)/D_v(K_\infty/K)], & \text{if } \chi(D_v(L/K)) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta = \text{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K_{\infty})$. Using Proposition 2.11 and Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\operatorname{char}((A_{\infty}^+)_{\chi})$$
 divides $\mathcal{J}^s \cdot \operatorname{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^*)^{\vee}),$

where \mathcal{J} is the augmentation ideal of Λ and $s = \#\{v \mid 2; \chi(\operatorname{Frob}_v) = 1\}$. Since L is totally real, the characteristic ideal char $((A_{\infty})_{\chi})$ is prime to \mathcal{J} , by Leopoldt conjecture.

The exact sequence

$$\oplus_{v\mid\infty}H^1_{I_w}(K_v, T) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{ur}}(K_\infty, T^*)^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(K_\infty, T^*)^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0$$

and Lemma 2.8 show that

$$\operatorname{char}((A_{\infty}^+)_{\chi}) | \operatorname{char}((A_{\infty})_{\chi}) \cdot \operatorname{char}(\bigoplus_{v \mid \infty} H^1_{Iw}(K_v, T)),$$

where $H_{Iw}^1(K_v, T) := \lim_{\leftarrow n} (\bigoplus_{w|v} H^1(K_{n,w}, T))$. Since v in an infinite prime, $2H_{Iw}^1(K_v, T) = 0$ and then the characteristic ideal char $(\bigoplus_{v|\infty} H_{Iw}^1(K_v, T))$ is prime to \mathcal{J} . Hence, char $((A_{\infty}^+)_{\chi})$ is prime to \mathcal{J} . This permits to conclude.

To obtain some information about the Λ -structure of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)$, we need some facts from universal norms in \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension [19, 20]. Let

$$H^{1}_{Iw}(K,.) := \underset{n}{\lim} H^{1}(G_{K_{n},\Sigma},.)$$
 and $H^{1}_{Iw,+}(K,.) := \underset{n}{\lim} H^{1}_{+}(G_{K_{n},\Sigma},.).$

The next proposition is a first step towards Theorem 2.14, which claims that the Λ -modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{\pm}_{\infty}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{com}}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ are Λ -free.

PROPOSITION 2.13. There are canonical isomorphisms (1) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \cong H^1_{I_w}(K, T).$ (2) $H^{1_{+}}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \cong H^1_{I_{w,+}}(K, T).$

Proof. Let *n* be a nonnegative integer. By definition, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_n, T) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{K_n, \Sigma}, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \Sigma_f - \Sigma_2} H^1(K_{n, w}, T) / H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(K_{n, w}, T).$$

Passing to projective limit over n, Assertion (1) follows from the proof of [1, Proposition 3.5]. In order to obtain (2), we have on the one hand the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \mid \infty} H^{1}(K_{n,w}, T).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.4 we have an exact sequence

$$\oplus_{w\mid\infty} H^0(K_{n,w},T) \longrightarrow H^1_+(G_{K_n,\Sigma},T) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{K_n,\Sigma},T) \longrightarrow \oplus_{w\mid\infty} H^1(K_{n,w},T).$$
(9)

Since $T = \mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})$ and *w* is a real place, we have $H^0(K_{n,w}, T) = 0$. Hence,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{arm}}(K_{\infty}, T) \cong H^1_{Iw, +}(K, T).$$

We will need the following isomorphism: For any Galois extension F/F' of number fields, $K \subset F' \subset F$, the restriction map

$$\operatorname{res}: H^1(F', T) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(F, T)^{\operatorname{Gal}(F/F')}$$
(10)

induces an isomorphism. Indeed, since χ has finite order, we can assume that $\chi(G_F) = 1$. Then,

$$T^{G_F} = (\mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{G_F} = \mathbb{Z}_2(1)^{G_F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})$$

is trivial. Hence, the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}(F/F', T^{G_{F}}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F', T) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F, T)^{\operatorname{Gal}(F'/F)} \longrightarrow H^{1}(F/F', T^{G_{F}})$$

gives isomorphism (10).

For an \mathcal{O} -module M, let

 $\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is the torsion submodule and $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mathcal{O}}(M) = M/\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is the maximal torsion-free quotient of M.

THEOREM 2.14. The Λ -modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{cm}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{cm}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ are Λ -free.

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, it suffices to prove that the Λ -modules $H^1_{Iw}(K, T)$ and $H^1_{Iw,+}(K, T)$ are Λ -free. For this, we claim that

- (i) the groups $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^1_+(K_\infty, T))$ and $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^1(K_\infty, T))$ are finite, and $\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}^1_+(K_\infty, T)) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}^1(K_\infty, T)) = 0$,
- (ii) the groups $H^1(\Gamma_n, \operatorname{Fr}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}^1_+(K_\infty, T)))$ and $H^1(\Gamma_n, \operatorname{Fr}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}^1(K_\infty, T)))$ are cofinitely generated \mathcal{O} -modules,

where Γ_n denotes the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K_n)$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(K_{\infty}, .) := \underset{n}{\underset{n}{\lim}} H^{1}(G_{K_{n}, \Sigma}, .) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{1}_{+}(K_{\infty}, .) := \underset{n}{\underset{n}{\lim}} H^{1}_{+}(G_{K_{n}, \Sigma}, .).$$

Using this claim, Theorem 1.9 of [19] shows that the Λ -module $H^1_{Iw}(K, T)$ and $H^1_{Iw,+}(K, T)$ are free.

Proof of the claim: On the one hand, the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence (see Proposition 2.4)

$$H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^q_+(K_\infty, T)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}_+(G_{K_n, \Sigma}, T)$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H^{1}(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{H}^{1}_{+}(K_{\infty}, T)) \hookrightarrow H^{2}_{+}(G_{K_{n}, \Sigma}, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2}_{+}(K_{\infty}, T)^{\Gamma_{n}} \longrightarrow H^{2}(\Gamma_{n}, \mathcal{H}^{1}_{+}(K_{\infty}, T)).$$

Since $H^2_+(G_{K_n,\Sigma}, T)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O} -module and $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^1_+(K_\infty, T))$ is \mathcal{O} -torsion, the module $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^1_+(K_\infty, T))$ is finite. On the other hand, the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^q(K_\infty, T)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(G_{K_n, \Sigma}, T)$$

shows that

$$H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^1(K_\infty, T)) \longrightarrow H^2(G_{K_n, \Sigma}, T)$$

Hence, $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H}^1(K_\infty, T))$ is finite. Since L_n is totally real, by isomorphism (10), we get $\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(H^1(G_{K_n,\Sigma}, T)) = 0$. Therefore, the exact sequence (9) shows that $\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(H^1_+(G_{K_n,\Sigma}, T)) = 0$; hence,

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}^{1}_{+}(K_{\infty}, T)) = \operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}^{1}(K_{\infty}, T)) = 0$$

This proves Assertion (i). Assertion (ii) is a direct consequence of (i).

COROLLARY 2.15. The Λ -modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)$ have Λ -rank $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$;

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)) = \operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)) = [K : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{can}^+ \leq \mathcal{F}_{can}$, by (2) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{n} (\bigoplus_{w \mid \infty} H^{1}(K_{n,w}, T)).$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{com}}(K_{\infty}, T)) = \operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{com}}(K_{\infty}, T)).$$

Using the fact that $H^1(G_{L_n,\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}_2(1)) \cong U_{\Sigma}(L_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $U_{\Sigma}(L_n)$ denotes the Σ -units of L_n , Dirichlet's unit theorem and isomorphism (10) show that

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(H^1(G_{K_n,\Sigma},T)) = r2^n + t,$$

where $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and *t* is an integer independent of *n*. Then, $\operatorname{rank}_{\Lambda}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)) = r$. This proves the corollary.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will take the notations and the conventions of [9]. In particular, the construction of the group of Rubin–Stark units [9, Definition 4.5] goes on the same lines.

For a nonnegative integer *n*, the product of all distinct non-2-adic prime ideals dividing the finite part of the conductor of L_n/K is denoted by $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, which does not depend on *n*. For any ideal $\mathfrak{g} | \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, the maximal subextension of L_n whose conductor is prime to $\tilde{\mathfrak{hg}}^{-1}$ is denoted by $L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$. Let us fix a finite set *S* of places containing all infinite places, and at least one finite place, but does not contain any 2-adic prime of *K*, and a second finite, nonempty set \mathcal{T} of places of *K*, disjoint from *S* and does not contain any 2-adic prime of *K*. Let $S_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}} = S \cup \operatorname{Ram}(L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}/K)$, where $\operatorname{Ram}(L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}/K)$ denotes the set of ramified primes in $L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}/K$. Since $L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$ is a totally real field, Hypotheses 2.1.1–2.1.5 in [16, Hypotheses 2.1] on $S_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}}$, \mathcal{T} and *r* are satisfied.

Let \mathcal{E}_n (resp. \mathcal{E}_n^+) denote the group of units (resp. totally positive units) of L_n . Following [9], we gave the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let *n* be a nonnegative integer. We denote by St_n^+ the $\mathbb{Z}[Gal(L_n/K)]$ -module generated by the inverse images of $\varepsilon_{n,\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{T}}$ under the map

 \square

 $\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}_n^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}_n$ for all $\mathfrak{g} \mid \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, where $\varepsilon_{n,\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{T}}$ is the Rubin–Stark element of the Rubin–Stark conjecture $\mathbf{RS}(L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}/K, S_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}}, \mathcal{T}, r)$ [16, Conjecture B'].

Recall that for any number field F, Kummer theory gives a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}_2(1)) \cong F^{\times, \wedge} := \lim F^{\times}/(F^{\times})^{2^n}.$$

Since $\chi(G_{L_n}) = 1$ for every $n \ge 0$,

$$H^1(L_n,\mathbb{Z}_2(1))\otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})\cong H^1(L_n,\mathbb{Z}_2(1)\otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})).$$

Therefore,

$$L_n^{\times,\wedge} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}) \cong H^1(L_n, \mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})).$$
(11)

For simplicity of notation, we let ε_n stand for the Rubin–Stark element $\varepsilon_{n,\tilde{\mathfrak{h}},\mathcal{T}}$ for $\mathbf{RS}(L_n/K, S_{L_n}, \mathcal{T}, r)$. Remark 4.2 in [9] shows that ε_n can be written as $\varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r$, with $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes L_n^{\times}$ (this expression is not unique over $\operatorname{Gal}(L_n/K)$, even though ε_n is). Let

$$\varepsilon_{n,\chi} := \widehat{\varepsilon_1} \otimes 1_{\chi^{-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\varepsilon_r} \otimes 1_{\chi^{-1}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\widehat{\varepsilon_i}$ is the image of ε_i by the natural map $\mathbb{Q} \otimes L_n^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} L_n^{\times,\wedge}$. Then, under isomorphism (11), we can view each

$$\varepsilon_{n,\chi}$$
 as an element of $\mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(L_n, \mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))$.

For every $n \ge 0$, we define

$$c_n = \operatorname{cor}_{L_n, K_n}^{(r)}(\varepsilon_{n, \chi}), \tag{13}$$

where $\operatorname{cor}_{L_n,K_n}^{(r)}$ is the map

$$\mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(L_n, T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n, T)$$

induced by the corestrection map

$$\operatorname{cor}_{L_n,K_n}: H^1(L_n,T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_n,T).$$

Let ι denote the composite of the natural maps:

$$\bigwedge^{r} \underset{n}{\underset{ \underset{n}{\overset{r}{\longleftarrow}}}{\underset{n}{\underset{m}{\longleftarrow}}} H^{1}_{+}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow \underset{n}{\underset{n}{\underset{m}{\underset{m}{\longleftarrow}}} M^{1}_{+}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow \underset{n}{\underset{m}{\underset{m}{\underset{m}{\longleftarrow}}} (\mathbb{Q}_{2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \bigwedge^{r} H^{1}(K_{n}, T)), \quad (14)$$

and let

$$\tau: \bigwedge^{r} \underset{n}{\underset{i}{\underset{}}} H^{1}_{+}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r} \underset{n}{\underset{}} \underset{n}{\underset{}} H^{1}(K_{n}, T).$$

By Corollary 2.15, it is clear that τ is injective.

Let
$$c_{\infty} := \{c_n\}_{n \ge 0} \in \underset{n}{\underset{\underset{}{\leftarrow}n}{\lim}} (\mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \bigwedge H^1(K_n, T))$$
, where c_n is defined in (13). The

collection $\{c_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ gives rise to an Euler system for the 2-adic representation $T = \mathbb{Z}_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})$, in the sense of [17, Definition 2.1.1] (see, e.g., [9, Proposition 4.7]). Recall that we can associate a Kolyvagin derivative class to any Euler system for any 2-adic representation [17, Section 4.4]. In the sense of [10, Definition 3.1.3], this turn out to construct a Kolyvagin system of the canonical Selmer structure \mathcal{F}_{can} [10, Theorem 3.2.4].

LEMMA 3.2. Let η denote the lcm of the 2-adic numbers $1 - \chi(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, where \mathfrak{p} run through the set of 2-adic place of K. Let \mathfrak{c} be an element in $\iota^{-1}(\eta \cdot c_{\infty})$. Under Hypothesis \mathcal{H}_3 ,

$$\operatorname{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^*)^{\vee}) \quad divides \quad \operatorname{char}((\bigwedge^r H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T))/\Lambda\tau(\mathbf{c})).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 6.3 of [9] line by line. To obtain Theorem [9, Theorem 6.3], we proved a variant of Rubin's theorem [17, Theorem 2.3.3], loc. cit. [9, Theorem 6.1] by constructing an ad-hoc Selmer structure [9, Definition 5.6] and an associated Kolyvagin system [9, Lemma 5.13]. The construction uses the structure of $H_{Iw}^1(K(\mathfrak{r})_v, T)$ [9, Theorem 5.1], deduced from a result of Greither [7, Theorem 2.2]. Since Greither's result is also available for p = 2 [6, Proposition 2.10], the strategy used to obtain [9, Theorem 6.3] is also applicable for Lemma 3.2.

For each place v of K, let

$$H^1_{Iw}(K_v, T) = \varprojlim_n (\bigoplus_{w|v} H^1(K_{n,w}, T)).$$

By a standard argument (see [10, Lemma 5.3.1]), we have

$$H^1_{Iw}(K_v, T) \cong H^1(K_v, T \otimes \Lambda).$$

Hence, Proposition 4.2.3 of [13] shows that $H_{Iw}^1(K_v, T)$ is a finitely generated Λ -module. The following proposition is the key to the proof of our main theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.3. With the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\operatorname{char}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{\operatorname{can}}}(K_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee}) \quad divides \quad \operatorname{char}((\bigwedge^{r} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{\operatorname{can}}}(K_{\infty}, T))/\Lambda \mathbf{c}) \cdot \operatorname{char}(\oplus_{v \mid \infty} H^{1}_{Iw}(K_{v}, T)).$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{can}^+ \leq \mathcal{F}_{can}$, we have an exact sequence

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \mid \infty} H^{1}_{Iw}(K_{v}, T) \longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee}$$

$$\longrightarrow H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee} .$$
(15)

Corollary 2.15 shows that the Λ -modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{can}}(K_\infty, T)$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty, T)$ are Λ -free of rank $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, and therefore, the injection $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{can}}(K_\infty, T) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1_{\mathcal{F}^+_{can}}(K_\infty, T)$

induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(\bigwedge^{r} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)\right) / \Lambda \mathbf{c} \longrightarrow \left(\bigwedge^{r} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T)\right) / \Lambda \mathbf{c} \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\beta^{(r)}) ,$$

where $\beta^{(r)}$ denotes the map induced on the *r*th exterior power. Using the fact that

$$char(coker(\beta)) = char(coker(\beta^{(r)}))$$

(cf. [3, p. 258]), we get

$$\operatorname{char}((\bigwedge^{r} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T))/\Lambda \mathbf{c}) \cdot \operatorname{char}(\oplus_{v \mid \infty} H^{1}_{Iw}(K_{v}, T)) \cdot \operatorname{char}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{*}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee})$$
$$= \operatorname{char}((\bigwedge^{r} H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{-}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T))/\Lambda \mathbf{c}) \cdot \operatorname{char}(H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+,*}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T^{*})^{\vee}).$$

Lemma 3.2 permits to conclude.

Let *n* be a nonnegative integer, we write A_n^+ for the 2-part of the narrow class group of L_n , \mathcal{E}'_n for the 2-units of L_n and \mathcal{E}'_n^{++} for the totally positive 2-units of L_n . Let

$$A_{\infty}^{+} := \underset{n}{\lim} A_{n}^{+}, \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}'} := \underset{n}{\lim} \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{n}'}, \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}'}^{,+} := \underset{n}{\lim} \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{n}'}^{,+}$$

where all inverse limits are taken with respect to norm maps. It is well known that

$$\underset{n}{\lim} H^1(G_{L_n,\Sigma},\mathbb{Z}_2(1))\cong \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}'}.$$

Since L_n is a totally real field, Proposition 2.4 leads an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_+(G_{L_n,\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}_2(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{L_n,\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}_2(1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \mid \infty} H^1(L_{n,w}, \mathbb{Z}_2(1)) .$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} H^1_+(G_{L_n,\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}_2(1)) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\prime,+}}.$$
(16)

Recall that St_n^+ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}[Gal(L_n/K)]$ -module constructed by the Rubin–Stark elements (see Definition 3.1). Recall also that

$$c_n = \operatorname{cor}_{L_n, K_n}^{(r)}(\varepsilon_{n, \chi})$$

denotes the element defined in (13). Let $St_{\infty}^+ := \lim_{n \to \infty} St_n^+$, and let $\varepsilon_{\infty,\chi} := \{\varepsilon_{n,\chi}\}_{n \ge 1}$. Since for $n \ge 1$, $c_n = \operatorname{cor}_{L_n,K_n}^{(r)}(\varepsilon_{n,\chi})$, it follows that

$$\operatorname{res}_{K_n,L_n}^{(r)}(c_n) = \operatorname{res}_{K_n,L_n}^{(r)}(\operatorname{cor}_{L_n,K_n}^{(r)}(\varepsilon_{n,\chi}))$$
$$= |\Delta|^{r-1} N_{\Delta}(\varepsilon_{n,\chi}),$$

where $N_{\Delta} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \sigma$. Therefore, using the fact that the restriction map

$$\operatorname{res}_{K_n,L_n}: H^1(K_n,T) \longrightarrow H^1(L_n,T)^{\operatorname{Gal}(L_n/K_n)}$$

is an isomorphism by (10), we obtain

$$|\Delta|^{r-1} N_{\Delta}((\widehat{St_{\infty}^+})_{\chi}) = \Lambda c$$

where *c* is an element in the inverse image of $|\Delta|^{r-1}N_{\Delta}(\varepsilon_{\infty,\chi})$ under the composite map:

$$\bigwedge^{r} \varprojlim_{n} H^{1}_{+}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{n} \bigwedge^{r} H^{1}_{+}(K_{n}, T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{n} (\mathbb{Q}_{2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \bigwedge^{r} H^{1}(K_{n}, T)).$$

Using Proposition 2.13 and isomorphisms (10) and (16), we get

$$H^{1}_{\mathcal{F}^{+}_{can}}(K_{\infty}, T) \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{\prime,+}_{\infty}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K_{\infty})}.$$
(17)

Proof of Theorem 1.1. On the one hand, the commutative exact diagram

$$(\widehat{\operatorname{St}}^+_{\infty})_{\chi} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^+_{\infty})_{\chi} \longrightarrow (\bigwedge^r \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^+_{\infty}/\widehat{\operatorname{St}}^+_{\infty})_{\chi}$$

$$\downarrow^{|\Delta|^{r-1}N_{\Delta}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{N_{\Delta}^{(r)}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{n}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda c \longrightarrow \bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^+_{\infty})^{\chi} \longrightarrow (\bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^+_{\infty})^{\chi})/\Lambda c$$

$$\downarrow^{}_{\chi}$$

$$\operatorname{coker}(N_{\Delta}^{(r)})$$

shows that

$$\operatorname{char}((\bigwedge^{r}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}})^{\chi})/\Lambda c) \quad \operatorname{divides} \quad \operatorname{char}\left((\bigwedge^{r}\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}}/\widehat{\operatorname{St}_{\infty}^{+}})_{\chi}\right) \cdot \operatorname{char}(\operatorname{coker}(N_{\Delta}^{(r)})),$$

where $(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^+})^{\chi} = (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^+} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\Delta}$. On the other hand, isomorphism (17) and Propositions 3.3 and 2.12 show that

$$\operatorname{char}((A_{\infty}^{+})_{\chi})$$
 divides $\operatorname{char}((\bigwedge^{r}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{(,+)}})^{\chi})/\Lambda \mathbf{c}) \cdot \operatorname{char}(\oplus_{v\mid\infty}(H^{1}_{Iw}(K_{v},T))))$

where **c** is the element appearing in Proposition 3.3. Since $\chi(D_v(L/K)) \neq 1$ for any 2-adic prime of *K*, we get

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{\prime,+}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\Delta} \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\Delta}.$$

Hence, using the fact that $\iota(\mathbf{c}) = \eta \cdot \iota(c)$ (ι is the map (14)), we obtain

$$\operatorname{char}((A_{\infty}^{+})_{\chi}) \quad \operatorname{divides} \quad \lambda \cdot \operatorname{char}(((\bigwedge^{r} \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}})/\widehat{\operatorname{St}_{\infty}^{+}})_{\chi}),$$

where

$$\lambda = \eta \cdot \operatorname{char}(\operatorname{coker}(N_{\Delta}^{(r)})) \cdot \operatorname{char}(\bigoplus_{v \mid \infty} (H^{1}_{Iw}(K_{v}, T))),$$
(18)

and η is the lcm of the 2-adic numbers $1 - \chi(\text{Frob}_p)$, where p runs through the set of 2-adic place of *K*.

REMARK 3.4. The cokernel of the morphism

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}})_{\chi} \xrightarrow{N_{\Delta}} (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}^{+}})^{\chi}$$

is isomorphic to $\widehat{H}^0(\Delta, \widehat{\mathcal{E}^+_{\infty}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))$, where $\widehat{H}^0(., .)$ denotes the modified Tate cohomology group. The module $\operatorname{coker}(N_{\Delta}^{(r)})$ is then a finitely generated torsion Λ -module, annihilated by $|\Delta|$. Hence, the characteristic ideal $\operatorname{char}(\operatorname{coker}(N_{\Delta}^{(r)}))$ is a power of 2. By a standard argument (see, e.g., [10, Lemma 5.3.1]), we have

$$\oplus_{v\mid\infty}H^1_{Iw}(K_v,T)\cong \oplus_{v\mid\infty}H^1(K_v,T\otimes\Lambda).$$

Moreover, as the absolute Galois group of the field $K_v = \mathbb{R}$ is cyclic of order 2, using the cohomology of cyclic groups, we show that

$$H^1(K_v, T \otimes \Lambda) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}, \Lambda).$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda = 2^r \cdot \eta \cdot \operatorname{char}(\operatorname{coker}(N_{\Lambda}^{(r)}))$$

is a power of 2, where $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I am very grateful to J. Assim and H. Oukhaba for making several helpful comments and for their careful reading of the preliminary versions. I am also thankful to the referee for helpful comments to make this paper more readable.

REFERENCES

1. J. Assim, Y. Mazigh and H. Oukhaba, Théorie d'Iwasawa des unités de Stark et groupe de classes, *Int. J. Number Theory* **13**(5) (2017), 1165–1190.

2. J. Assim and A. Movahhedi, Galois codescent for motivic tame kernels. Submitted.

3. N. Bourbaki, *Algébre commutative: Chapitres 5 á 7* (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, Germany, 2007).

4. K. Büyükboduk, Stark units and the main conjectures for totally real fields, *Compos. Math.* **145**(5) (2009), 1163–1195.

5. T. Chinburg, M. Kolster, V. Pappas and V. Snaith, Galois structure of K-groups of rings of integers, K-Theory 14 (1998), 319–369.

6. C. Greither, Class groups of abelian fields, and the main conjecture, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **42**(3) (1992), 449–499.

7. C. Greither, On Chinburg's second conjecture for abelian fields, J. R. Angew. Math. 479 (1996), 1–37.

8. B. Kahn, Descente galoisienne et K_2 des corps de nombres, K-Theory 7 (1993), 55–100.

9. Y. Mazigh, Iwasawa theory of Rubin-Stark units and class groups, *Manuscr. Math.* 153(3–4) (2017), 403–430.

10. B. Mazur and K. Rubin, Kolyvagin systems. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 168(799) (2004), viii+96.

11. B. Mazur and K. Rubin, Controlling Selmer groups in the higher core rank case, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 28(1) (2016), 145–183.

12. J. Milne, Arithmetic duality theorems (Academic Press, Boston, 1986).

13. J. Nekovár, Selmer complexes, Astérisque 310 (2006), viii+559.

14. H. Oukhaba, On Iwasawa theory of elliptic units and 2-ideal class groups, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 27(3) (2012), 255–227.

15. B. Perrin-Riou, Théorie d'Iwasawa et hauteurs p-adiques, *Invent. Math.* 109 (1992), 137–185.

16. K. Rubin, A Stark conjecture "over \mathbb{Z} " for abelian *L*-functions with multiple zeros, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **46**(1) (1996), 33–62.

17. K. Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of mathematics studies, 147. Hermann Weyl Lectures, The Institute for Advanced Study (Princeton University Press, Princeton).

18. J. Tate, Les conjectures de Stark sur les fonctions L d'Artin en s = 0, in *Progress in mathematics*, vol. 47 (Lecture notes, Bernardi D. and Schappacher N., Editors) (Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 1984).

19. D. Vauclair, Sur les normes universelles et la structure de certains modules d'Iwasawa (2006). Available at http://www.math.unicaen.fr/~vauclair/

20. D. Vauclair, Sur la dualité et la descente d'Iwasawa, Ann. Inst. Fourier **59**(2) (2009), 691–767.