

## Factors associated with vitamin D status in Australian women

R.M. Vearing<sup>1</sup>, K. Hart<sup>2</sup>, S. Lanham-New<sup>2</sup>, M. Moraes-Mendes<sup>2</sup>, A.L. Darling<sup>2</sup>, Y. Probst<sup>1</sup> and K. Charlton<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia and

<sup>2</sup>Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

Vitamin D can be sourced from food and produced from skin exposure to sunlight.<sup>(1)</sup> In Australia and worldwide, vitamin D deficiency is highly prevalent. Data shows that 23% of the Australian population are considered to be vitamin D insufficient (25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L), increasing to 36% during the winter.<sup>(2)</sup> Current estimated average intakes do not meet dietary recommendations.<sup>(3)</sup> A lack of vitamin D, and thus reduced absorption of calcium, results in leaching of calcium stored in the bones leading to poor musculoskeletal health.<sup>(1)</sup> The aim of this study is to determine the impact that latitude, skin type, diet and sun exposure have on vitamin D status of healthy Australian women and to explore the rates and potential causes of deficiency. This cross-sectional study was conducted in Wollongong, Australia (34.42°S) during winter 2020 and spring 2021 with 100 women (> 18 years, pre- or post-menopausal). Skin types were self-defined. Serum 25(OH)D was measured through liquid chromatography mass spectrometry, dietary intake through a 4-day food record analysed using FoodWorks 10, bone density through dual energy x-ray absorptiometry and sun exposure through a polysulphone film badge worn for 4 days. Participants were aged  $41.4 \pm 15.5$  years and 98 women had valid serum 25(OH)D measurements. Of these,  $n = 1$  (1.0%) was deficient (< 25 nmol/L),  $n = 14$  (14.3%) were insufficient (25–50 nmol/L) and  $n = 41$  (41.8%) were sufficient (> 50 nmol/L), while  $n = 42$  (42.9%) had an optimal status (> 75 nmol/L) of vitamin D. The mean 25(OH)D was significantly different across skin type groups (white compared to moderate brown skin types) ( $F(4,93) = 2.6$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ), whilst average sun exposure (SED) of  $1.1 \pm 1.0$  was on the borderline of significantly predicting 25(OH)D levels ( $F(1, 92) = 3.74$ ,  $p = 0.06$ ). A significant positive correlation existed between 25(OH)D and total bone mineral density ( $r(96) = 0.27$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Mean vitamin D intake ( $n = 94$ ) was  $3.1 \pm 3.0$  µg/day, with the majority of participants (86.2%) having intakes below.<sup>(3)</sup> Logistic regression, controlling for age, showed that an increase in reported calcium intake (OR = 1.0, 95% CI [1.0, 1.0],  $p = 0.01$ ), average SED (OR = 0.0, 95% CI [0.0, 1.3],  $p < 0.001$ ) and having a lighter skin type (OR = 7.0, 95% CI [1.1, 43.3],  $p = 0.04$ ) were significantly associated with reduced odds of deficiency/insufficiency. Serum 25(OH)D was found to be higher than reported in previous Australian data,<sup>(2)</sup> which is favourable given the essential role vitamin D plays in calcium homeostasis and musculoskeletal health. However, reported dietary vitamin D intakes were low. Given the increased risk of melanoma from excessive sun exposure, targeted advice towards vitamin D-rich food sources may be helpful for those individuals with poorer vitamin D status.

### References

1. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2016) *SACN Vitamin D and health report*. London: SACN. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/groups/scientific-advisory-committee-on-nutrition>
2. Australian Bureau of Statistics (2013). *Australian health survey: biomedical results for nutrients*. Canberra: ABS. Available from: <https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/health-conditions-and-risks/australian-health-survey-biomedical-results-nutrients/latest-release>
3. National Health and Medical Research Council (2014) *Nutrient reference values: vitamin D*. Canberra: NHMRC. Available from: <https://www.nrv.gov.au/nutrients/vitamin-d>