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#### Abstract

Background. Prunus salicina L. is an important fruit tree species of great economic value which is mainly distributed in the northern hemisphere. Methods. 25 samples of Prunus salicina L. were collected from 8 provinces in China, Japan, USA, and New Zealand. The genetic variations of these samples were characterized by the random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) technique, respectively, and in combination. Results. Totally, 257 RAPD bands ranging $200 \sim 2300 \mathrm{bp}$ was found, and $81.59 \%$ of these bands were polymorphic. ISSR analysis identified 179 bands ranging $300 \sim 2500 \mathrm{bp}$, and $87.74 \%$ of the bands were polymorphic. ISSR results showed that the similarity coefficient index between samples P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, Chin) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest, while that between samples P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, Chin) and P15 (Baili in Japan) was highest. Combined analysis of RAPD and ISSR demonstrated that the similarity coefficient index between samples P4 (Qiepili in Ningbo, Zhejiang, China) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest, while that between samples P19 (Laroda in USA) and P20 (Red heart in USA) was highest. Conclusion. RAPD combined with ISSR analysis can be used for genetic characterization of Prunus L. species.


## 1. Background

Prunus salicina L., belonging to the family of Rosaceae, are one of the most important economical fruit trees and are widely cultivated all over the world. They are mainly distributed in the northern hemisphere, especially in the temperate zone [1,2]. China is one of the origin and distribution centers of Prunus L. species. Prunus L. species contain more than 430 species and are first segregated into six genera according to the morphology of fruit: Amygdalus L., Armeniaca Scop., Cerasus Mill., Laurocerasus, Padus Mill., Prunus species, and Tourn. ex Duh. However, phylogenetic analysis showed that Cerasus, Laurocerasus, and Padus were not monophyletic [3, 4]. Besides, an increasing number of new cultivars from different countries result an
important renewal of plant material worldwide [2]. It is thus necessary to characterize genetic information of Prunus L. species to cultivate new breed with improved quality characteristics.

DNA polymorphism assay based on the amplification of random DNA segments with single primers of arbitrary nucleotide sequence has been widely used for genetic diversity analysis of species [5]. Several studies have been devoted to the genetic diversity in Prunus L. species [6-8]. Recently, a number of molecular marker techniques including random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), simple sequence repeat (SSR), intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR), and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) have been developed and widely used in the identification of various organisms [4, 6, 9-11]. Among these


Figure 1: The localities of samples of P. salicina L. from different regions. The spots in black indicate the provinces in China.
techniques, RAPD and ISSR methods are two PCR-based methods that require only small amounts of DNA sample without involving radioactive labels and therefore have been widely used for genetic characterization [12]. RAPD is a technique based on the amplification of the genomic DNA with either a single or multiple short oligonucleotide primers of an arbitrary or random sequence [12]. RAPD is simple, cost-efficient, and does not require DNA sequences before application [13]. ISSR is derived from SSR, which is more abundant, informative, highly polymorphic, and efficient [14]. RAPD and ISSR methods have separately been used for genetic characterization in many species, such as Lonicera japonica Thunb. [15], synthetic hexaploid wheats [16], Atractylodes lancea [17], and Ocimum basilicum L. [18]. However, because of their advantages and disadvantages, more studies applied RAPD combined with ISSR to characterize the genetic variation of species, such as Litchi chinensis Sonn. [19], Allium species [20], date palm [21], and Cymbopogon [22]. However, only limited studies have been conducted to characterize the genetic relationships among different genus or cultivars of Prunus L. species [23-25].

In this study, we applied the RAPD and ISSR technique for the genetic characterization of 25 P . salicina from China and other countries. This study may provide valuable insight into the genetic diversity of $P$. salicina L . and provide information to cultivate new breed with improved traits.

## 2. Methods

2.1. Plant Material Collection and DNA Extraction. This study included 25 P. salicina L. which were collected from 14 different regions from China ( 13 samples), Japan (4 samples), USA (7 samples), and New Zealand (1 sample) (Figure 1 and Table 1). Among them, P1, P2, and P3 are the three lines with different maturity of one cultivar. The flowers of the 25 P. salicina L. are shown in Figure 2.

The genomic DNA of 25 P. salicina L. was extracted from fresh leaves using a modified cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method as described previously [15, 26]. DNA integrity was checked by $0.8 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis, and DNA purity was determined by the absorbance ratio at $260 \mathrm{~nm}: 280 \mathrm{~nm}$ on spectrophotometry. The final concentration of DNA samples was adjusted to $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ for PCR and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.
2.2. Amplification of DNA by RAPD-PCR. The random RAPD primers were selected randomly for PCR amplification (Table 2). The PCR system in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume contains $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ primers, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA template, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \times$ PCR Taq Mastermix (TianGen Biotech Co. Ltd., Beijing), and $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of deionized water. The PCR was executed on Applied Biosystems Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Thermo Fisher, USA) in the following procedure: initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 s , followed by 40 cycles of 40 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~s}$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and final extension of 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
2.3. ISSR Amplification. Fifteen ISSR primers were synthesized by Thermo Fisher (USA) (Table 2). ISSR amplification was performed in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reactions including $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2.5 \mathrm{umol} / \mathrm{L}$ primers, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA template, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 29 PCR Taq Mastermix (TianGen Biotech Co. Ltd., Beijing), and $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of deionized water. PCR was executed on Applied Biosystems Veriti 96 -Well Thermal Cycler using the following procedure: initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 s , followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~s}$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and final extension of 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [15].
2.4. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis. The amplified PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on $1.8 \%$ agarose gel in $1 \times$ TAE buffer. Gels were visualized by $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ethidium

Table 1: Sources of RAPD and ISSR samples.

| Sample number | Cultivars | Species | Origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | Zuili1 | P. salicina | Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China |
| P2 | Zuili2 | P. salicina | Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China |
| P3 | Zuili3 | P. salicina | Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China |
| P4 | Qiepili | P. salicina | Ningbo, Zhejiang, China |
| P5 | Jintangli | P. salicina | Zhoushan, Zhejiang, China |
| P6 | Furongli | P. salicina | Fujian, China |
| P7 | Yuhuangli | P. salicina | Hubei, China |
| P8 | Jiuqianli | P. salicina | Guizhou, China |
| P9 | Huangguli | P. salicina | Tongxiang, Zhejiang, China |
| P10 | Maihuangli | P. salicina | Anhui, China |
| P11 | Zhushali | P. salicina | Jiangxi, China |
| P12 | Niuxinli | P. salicina | Shandong, China |
| P13 | Longyuanqiuli | P. salicina hybrid | Heilongjiang, China |
| P14 | Oishi wase | P. salicina | Japan |
| P15 | Baili | P. salicina | Japan |
| P16 | Akihime | P. salicina | Japan |
| P17 | Zhenzhuli | P. salicina | Japan |
| P18 | Konglongdan | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P19 | Laroda | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P20 | Red heart | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P21 | Fortune | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P22 | Weikesheng | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P23 | Queen rose | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P24 | Angeleno | P. salicina hybrid | USA |
| P25 | Misili | P. salicina | New Zealand |



Figure 2: The flowers of 25 P. salicina L.
bromide staining, and the images were documented using the ChemiDoc XR (Bio-Rad, USA). Bands that were unambiguous and reproducible in successive amplifications were selected for scoring.
2.5. Data Analysis. All PCRs were repeated five times for each of five samples. Bands in the gel profiles were scored as 1 for present and 0 for absent. The similarity matrix (SM) and the similarity index (SI) were calculated using SM coefficient in Numerical Taxonomy Multivariate Analysis System (NTSYS pc 2.1) software. The dendrogram based on the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean algorithm (UPGMA) was generated using the SAHN module in the NTSYS pc 2.1 software.

## 3. Results

3.1. Amplification of DNA by RAPD and ISSR. A total of nineteen RAPD primers and fifteen ISSR primers were used in this study for the evaluation of DNA polymorphism (Table 2). All RAPD primers and ISSR primers generated evaluable bands. Figure 3 shows the representative reproducible polymorphic amplification bands in these 25 samples generated from ISSR primer UBC807 and RAPD primer S201. For the RAPD primers, a total of 315 bands with an average of 16.58 bands per primer were obtained. Among these bands, 257 ( $81.59 \%$ ) bands were polymorphic, and the approximate band size ranged from 200 bp to 2300 bp . The minimum number of bands was 10 , which was generated by primer OPA-4 and the maximum was 21 , which was produced by primer S43. The total number of polymorphic fragments ranged from 7 (primer OPA-4) to 18 (primer OPA-10). The average polymorphic fragments ratio (PFR) (in \%) was $81.60 \%$ (min: 65\%; max: 94.74\%). The other information of the bands generated by RAPD primers, including polymorphism information content (PIC), resolving

Table 2: Sequences of ISSR and RAPD primers.

| Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Primer | Sequence (5'-3') |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISSR |  |  |  |
| UBC807 | AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGT | UBC810 | GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAT |
| UBC826 | ACACACACACACACACC | UBC827 | ACACACACACACACACG |
| UBC829 | TGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGC | UBC834 | AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGYT |
| UBC836 | AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGYA | UBC846 | CACACACACACACACART |
| UBC847 | CACACACACACACACARC | UBC848 | CACACACACACACACARG |
| UBC855 | ACACACACACACACACYT | UBC857 | ACACACACACACACACYG |
| UBC864 | ATGATGATGATGATGATG | UBC881 |  |
| UBC889 | DBDACACACACACACAC |  |  |
| RAPD |  |  | AGGGGGTGGGGTG |
| S7 | GGTGACGCAG | CAGGCCCTTC | S47 |
| S21 | GAGAGCCAAC | S121 | GTCGCCGTCA |
| S58 | AACGGTGACC | S201 | GGGGATCCTG |
| S160 | CTGCGCTGGA | S412 | GGGACGTTGA |
| S256 | TGGCGCACAC | OPA | GGGCGACTAC |
| S1403 | ACCTGGACAC | OPB-8 | AATCGGGCTG |
| SBS-A16 | GTGATCGCAG | RAPD-5 | GTCCACACGG |
| OPA-10 | CCAGCCGAAC |  | AGCGCCATTG |
| RAPD-1 | ACCCGGTCAC |  |  |
| RAPD-7 |  |  |  |

Note. $\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}), \mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T})$, and $\mathrm{D}=(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T})$; ${ }^{\text {a average of the column. }}$


Figure 3: The representative results of banding profiles obtained by ISSR primer UBC807 (a) and RAPD primer S201 (b). Lanes P1-P25 represented different samples listed in Table 1. Lane "M" represents the DL2000 DNA marker.
power (RP), effective multiplex ratio (EMR), and marker index (MI), are presented in Table 3.

For the ISSR primers, a total of 204 bands with an average of 13.60 bands per primer were produced; of them, 179
(87.74\%) were polymorphic. The approximate range of band size was 300 bp to 2500 bp (Table 4). The minimum number of bands was 8 , which was yielded by primer UBC829, and the maximum was 19 , which was produced by primer

Table 3: The characteristics of the bands generated by RAPD primers.

| Primer | TF | PF | PFR (\%) | PIC | RP | EMR | MI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S7 | 19 | 15 | 78.95 | 0.33 | 25.48 | 11.84 | 3.90 |
| S17 | 18 | 16 | 88.89 | 0.46 | 19.30 | 14.22 | 6.60 |
| S21 | 16 | 14 | 87.50 | 0.36 | 20.43 | 12.25 | 4.43 |
| S43 | 21 | 18 | 85.71 | 0.45 | 23.13 | 15.43 | 6.93 |
| S58 | 14 | 12 | 85.71 | 0.20 | 22.43 | 10.29 | 2.04 |
| S121 | 14 | 12 | 85.71 | 0.34 | 18.52 | 10.29 | 3.48 |
| S160 | 18 | 12 | 66.67 | 0.38 | 22.35 | 8.00 | 3.03 |
| S201 | 20 | 13 | 65.00 | 0.30 | 28.17 | 8.45 | 2.50 |
| S256 | 15 | 13 | 86.67 | 0.41 | 17.57 | 11.27 | 4.67 |
| S412 | 15 | 13 | 86.67 | 0.34 | 19.65 | 11.27 | 3.89 |
| S1403 | 19 | 13 | 68.42 | 0.36 | 24.43 | 8.89 | 3.18 |
| S1409 | 18 | 15 | 83.33 | 0.39 | 21.83 | 12.50 | 4.92 |
| SBS-A16 | 14 | 12 | 85.71 | 0.34 | 18.61 | 10.29 | 3.45 |
| OPA-4 | 10 | 7 | 70.00 | 0.24 | 15.13 | 4.90 | 1.19 |
| OPA-10 | 19 | 18 | 94.74 | 0.49 | 19.22 | 17.05 | 8.43 |
| OPB-8 | 15 | 13 | 86.67 | 0.38 | 18.52 | 11.27 | 4.31 |
| RAPD-1 | 16 | 13 | 81.25 | 0.40 | 19.04 | 10.56 | 4.28 |
| RAPD-5 | 15 | 11 | 73.33 | 0.37 | 18.87 | 8.07 | 2.99 |
| RAPD-7 | 19 | 17 | 89.47 | 0.37 | 24.00 | 15.21 | 5.60 |
| Average | 16.58 | 13.53 | $81.60^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.36^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $20.88^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $11.16^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $4.20^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Minimum | 10 | 7 | 65.00 | 0.20 | 15.13 | 4.90 | 1.19 |
| Maximum | 21 | 18 | 94.74 | 0.49 | 28.17 | 17.05 | 8.43 |
| Total | 315 | 257 |  |  |  |  |  |

Note. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average of the column. TF, total number of fragments; PF, number of polymorphic fragments; PFR, polymorphic fragments ratios (\%); PIC, polymorphism information content; RP, resolving power; EMR, effective multiplex ratio; MI, marker index.

UBC807. The total number of PFs ranged from 8 (primer UBC829) to 15 (primers UBC807, UBC810, UBC846, and UBC881). The average PFR\% was $87.80 \%$ (min: 69.23\%; max: $100 \%$ ). The other information of the bands generated by ISSR primers, including PIC, RP, EMR, and MI, are presented in Table 4.
3.2. Genetic Distance and Cluster Analysis of RAPD and ISSR Markers. Based on the RAPD amplification profiles, cluster dendrogram was obtained using UPGMA (Figure 4). Since P1, P2, and P3 belong to one cultivar, we ignored their coefficients in the following analysis. The dendrogram showed that the similarity coefficients ranged from 0.584 to 0.860 . In the RAPD-based dendrogram, the 25 P. salicina samples formed four clusters at a cutoff of 0.692 . The similarity coefficient between sample P4 (Qiepili in Ningbo, Zhejiang, China) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest ( 0.584 ), while that between sample P19 (Laroda in USA) and P20 (Red heart in USA) was highest (0.860) (Figure 4).

The ISSR analysis showed similar results to the RAPD analysis. The dendrogram showed that the similarity coefficients ranged from 0.558 to 0.892 . In the ISSR-based dendrogram, the $25 P$. salicina samples were divided into five clusters at a cutoff of 0.692 . The similarity coefficient between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, China) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest (0.558), while that between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, Chin) and P15 (Baili in Japan) was highest (0.892) (Figure 5).

Table 4: The characteristics of the bands generated by ISSR primers.

| Primer | TF | PF | PFR (\%) | PIC | RP | EMR | MI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UBC807 | 19 | 15 | 78.95 | 0.37 | 23.83 | 11.84 | 4.42 |
| UBC810 | 18 | 15 | 83.33 | 0.50 | 18.00 | 12.50 | 6.25 |
| UBC826 | 11 | 9 | 81.82 | 0.41 | 12.96 | 7.36 | 3.03 |
| UBC827 | 12 | 11 | 91.67 | 0.56 | 10.52 | 10.08 | 5.66 |
| UBC829 | 8 | 8 | 100.00 | 0.53 | 7.57 | 8.00 | 4.22 |
| UBC834 | 16 | 14 | 87.50 | 0.45 | 17.48 | 12.25 | 5.56 |
| UBC836 | 13 | 9 | 69.23 | 0.34 | 17.13 | 6.23 | 2.13 |
| UBC846 | 15 | 15 | 100.00 | 0.51 | 14.61 | 15.00 | 7.70 |
| UBC847 | 11 | 10 | 90.91 | 0.39 | 13.39 | 9.09 | 3.56 |
| UBC848 | 13 | 11 | 84.62 | 0.49 | 13.22 | 9.31 | 4.58 |
| UBC855 | 10 | 9 | 90.00 | 0.66 | 6.78 | 8.10 | 5.35 |
| UBC857 | 13 | 12 | 92.31 | 0.45 | 14.35 | 11.08 | 4.96 |
| UBC864 | 14 | 13 | 92.86 | 0.43 | 15.91 | 12.07 | 5.21 |
| UBC881 | 16 | 15 | 93.75 | 0.44 | 18.00 | 14.06 | 6.15 |
| UBC889 | 15 | 12 | 80.00 | 0.46 | 16.17 | 9.60 | 4.42 |
| Average | 13.60 | 11.87 | $87.80^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.47^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $14.66^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $10.44^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $4.88^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Minimum | 8 | 8 | 69.23 | 0.34 | 6.78 | 6.23 | 2.13 |
| Maximum | 19 | 15 | 100.00 | 0.66 | 23.83 | 15.00 | 7.70 |
| Total | 204 | 178 |  |  |  |  |  |

Note. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average of the column. TF, total number of fragments; PF, number of polymorphic fragments; PFR, polymorphic fragments ratios (\%); PIC, polymorphism information content; RP, resolving power; EMR, effective multiplex ratio; MI, marker index.
3.3. Integrating Analysis of RAPD and ISSR Data. The dendrogram results of RAPD combined with ISSR showed that the similarity coefficients ranged from 0.597 to 0.865 . Total 519 DNA fragments were yielded, of which 435 (84.7\%) were polymorphic. The average number of PF per primer was 12.7. The mean PIC, RP, EMR, and MI values observed for all primers were $0.42,17.77,10.80$, and 4.54 , respectively (Table 5). The similarity coefficients between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, China) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest (0.597), while that between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, China) and P15 (Baili in Japan) was highest (0.865) (Table 6).

### 3.4. Typical Band Patterns Amplified by ISSR and RAPD

 Markers. Sixteen primers, including 11 ISSR primers and 5 RAPD primers, could be used as the markers of molecular identification for 25 Prunus L. samples (Table 7). As shown in Table 7, UBC810, UBC834, and UBC836 could be considered as the markers of P1 (Zuili1 in Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China), P2 (Zuili2 in Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China), and P3 (Zuili3 in Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China). S17 could be considered as a marker of P4 (Qiepili in Ningbo, Zhejiang, China). UBC881 might be a marker of P5 (Jintangli in Zhoushan, Zhejiang, China). UBC847 was a marker of P6 (Furongli in Fujian, China). UBC847 and UBC855 could be used to distinguish P7 (Yuhuangli in Hubei, China). UBC848 could be considered as a marker of P8 (Jiuqianli in Guizhou, China). UBC857 might be a potential marker of P9 (Huangguli in Tongxiang, Zhejiang, China). RAPD-1 could be used as a marker of P12 (Niuxinli in Shandong, China). UBC889 could be considered as a marker of P16 (Akihime in Japan). S43 and S1403 might be the markers of P17

Figure 4: Dendrogram of cluster of 25 P. salicina L. based on RAPD markers.


Figure 5: Dendrogram of cluster of 25 P. salicina L. based on ISSR markers.

Table 5: Comparative analysis of genetic variability in Prunus L. landraces using ISSR, RAPD, and combined data.

| Analysis | ISSR | RAPD | ISSR + RAPD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of primers | 15 | 19 | 34 |
| Total no. of fragments | 204 | 315 | 519 |
| No. of polymorphic fragments | 178 | 257 | 435 |
| Average of total fragments | 13.60 | 16.58 | 15.09 |
| Average of polymorphic fragments | 11.87 | 13.53 | 12.70 |
| Polymorphism fragments ratios | 87.80 | 81.60 | 84.70 |
| Polymorphism information content | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.42 |
| Resolving power | 14.66 | 20.88 | 17.77 |
| Effective multiplex ratio | 10.44 | 11.16 | 10.80 |
| Marker index | 4.88 | 4.20 | 4.54 |
| Dice's similarity coefficient | $0.558-0.892$ | $0.584-0.860$ | $0.597-0.865$ |
| Average Dice's similarity coefficient | 0.748 | 0.762 | 0.756 |

Table 6: The similarity matrix of the landraces using Dice's coefficient based on the ISSR and RAPD bands.

| No. | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 | P12 | P13 | P14 | P15 | P16 | P17 | P18 | P19 | P20 | P21 | P22 | P23 | P24 | P25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 | 0.928 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3 | 0.886 | 0.949 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | 0.763 | 0.764 | 0.753 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5 | 0.776 | 0.805 | 0.797 | 0.759 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6 | 0.741 | 0.770 | 0.755 | 0.751 | 0.795 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P7 | 0.709 | 0.737 | 0.730 | 0.741 | 0.736 | 0.786 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P8 | 0.674 | 0.695 | 0.699 | 0.695 | 0.732 | 0.709 | 0.699 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P9 | 0.697 | 0.707 | 0.699 | 0.776 | 0.705 | 0.716 | 0.757 | 0.676 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P10 | 0.693 | 0.714 | 0.714 | 0.703 | 0.716 | 0.709 | 0.653 | 0.668 | 0.653 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P11 | 0.639 | 0.630 | 0.630 | 0.660 | 0.678 | 0.643 | 0.614 | 0.699 | 0.668 | 0.680 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P12 | 0.657 | 0.678 | 0.682 | 0.670 | 0.714 | 0.703 | 0.689 | 0.816 | 0.701 | 0.662 | 0.709 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P13 | 0.641 | 0.628 | 0.635 | 0.612 | 0.672 | 0.649 | 0.628 | 0.651 | 0.685 | 0.597 | 0.732 | 0.707 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P14 | 0.666 | 0.691 | 0.699 | 0.699 | 0.732 | 0.747 | 0.722 | 0.722 | 0.695 | 0.718 | 0.714 | 0.716 | 0.647 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P15 | 0.720 | 0.722 | 0.714 | 0.695 | 0.728 | 0.724 | 0.664 | 0.684 | 0.653 | 0.865 | 0.657 | 0.662 | 0.620 | 0.745 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P16 | 0.720 | 0.722 | 0.722 | 0.664 | 0.682 | 0.712 | 0.749 | 0.668 | 0.722 | 0.657 | 0.649 | 0.685 | 0.697 | 0.687 | 0.687 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P17 | 0.641 | 0.643 | 0.651 | 0.631 | 0.653 | 0.676 | 0.651 | 0.612 | 0.705 | 0.612 | 0.682 | 0.660 | 0.734 | 0.647 | 0.639 | 0.682 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P18 | 0.728 | 0.749 | 0.757 | 0.710 | 0.778 | 0.801 | 0.687 | 0.714 | 0.672 | 0.726 | 0.660 | 0.693 | 0.647 | 0.707 | 0.761 | 0.714 | 0.647 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P19 | 0.699 | 0.720 | 0.720 | 0.716 | 0.741 | 0.699 | 0.697 | 0.712 | 0.697 | 0.724 | 0.678 | 0.714 | 0.653 | 0.712 | 0.736 | 0.693 | 0.626 | 0.759 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P20 | 0.695 | 0.728 | 0.739 | 0.705 | 0.745 | 0.726 | 0.682 | 0.716 | 0.685 | 0.759 | 0.666 | 0.703 | 0.633 | 0.728 | 0.755 | 0.689 | 0.618 | 0.770 | 0.830 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P21 | 0.722 | 0.732 | 0.747 | 0.724 | 0.745 | 0.722 | 0.716 | 0.712 | 0.689 | 0.716 | 0.658 | 0.726 | 0.645 | 0.716 | 0.743 | 0.724 | 0.649 | 0.770 | 0.834 | 0.795 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| P22 | 0.672 | 0.678 | 0.685 | 0.697 | 0.707 | 0.687 | 0.728 | 0.682 | 0.755 | 0.655 | 0.666 | 0.691 | 0.695 | 0.685 | 0.655 | 0.682 | 0.695 | 0.678 | 0.680 | 0.699 | 0.703 | 1 |  |  |  |
| P23 | 0.697 | 0.714 | 0.718 | 0.699 | 0.755 | 0.685 | 0.691 | 0.710 | 0.714 | 0.718 | 0.668 | 0.705 | 0.643 | 0.691 | 0.710 | 0.657 | 0.643 | 0.699 | 0.832 | 0.801 | 0.797 | 0.724 | 1 |  |  |
| P24 | 0.695 | 0.701 | 0.709 | 0.689 | 0.737 | 0.691 | 0.697 | 0.701 | 0.689 | 0.712 | 0.666 | 0.695 | 0.645 | 0.693 | 0.701 | 0.693 | 0.645 | 0.693 | 0.807 | 0.772 | 0.803 | 0.699 | 0.840 | 1 |  |
| P25 | 0.712 | 0.710 | 0.710 | 0.699 | 0.724 | 0.716 | 0.676 | 0.710 | 0.676 | 0.726 | 0.703 | 0.685 | 0.643 | 0.714 | 0.737 | 0.668 | 0.643 | 0.726 | 0.782 | 0.755 | 0.774 | 0.689 | 0.761 | 0.759 | 1 |

[^0]Table 7: Typical band patterns amplified by ISSR and RAPD markers.

| Primer | Approximate size of typical band (bp) | Identified varieties |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| UBC810 | 600 | $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3$ |
| UBC829 | 1600 | P 23 |
| UBC834 | 400 | $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3$ |
| UBC836 | 1800 | $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3$ |
| UBC847 | 1000 | P 6 |
| UBC847 | 550 | P 7 |
| UBC848 | 1800 | P 8 |
| UBC855 | 720 | P 7 |
| UBC857 | 1700 | P 9 |
| UBC881 | 800 | P 5 |
| UBC889 | 350 | P 16 |
| S17 | 250 | P 4 |
| S43 | 400 | P 17 |
| S1403 | 800 | P 17 |
| RAPD-1 | 780 | P 12 |
| RAPD-5 | 1100 | P 25 |



FIGURE 6: The representative results of banding profiles obtained by ISSR primers UBC834 (a), UBC847 (b), UBC857 (c), and RAPD primer S1403 (d). Lanes P1-P25 represent different samples listed in Table 1. Lane "M" represents the DL2000 DNA marker. The typical bands for molecular identification of $P$. salicina L . are indicated by a red arrow.
(Zhenzhuli in Japan). UBC829 might be a potential marker of P23 (Queen rose in USA). RAPD-5 also might be used a marker of P25 (Misili in New Zealand). The representative banding profiles obtained by ISSR primers UBC834, UBC847, UBC857, and RAPD primer S1403 are shown in Figure 6.

## 4. Discussion

Illustration of the genetic relationships or characterization of genetic diversity is important to provide genetic guidance for hybrid breeding. In this study, the genetic diversity and relationship among 25 P. salicina L . varieties were evaluated by RAPD and ISSR, respectively, and integrated. The Dice's similarity coefficient of RAPD ranged from 0.584 to 0.860 , and that of ISSR ranged from 0.558 to 0.892 . Integrating analysis of RAPD and ISSR indicated the similarity
coefficient varied from 0.597 to 0.865 . The results indicated high diversity among the 25 varieties.

ISSR and RAPD were widely used for genetic diversity evaluations of Prunus L. species. Tian et al. used ISSR and RAPD for genetic diversity evaluations of 48 Prunus mira L. samples, the high levels of polymorphism, and the results imply that Tibet samples preserved higher genetic diversity and most genetic variations occurred [27]. However, the efficiency of RAPD markers and ISSR markers in detecting polymorphism is controversial. Tian et al. demonstrated that ISSR found $77.80 \%$ polymorphism, which is higher than that found by RAPD (72.73\%). In the study of Kumar et al. the phylogenetic relationships of 36 locally grown $P$. armeniaca genotypes were analyzed using 20 RAPDs and 11 ISSRs markers. RAPD markers were found more efficient for polymorphism detection, as they detected $97.84 \%$ as compared to $96.5 \%$ for ISSR markers, and the pattern of
clustering of the genotypes remained more or less the same in RAPD and combined data of RAPD + ISSR [28]. In our study, the PFR\% of RAPD primers was $81.60 \%$, which is lower than that of ISSR primers ( $87.80 \%$ ). Our results support the view that ISSR markers are more efficient than RAPD with regards to detecting polymorphism.

The RAPD results showed that the index of similarity coefficient between sample P4 (Qiepili in Ningbo, Zhejiang, China) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest (0.584), while that between sample P19 (Laroda in USA) and P20 (Red heart in USA) was highest (0.860). However, the ISSR results showed that the index of similarity coefficient between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, Chin) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest (0.558), while that between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, China) and P15 (Baili in Japan) was highest (0.892). In addition, the analysis of RAPD combined with ISSR showed that the similarity coefficient between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, China) and P13 (Longyuanqiuli in Heilongjiang, China) was lowest (0.597), while that between sample P10 (Maihuangli in Anhui, Chin) and P15 (Baili in Japan) was highest (0.865), which was consistent with the RAPD analysis. These findings demonstrated that the RAPD technique not only increased the resolution and yield but also was a reliable molecular tool for the genetic characterization of various organisms, which was reported in previous studies [6, 15]. Our RAPD and ISSR analysis showed potentiality to distinguish P. salicina $L$. from related genus or species.

## 5. Conclusion

In summary, our study indicates that the RAPD combined with ISSR techniques would be used for the genetic diversity, molecular-assisted breeding, and genetic characterization of P. salicina L. Our results might assist in parental gametophytes selection for hybrid breeding of $P$. salicina L .

## Abbreviations

RAPD: Random amplified polymorphic DNA
SSR: Simple sequence repeat
ISSR: Intersimple sequence repeat
AFLP: Amplified fragment length polymorphism
CTAB: Cetyl trimethylammonium bromide
SM: $\quad$ Similarity matrix
SI: Similarity index
NTSYS: Numerical taxonomy multivariate analysis system
UPGMA: Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean algorithm
PIC: Polymorphism information content
RP: Resolving power
EMR: Effective multiplex ratio
MI: Marker index
TF: Total number of fragments
PF: Polymorphic fragments
PFR (\%): Polymorphic fragments ratios (\%).
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