CONCLUSIONS:

In addition to the positive results, the HTA presented an opportunity to discuss the sustainability of incentives for adhering to treatments adopted by the policymakers, such as meal allowances and housing support, to improve social conditions among the homeless.

PP96 Which Data For Dual Mobility Cups In Hip Arthroplasty?

AUTHORS:

Caroline Guerin, Cyril Olivier, Camille Marguerite (c.marguerite@has-sante.fr), Aurélie Pacull, Corinne Collignon, Hubert Galmiche, Chantal Belorgey

INTRODUCTION:

The dual mobility concept was invented to prevent postoperative hip dislocation. It has been used for 40 years, but demonstrative data are limited and many designs are available. In France, many implants for hip arthroplasty are reimbursed by the national health insurance system through a generic description that corresponds to a class of products having the same indications and technical features (designs, coatings, types and systems of fixation). Dual mobility cups were one of them until 2017. The ministry decided to set up the assessment of each design of dual mobility cup, marketed in France for their reimbursement.

METHODS:

Manufacturers of these devices submitted medicotechnical application dossiers, with technical characteristics and clinical data, to the French National Authority for Health (HAS). HAS has assessed prospectively the actual benefit of these implants.

RESULTS:

Sixteen companies marketing 42 kinds of dual mobility cups associated with 22, 28 or 32 mm femoral heads and cementless or cemented fixations have submitted dossiers. Their demands were argued on non-specific and specific clinical data. For few implants with non-specific clinical data, arguments to demonstrate the equivalence towards other implants were not accepted for reimbursement. For other implants, the specific data available were only low quality studies. No randomized controlled trials were identified. When the risk/benefit balance was acceptable, the implants were approved for reimbursement and HAS required post-launch data to assess the real-world safety of these implants (i.e. dislocation and long-term survival).

CONCLUSIONS:

All the dual mobility cups marketed in France have been assessed by the French health technology assessment authority. Most of them have been approved for reimbursement, despite of low level of evidence. The brand name inscription enables a specific follow up and the analysis of a post-approval study results in five years.

PP97 Delineating Key Components Of Community Paramedicine Programs

AUTHORS:

Charles Yan, Bing Guo (bguo@ihe.ca), Paula Corabian

INTRODUCTION:

Population growth, epidemiological and demographic transition, and a shortage of healthcare workers are affecting health care systems in Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom (UK), and the United States (US). Community paramedicine (CP) programs provide a bridge between primary care and emergency care to address the needs of patients with low acuity but lack of access to primary care. However, how to capture the key characteristics of these programs and present them in a meaningful way is still a challenge. The objective of this presentation is to identify and describe the characteristics of currently existing CP programs in the four countries to inform policy-making on CP program development in Alberta.

METHODS:

Information was obtained from systematic reviews, health technology assessments, general reviews, and government documents identified through a comprehensive literature search. The characteristics of the CP programs are described using a framework originally developed in Australia with three categories: (i) the primary health care model, (ii) the health integration model (in Australia, called the substitution model), and (iii) the community coordination model.

RESULTS:

In general, Australia emphasizes rural/remote paramedics, whereas Canada, the UK, and the US implement expanded paramedic practice within different environments including rural, remote, regional, and metropolitan settings. Extended care provider programs have been intensively investigated and widely implemented in the UK. While the identified CP programs vary in terms of program components, designation of providers, skill mix, target population, and funding model, the majority of these CP programs fall under the primary health care category of the Australian framework.

CONCLUSIONS:

Transitioning from hospital-based to community-based health care requires careful consideration of all key factors that could contribute to future program success. Delineating key components of CP programs using the Australian framework will help Alberta decision-makers design, develop, and implement appropriate CP programs that adequately address local needs.

PP98 Efficacy Of The Multi-Attribute Utility Instruments To Reflect Quality Of Life Of Cancer Patients

AUTHORS:

Karla Hernandez-Villafuerte (k.hernandezvillafuerte@ dkfz.de), Jasper Ubels, Diego Andres Hernandez Carreno, Michael Schlander

INTRODUCTION:

Health state utilities measured by the generic multiattribute utility instruments (MAUIs) differ. Empirical evidence suggests that some MAUIs are more sensitive than others in reflecting the quality of life (QoL) of patients in particular disease areas. Additionally, in order to estimate utilities based on cancer-specific health-related quality of life instruments (CSQoLs), a number of mapping functions have emerged. Although it is common practice to apply a CSQoL instead of a MAUI in clinical trials, CSQoL cannot be used to estimate utility values for economic evaluations. Mappings based on MAUIs that are not sensitive to changes in cancer patients' QoL may result in misleading approximations of utilities that could affect allocation of resources. The study objective is to explore the validity and sensitivity of the major MAUIs to variation in the QoL measured by cancer-specific instruments. We aimed to investigate (i) the sensitivity of the general MAUIs scores to changes in the CSQoL, and (ii) whether particular dimensions of the general instrument are more sensitive.

METHODS:

A two stage systematic literature review is conducted. First, an update of the review done by McTaggart-Cowan et al. (2013) on the mapping methods used to determine utilities from cancer-specific instrument. Second, an analysis of studies that measure the relationship between CSQoLs and general MAUIs.

RESULTS:

The literature suggests that differences exist between MAUIs in their capacity to capture the QoL dimensions of the CSQoLs. Additionally, the main challenge to build an appropriate mapping function for deriving utilities values from CSQoL is the definition of an appropriate methodology that (i) responds to the distribution of the selected sample and (ii) can successfully be validated in additional samples.

CONCLUSIONS:

In the context of health technology assessment and cost effectiveness analysis, it is crucial to carefully select and report the CSQoL and MAUI involved in the estimation of the additional benefits. Policy makers need to be awarded of the sensitivity of the instruments to changes in QoL in relation to the CSQoL dimensions QoL.

PP100 Rapid Evidence Assessment In Hospital Health Technology Assessment

AUTHORS:

Sylvain L'Espérance (Sylvain.LEsperance@chudequebec. ca), Marc Rhainds, Alice Nourrisat, Martin Coulombe, Sylvain Bussières