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#### Abstract

We study the function spaces $Q_{p}(R)$ defined on a Riemann surface $R$, which were earlier introduced in the unit disk of the complex plane. The nesting property $Q_{p}(R) \subseteq Q_{q}(R)$ for $0<p<q<\infty$ is shown in case of arbitrary hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Further, it is proved that the classical Dirichlet space $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)$ for any $p, 0<p<\infty$, thus sharpening T. Metzger's well-known result $\operatorname{AD}(R) \subseteq$ $\mathrm{BMOA}(R)$. Also the first author's result $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{VMOA}(R)$ for a regular Riemann surface $R$ is sharpened by showing that, in fact, $\operatorname{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p, 0}(R)$ for all $p, 0<p<\infty$. The relationships between $Q_{p}(R)$ and various generalizations of the Bloch space on $R$ are considered. Finally we show that $Q_{p}(R)$ is a Banach space for $0<p<\infty$.


1. Introduction. Let $R$ be an open Riemann surface having a Green's function, i.e., $R \notin O_{G}$. Denote the Green's function on $R$ with singularity at $\alpha$ by $g_{R}(w, \alpha)$. Let $A(R)$ denote the collection of all functions analytic on $R$. For $0<p<\infty$, we define

$$
Q_{p}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R):\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2}=\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
Q_{p, 0}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R): \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \partial R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}=0\right\}
$$

where $\partial R$ is the ideal boundary of $R$ and $d w d \bar{w}=2 d u d v$ for a local parameter $w=u+i v$. For the unit disc $\Delta=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}, Q_{p}(\Delta)$ and $Q_{p, 0}(\Delta)$ have been defined and studied in [4] and [6]. It is proved in [4] that $Q_{p}(\Delta)=\mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and $Q_{p, 0}(\Delta)=\mathcal{B}_{0}(\Delta)$ for $1<p<\infty$. Earlier, in [13] and [14], it was proved that $Q_{2}(\Delta)=\mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and $Q_{2,0}(\Delta)=$ $\mathcal{B}_{0}(\Delta)$, respectively. Recall that the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and the little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{0}(\Delta)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{B}(\Delta)=\left\{f \in A(\Delta):\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup _{z \in \Delta}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B}_{0}(\Delta)=\left\{f \in A(\Delta): \lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

It is proved in [6] that, for $0<p_{1}<p_{2} \leq 1, Q_{p_{1}}(\Delta) \subset Q_{p_{2}}(\Delta)$.
For $p=1$ and $R=\Delta$, it is known that $Q_{1}(R)=\mathrm{BMOA}(R)$ and $Q_{1,0}(R)=\operatorname{VMOA}(R)$ and so this has been taken as the definition of BMOA and VMOA on a Riemann surface $R$ (cf. [9, 10, 1]). BMO-spaces of harmonic functions on Riemann surfaces have been
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considered by Y. Gotoh in [7]. In [5], the relationships between $Q_{2}(R), Q_{2,0}(R)$ and various generalizations of the Bloch space on Riemann surfaces have been studied. Before introducing these results, we first look at some basic facts on hyperbolic geometry.

Let $R$ be a Riemann surface such that $R \notin O_{G}$. It is well known that the universal covering surface of $R$ is the unit disc $\Delta$. Let $\lambda_{\Delta}(z)=1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ be the density of the hyperbolic distance in $\Delta$. Then the hyperbolic distance between two points $z$ and $a$ in $\Delta$ is given by

$$
d_{\Delta}(z, a)=\inf \left\{\int_{\gamma} \lambda_{\Delta}(\zeta)|d \zeta|: \gamma \text { is a curve in } \Delta \text { from } a \text { to } z\right\} .
$$

Now let $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow R$ denote the universal covering mapping, and let $w, \alpha \in R$. We define the hyperbolic distance between $w$ and $\alpha$ on $R$ by

$$
d_{R}(w, \alpha)=\inf \left\{d_{\Delta}(z, a): \pi(z)=w \text { and } \pi(a)=\alpha\right\}
$$

Thus the density of $d_{R}$ at the point $\alpha$ is given by

$$
\lambda_{R}(\alpha)=\inf \left\{\lambda_{\Delta}(a): \pi(a)=\alpha\right\} .
$$

We can generalize the Bloch space and the little Bloch space onto $R$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{B}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R):\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}(R)}=\sup _{\alpha \in R} \frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{\lambda_{R}(\alpha)}<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B}_{0}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R): \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \partial R} \frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{\lambda_{R}(\alpha)}=0\right\} .
$$

To introduce another kind of generalization of the Bloch space on $R$, we note that if $R$ is a Riemann surface with Green's function $g_{R}(w, \alpha)$, then, by using local coordinates in a neighborhood of $\alpha$, we can define the Robin's constant $\gamma_{R}(\alpha)$ by

$$
\gamma_{R}(\alpha)=\lim _{w \rightarrow \alpha}\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)-\log \frac{1}{|w-\alpha|}\right)
$$

Let $c_{R}(\alpha)=\exp \left(-\gamma_{R}(\alpha)\right)$ be the capacity density of $R$ at $\alpha$. It is known that if $F \in A(R)$, then $\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right| / c_{R}(\alpha)$ is a conformal invariant (cf., for example, [12]). Thus we can define the spaces $\mathcal{C B}(R)$ and $\mathcal{C B} \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$ by

$$
\mathcal{C B}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R):\|F\|_{C \mathcal{B}(R)}=\sup _{\alpha \in R} \frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{R}(\alpha)}<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R): \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \partial R} \frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{R}(\alpha)}=0\right\} .
$$

It is easy to check that, for $R=\Delta$, both $\mathcal{B}(R)\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}(R)\right)$ and $\mathcal{C B}(R)\left(C \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)\right)$ coincide with the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ (the little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{0}(\Delta)$ ).

The following inclusions are given in [5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BMOA}(R) \subseteq Q_{2}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C B}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(R) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{VMOA}(R) \subseteq Q_{2,0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}_{0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that in [5], $Q_{2}(R)$ and $Q_{2,0}(R)$ were denoted by $\operatorname{BMOA}(R, m)$ and $\operatorname{VMOA}(R, m)$, respectively.) It turns out that, on general Riemann surfaces $R, Q_{2}(R)\left(Q_{2,0}(R)\right)$ and $\mathcal{C B}(R)$ $\left(\mathcal{C B}_{0}(R)\right)$ do not always coincide with $\mathcal{B}(R)\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}(R)\right)$. There is a Riemann surface $R \notin O_{G}$ such that $\mathcal{C B}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}(R)$ and $Q_{2}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}(R)([5$, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 7.2]). There is also another Riemann surface $R$ such that $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}_{0}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$ and $Q_{2,0}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$ ([5, Theorem 7.3]).

In this paper we study the relations between $Q_{p}(R)$ and various generalizations of the Bloch spaces on Riemann surfaces as well as $\operatorname{BMOA}(R)$. One of our main results is to generalize the inclusion relations (1.1) and (1.2) to $Q_{p}(R), Q_{q}(R)$ and $Q_{p, 0}(R), Q_{q, 0}(R)$, by showing the nesting properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{p}(R) \subseteq Q_{q}(R), \quad Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq Q_{q, 0}(R) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{p}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C B}(R), \quad Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}_{0}(R) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<p<q<\infty$. By (1.1) and (1.2) we have also proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{p}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(R), \quad Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<p<\infty$. These will be proved in Section 2 and Section 4, respectively. The main result in Section 3 sharpens T. Metzger's result

$$
\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{BMOA}(R)
$$

(cf. [9, Theorem 1]) showing that, in fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p, 0<p<\infty$. Further, the first author's result $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{VMOA}(R)$ for regular Riemann surfaces $R$ ( $c f$. [1, Theorem 1(a)]) is sharpened by showing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p, 0}(R) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p, 0<p<\infty$, in case of regular Riemann surfaces $R$. In Section 5, we will prove that for $0<p<\infty, Q_{p}(R)$ is a Banach space and $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ is a closed subspace of $Q_{p}(R)$. We will also give a criterion for $Q_{p}(R)$ by regular exhaustions of $R$.

Finally we note that in [2] all these inclusions (1.3)-(1.7) have been proved by using a different technique.
2. $Q_{p}(R) \subseteq Q_{q}(R)$. In this section, we show the nesting properties of the spaces $Q_{p}(R)$ and $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ as a function of parameter values $p$. In [2, Theorem 4] different proofs for these nesting properties are given. For proving the inclusions we need several lemmas which are derived in the following.

First we show that $1-e^{-t} \leq \frac{1}{p} t^{p}$ for $t>0$ and $0<p \leq 1$. If $t \geq 1$, then $1-e^{-t} \leq$ $1 \leq \frac{1}{p} t^{p}$. Let $0<t<1$ and $f(t)=\frac{1}{p} t^{p}-\left(1-e^{-t}\right)$. Then $f^{\prime}(t)=t^{p-1}-e^{-t} \geq 1-e^{-t}>0$, and thus $f(t)$ is increasing when $0<t<1$. Since $f(0)=0$ we get $f(t) \geq 0$, and so $1-e^{-t} \leq \frac{1}{p} t^{p}$ for $0<t<1$. By using this we get the first lemma

LEMMA 2.1. Let $R$ be a Riemann surface, let $R \notin O_{G}$ and let $0<p \leq 1$. Then, for $F \in A(R)$,

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq \frac{2^{p}}{p} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

Proof. By [8, Lemma 2] we have

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 g_{R}(w, \alpha)}\right) d w d \bar{w}
$$

and using the above consideration

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq \frac{2^{p}}{p} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

This gives as a corollary
Corollary 2.2. $\quad Q_{p}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ for all $p, 0<p \leq 1$.
By the inequality $1-e^{-t} \leq t$ for $t>0$ and [8, Lemma 2] we get
PROPOSITION 2.3. $\quad \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \sim \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 k g_{R}(w, \alpha)}\right) d w d \bar{w}$ for any positive integer $k$.

In the above, we use the notation $a \sim b$ to denote comparability of the quantities, i.e., there are absolute positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ satisfying $c_{1} b \leq a \leq c_{2} b$. For proving the nesting properties of the spaces $Q_{p}(R), Q_{q}(R)$ and $Q_{p, 0}(R), Q_{q, 0}(R)$ we first derive area integral estimates for parameter values $p$ and $q$. By using a different method these inequalities with different constant factors have been shown in [2, Theorem 2].

Lemma 2.4. Let $R$ be a Riemann surface, let $R \notin O_{G}$ and let $0<p<q<\infty$. Then, for $F \in A(R)$,

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq c_{p, q} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

where $c_{p, q}=2^{1+p-q} \frac{\Gamma(q+1)}{p} e^{2}$ for $1<q<\infty$ and $c_{p, q}=2^{p} \frac{q}{p}$ for $0<q \leq 1$.
PROOF. We will prove the result for the case where $R$ is a compact bordered Riemann surface. For the general case, the conclusion follows by taking a regular exhaustion of $R$.

Let $F \in A(R)$ and let $R_{1, \alpha}=\left\{w \in R: g_{R}(w, \alpha)>1\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ be a disk in $R_{1, \alpha}$ with center at $\alpha$ and radius $\varepsilon$, and let $R_{1, \alpha, \varepsilon}=R_{1, \alpha} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$. By using Green's formula we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R_{1, \alpha, \varepsilon}} & {\left[g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) \Delta\left(|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}\right)-|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \Delta g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha)\right] d w d \bar{w} } \\
& =2 \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha, \varepsilon}}\left[|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \frac{\partial g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n}-g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) \frac{\partial|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}}{\partial n}\right] d s \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the Laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ differentiation in the inner normal direction and $d s$ arc length measure on $\partial R_{1, \alpha, \varepsilon}$. By computing we get

$$
\Delta|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}=4\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\Delta g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha)=q(q-1) g_{R}^{q-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2},
$$

where $\nabla$ denotes the gradient operator. Further,

$$
\frac{\partial g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n}=q g_{R}^{q-1}(w, \alpha) \frac{\partial g_{R}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n}=q \frac{\partial g_{R}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n}
$$

for $w \in \partial R_{1, \alpha}$.
Let $H_{1, \alpha}(w)$ be the least harmonic majorant of $|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}$ on $R_{1, \alpha}$. Let $g_{R}^{*}(w, \alpha)$ be the conjugate of $g_{R}(w, \alpha)$. Then

$$
\exp h_{R}(w, \alpha)=\exp \left[g_{R}(w, \alpha)+i g_{R}^{*}(w, \alpha)\right]
$$

is a meromorphic function with a simple pole at $\alpha$. Since

$$
\phi_{1, \alpha}(w)=\left|(F(w)-F(\alpha)) \exp h_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2}=|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} e^{2 g_{R}(w, \alpha)}
$$

is a subharmonic function on $R_{1, \alpha}$ and

$$
\phi_{1, \alpha}(w)=e^{2}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}
$$

for $w \in \partial R_{1, \alpha}$, we get by the maximum principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \leq e^{2} H_{1, \alpha}(w) e^{-2 g_{R}(w, \alpha)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w \in R_{1, \alpha}$.
Let $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha)$ be a Green's function of $R_{1, \alpha}$ with logarithmic singularity at $\alpha$. Now $\Delta g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha)=0$ in $R_{1, \alpha} \backslash\{\alpha\}$ and $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha)=0$ for $w \in \partial R_{1, \alpha}$ and similar to the proof in [5, Lemma 2.1] we get
$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \frac{\partial g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s=H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha)$.

For $t>0$, let $S_{t, \alpha}=\left\{w \in R: g_{R}(w, \alpha)=t\right\}$. Since $g_{R}(w, \alpha)=t$ on $S_{t, \alpha}$ we have $d t=\frac{\partial g_{R}}{\partial n} d n$. Further, in the conclusion below we use $\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2}=\left(\partial g_{R}(w, \alpha) / \partial n\right)^{2}$ for $w \in S_{t, \alpha}$. Taking the limit as $\varepsilon$ tends to zero, (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1, q}(\alpha)= 4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}  \tag{2.5}\\
&= \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \Delta g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
&+2 \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}\left[|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \frac{\partial g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n}-g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) \frac{\partial|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}}{\partial n}\right] d s \\
&= q(q-1) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} g_{R}^{q-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
&+2 q \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \frac{\partial g_{R}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s-2 \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}} \frac{\partial|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}}{\partial n} d s \\
&=q(q-1) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} g_{R}^{q-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
&+2 q \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} \frac{\partial g_{R}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the equality

$$
2 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}=-\int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}} \frac{\partial|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2}}{\partial n} d s
$$

obtained by Green's formula.
We first suppose that $1<q<\infty$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, (2.3), (2.4) and the inequality $g_{R_{1}, \alpha}(w, \alpha) \leq g_{R}(w, \alpha)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1, q}(\alpha) \leq & q(q-1) e^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}} H_{1, \alpha}(w) g_{R}^{q-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} e^{-2 g_{R}(w, \alpha)} d w d \bar{w}  \tag{2.6}\\
& +4 q \pi H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha)+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
\leq & 2 q(q-1) e^{2} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S_{t, \alpha}} H_{1, \alpha}(w) \frac{\partial g_{R}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s\right) g_{R}^{q-2}(w, \alpha) e^{-2 g_{R}(w, \alpha)} d t \\
& +4 q \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
\leq & 4 q(q-1) e^{2} \pi H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha) \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{q-2} e^{-2 t} d t+4 q \frac{2^{p}}{p} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& +4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
\leq & 2^{3-q} \Gamma(q+1) e^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& +4 q \frac{2^{p}}{p} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
\leq & 2^{3+p-q} \frac{\Gamma(q+1)}{p} e^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
\end{align*}
$$

since $2^{3+p-q} \frac{\Gamma(q+1)}{p}>2^{2+p} \frac{q}{p}>4$. For $0<q \leq 1$ we have, by Lemma 2.1, (2.4) and the inequality $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha) \leq g_{R}(w, \alpha)$, the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1, q}(\alpha) & \leq 4 q \pi H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha)+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq 4 q \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq 4 q \frac{2^{p}}{p} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq 2^{2+p} \frac{q}{p} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
\end{align*}
$$

since $q-1 \leq 0$.
Combining (2.1) and (2.6) we get for $1<q<\infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}= & \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \quad+\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}  \tag{2.8}\\
\leq & 2^{1+p-q} \frac{\Gamma(q+1)}{p} e^{2} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly combining (2.1) and (2.7), for $0<q \leq 1$,

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{q}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq 2^{p} \frac{q}{p} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

This proves the lemma.
Thus the nesting property of the $Q_{p}(R)$ spaces is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.4.
THEOREM 2.5. Let $R$ be a Riemann surface, $R \notin O_{G}$, and let $0<p<q<\infty$. Then
(i) $Q_{p}(R) \subseteq Q_{q}(R)$,
(ii) $Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq Q_{q, 0}(R)$.

We note that a different proof of this result is shown in [2, Theorem 4].
3. $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)$. In this section we will sharpen T. Metzger's result that the classical Dirichlet space $\mathrm{AD}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R): \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}<\infty\right\}$ is included in $\operatorname{BMOA}(R)(c f .[9$, Theorem 1]) by proving

$$
\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)
$$

for any $p, 0<p<\infty$. The first author proved in [1, Theorem 1(a)] that $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{VMOA}(R)$ for a regular Riemann surface $R$. Also this result is strengthened by using the $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ spaces.

We are now ready to prove

THEOREM 3.1. $\quad \mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)$ for any $p, 0<p<\infty$.
Proof. Applying Theorem 2.5 for $1=p<q<\infty$ we get $\mathrm{BMOA}(R) \subseteq Q_{q}(R)$. By T. Metzger's result $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{BMOA}(R)[9$, Theorem 1] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{q}(R) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq q<\infty$.
So we can concentrate on the case $0<p<1$. By (2.5) we get in case of $R_{1, \alpha}=\{w \in$ $\left.R: g_{R}(w, \alpha)>1\right\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq p(p-1) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} g_{R}^{p-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
& \quad+4 p \pi H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha)+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq 4 p \pi H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha)+4 \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}
\end{align*}
$$

The latter inequality follows because $p-1<0$. If now $F \in \mathrm{AD}(R)$, then $\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}=M<\infty$. On the other hand, by T. Metzger's result $F \in \operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ and (2.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1, \alpha}(\alpha) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq K<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in R$. By (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq p \pi K+M \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in R$.
Further, trivially

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} & \leq \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}=M \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq p \pi K+2 M,
$$

and hence $F \in Q_{p}(R)$. Combining this result with (3.1) we have

$$
\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)
$$

for all $p, 0<p<\infty$. The theorem is proved.

REMARK. Theorem 3.1 sharpens T. Metzger's result $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{BMOA}(R)$, since even in the case of the unit disk $\Delta, Q_{p}(\Delta) \subset \operatorname{BMOA}(\Delta)$, for $0<p<1(c f$. [6, Theorem 2 and Corollary 3]).

We recall that $R$ is a regular Riemann surface if for each $w \in R$,

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \partial R} g_{R}(w, \alpha)=0
$$

Otherwise, we say that $R$ is a non-regular Riemann surface. The first author proved that $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{VMOA}(R)$ for regular Riemann surfaces. He also showed that $\mathrm{VMOA}(R)$ contains only constant functions for non-regular Riemann surfaces. This result is generalized to the space $Q_{2,0}(R)$ in [5, Theorem 2.5]. It is also true for $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ for $0<p<\infty$ as the next theorem shows. Since even for the unit disk $\Delta, Q_{p, 0}(\Delta) \subset{ }_{\neq} \mathrm{VMOA}(\Delta)$ as $0<p<1$, the case (i) of the below theorem sharpens the first author's result [1, Theorem 1(a)], and by Theorem 2.5(ii) the case (ii) generalizes [1, Theorem 1(b)]. Finally we note that Theorem 3.2(i) has been proved in [2, Theorem 7] by using a different technique.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $0<p<\infty$. Then
(i) if $R$ is a regular Riemann surface, $\mathrm{AD}(R) \subseteq Q_{p, 0}(R)$,
(ii) if $R$ is a non-regular Riemann surface, $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ contains only constant functions.

Proof. (i) For $1 \leq p<\infty$ this is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5(ii) and [1, Theorem 1(a)], since $Q_{1,0}(R)=\operatorname{VMOA}(R)$. Therefore let $0<p<1$ and let $\varepsilon$, $0<\varepsilon<1$, be arbitrary but fixed during the consideration. If $F \in \mathrm{AD}(R)$, then, by (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq 4 p \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}+4 \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{1, \alpha}=\left\{w \in R: g_{R}(w, \alpha)>1\right\}$. By [1, Theorem 1(a)] we know that the integral $\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}$ tends to 0 as $\alpha$ tends to $\partial R$. Since $R$ is a regular Riemann surface, $R_{1, \alpha}$ as a compact set tends to $\partial R$ when $\alpha$ tends to $\partial R$. Hence $\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \rightarrow$ 0 for $\alpha \rightarrow \partial R$. Thus, by (3.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}<\varepsilon \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\alpha \in R \backslash K_{1}$, where $K_{1}$ is a compact subset of $R$. Let $R_{\varepsilon}=\left\{w \in R \mid g_{R}(w, \alpha)>\right.$ $\left.(\varepsilon / M)^{1 / p}\right\}$, where $\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}=M$. We can suppose that $\epsilon / M<1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R \backslash R_{\varepsilon}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \int_{R \backslash R_{\varepsilon}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}=\frac{\varepsilon}{M} \cdot M=\varepsilon
\end{align*}
$$

Now $R_{\varepsilon} \backslash R_{1, \alpha}$ is a compact set and $R_{\varepsilon} \backslash R_{1, \alpha}$ tends to $\partial R$ as $\alpha$ tends to $\partial R$. Since $F \in \mathrm{AD}(R)$, there exists a compact set $A$ such that $\int_{R \backslash A}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}<\varepsilon$. On the other hand, there is
a compact set $K_{2}$ such that when $\alpha \in R \backslash K_{2}$, then $R_{\varepsilon} \backslash R_{1, \alpha} \subseteq R \backslash A$. Thus, for $\alpha \in R \backslash K_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R_{\varepsilon} \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} & \leq \int_{R_{\varepsilon} \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq \int_{R \backslash A}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}<\varepsilon
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for $\alpha \in R \backslash K_{1} \cup K_{2}$, by combining (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}=\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
&+\int_{R_{\varepsilon} \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
&+\int_{R \backslash R_{\varepsilon}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}<\varepsilon+\varepsilon+\varepsilon=3 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $F \in Q_{p, 0}(R)$ for $0<p<1$.
(ii) Because of the nesting property in Theorem 2.5(ii) it is enough to prove the assertion for $1<p<\infty$, and then we can follow the proof of Theorem 1(b) in [1] by noticing that, by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\left(\int_{V_{r_{1} r_{2}}} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}\right)^{p} \leq\left(\pi\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)\right)^{p-1} \int_{V_{r_{1} r_{2}}} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

where $V_{r_{1} r_{2}}=\left\{w: r_{1}<|w-\alpha|<r_{2}\right\}$ is a part of the parameter disk. We omit the details here.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $E(\zeta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \zeta^{n}$ be an entire function with $a_{n} \geq 0$. We define

$$
Q_{E}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R): \sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w}<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
Q_{E, 0}(R)=\left\{F \in A(R): \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \partial R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w}=0\right\}
$$

THEOREM 3.4. Let $E(\zeta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \zeta^{n}$ be an entire function with $a_{n} \geq 0$ and $a_{1}>0$. If its growth order $\rho$ and type $\sigma$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $\rho=1, \sigma<2$, or
(ii) $\rho>1, \sigma$ arbitrary, then $\operatorname{BMOA}(R)=Q_{E}(R)$ and $\operatorname{VMOA}(R)=Q_{E, 0}(R)$.

PROOF. Since $a_{1}>0$ and $a_{n} \geq 0$, it is obvious that $Q_{E}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ and $Q_{E, 0}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{VMOA}(R)$. For the converse, we use (2.8) for $p=1$ and $q$ a positive integer $n$, and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{E}(\alpha) & =\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{n}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq 4 e^{2} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{n}=a_{n} \Gamma(n+1) / 2^{n}$. Similar to the proof of [3, Theorem 1.1] it is not hard to show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$ is convergent under the condition (i) or (ii). Therefore we have

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w} \leq M \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

where $M>0$ is a constant. Thus, by definition, we get $\operatorname{BMOA}(R) \subseteq Q_{E}(R)$ and $\operatorname{VMOA}(R) \subseteq Q_{E, 0}(R)$, and the proof is completed.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $0<\beta<2$ and let $F \in A(R)$. Then $F \in \operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ if and only if for every $\alpha \in R$ and every $t>0$, there is a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{t, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq K e^{-\beta t} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{t, \alpha}=\left\{w \in R: g_{R}(w, \alpha)>t\right\}$.
Proof. Assume that $F \in \operatorname{BMOA}(R)$. Let $E_{\beta}(\zeta)=\zeta e^{\beta \zeta}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^{n-1} \zeta^{n} /(n-1)$ !. Then it is easy to check that the growth order $\rho$ and type $\sigma$ of the entire function $E_{\beta}(\zeta)$ satisfy $p=1$ and $\sigma=\beta<2$. Thus, by Theorem 3.4, for every $\alpha \in R$ and every $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\beta t} \int_{R_{t, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} & \leq \int_{R_{t, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) e^{\beta g_{R}(w, \alpha)} d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E_{\beta}\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w} \leq K<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{R_{t, \alpha}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq K e^{-\beta t}
$$

On the contrary, if $F$ satisfies (3.10), we let $t$ tend to 0 and get

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} K e^{-\beta t}=K<\infty .
$$

Thus $F \in \mathrm{BMOA}(R)$ and the proof is completed.
4. The Bloch space and $Q_{p}(R)$. In this section we study the relationship between the spaces $\mathcal{B}(R), \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}(R)$ and $Q_{p}(R)$ for $0<p<\infty$. Since in [5] the theorems below of this section have been proved in a special case for parameter value $p=2$, we will not give the proofs in a detailed way. We first draft the proof of the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let $0<p<\infty$. Then
(i) $Q_{p}(R) \subseteq C \mathcal{B}(R)$,
(ii) $Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq C \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$.

Proof. Because of the nesting property for the spaces $Q_{p}(R)$ in Theorem 2.5 and by Theorem 7.7 in [5] we need only consider parameter values $1<p<\infty$. But in this case our proof differs from the proof of Theorem 7.10 in [5] for a special case $p=2$ only in a few points which we now show. First replacing $R_{1, \alpha, \varepsilon}$ by $R_{\alpha, \varepsilon}=R \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ and letting $\varepsilon$ tend to 0 we get
$\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}=\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{R}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} g_{R}^{p-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w}$.

Thus we need replace $\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2}$ by $g_{R}^{p-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2}$ and then consider the integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{t, \alpha}(\alpha) t^{p-2} d t$ instead of $\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{t, \alpha}(\alpha) d t$. By these changes using the same inequality

$$
\left(\frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{t}(\alpha)}\right)^{2} \leq H_{t, \alpha}(\alpha)
$$

for the capacity density $c_{t}(\alpha)$ of $R_{t, \alpha}$ at $\alpha$ as in the proof of [5, Theorem 7.10] we get the inequality
(4.2) $\quad \int_{R}|F(w)-F(\alpha)|^{2} g_{R}^{p-2}(w, \alpha)\left|\nabla g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \geq 2^{2-p} \Gamma(p-1) \pi\left(\frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{R}(\alpha)}\right)^{2}$
which proves the theorem.
Corollary 4.2. Let $0<p<\infty$. Then
(i) $Q_{p}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(R)$,
(ii) $Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$.

This is obvious since $\mathcal{C B}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(R)$ and $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}_{0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$ ([5, Theorem 7.1]).
THEOREM 4.3. There exist Riemann surfaces $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ for which $Q_{p}\left(R_{1}\right) \neq \mathcal{B}\left(R_{1}\right)$ and $Q_{p, 0}\left(R_{2}\right) \neq \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(R_{2}\right)$ for any $p, 0<p<\infty$.

Proof. By obvious changes the proofs are the same as in [5, Theorem 4.2] and [5, Theorem 5.4], respectively.

Next we give a sufficient condition for which $Q_{p}(R)=\mathcal{B}(R)$ for $1<p<\infty$. To this end, we define

$$
C(R)=\sup \left\{\frac{d_{R}(w, \alpha)}{l_{R}(w, \alpha)}: w, \alpha \in R\right\}
$$

where $d_{R}(w, \alpha)$ is the hyperbolic distance between $w$ and $\alpha$ and

$$
l_{R}(w, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\exp \left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right)+1}{\exp \left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right)-1}\right) .
$$

We note that $C(R) \geq 1$ and the equality holds if and only if $R$ is simply connected.
THEOREM 4.4. If $C(R)<\infty$, then $Q_{p}(R)=\mathcal{B}(R)$ for all $1<p<\infty$.
Proof. If $g_{k}(w, \alpha)$ is a Green's function of $R_{k}$ in a regular exhaustion $\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ of $R$, we denote $h_{k}(w, \alpha)=g_{k}(w, \alpha)+i g_{k}^{*}(w, \alpha)$. The similar notation is introduced for $l_{k}(w, \alpha)$. Following the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [5] we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R_{k}}\left(l_{k}(w, \alpha)\right)^{2} g_{k}^{p-2}(w, \alpha)\left|h_{k}^{\prime}(w, \alpha)\right|^{2} d w d \bar{w} \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S_{t, \alpha, k}} \frac{\partial g_{k}(w, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s\right)\left(\log \frac{e^{t}+1}{e^{t}-1}\right)^{2} t^{p-2} d t  \tag{4.3}\\
&=\pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{e^{t}+1}{e^{t}-1}\right)^{2} t^{p-2} d t \\
&=4 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-2} e^{-2 t}\left(1+O\left(e^{-2 t}\right)\right) d t=K<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{t, \alpha, k}=\left\{w \in R_{k}: g_{k}(w, \alpha)=t\right\}$. From (4.3) and the proof of [5, Theorem 4.4] we conclude that $\mathcal{B}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)$ for all $1<p<\infty$. In Corollary 4.2 we have shown $Q_{p}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(R)$, and thus the theorem is proved.

Next we consider the relations between $Q_{E}(R)$ and $\mathcal{B}(R)(C \mathcal{B}(R))$. Note that in the following we do not restrict $a_{1}>0$.

THEOREM 4.5. Let $E(\zeta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \zeta^{n}$ be an entire function with $a_{n} \geq 0$. If its growth order $\rho$ and type $\sigma$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $\rho=1, \sigma<2$, or
(ii) $\rho<1, \sigma$ arbitrary, then $Q_{E}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}(R)$ and $Q_{E, 0}(R) \subseteq C \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$.

Proof. Using (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{n}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \geq 2 \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\left(\frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{R}(\alpha)}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{n}=a_{n} \Gamma(n+1) / 2^{n}$. As before, if (i) or (ii) is satisfied, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}=M<\infty$. Thus

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} E\left(g_{R}(w, \alpha)\right) d w d \bar{w} \geq 2 \pi M\left(\frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{R}(\alpha)}\right)^{2}
$$

Both inclusions $Q_{E}(R) \subseteq C \mathcal{B}(R)$ and $Q_{E, 0}(R) \subseteq C \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$ follow from this inequality.
Corollary 4.6. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 4.5, we have $Q_{E}(R) \subseteq$ $\mathcal{B}(R)$ and $Q_{E, 0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{0}(R)$.
5. $Q_{p}(R)$ as a Banach space. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.1. Let $R$ be a Riemann surface, $R \notin O_{G}$, and let $0<p<\infty$. Then $Q_{p}(R)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|F\|=\left|F\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right|+\left(\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \alpha_{0} \in R
$$

and the point evaluation is a continuous functional on $Q_{p}(R)$.
Proof. Suppose $0<p<\infty$. It is easy to check that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm. For $F \in Q_{p}(R)$ let

$$
I_{p}(\alpha)=\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

From (4.1), (4.2) and the fact $c_{R}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_{R}(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in R$ ( $c f$. [11, Theorem 2]), we have

$$
\left(\frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{\lambda_{R}(\alpha)}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{c_{R}(\alpha)}\right)^{2} \leq C I_{p}(\alpha),
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $F$. Let $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow R$ be the universal covering mapping such that $\pi(0)=\alpha_{0}$, and let $f=F \circ \pi$. Then it is well known that $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and for every $z \in \Delta$,

$$
|f(z)| \leq|f(0)|+M(z)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\Delta)}
$$

where $M(z)$ is a constant depending on $z$. Thus, by $f=F \circ \pi$ and $\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}(R)}=\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\Delta)}$, we get

$$
|F(w)| \leq\left|F\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right|+M(w)\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}(R)} \leq\left|F\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right|+C^{1 / 2} M(w)\left(\sup _{\alpha \in R} I_{p}(\alpha)\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \tilde{M}(w)\|F\|
$$

where $M(w)$ and $\tilde{M}(w)$ are constants depending on $w$. Thus the point evaluation is a continuous functional with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. By a standard argument, we can prove that $Q_{p}(R)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|(c f$. , for example, the proof of Theorem 2.10 in [15]).

TheOrem 5.2. Let $R$ be a Riemann surface, let $R \notin O_{G}$ and let $0<p<\infty$. Then $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ is a closed subspace of $Q_{p}(R)$.

Proof. By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [5], we can prove that $Q_{p, 0}(R) \subseteq Q_{p}(R)$ for $0<p<\infty$. Since the point evaluation is a continuous linear functional on $Q_{p}(R)$, we can prove by a standard argument that $Q_{p, 0}(R)$ is a closed subspace of $Q_{p}(R)$ (cf., for example, [15, Theorem 2.15]). We omit the details here.

To close this section, we give a characterization of $Q_{p}(R)$ by regular exhaustions.
THEOREM 5.3. Let $R$ be a Riemann surface, let $R \notin O_{G}$, let $\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ be a regular exhaustion of $R$, and let $F \in A(R)$. If we denote

$$
\|F\|_{k}^{2}=\sup _{\alpha \in R_{k}} \int_{R_{k}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{k}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w}
$$

where $g_{k}(w, \alpha)$ is the Green's function on $R_{k}$, then for $0<p<\infty$,

$$
\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\|F\|_{k}^{2}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\left\{\|F\|_{k}^{2}\right\}$ is increasing with respect to $k$ and $\|F\|_{k}^{2} \leq$ $\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2}$. Thus

$$
\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2} \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\|F\|_{k}^{2}
$$

On the contrary, since

$$
\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2}=\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w},
$$

we know that there is a sequence of points $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ in $R$ such that

$$
\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}\left(w, \alpha_{n}\right) d w d \bar{w} .
$$

For every $\alpha \in R$ and every $k>1$, let

$$
\tilde{g}_{k}(w, \alpha)= \begin{cases}g_{k}(w, \alpha), & w \in R_{k}, \\ 0, & w \in R \backslash R_{k} .\end{cases}
$$

Then, from $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{k}(w, \alpha)=g_{R}(w, \alpha)$, we know

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{g}_{k}(w, \alpha)=g_{R}(w, \alpha)
$$

Let $n$ be an arbitrary positive integer. Because $\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ is a regular exhaustion of $R$, there is a $k_{n}$ such that $\alpha_{n} \in R_{k_{n}}$. Thus for every $k \geq k_{n}, \alpha_{n} \in R_{k} \subseteq R$, and so

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \tilde{g}_{k}^{p}\left(w, \alpha_{n}\right) d w d \bar{w} \leq \sup _{\alpha \in R_{k}} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \tilde{g}_{k}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} .
$$

Then, by Fatou's Lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}\left(w, \alpha_{n}\right) d w d \bar{w} & =\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{g}_{k}^{p}\left(w, \alpha_{n}\right) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \tilde{g}_{k}^{p}\left(w, \alpha_{n}\right) d w d \bar{w} \\
& \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in R_{k}} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \tilde{g}_{k}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in R_{k}} \int_{R_{k}}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} \tilde{g}_{k}^{p}(w, \alpha) d w d \bar{w} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\|F\|_{k}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the right hand side is independent of $n$, we have

$$
\|F\|_{Q_{p}(R)}^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}\left(w, \alpha_{n}\right) d w d \bar{w} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\|F\|_{k}^{2}
$$

The proof is complete.
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