

# ON THE NØRLUND SUMMABILITY OF A CLASS OF FOURIER SERIES

BADRI N. SAHNEY

**1.** Our aim in this paper is to determine a necessary and sufficient condition for Nørlund summability of Fourier series and to include a wider class of classical results. A Fourier series, of a Lebesgue-integrable function, is said to be summable at a point by Nørlund method  $(N, p_n)$ , as defined by Hardy [1], if  $p_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\sum p_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the point is in a certain subset of the Lebesgue set. The following main results are known.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $\phi(u)$  be even,  $\phi(u) \in L(-\pi, \pi)$ , and let  $S_n$  denote the  $(n + 1)$ st partial sum of its Fourier series at the origin. Then the assumption*

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = o\left(\frac{t}{\log(1/t)}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0$$

*implies that  $S_n$  is summable  $(N, (n + 1)^{-1})$ , or summable by harmonic means to the sum 0.*

**THEOREM A'.** *If (1.1) is replaced by*

$$(1.1)' \quad \Phi(t) = o\left(\frac{t}{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} \{(\log)^{q+1}(1/t)\}}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

*then  $\sigma_n(p)$  is summable  $(H, p)$  to the sum 0 and  $\sigma_n(p)$  is defined as follows:*

$$\sigma_n(p) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ S_{n-k}(t) / \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}}{\{(\log)^p(n+1)\}},$$

*for each positive integer  $p$ .*

**THEOREM B.** *If  $\phi(u)$  is defined as in Theorem A, then the assumption*

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(t) = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0$$

*implies that  $S_n$  is summable to 0 by the Cesàro method  $(C, k)$ , for any  $k > 0$ .*

---

\*Received September 25, 1968. This work was carried out while the author was a Fellow at the Summer Research Institute at Queen's University and University of British Columbia in 1967 and 1968, respectively.

**THEOREM C.** *Let a function  $P(u)$ , tending to  $\infty$  with  $u$ , and a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  be defined as follows in terms of  $p(u)$ , monotonic decreasing and strictly positive for  $u \geq 0$ ,*

$$(1.3) \quad P(u) \equiv \int_0^u p(x) dx, \quad p_n \equiv p(n).$$

Then (1.2) and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_1^u \frac{P(x)}{x} dx = O\{P(u)\} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty$$

ensure that either  $S_n$  is summable  $(N, p_n)$  to 0, or

$$(1.5) \quad t_n \equiv \left\{ \frac{\sum_{\tau=0}^n p_\tau S_{n-\tau}}{\sum_{\tau=0}^n p_\tau} \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**THEOREM D.** *Let  $p_n$  be defined as in (1.3). A necessary and sufficient condition that the Nørlund method  $(N, p_n)$  should sum the Fourier series of  $\phi(u)$  to 0 such that*

$$(1.6) \quad \phi(t) = o\left(\frac{1}{\log|1/t|}\right)$$

is that the sequence

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{P_k}{(k+1) \log(k+1)} = O(1).$$

Theorem A has been proved by Hille and Tamarkin [3], Iyengar [5], and Siddiqi [11], while I proved Theorem A' in [9]. Theorem B has been proved by Fejér, Lebesgue, and Hardy (see [15, p. 49]). Rajagopal [8] has proved Theorem C, and Theorem D is due to Varshney [13].

Rajagopal had to use the two alternative forms of Theorem C, from which he deduced Theorems A and B, respectively. He had indicated that condition (1.4) is violated in the application of Theorem C as Theorem A and Theorem A'. I have attempted to improve Theorems C and D in such a way that both Theorems A and B can be deduced from Theorem 1, which also generalizes Theorem D.

**2. The following is our main result.**

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the sequence  $p_n$  be defined as in (1.3) and let*

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(t) = o(t/\psi(1/t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0;$$

let  $\psi(t)$  be positive, non-decreasing with  $t$ ; then a necessary and sufficient condition to ensure (1.5) is that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_1^n \frac{P(x)}{x\psi(x)} dx = O(P(n)).$$

**3. Proof of Sufficiency.** We write the formula for the  $n$ th partial sum of the Fourier series as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(u) \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})u}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\delta \phi(u) \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})u}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} du + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.5) and the last equation, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad t_n &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^\delta \phi(u) \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} \frac{\sin(r + \frac{1}{2})u}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} du + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \left[ \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\delta \right] \frac{\phi(u)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} \sin(r + \frac{1}{2})u du + o(1) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + o(1), \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (1.3).

Now (1.3) implies that  $mp_m < P_m$ . If we choose  $m$  to be the integral part of  $1/u$  and if we suppose that  $1/n \leq u \leq \delta$ , we obtain  $m \sin \frac{1}{2}u > mu/\pi$ . Now for  $u > 0$  and  $m \leq n$  [10, Lemma] we have:

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} \sin(r + \frac{1}{2})u \right| < P(m) + \frac{AP(m)}{m \sin \frac{1}{2}u} < cP(1/u).$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \frac{\sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} \sin(r + \frac{1}{2})u}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} \right| \leq \frac{cP(1/u)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} < \frac{c\pi P(1/u)}{u} < \frac{cP(1/u)}{u}.$$

Considering  $I_1$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad I_1 &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^{1/n} \frac{\phi(u)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} \sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} \sin(r + \frac{1}{2})u du \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(u)|(2n + 1)P_n du \\ &= O(2n + 1)o\left(\frac{t}{\psi(1/t)}\right)_0^{1/n} \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (3.3), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad I_2 &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta |\phi(u)| \left| \frac{\sum_{r=0}^n p_{n-r} \sin(r + \frac{1}{2})u}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u} \right| du \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta |\phi(u)| \frac{P(1/u)}{u} du \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta |\phi(u)| \left\{ \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(u)} \psi(u) \right\} du \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \left[ \Phi(u) \frac{P(1/u)}{u} \right]_{1/n}^\delta \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \Phi(u) d\left\{ \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \psi(1/u) \right\} \\
 &= o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)P(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta o\left(\frac{u}{\psi(1/u)}\right) \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} d\psi(1/u) \\
 &\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta o\left(\frac{u}{\psi(1/u)}\right) d\left\{ \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \right\} \psi(1/u) \\
 &= o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)P(n)}\right) + o(1) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{d\psi(1/u)}{\{\psi(1/u)\}^2} \\
 &\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta u d\left\{ \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \right\} \\
 &= o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)P(n)}\right) + o(1) \left[ \frac{1}{\psi(1/u)} \right]_{1/n}^\delta \\
 &\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \left\{ \left[ u \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \right]_{1/n}^\delta - \int_{1/n}^\delta 1 \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} du \right\} \\
 &= o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)P(n)}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) O(P_n) \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.2).

Thus  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ , and this completes the proof.

**4. Proof of Necessity.** The proof of sufficiency shows that all we need to prove here is that

$$(4.1) \quad O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{u}{\psi(1/u)} d\left\{ \frac{P(1/u)}{u} \right\} = O(1).$$

Considering the left hand side, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad & O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta ud\left\{\frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \psi(1/u)\right\} \frac{1}{\psi(1/u)} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta ud\left\{\frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)}\right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{uP(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \frac{d\psi(1/u)}{\psi(1/u)} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \left[ u \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} \right]_{1/n}^\delta + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} du \\
 & \hspace{20em} + O(1) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{d\psi(1/u)}{\{\psi(1/u)\}^2} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} du \\
 & \hspace{20em} + O(1) \left[ \frac{1}{\psi(1/u)} \right]_{1/n}^\delta \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (4.1), we have:

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\psi(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{P(1/u)}{u\psi(1/u)} du = O(1).$$

But the first two terms tend to a constant with large  $n$  and the last equation, in that case, will reduce to (2.2), which proves the desired result.

**5.** Theorem 1 has the advantage over Rajagopal’s result in two ways. First, it gives a set of necessary and sufficient conditions, while Rajagopal has proved only the sufficiency part. Secondly, Theorems A (Theorem A’ also) and B can be deduced directly from Theorem 1, which was not possible in his case.

If we consider the case  $\psi(u) \equiv \log u$  and  $p_n = 1/(n + 1)$ , we obtain Theorem A, while the case

$$\psi(u) = \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} \log^{q+1}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad p_n = \left( \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} \log^q(n + 1) \right)^{-1}$$

is Theorem A’. By choosing  $\psi(u) = 1$  and  $p_n = \Gamma(n + \alpha)/\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we obtain Theorem B.

The particular cases  $\psi(u) \equiv \log u$  and  $\psi(u) = 1$  are Theorems C and D, respectively.

**6.** Iyengar [4] has shown that harmonic summability of Fourier series implies Valiron summability of Fourier series, and Varshney [12] has shown that harmonic summability of Fourier series implies Riesz summability of first order and of type  $\exp(n^\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Hardy and Littlewood [2] proved

that the conditions  $S_n - S_{n-1} = O(n^{\alpha-1})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  along with the Valiron summability imply convergence of  $S_n$ . Later on, Wang [14] and Iyengar [5] used the same conditions to prove the convergence of  $S_n$ , the former via Riesz summability of the type  $\exp(n^\alpha)$  and the latter via harmonic summability. Jurkat [7] has discussed the advantage of Wang's method and proved that under a condition similar to (1.1)' (see [7]), the Riesz summability of  $S_n$  of any positive order and a certain type [7] along with the appropriate Tauberian condition implies the convergence of  $S_n$ . In the same way we can introduce in either Theorem A or Theorem A' the Tauberian condition appropriate to Nørlund summability of that theorem and establish the convergence of  $S_n$ . One such Tauberian condition, as given by Iyengar, is  $S_n - S_{n-1} = O(n^\alpha)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series* (Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1949).
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Notes on the theory of series XVIII: On the convergence of Fourier series*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 31 (1935), 317–323.
3. E. Hille and J. D. Tamarkin, *On the summability of Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1932), 757–783.
4. K. S. K. Iyengar, *A Tauberian theorem and its applications to convergence of Fourier series*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A 18 (1943), 81–87.
5. ——— *New convergence and summability test for Fourier series*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A 18 (1943), 113–120.
6. ——— *Notes on the summability. II. On the relation between summability by Nørlund means of a certain type and summability by Valiron means*, Half-Yearly J. Mysore Univ. Sect. B (N.S.) 4 (1944), 161–166.
7. W. Jurkat, *Zur Konvergenztheorie der Fourier-Reihen*, Math. Z. 53 (1950–51), 309–339.
8. C. T. Rajagopal, *Nørlund summability of Fourier series*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 59 (1963), 47–53.
9. B. N. Sahney, *On the  $(H, p)$  summability of Fourier series*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 16 (1961), 156–163.
10. ——— *On the Nørlund summability of Fourier series*, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 251–262.
11. J. A. Siddiqi, *On the harmonic summability of Fourier series*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A 28 (1948), 527–531.
12. O. P. Varshney, *On the relation between harmonic summability and summability by Riesz means of a certain type*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 11 (1959), 20–24.
13. ——— *On the Nørlund summability of Fourier series*, Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. (5) 52 (1966), 1552–1558.
14. F. T. Wang, *On the Riesz summability of Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 47 (1942), 308–325.
15. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, 2nd ed. (Chelsea, New York, 1952).

*The University of Calgary,  
Calgary, Alberta*