

ON A QUESTION OF COLIN CLARK CONCERNING THREE PROPERTIES OF CONVEX SETS⁽¹⁾

BY
VICTOR KLEE

Let C be a convex subset of a normed linear space E . The following properties of C were studied by Clark [2]:

- (1) C is of *finite width*; that is, C lies between two parallel closed hyperplanes;
- (2) C is of *finite width in some direction*; that is, for some line L in E there is a finite upper bound for the lengths of C 's intersections with lines parallel to L ;
- (3) C is *partially bounded*; that is, there is a finite upper bound for the radii of balls contained in C .

Plainly (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3). Clark [2] proved the reverse implications when E is finite-dimensional, and asked what happens in the infinite-dimensional case. We show here that both of the reverse implications are invalid in an arbitrary infinite-dimensional separable Banach space, even if C is required to be closed. When C has nonempty interior, (2) \Rightarrow (1) but (3) \nRightarrow (2). All of the necessary background for understanding the constructions and arguments can be found in [1] and [3].

Let us first consider an arbitrary infinite-dimensional normed linear space E . Let B be a Hamel basis for E , linearly ordered so as to have no last element, and let C denote the convex cone consisting of all points x of E such that the last nonzero coordinate in x 's canonical expression in terms of B is positive. Then C has property (3) but not property (2). Any dense hyperplane in E has property (2) but not property (1). These examples are dense rather than closed.

If E is the space (l^p) for $1 \leq p < \infty$, it is easily verified that the closed convex cone consisting of all nonnegative members of E has property (3) but not property (2).

Now we will show that if E is an arbitrary infinite-dimensional separable Banach space, then E contains a closed convex cone which has property (2) but not property (1) and a closed convex body which has property (3) but not property (2). Let H be a closed hyperplane through the origin in E , let U denote the unit ball of E , and let q be a point of $E \sim H$. Let u_1, u_2, \dots be a sequence dense in $U \cap H$, and let X denote the closed convex hull of the set

$$\{0, -u_1, u_1, -u_2/2, u_2/2, \dots, -u_n/n, u_n/n, \dots\}.$$

Being the closed convex hull of a compact set, X is itself compact. As H is not

Received by the editors January 18, 1971.

⁽¹⁾ Preparation of this paper was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

locally compact, a simple category argument shows that the linear subspace $RX = [0, \infty[X$ is not all of H .

Now let K denote the closed convex cone consisting of all nonnegative multiples of points of $q+X$; that is, $K = [0, \infty[q+X$. As X lies in a proper subspace of H , K lies in a proper subspace of E and hence has zero width in many directions. In particular, K has property (2). However, K does not have property (1). If it had, there would be a nonzero continuous linear functional f on E such that the numbers $\inf fK$ and $\sup fK$ are both finite. As $[0, \infty[K = K$, it follows that $fK = \{0\}$, whence $f(q) = 0$, $f(u_n) = 0$ for all n , $fH = \{0\}$, and f is the zero functional.

To obtain a closed convex body C which has property (3) but not property (2), let $C = K + U$, the set of all points at distance ≤ 1 from K . We will show that C does not contain any ball of radius $> \rho$, where $\rho > 0$ is such that the ball $(2/\rho)U$ is contained in the vector sum $(U \cap H) + [-q, q]$. Consider an arbitrary ball $B \subset C$. In order to show that the radius of B does not exceed ρ , it suffices to produce a continuous linear functional f on E such that $\|f\| \geq 1$ and the variation of f on B is at most 2ρ . Note that there exists $\tau \varepsilon [0, \infty[$ such that

$$(*) \quad B \subset [0, \tau](q+X) + U.$$

By the standard separation theorem, in conjunction with the fact that the origin is not interior to the compact convex set X relative to H , there exists a continuous linear functional g on H such that $\sup g(U \cap H) = 1$ but $\sup gX < \rho/(2\tau)$. Let the linear functional f on E be defined by the condition that $f = g$ on H and $f(q) = 0$. Then $\|f\| \geq 1$ and $\sup f(2/\rho)U \leq 1$, so $\|f\| \leq \rho/2$. As the variation of f on each of the sets $[0, \tau](q+X)$ and U is at most ρ , it follows from (*) that f 's variation on B is at most 2ρ . Hence C has property (3).

To prove that the set $C = K + U$ lacks property (2), we show that for each $h \in H$ and each $\mu \geq 0$, C contains a translate of the segment $[0, h + \mu q]$. Note first that the set $[0, \infty[X$ is dense in H , and hence there exists $x \in X$, $\alpha > 0$, and $u \in U \cap H$ such that $h = \alpha x + u$. From the definition of C it then follows that C includes the points αq and $\alpha q + h$, and with $\alpha q + h \in C$ and $[0, \infty[q \subset C$ it follows from the closedness and convexity of C that $\alpha q + h + \mu q \in C$. But then $[\alpha q, \alpha q + h + \mu q] \subset C$, and C lacks property (2).

It remains only to show that if E is a topological linear space and C is a convex subset of E such that $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$ and C has property (2), then C also has property (1). We assume without loss of generality that C is closed. (Note that property (2) can be formulated in an arbitrary real vector space, as it involves only a family of mutually parallel segments.)

By property (2), there is a line L through the origin in E and there is a point q of $L \setminus \{0\}$ such that if L' is any translate of L , then the intersection of L' with the closed convex body C is contained in a translate of the segment $[0, q]$. In particular, there is a point p of $E \setminus C$ such that the ray $p + [0, \infty[q$ intersects the interior of C , and then, by a standard separation theorem, there is a closed hyperplane H

through p such that C lies in the closed halfspace $H + [0, \infty[q$. We assume without loss of generality that p is the origin, so that E is the direct sum, both algebraically and topologically, of its subspaces H and Rq . Let π denote the projection of E onto H , whence πC is a convex set whose interior S relative to H is nonempty. For each point $s \in S$, let $\xi(s)$ and $\eta(s)$ denote respectively the smallest and the largest values of τ for which $s + \tau q \in C$. Then ξ is a convex function on the relatively open convex set S and η is a concave function on S , with $0 \leq \xi < \eta \leq \xi + 1$. It follows that ξ and η are both continuous, with $\eta - 1 \leq \xi$. Now consider the sets

$$A = \{s + \lambda q : s \in S, \lambda < \eta(s) - 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{s + \lambda q : s \in S, \lambda > \xi(s)\}.$$

Being disjoint open convex sets, they are separated in E by a closed hyperplane J , and it can be verified that C lies between the hyperplanes J and $J + q$.

Added in Proof. It is proved above that every infinite-dimensional separable Banach space (a) contains a closed convex body that is partially bounded but not of finite width in any direction and (b) contains a closed convex set that is of infinite width but is of finite width in some direction. After the present paper had been accepted for publication, there appeared a paper of Thorp and Whitley [4], submitted more than a year before the present paper, which establishes (a) for all infinite-dimensional separable Banach spaces and (b) for all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces having Schauder bases. They also observe that a Banach space X has property (a) or property (b) if X has a quotient space with the stated property.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Espaces vectorielles topologiques*, Ch. 1–2, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
2. C. Clark, *On convex sets of finite width*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 513–516.
3. V. Klee, *Convex sets in linear spaces*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951), 443–466.
4. E. Thorp and R. Whitley, *Partially bounded sets of infinite width*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **248** (1971), 117–122.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON,
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON