J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 34(1992), 18-25

# CONTROLLABILITY OF NEUTRAL VOLTERRA INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

## K. BALACHANDRAN<sup>1</sup>

(Received 29 May 1990; revised 2 January 1991)

#### Abstract

Sufficent conditions for controllability of nonlinear neutral Volterra integrodifferential systems are established. Controllability of an infinite-delay neutral Volterra system is also considered.

### 1. Introduction

Several authors [4, 6, 8, 12] have studied the theory of functional differential equations. In [2, 9] the problem of controllability of linear neutral systems has been investigated. Motivation for such control systems and its importance in other fields can be found in [8, 10]. Chukwu [3] and Angell [1] studied the functional controllability and Underwood and Chukwu [13], null controllability of nonlinear neutral systems. Onwuatu [11] discussed the problem for nonlinear systems of neutral functional differential equations with limited controls. Gahl [7] derived a set of sufficient conditions for controllability of nonlinear neutral systems through the fixed point method developed by Dauer [5]. In this paper, we shall study the controllability of neutral Volterra integrodifferential system and infinite delay neutral Volterra systems, by suitably adapting the technique of Dauer [5].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641 046, Tamil Nadu, India. © Copyright Australian Mathematical Society 1992, Serial-fee code 0334-2700/92

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let Q be the Banach space of all continuous functions

$$(x, u): [0, t_1] \times [0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

with the norm defined by

$$||(x, u)|| = ||x|| + ||u||$$

where  $||x|| = \sup |x(t)|$  for  $t \in [0, t_1]$ .

Consider the linear neutral Volterra integrodifferential system of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)\,ds - g(t)\right]$$

$$= Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)x(s)\,ds + B(t)u(t)$$
(1)

and the nonlinear system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s) \, ds - g(t) \right]$$

$$= Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)x(s) \, ds + B(t)u(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t))$$
(2)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , C(t) and G(t) are  $n \times n$  continuous matrix valued functions and B(t) is a continuous  $n \times m$  matrix valued function, A a constant  $n \times n$  matrix, f and g are respectively continuous and absolutely continuous *n*-vector functions.

We consider the controllability on a bounded interval  $J = [0, t_1]$  of the system (1) and (2). That is, system (1) or (2) is said to be controllable on J if for every x(0),  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a control function u, defined on J, such that the solution of (1) or (2) satisfies  $x(t_1) = x_1$ .

The solution of (1) can be written as [14]

$$x(t) = Z(t)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t - s)g(s) \, ds + \int_0^t Z(t - s)B(s)u(s) \, ds$$
(3)

where Z(t) is an  $n \times n$  continuously differentiable matrix satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)Z(s)\,ds\right] = AZ(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)Z(s)\,ds$$

K. Balachandran

with Z(0) = I and the solution of the nonlinear system (2) is given by

$$x(t) = Z(t)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t - s)g(s) \, ds + \int_0^t Z(t - s)[B(s)u(s) + f(s, x(s), u(s))] \, ds.$$
(4)

Define the matrix W by

$$W(0, t) = \int_0^t Z(t-s)B(s)B^*(s)Z^*(t-s)\,ds \tag{5}$$

where the star denotes the matrix transpose.

#### 3. Main results

**THEOREM 1.** The system (1) is controllable on J iff W is nonsingular.

**PROOF.** Assume W is nonsingular. Let the control function u be defined on J as

$$u(t) = B^{*}(t)Z^{*}(t_{1} - t)W^{-1}(0, t_{1}) \left[ x_{1} - Z(t_{1})(x(0) - g(0)) - g(t_{1}) - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \dot{Z}(t_{1} - s)g(s) \, ds \right]$$

Then from (3), it follows that  $x(t_1) = x_1$ .

Conversely suppose that (1) is controllable. In order to show that W is nonsingular let us assume the contrary. Then, there exists a vector  $v \neq 0$  such that  $v^*Wv = 0$ . It follows that

$$\int_0^{t_1} v^* Z(t_1 - s) B(s) (v^* Z(t_1 - s) B(s))^* ds = 0.$$

Therefore,  $v^*Z(t_1 - s)B(s) = 0$  for  $s \in J$ . Consider the initial point, x(0) = 0, and the final point,  $x_1 = v$ . Take g = 0; since the system is controllable there exists a control u(t) on J that steers the response to  $x_1 = v$  at  $t = t_1$ , that is

$$x(t_1) = v = \int_0^{t_1} Z(t_1 - s)B(s)u(s) \, ds$$

and hence

$$v^*v = \int_0^{t_1} v^*Z(t_1 - s)B(s)u(s) \, ds = 0.$$

This is a contradiction for  $v \neq 0$ . Hence W is nonsingular.

Now we shall consider the nonlinear system (2). For this, take  $p = (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$  and set |p| = |x| + |u|.

**THEOREM 2.** If the continuous function f satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{|p|\to\infty}\frac{|f(t,p)|}{|p|}=0$$

uniformly in  $t \in J$  and if the system (1) is controllable on J, then the system (2) is controllable on J.

**PROOF.** Define  $T: Q \to Q$  by

$$T(x, u) = (y, v),$$

where

$$v(t) = B^{*}(t)Z^{*}(t_{1} - t)W^{-1}(0, t_{1})$$

$$\times \left[x_{1} - Z(t_{1})(x(0) - g(0)) - g(t_{1}) - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \dot{Z}(t_{1} - s)g(s) \, ds - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} Z(t_{1} - s)f(s, x(s), u(s)) \, ds\right]$$
(6)

and

$$y(t) = Z(t)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s)g(s) \, ds + \int_0^t Z(t-s)B(s)v(s) \, ds + \int_0^t Z(t-s)f(s, x(s), u(s)) \, ds].$$
(7)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \sup |Z(t-s)B(s)|, \quad 0 \le s \le t \le t_1, \\ a_2 &= |W^{-1}(0, t_1)|, \\ a_3 &= \sup |Z(t)(x(0) - g(0)) + g(t) + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s)g(s) \, ds| + |x_1|, \\ a_4 &= \sup |Z(t-s)|, \quad (t, s) \in J \times J. \\ b &= \max\{t_1a_1, 1\}, \\ c_1 &= 4ba_1a_2a_4t_1, \\ c_2 &= 4a_4t_1, \\ d_1 &= 4a_1a_2a_3b, \quad d_2 &= 4a_3, \\ c &= \max\{c_1, c_2\}, \quad d &= \max\{d_1, d_2\} \\ \sup |f| &= \sup[|f(s, x(s), u(s))| : s \in J]. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

K. Balachandran

$$\begin{aligned} |v(t)| &\leq a_1 a_2 [a_3 + a_4 t_1 \sup |f|] \\ &= \frac{d_1}{4b} + \frac{c_1}{4b} \sup |f| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4b} [d + c \sup |f|] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|y(t)| \le a_3 + t_1 a_1 ||v|| + t_1 a_4 \sup |f|$$
  
$$\le b ||v|| + \frac{d}{4} + \frac{c}{4} \sup |f|.$$

By hypothesis, f satisfies the following condition (Proposition 1 in [5]): for each pair of positive constants c and d, there exists a positive constant rsuch that, if  $|p| \leq r$ , then

$$c|f(t, p)| + d \le r \quad \text{for all } t \in J.$$
(8)

. ....

Also, for given c and d, if r is a constant such that (8) is satisfied, then any  $r_1$  such that  $r < r_1$  will also satisfy (8). Now take c and d as given above, and let r be chosen so that (8) is satisfied. Therefore, if  $||x|| \le r/2$  and  $||u|| \le r/2$  then  $||(x, u)|| \le r$ . It follows that  $d + c \sup |f| \le r$ . Therefore,

$$|v(t)| \le \frac{r}{4b}$$
 for all  $t \in J$ 

and hence  $||v|| \leq r/4b$ . It follows that

$$|y(t)| \le r/4 + r/4 = r/2 \quad \text{for all } t \in J$$

and hence that  $||y|| \le r/2$ . Thus we have proved that, if

$$H = \{(x, u) \in Q : ||x|| \le r/2 \text{ and } ||u|| \le r/2\},\$$

then T maps H into itself. Since all the functions involved in the definition of the operator T are continuous, it follows that T is continuous. Using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it is easy to see that T is completely continuous. Since H is closed, bounded and convex, the Schauder fixed point theorem guarantees that T has a fixed point  $(x, u) \in H$ . It follows that

Hence x(t) is a solution of the system (2) and it is easy to verify that  $x(t-1) = x_1$ . Hence (2) is controllable on J.

### 4. Infinite neutral systems:

We shall consider the following neutral system represented by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{t} C(t-s)x(s) \, ds - g(t) \right] = Ax(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} G(t-s)x(s) \, ds + B(t)u(t) \,,$$
(9)

 $x(t) = \phi(t)$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  where the initial function  $\phi$  is continuous and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Equivalently, (9) takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s) \, ds - g(t) - \int_{-\infty}^0 C(t-s)\phi(s) \, ds \right]$$
  
=  $Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)x(s) \, ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 G(t-s)\phi(s) \, ds + B(t)u(t).$  (10)

Using (3), the solution of (10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= Z(t) \left[ x(0) - g(0) - \int_{-\infty}^{0} C(-s)\phi(s) \, ds \right] + g(t) \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} C(t-s)\phi(s) \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} \dot{Z}(t-s) \left[ g(s) + \int_{-\infty}^{0} C(s-\tau)\phi(\tau) \, d\tau \right] \, ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s)B(s)u(s) \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) \int_{-\infty}^{0} G(s-\tau)\phi(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds. \end{aligned}$$
(11)

Here the system (9) is said to be controllable if for each initial function  $\phi \in C_n(-\infty, 0]$  and for every  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a control u(t), defined on J, such that the solution x(t) of (9) satisfies  $x(t_1) = x_1$ .

**THEOREM 3.** System (9) is controllable on J iff W is nonsingular.

**PROOF.** Assume W is nonsingular. Let the control function u be defined on J by K. Balachandran

$$u(t) = B^{*}(t)Z^{*}(t_{1} - t)W^{-1}(0, t_{1})$$

$$\times \left[ x_{1} - Z(t_{1}) \left( x(0) - g(0) - \int_{-\infty}^{0} C(-s)\phi(s) \, ds \right) - g(t_{1}) - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} C(t_{1} - s)g(s) \, ds$$

$$- \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \dot{Z}(t_{1} - s) \left[ g(s) + \int_{-\infty}^{0} C(s - \tau)\phi(\tau) \, d\tau \right] \, ds$$

$$- \int_{0}^{t_{1}} Z(t_{1} - s) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0} G(s - \tau)\phi(\tau) \, d\tau \right] \, ds$$

Then from (11), it follows that  $x(t_1) = x_1$ . The converse part follows as in Theorem 1.

**REMARK.** By similar argument, with the same condition on the nonlinear function f as in Theorem 2, one can establish the controllability relationship between the linear system (9) and its corresponding nonlinear system.

#### References

- [1] T. S. Angell, "On controllability for nonlinear hereditary systems; a fixed-point approach," Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications 4 (1980) 529-548.
- [2] H. T. Banks and G. A. Kent, "Control of functional differential equations of retarded and neutral type to target sets in function space," SIAM J. Control 10 (1972) 567-593.
- [3] E. N. Chukwu, "Functional inclusion and controllability of nonlinear neutral functional differential systems," J. Optimiz. Theory Appl. 29 (1979) 291-300.
- [4] E. N. Chukwu and H. C. Simpson, "Perturbations of nonlinear systems of neutral type," J. Differential Equations 82 (1989) 28-59.
- [5] J. P.Dauer, "Nonlinear perturbations of quasi-linear control systems," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 54 (1976) 717-725.
- [6] E. Fuchs, "The degeneracy property in linear autonomous functional differential equations of neutral type," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 90 (1983) 527-549.
- [7] R. D. Gahl, "Controllability of nonlinear systems of neutral type," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 63 (1978) 33-42.
- [8] J. K. Hale, Theory of functional differential equations (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977).
- [9] M. Q. Jacobs and C. E. Langenhop, "Criteria for function space controllability of linear neutral systems," SIAM J. Control Optim. 14 (1976) 1009–1048.
- [10] D. A. O'Connor, State controllability and observability for linear neutral systems, (D. Sc Thesis, Washington University, 1978).
- [11] J. U. Onwuatu, "On the null-controllability in function space of nonlinear systems of neutral functional differential equations with limited controls," J. Optimiz. Theory Appl. 42 (1984) 397-420.

25

- [12] G. Tadmore, "Functional differential equations of retarded and neutral type: analytic solutions and piecewise continuous controls," J. Diff. Equations 51 (1984) 151-181.
- [13] R. G. Underwood and E. N. Chukwu, "Null controllability of nonlinear neutral differential equations," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 129 (1988) 326-345.
- [14] J. Wu, "Globally stable periodic solutions of linear neutral Volterra integrodifferential equations," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 130 (1988) 474-483.