

A NOTE ON SUBNORMAL DEFECT IN  
FINITE SOLUBLE GROUPS

R.A. BRYCE

It is shown that for every positive integer  $n$  there exists a finite group of derived length  $n$  in which all Sylow subgroups are abelian and in which the defect of subnormal subgroups is at most 3.

1.

A subgroup  $S$  of a group  $G$  is subnormal in  $G$  if there exists a chain of subgroups of  $G$ ,

$$S = S_0 \leq S_1 \leq \dots \leq S_n = G,$$

with  $S_i$  normal in  $S_{i+1}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ). It is of defect  $n$  if no shorter chain with this property connects  $S$  and  $G$ . It is consequence of the Jordan-Hölder Theorem that defect is an invariant.

There has been considerable interest for some time in elucidating properties of groups consequent upon their having bounds on the defects of their subnormal subgroups. Thus in the universe of finite soluble groups we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_n$  the class of those groups  $G$  whose subnormal subgroups have defect at most  $n$ . The class  $\mathcal{B}_1$  consists precisely of the so-called  $T$ -groups, those groups in which all subnormal subgroups are normal. Well-known investigations of Zacher [7] and Gaschütz [4] yield, among detailed structural information, that  $\mathcal{B}_1$ -groups are all metabelian. Work of Casolo [1], improving earlier results of McCaughan and Stonehewer [6], shows that  $\mathcal{B}_2$ -groups have derived length at most 5, and that 5 is best possible. Groups of odd order in  $\mathcal{B}_2$  have derived length at most 3 (Cossey [3]).

However, by contrast, Hawkes [5] has shown that every finite soluble group is isomorphic to a subgroup of some  $\mathcal{B}_3$ -group. There is therefore no bound on the derived length of  $\mathcal{B}_3$ -groups. Hawkes raises the following question: is there a bound on the derived length of  $A$ -groups in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ ? Here  $A$ -groups are those whose Sylow subgroups are all abelian. The motivation for the question is that his embedding groups are never  $A$ -groups. Recently Casolo [2] has shown that  $A$ -groups in  $\mathcal{B}_4$  do not have bounded derived length. It is the aim of the present note to show that even in  $\mathcal{B}_3$  there are  $A$ -groups of arbitrary derived length.

**THEOREM.** *For each positive integer  $n$  there is an  $A$ -group in  $\mathcal{B}_3$  of derived length  $n$ .*

---

Received 16 June 1988

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/89 \$A2.00+0.00.

2.

We begin by observing that if  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of odd primes then there exists a prime  $p$  not in  $\Sigma$  and for which  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  for every  $q \in \Sigma$ . For, by the well-known theorem of Dirichlet,  $p = k\Pi\{q: q \in \Sigma\} + 2$  is prime for at least one positive value of  $k$ . But then  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{q}$  for all  $q \in \Sigma$  so certainly  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  for  $q \in \Sigma$ .

What follows is a description of a certain group construction which will enable us to produce in  $\mathcal{B}_3$  an  $A$ -group of derived length  $n$ .

Let  $H$  be a finite, soluble group with a unique minimal normal subgroup  $M$ . We suppose moreover that  $M$  is not cyclic and that if  $M$  is a  $p$ -group for the prime  $p$  then, for every prime  $q$  dividing  $|H/M|$ ,  $p \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{q}$ . A group with this property we call a †-group.

Now let  $H$  be a †-group and, in the notation of the last paragraph, let  $U$  be a maximal subgroup of  $M$ . Then  $M \leq N_H(U)$  and

$$N_H(U)/U = M/U \times L/U,$$

for some subgroup  $L$  normal in  $N_H(U)$ : under the †-group hypothesis  $M/U$  is central in  $N_H(U)/U$  and of order co-prime to its index.

Next choose a prime  $q$  such that  $q \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{r}$  for every prime  $r$  dividing  $|H|$ . Let  $X$  be an irreducible module for  $N_H(U)$  over  $GF(q)$  with kernel precisely  $L$ . Then set

$$N = X^H.$$

I claim that  $N$  is irreducible. For, if  $D$  (containing 1) is a complete set of right coset representatives of  $N_H(U)$  in  $H$  then

$$N = \bigoplus_{d \in D} X^d.$$

Moreover  $X^d$  is an  $M$ -module whose kernel as  $M$ -module is  $U^d$ . Since  $U^{d_1} \neq U^{d_2}$  whenever  $d_1 \neq d_2$  we conclude that distinct  $X^d$  are non-isomorphic as  $M$ -modules. Hence if  $N_0$  is a non-zero submodule of  $N$  it contains some  $X^d$  and hence every  $X^d$ . That is  $N_0 = N$  so  $N$  is irreducible.

Observe that the group which is the semi-direct product of  $N$  by  $H$  has the †-property. We will denote this group by  $H(U, q)$ . (It is in fact uniquely determined by  $H, U, q$  since the irreducible modules for  $N_H(U)$  with kernel  $L$  over  $GF(q)$  form a single linear isomorphism class.) For convenience write  $K = H(U, q)$ .

Now choose a prime  $r$  satisfying  $r \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{s}$  for every prime  $s$  dividing  $|K|$ . Select a maximal subgroup  $V$  of  $N$  with the property that no  $X^d (d \in D)$  is

contained in it. For example, if

$$V_d = \{x^d - x : x \in X\}, \quad 1 \neq d \in D,$$

let  $V$  be a maximal subgroup of  $N$  containing all  $V_d$ . Then we can prove the following result.

LEMMA.  $G = K(V, r)$  has the property that for every one of its subnormal subgroups  $S$  either  $S \leq F_2(G)$  or  $F_1(G) \leq S$ . (Here  $F_1, F_2$  denote respectively the first and second terms of the ascending nilpotent series of  $G$ ).

PROOF: Let  $P$  be the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $P$  as  $GF(r)K$ -module is  $Y^K$  where  $Y$  is irreducible for  $N_K(V)$ ; and note that for all  $k \in K$ ,  $\ker(Y_N)^k = V^k$ .

Suppose that  $S$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$  not in  $F_2(G) = PN$ . It follows that  $1 \neq H \cap PNS$  is subnormal in  $H$  and therefore that  $1 \neq M \cap PNS$ . (The Fitting subgroup of a subnormal subgroup is in the Fitting subgroup of the whole group). Since  $p \nmid |PN|$  it follows that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $S$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $PNS$ . Consequently some conjugate of  $S$  contains  $M \cap PNS = T$  say. Now the intersection of all  $U^d (d \in D)$  is trivial so  $T$  is not in some  $U^d$ . Again, up to conjugacy,  $T \not\leq U$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X &= [X, M] \\ &= [X, T] \\ &= [X, \underbrace{T, T, \dots, T}_n] \\ &\leq S \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ , since  $S$  is subnormal. Finally note that  $X \not\leq V^k (k \in K)$  since  $V$  contains no  $X^d (d \in D)$ . It follows that for all  $k \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^k &= [Y^k, X] \\ &= [Y^k, \underbrace{X, \dots, X}_l] \\ &\leq S \end{aligned}$$

for large enough  $l$ . Hence  $P$  is contained in  $S$  and therefore in all its conjugates, as required. ■

## 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Choose an infinite sequence of distinct odd primes  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots$  with the property that for all  $n, p_n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Construct a sequence  $G_n$  of groups as follows.  $G_0$  is cyclic of order  $p_0$ .  $G_1 = MG_0$  where  $M$  is a faithful and irreducible module for  $G_0$  over  $GF(p_1)$ . Note that  $G_1$  is a  $\dagger$ -group. For  $n \geq 2$  define  $G_n$  inductively by

$$G_n = G_{n-1}(V_{n-1}, p_n),$$

where  $V_1$  is an arbitrary maximal subgroup of  $M$  and where, for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $V_{n-1}$  is a suitable maximal subgroup of the monolith of  $G_{n-1}$ , suitable in the sense of the construction of  $V$  above, immediately before the Lemma.

Now every subnormal subgroup of a metabelian  $A$ -group has defect at most 2. This is true of  $G_1$ . Let  $S$  be a subnormal subgroup of  $G_n$ . Either  $S \leq F_2(G_n)$  which is a metabelian  $A$ -group and so  $S$  has defect at most 3 in  $G_n$ ; or  $F_1(G_n) \leq S$  in which case the defect of  $S$  is that of  $S/F_1(G_n)$  in  $G_n/F_1(G_n) \cong G_{n-1}$ . By induction therefore  $S$  has defect at most 3 in  $G_n$ . Since  $G_n$  are all  $A$ -groups in  $\mathcal{B}_3$  and  $G_n$  has derived length  $n$  we are done. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Casolo, 'Gruppi finiti risolubili in cui tutti i sottogruppi subnormali hanno difetto al più due', *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **71** (1984), 257-271.
- [2] C. Casolo, 'Groups with subnormal subgroups of bounded defect', *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **77** (1987), 177-187.
- [3] John Cossey, *Groups of odd order in which every subnormal subgroup has defect at most two* (MSRC Research Report No. 35, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, ANU, Canberra, 1987).
- [4] W. Gaschütz, 'Gruppen in denen das Normalteilersein transitiv ist', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **198** (1957), 87-92.
- [5] T. Hawkes, 'Groups whose subnormal subgroups have bounded defect', *Arch. Math.* **43** (1984), 289-294.
- [6] D. McCaughan and S. Stonehewer, 'Finite soluble groups whose subnormal subgroups have defect at most 2', *Arch. Math.* **35** (1980), 56-60.
- [7] G. Zacher, 'Caratterizzazione dei  $t$ -gruppi finiti risolubili', *Ricerche Mat.* **1** (1952), 287-294.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Australian National University  
Canberra, A.C.T. 2601  
Australia.