

MOMENT PROBLEMS AND QUASI-HAUSDORFF  
TRANSFORMATIONS

Dany Leviatan

(received November 7, 1967)

1. Introduction. The sequence to sequence quasi-Hausdorff transformations were defined by Hardy [1] 11.19 p. 277 as follows. For a given sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) of real or complex numbers, define the operator  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta^0 \mu_n = \mu_n$ ,  $\Delta \mu_n = \mu_n - \mu_{n+1}$ ,  $\Delta^k = \Delta(\Delta^{k-1})$  for  $k > 1$ .  $\{t_m\}$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) is called the sequence to sequence quasi-Hausdorff transform by means of  $\{\mu_n\}$  (or, in short, the  $[QH, \mu_n]$  transform)

of  $\{s_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) if  $t_m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} \Delta^{n-m} \mu_m s_n$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , provided

that the sums on the right-hand side converge for all  $m \geq 0$ . Ramanujan in [11] and [12] has defined the series to series quasi-Hausdorff transformations and has proved necessary and sufficient conditions for the regularity of the two kinds of transformations.

It is our purpose to generalize the quasi-Hausdorff transformations by an idea similar to the one used by Jakimovski [3] p. 17 to define the generalized Hausdorff transformations. In 3 we shall bring necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the generalized quasi-Hausdorff transformation is conservative or regular. In 5 we will deal with some moment problems, the solutions of which are connected with the quasi-Hausdorff transformations. We will obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) in order that it has the

representation  $\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\alpha(t)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\alpha(t)$  is of

bounded variation in  $[0, 1]$  or  $\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n f(t) dt$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$f(t)$  belongs to a prescribed set of functions. Finally I would like to thank the referee for shortening the proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 5.1.

2. Definitions. Let the sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) satisfy the following properties

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots \nearrow \infty, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \infty.$$

We will obtain now the general form of the transformations of the form  $t_m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* s_n$  which commute with the transformation  $t_m = \lambda_m (s_m - s_{m+1})$ , this is, what are the  $\lambda_{nm}^*$ 's which satisfy the system of equations

$$\lambda_m \left[ \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* s_n - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n, m+1}^* s_n \right] = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* \lambda_n (s_n - s_{n+1}),$$

$m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

A formal solution of these equations yields the following. Let  $\{\mu_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real or complex numbers and define  $\lambda_{nn}^* = \mu_n, n \geq 0$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_{nm}^* = (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \dots \lambda_{n-1} [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n], \quad 0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] = \sum_{i=m}^n \mu_i / w_{nm}^i(\lambda_i), \quad 0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $w_{nm}(x) = (x - \lambda_m) \dots (x - \lambda_n)$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

For a given sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) of real or complex numbers,  $\{t_m\}$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) is called the generalised sequence to sequence quasi-Hausdorff transform (or, in short, the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transform) of  $\{s_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) if

$$(2.4) \quad t_m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* s_n, \quad m \geq 0,$$

(where the  $\lambda_{nm}^*$ 's are defined by (2.2)) provided that the sums on the right-hand side of equation (2.4) exist.

For the sequence  $\lambda_n = n, n \geq 0$ , the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transform is the known  $[QH, \mu_n]$  transform.

For a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_m$  is called the generalized series to series quasi-Hausdorff transform by means of

$\{\mu_n\}$  of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  if

$$(2.5) \quad b_m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm} a_n, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_{nm} = (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_{m+1} \dots \lambda_n [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] \quad 0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(\lambda_{nn} = \mu_n \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

provided that the sums on the right hand side of equation (2.5) exist.

This transform with the  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) preassumed to have the representation  $\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\alpha(t)$   $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  where  $\alpha(t)$  is of bounded variation was discussed by Jakimovski and the author in [4].

For the sequence  $\lambda_n = n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , this transform is the series to series quasi-Hausdorff transform defined by Ramanujan [11].

### 3. Regularity of the transformations.

**THEOREM 3.1.** The sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\alpha(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $\alpha(t)$  is of bounded variation in  $[0, 1]$ , if, and only if

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\lambda_{nm}^*| \equiv H < \infty$$

Proof. Suppose, first, that  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (3.1). For  $n, m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  we have  $(-1)^{n-m} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \geq 0$  (see [9] p. 46(10)),  $[t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}]$  is given by (2.3) for  $\mu_n = t^{\lambda_n}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ) and by [5]

Theorem 2.3 we have  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \dots \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \leq 1$  for

$m \geq 0$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\lambda_{nm}^*| &\leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \dots \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] |d\alpha(t)| \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \dots \lambda_n [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \right] |d\alpha(t)| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |d\alpha(t)| < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose first that  $\lambda_0 > 0$ . Then (3.2) implies

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\lambda_{nm}| \leq H/\lambda_m \quad m \geq 0.$$

Thus for  $N \geq 0$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H \cdot \sum_{m=0}^N \frac{1}{\lambda_m} &\geq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\lambda_{nm}| \\ &\geq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=m}^N \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\lambda_{nm}| \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{m=0}^n |\lambda_{nm}| . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \left( \frac{1/\lambda_n}{\sum_{m=0}^n 1/\lambda_m} \right) \sum_{m=0}^n |\lambda_{nm}| \leq H \quad \text{for } N \geq 0 .$$

Since  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda_m = \infty$  it follows that there exists an infinite subsequence

$\{n_i\}$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{i \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^{n_i} |\lambda_{n_i, m}| \equiv K < \infty.$$

It is readily seen by

$$\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1, m} = (\lambda_n - \lambda_m) \lambda_{nm} + \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \lambda_{n, m+1} \quad 0 \leq m < n$$

that  $|\lambda_{n-1, m}| \leq |\lambda_{nm}| + |\lambda_{n, m+1}| \quad 0 \leq m < n$

and thus (3.3) implies

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m=0}^n |\lambda_{nm}| \equiv K < \infty.$$

By Theorem 2.1 of [8],  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation

(3.1). If  $\lambda_0 = 0$  exactly the same proof yields the result

$$(3.5) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\alpha(t) \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(t) + \mu_0 - \alpha(1) & 0 < t \leq 1 \\ 0 & t = 0 \end{cases}$

then by (3.5)

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\beta(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** The sequence to sequence  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transformation is conservative if and only if  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (3.1). It is regular, if and only if, in addition,  $\alpha(1) - \alpha(0+) = 1$ .

Proof. If the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transformation is conservative, then by the well known Toeplitz Theorem, (3.2) holds and hence by Theorem 3.1,  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (3.1).

Conversely, suppose (3.1) holds, then by Toeplitz Theorem in order that the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transformation is conservative we have to prove

that (3.2) holds and that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^*$  exists (the third condition is trivially fulfilled since the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transformation is defined by an upper triangular matrix). Now, (3.2) holds by Theorem 3.1 and

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdots \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] d\alpha(t)$$

(by Lebesgue Theorem on dominated convergence)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^1 \left[ \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdots \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] \right] d\alpha(t) \\ &= \int_{0+}^1 d\alpha(t) = \alpha(1) - \alpha(0+), \end{aligned}$$

since by [5] Theorem 2.3

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdots \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 < t \leq 1. \\ 0 & \text{for } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

In order that the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transformation is regular it is necessary

and sufficient that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* = 1$  or in other words  $\alpha(1) - \alpha(0+) = 1$  in addition to the other properties. This completes our proof.

We have similar results for the series to series quasi-Hausdorff transform, namely

**THEOREM 3.3.** Suppose that  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . The series to series quasi-Hausdorff transformation is conservative if and only if  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (3.1). It is regular, if and only if, in addition,  $\alpha(1) - \alpha(0) = 1$ .

Proof. Necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the series to series generalized quasi-Hausdorff transformation is

conservative are by Vermes's theorem (see [12] Lemmas 2, 3)

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=0}^k (\lambda_{nm} - \lambda_{n+1, m}) \right| \equiv H < \infty$$

(for  $n < m$ ,  $\lambda_{nm} = 0$ )

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^k \lambda_{nm} \text{ exists for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It is regular if, and only if, in addition,

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^k \lambda_{nm} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By Hausdorff [2] (16)

$$\sum_{m=0}^k (\lambda_{nm} - \lambda_{n+1, m}) = \lambda_{k+1} \cdot \lambda_{n+1, k+1} / \lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1, k+1}^*$$

hence condition (3.6) is condition (3.2).

Suppose, first, that the transformation is conservative, then by Theorem 3.1  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (3.1). Conversely, if (3.1) holds, then by Theorem 3.1 we get the conclusion that (3.6) holds. Moreover, by [2] (7)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^k \lambda_{nm} = \mu_0$ , hence (3.7) is satisfied.

We have regularity if, and only if, in addition,

$$\mu_0 = \int_0^1 d\alpha(t) = 1.$$

This completes the proof.

#### 4. Miscellaneous results.

LEMMA 4.1. For any two sequences  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ),  $\{\nu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) we have for  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(4.1) \quad [\mu_m^{\nu_m}, \dots, \mu_n^{\nu_n}] = \sum_{k=m}^n [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_k] [v_k, \dots, v_n] \cdot$$

Proof. We prove (4.1) by induction on  $n \geq m$ . For  $n = m$ , (4.1) is trivially satisfied. Suppose (4.1) is true for  $n$  and we shall prove it for  $n + 1$ . By (2.3) it is easily proved that

$$[\mu_m^{\nu_m}, \dots, \mu_{n+1}^{\nu_{n+1}}] = \frac{[\mu_m^{\nu_m}, \dots, \mu_n^{\nu_n}] - [\mu_m^{\nu_m}, \dots, \mu_{n-1}^{\nu_{n-1}}, \mu_{n+1}^{\nu_{n+1}}]}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}}$$

by our assumption

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}} \left[ \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} ([\mu_m, \dots, \mu_k] [v_k, \dots, v_n] - [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_k] \right. \\ & \left. [v_k, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_{n+1}]) + [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] v_n - [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_{n-1}, \mu_{n+1}] v_{n+1} \right] \\ & = \sum_{k=m}^{n+1} [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_k] [v_k, \dots, v_{n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 4.1.** Every two conservative sequence to sequence generalized quasi-Hausdorff transformations commute.

Proof. Let  $\{s_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) be a bounded sequence and let  $\{t_m\}$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) and  $\{r_m\}$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) be the  $[QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n] \cdot [QH, \nu_n; \lambda_n]$  and the  $[QH, \nu_n; \lambda_n] \cdot [QH, \mu_n; \lambda_n]$  transforms of  $\{s_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), respectively.

Denote  $\lambda_{nm}^*(\mu) = (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_{n-1} [\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]$   $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\lambda_{nm}^*(\nu) = (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_{n-1} [v_m, \dots, v_n] \quad 0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We have

$$t_m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^*(\mu) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_{kn}(\nu) s_k$$

and since

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\lambda_{nm}^*(\mu)| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\lambda_{kn}(\nu)| |s_k| < \infty$$

we can change order of summation and obtain



$$t_m = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} s_k \sum_{n=m}^k \lambda_{nm}(\mu) \lambda_{kn}(\nu)$$

by Lemma 4.1

$$= \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} s_k (-1)^{k-m} \lambda_m \cdots \lambda_{k-1} [\mu_m^{\nu_m}, \dots, \mu_k^{\nu_k}]$$

and again by Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} s_k \sum_{n=m}^k \lambda_{nm}(\nu) \lambda_{kn}(\mu) \\ &= r_m. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

5. Moment problems. Let  $M(u)$  be an even, convex, continuous function satisfying 1.  $M(u)/u \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$ , 2.  $M(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote by  $L_M[0, 1]$  the class of all functions integrable over  $[0, 1]$

such that  $\int_0^1 M[f(x)]dx < \infty$ .  $L_M[0, 1]$  is the Orlicz class related to  $M(u)$ . (For details see [6]). Take  $M(u) = |u|^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $L_M[0, 1]$  is the space  $L^p[0, 1]$ .  $L_M[0, 1]$  is not necessarily a linear space (see [7] Theorem 8.2).

THEOREM 5.1. The sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation

$$(5.1) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} f(t) dt \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $f \in L_M[0, 1]$ , if, and only if,

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{m \geq 1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left[ \int_0^1 (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdots \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt \right] M \left( \frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \right) \equiv H < \infty.$$

COROLLARY 5.1. The sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (5.4) where  $f \in L^p [0, 1]$ , if, and only if,

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{m \geq 1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_{nm}^*|^p}{\left| \int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) dt \right|^{p-1}} \equiv H < \infty,$$

where  $\lambda_{nm}^*(t) = (-1)^{n-m} \lambda_m \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_{n-1} [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}]$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Corollary 5.1 for  $\lambda_n = n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , reduces to Ramanujan's Theorem [13]. Corollary 5.1 for  $\lambda_n = n + \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , reduces to Jakimovski and Ramanujan Theorem 7 [6].

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Suppose, first, that  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) possesses the representation (5.4). Then

$$[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n] = \int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] f(t) dt;$$

hence

$$M \left( \frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \right) = M \left( \frac{\int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) f(t) dt}{\int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) dt} \right)$$

and as  $\lambda_{nm}^*(t) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  (see [10] p. 46(10)) we have by Jensen's inequality (see [14] p. 23-24)

$$M \left( \frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \right) \leq \frac{\int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) M[f(t)] dt}{\int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) dt}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) dt \right] M \left( \frac{[\mu_m, \dots, \mu_n]}{\int_0^1 [t^{\lambda_m}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}] dt} \right) \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \lambda_{nm}^*(t) M[f(t)] dt$$

by Levi's Theorem and since  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^*(t) \leq 1$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$

(see [5] Theorem 2.3)

$$= \int_0^1 \left[ \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_{nm}^* (t) \right] M[f(t)] dt \leq \int_0^1 M[f(t)] dt < \infty.$$

The proof of the sufficiency runs along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.1 using the proof of Theorem 1 of [9] after having proved that  $\{\mu_n\}$  possesses the representation (3.1). This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. G.H. Hardy, *Divergent series*. (Oxford, 1949).
2. F. Hausdorff, *Summationsmethoden und momentenfolgen II*. *Math. Z.* 9 (1921) 280-299.
3. A. Jakimovski, *The product of summability methods; new classes of transformations and their properties*. Technical (scientific) note no.4, contract no. AF 61 (052)-187, (1959).
4. \_\_\_\_\_ and D. Leviatan, *A property of approximation operators and applications to Tauberian constants*. *Math. Z.* 102, (1967) 177-204.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, *Completeness and approximation operators*. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* (to appear).
6. A. Jakimovski and M.S. Ramanujan, *A uniform approximation theorem and its application to moment problems*. *Math. Z.* 84 (1964) 143-153.
7. M.A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. (Translated by Leo F. Boron). (P. Noordhoff Ltd. - Groningen the Netherlands, 1961).
8. D. Leviatan, *A generalized moment problem*. *Israel J. Math.* 5 (1967) 97-103.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *Some moment problems in a finite interval*. *Canadian J. Math.* (to appear).
10. G.G. Lorentz, *Bernstein polynomials*. (Toronto Univ. Press, 1953).
11. M.S. Ramanujan, *Series to series quasi-Hausdorff transformations*. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 17 (1953) 47-53.

12. M.S. Ramanujan, On Hausdorff and quasi-Hausdorff methods of summability. *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford second series)* 8 (1957) 197-213.
13. \_\_\_\_\_, The moment problem in a certain function space of G.G. Lorentz. *Archiv der Math.* 15 (1964) 71-75.
14. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series I*, second edition. Cambridge Univ. Press.

University of Illinois  
Urbana