

# CURVES WITH ZERO DERIVATIVE IN $F$ -SPACES

by N. J. KALTON

(Received 21 June, 1979)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be an  $F$ -space (complete metric linear space) and suppose  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map. Suppose that  $g$  has zero derivative on  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.

$$g'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (g(t+h) - g(t)) = 0$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  (we take the left and right derivatives at the end points). Then, if  $X$  is locally convex or even if it merely possesses a separating family of continuous linear functionals, we can conclude that  $g$  is constant by using the Mean Value Theorem. If however  $X^* = \{0\}$  then it may happen that  $g$  is not constant; for example, let  $X = L_p(0, 1)$  ( $0 \leq p < 1$ ) and  $g(t) = 1_{[0,t]}$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) (the characteristic function of  $[0, t]$ ). This example is due to Rolewicz [6], [7; p. 116].

The aim of this note is to substantiate a conjecture of Rolewicz [7, p. 116] that every  $F$ -space  $X$  with trivial dual admits a non-constant curve  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with zero derivative. In fact we shall show, given any two points  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ , there exists a map  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with  $g(0) = x_0$ ,  $g(1) = x_1$  and

$$\lim_{|t-s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t) - g(s)}{t - s} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 \leq s, t \leq 1.$$

To establish this result we shall need to study  $X$ -valued martingales. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $[0, 1)$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) be an increasing family of finite sub-algebras of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then a sequence of functions  $u_n: [0, 1) \rightarrow X$  is an  $X$ -valued  $F_n$ -martingale if each  $u_n$  is  $F_n$ -measurable and for  $n \geq m$  we have  $\mathcal{E}(u_n | \mathcal{F}_m) = u_m$ . Here the definition of conditional expectation is the standard one with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  and there are no integration problems since each  $u_n$  is finitely-valued.

It is easy to show that every  $F$ -space  $X$  with trivial dual contains a non-constant martingale  $\{u_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}$  which converges to zero uniformly. However we shall need to consider dyadic martingales. Let  $D_{n,k} = [(k-1)/2^n, k/2^n)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 2^n, 0 \leq n < \infty$ ). Then, for  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{B}$  generated by the sets  $\{D_{n,k} : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n\}$ . A dyadic martingale is simply a  $\mathcal{B}_n$ -martingale. The main point of the argument will be to show that we can find non-zero dyadic martingales which converge uniformly to zero.

We note here a connection with the recent work of Roberts [4], [5] on the existence of compact convex sets without extreme points. Indeed, in a needlepoint space (see [5]) it would be easy to show that there are non-zero dyadic martingales which converge uniformly to zero. However there are  $F$ -spaces with trivial dual which contain no needlepoints [2].

*Glasgow Math. J.* **22** (1981) 19–29.

As usual an  $F$ -norm on a (real) vector space  $X$  is a map  $x \rightarrow \|x\|$  such that

$$\|x\| > 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq 0, \tag{1.0.1}$$

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad (x, y \in X), \tag{1.0.2}$$

$$\|tx\| \leq \|x\| \quad (|t| \leq 1), \tag{1.0.3}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|tx\| = 0 \quad (x \in X). \tag{1.0.4}$$

The  $F$ -norm is said to be *strictly concave* if, for each  $x \in X$  with  $x \neq 0$ , the map  $t \rightarrow \|tx\|$  is strictly concave on  $[0, \infty)$ , i.e.

if  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$  and  $0 < a, b < 1$  with  $a + b = 1$  then, if  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$\|(as + bt)x\| > a \|sx\| + b \|tx\|. \tag{1.0.5}$$

Every  $F$ -space can be equipped with an (equivalent)  $F$ -norm which is strictly concave. This follows from the results of Bessaga, Petczyński and Rolewicz [1]. We may give  $X$  an  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  so that the map  $t \rightarrow \|tx\|_0$  is concave and strictly increasing for each  $x \neq 0$ . Now define  $\|x\| = \|x\|_0^{1/2}$ .

**2. Preliminary finite-dimensional results.** Suppose  $N$  is a positive integer. We consider the space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the natural co-ordinatewise partial ordering (i.e.  $x \geq y$  if and only if  $x_i \geq y_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ). We shall denote by  $(e_k : 1 \leq k \leq N)$  the natural basis elements of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We shall use the idea of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued submartingales and supermartingales; these have obvious meaning with respect to the ordering defined above. In addition, standard scalar convergence theorems can be applied co-ordinatewise to produce the same theorems for  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , let  $F_i$  be a continuous map  $F_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is strictly increasing, strictly concave and satisfies  $F_i(0) = 0, F_i(1) = 1$ . Then  $F_i$  is also subadditive since

$$F_i(s) \geq \frac{s}{s+t} F_i(s+t) \quad (s, t > 0).$$

Hence we may define an absolute  $F$ -norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i(|x_i|) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.0.1}$$

Now, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , define

$$\sigma(x) = \inf\{\max(\|y\|, \|z\|) : x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)\}. \tag{2.0.2}$$

We shall need the following properties of  $\sigma$ .

LEMMA 2.1. (a) *If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $x \geq 0$  then there exist  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $y \geq 0, z \geq 0, x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$  and  $\|y\| \leq \sigma(x), \|z\| \leq \sigma(x)$ .*

(b) *For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,*

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq \|x - y\|, \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$\sigma(x) \leq \|x\|. \tag{2.1.2}$$

(c) *If  $x \geq 0$  and  $\sigma(x) = \|x\| = 1$  then, for some  $k$ , we have  $x = e_k$ .*

*Proof.* (a) is an easy consequence of a compactness argument. For (b) (2.1.1), observe that if  $x = \frac{1}{2}(z + z')$  then

$$y = \frac{1}{2}[(z + y - x) + (z' + y - x)],$$

so that  $\sigma(y) \leq \sigma(x) + \|y - x\|$  and so (2.1.1) follows. (2.1.2) is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $\sigma$ .

We are grateful to the referee for the following short proof of (c). Suppose  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$ ,  $x_i > 0$  and  $x_j > 0$  where  $i \neq j$ . We show  $\sigma(x) < 1$ .

Since  $F_i$  is concave, it has left and right derivatives at  $x_i$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , say, with  $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$ . Similarly  $F_j$  has left and right derivatives at  $x_j$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  with  $0 < \beta_2 \leq \beta_1$ . For small  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t(\beta_1 e_i - \alpha_2 e_j)\| &< \|x\|, \\ \|x - t(\beta_1 e_i - \alpha_2 e_j)\| &< \|x\| + t(-\alpha_1 \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_2) \\ &\leq \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sigma(x) < 1$ .

We conclude that if  $\sigma(x) = 1$  then  $x = e_k$  for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ .

Now let  $\pi(x) = x_1 + \dots + x_n \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a \geq 0$  and  $\pi(a) = 1$ . Then there are disjoint Borel subsets  $E_1, \dots, E_N$  of  $[0, 1]$  with  $\lambda(E_i) = a_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) and a scalar valued dyadic supermartingale  $\theta_n$  ( $0 \leq n < \infty$ ) such that*

$$0 \leq \theta_n(t) \leq 1 \quad (0 \leq t < 1, 0 \leq n < \infty), \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n(t) = 0 \text{ a.e.} \tag{2.2.2}$$

and if

$$u_n = \mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N 1_{E_i} e_i \mid \mathcal{B}_n\right) \quad (0 \leq n < \infty) \tag{2.2.3}$$

then

$$u_n(t) \geq \theta_n(t) a \quad (0 \leq t < 1, 0 \leq n < \infty), \tag{2.2.4}$$

$$\|u_n(t) - \theta_n(t) a\| \leq 1 \quad (0 \leq t < 1, 0 \leq n < \infty). \tag{2.2.5}$$

*Proof.* To start observe

$$\|a\| = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i(a_i) \geq \pi(a) = 1.$$

Define  $\alpha_0(t) \equiv \alpha_0$  for  $0 \leq t < 1$ , where  $0 < \alpha_0 \leq 1$  and  $\|\alpha_0 a\| = 1$ ; then let  $w_0(t) = \alpha_0 a$ ,  $0 \leq t < 1$ . We then define inductively sequences  $(w_n : n \geq 0)$ ,  $(w_n^* : n \geq 1)$ ,  $(\alpha_n : n \geq 0)$  of

functions on  $[0, 1)$ , where

$$w_n \ (n \geq 0) \text{ and } w_n^* \ (n \geq 1) \text{ are } \mathbb{R}^N\text{-valued and } \mathcal{B}_n\text{-measurable,} \tag{2.2.6}$$

$$\alpha_n \ (n \geq 0) \text{ is } \mathbb{R}\text{-valued and } \mathcal{B}_n\text{-measurable,} \tag{2.2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(t) &\geq 0 & (0 \leq t < 1, n \geq 0), \\ w_n^*(t) &\geq 0 & (0 \leq t < 1, n \geq 0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(t) &\geq 0 & (0 \leq t < 1, n \geq 0), \\ \mathcal{E}(w_{n+1}^* \mid \mathcal{B}_n) &= w_n & (n \geq 0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.9}$$

$$w_n(t) = w_n^*(t) + \alpha_n(t)a \quad (0 \leq t < 1, n \geq 1), \tag{2.2.10}$$

$$\|w_n(t)\| = 1 \quad (0 \leq t < 1, n \geq 0), \tag{2.2.11}$$

$$\|w_{n+1}^*(t)\| \leq \sigma(w_n(t)) \quad (0 \leq t < 1, n \geq 0). \tag{2.2.12}$$

Indeed suppose  $w_j, w_j^*$  and  $\alpha_j$  have been chosen for  $j \leq n$ . Then

$$w_n(t) = b_{n,k} \quad (t \in D_{n,k}),$$

where  $\|b_{n,k}\| = 1$ , and  $b_{n,k} \geq 0$ . Choose  $y_{2k-1}, y_{2k} \geq 0$  so that  $\max(\|y_{2k-1}\|, \|y_{2k}\|) = \sigma(b_{n,k})$  and  $b_{n,k} = \frac{1}{2}(y_{2k-1} + y_{2k})$  (see Lemma 2.1(a)). Now define

$$w_{n+1}^*(t) = y_k \quad (t \in D_{n+1,k}).$$

Then (2.2.9) and (2.2.12) are clear. Since

$$\|w_{n+1}^*(t)\| \leq 1 \quad (0 \leq t < 1),$$

we can determine  $\alpha_{n+1}$  to be  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ -measurable so that  $\alpha_{n+1} \geq 0$  and

$$\|w_{n+1}^*(t) + \alpha_{n+1}(t)a\| = 1 \quad (0 \leq t < 1).$$

Now define

$$w_{n+1}(t) = w_{n+1}^*(t) + \alpha_{n+1}(t)a \quad (0 \leq t < 1)$$

and clearly (2.2.11) holds.

Observe that

$$\mathcal{E}(w_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{B}_n) = w_n + \mathcal{E}(\alpha_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{B}_n)a$$

and if  $m > n$

$$\mathcal{E}(w_m \mid \mathcal{B}_n) = w_n + \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^m \mathcal{E}(\alpha_k \mid \mathcal{B}_n) \right) a. \tag{2.2.13}$$

Hence  $w_n$  is a submartingale and it is clearly bounded. Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(t) = w_\infty(t)$  exists almost everywhere, and  $\|w_\infty(t)\| = 1$  a.e.

The real-valued submartingale  $(\pi \circ w_n : n \geq 0)$  is uniformly bounded and converges to  $\pi \circ w_\infty$  a.e. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \pi(w_\infty(t)) dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \pi(w_n(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \pi(w_0(t)) dt + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \alpha_k(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2.13) since  $\pi(a) = 1$ . Hence

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k(t) dt < \infty$$

and so (a.e.)  $\sum \alpha_k(t) < \infty$ . Thus  $\alpha_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. and  $\|w_{n+1}(t) - w_{n+1}^*(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  a.e. Hence  $\|w_{n+1}^*(t)\| \rightarrow 1$  and  $\sigma(w_n(t)) \rightarrow 1$  a.e. By Lemma 2.1(b),  $\sigma$  is continuous and so (a.e.)

$$\sigma(w_\infty(t)) = \|w_\infty(t)\| = 1.$$

As  $w_\infty(t) \geq 0$ , we conclude that

$$w_\infty(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N 1_{E_i} e_i \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_N$  are disjoint Borel sets with  $E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_N = [0, 1)$ .

Now define  $u_n = \mathcal{E}(w_\infty | \mathcal{B}_n)$ . Then, since  $\{w_n\}$  is uniformly bounded and  $w_n \rightarrow w_\infty$  a.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(w_m | \mathcal{B}_n) \\ &= w_n + \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \mathcal{E}(\alpha_k | \mathcal{B}_n) \right) a \\ &= w_n + \theta_n a, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_n \geq 0$  is  $\mathcal{B}_n$ -measurable. Since  $(w_n)$  is a submartingale,  $(\theta_n)$  is a supermartingale. As  $u_n - w_n \rightarrow 0$  a.e., we have  $\theta_n \rightarrow 0$  a.e. As  $\pi(w_\infty) \leq 1$  a.e.,  $\pi(u_n) \leq 1$  a.e. and so  $\theta_n \leq 1$  a.e. Also  $\|u_n - \theta_n a\| = \|w_n\| = 1$ . Finally observe

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= (\alpha_0 + \theta_0) a \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda(E_i) e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u_0) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda(E_i) = 1 \\ &= \alpha_0 + \theta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lambda(E_i) = a_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ), and the proof is complete.

In fact we shall not use Theorem 2.2; instead we use its “finite” version.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Under the same hypotheses as Theorem 2.2, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite dyadic martingale  $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m)$  with*

$$v_0(t) = a \quad (0 \leq t < 1), \tag{2.3.1}$$

$$\|v_m(t)\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad (0 \leq t < 1). \tag{2.3.2}$$

For  $1 \leq n \leq m - 1$ , there is a positive  $\mathcal{B}_n$ -measurable function  $\phi_n$  with  $\phi_n \leq 1$  and

$$\|v_n(t) - \phi_n(t)a\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad (0 \leq t < 1). \tag{2.3.3}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $0 < \delta_0 < \frac{1}{2}$  is chosen so that  $\|2\delta_0 a\| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  and  $\|(1 - \delta_0)^{-1}\| < 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  whenever  $\|x\| < 1$ .

Let  $u_n, \theta_n$  be chosen as in Theorem 2.2 and select  $m$  so that

$$\int_0^1 \theta_m(t) dt = \delta \leq \delta_0.$$

Define

$$v_m = (1 - \delta)^{-1}(u_m - \theta_m a)$$

and

$$v_n = \mathcal{E}(v_m \mid \mathcal{B}_n) \quad (0 \leq n \leq m).$$

Then  $\|v_m\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= (1 - \delta)^{-1}(u_n - \mathcal{E}(\theta_m \mid \mathcal{B}_n)a) \\ &= (1 - \delta)^{-1}(u_n - \theta_n a) + (1 - \delta)^{-1}(\theta_n - \mathcal{E}(\theta_m \mid \mathcal{B}_n))a. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\phi_n = \theta_n - \mathcal{E}(\theta_m \mid \mathcal{B}_n) \quad (0 \leq n \leq m).$$

Then  $0 \leq \phi_n \leq \theta_n \leq 1$  and

$$v_n - \phi_n a = (1 - \delta)^{-1}(u_n - \theta_n a) + \delta(1 - \delta)^{-1}\phi_n a$$

and so

$$\|v_n - \phi_n a\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon.$$

**3. Main results.** We now turn to the general infinite-dimensional problem.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is an  $F$ -space with a strictly concave  $F$ -norm. Suppose  $x_0 \neq 0$  and that  $x_0 \in \text{co}\{x : \|x\| \leq \delta\}$ . Then there is a finite dyadic martingale  $u_n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq m$ ) with  $u_0(t) \equiv x_0$ , and*

$$\|u_m(t)\| \leq 2\delta \quad (0 \leq t < 1), \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$\|u_n(t)\| \leq \|x_0\| + 2\delta \quad (0 \leq t < 1, 0 \leq n \leq m). \tag{3.1.2}$$

*Proof.* There exist  $y_1, \dots, y_N \in X$  with  $y_i \neq 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ),  $\|y_i\| \leq \delta$  and  $x_0 = a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_N y_N$ , where  $a_i \geq 0$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_N = 1$ .

For  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , define

$$F_i(t) = \|t y_i\| / \|y_i\|.$$

Then  $F_i$  is strictly concave. Define the absolute norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$\|b\| = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i(|b_i|).$$

Now, by Theorem 2.3, there is a finite  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued dyadic martingale  $(v_n : 0 \leq n \leq m)$  with (taking  $\varepsilon = 1$ )

$$v_0(t) \equiv a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \quad (0 \leq t < 1),$$

$$\|v_m(t)\| \leq 2 \quad (0 \leq t < 1)$$

and

$$\|v_n(t) - \phi_n(t)a\| \leq 2 \quad (0 \leq t < 1, 0 \leq n < m),$$

where  $0 \leq \phi_n(t) \leq 1$ . Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow X$  by

$$Tb = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i y_i.$$

Then

$$\|Tb\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|b_i y_i\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|y_i\| F_i(|b_i|)$$

$$\leq \delta \|b\|.$$

Now let  $u_n = T v_n$ . Then  $u_0(t) \equiv x_0$  and  $\|u_m(t)\| \leq 2\delta$ . Also

$$\|u_n(t)\| \leq \|\phi_n(t)x_0\| + 2\delta$$

$$\leq \|x_0\| + 2\delta.$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is an  $F$ -space with trivial dual, and that  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there is a dyadic martingale  $(u_n : n \geq 0)$  with  $u_0(t) \equiv x_0$  and*

$$\max_{0 \leq t < 1} \|u_n(t)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2.1}$$

*Proof.* As explained in the introduction we may suppose that the  $F$ -norm on  $X$  is strictly concave (passing to an equivalent  $F$ -norm does not affect (3.2.1)). The hypotheses guarantee that the convex hull of any neighborhood of zero is  $X$ . The construction is inductive, based on Lemma 3.1. To start the construction we may find a finite martingale

$(u_n : 0 \leq n \leq N_1)$  so that  $u_0(t) = x_0$ ,  $\|u_{N_1}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x_0\|$  and  $\|u_n(t)\| \leq 2 \|x_0\|$  ( $1 \leq n \leq N_1$ ), by applying Lemma 3.1 with  $\delta = \frac{1}{4} \|x_0\|$  if  $x_0 \neq 0$  (the case  $x_0 = 0$  is trivial).

Suppose now we have defined  $(u_n : 1 \leq n \leq N_k)$  so that

$$\|u_{N_j}(t)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_0\| \quad (1 \leq j \leq k), \tag{3.2.2}$$

$$\|u_n(t)\| \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_0\| \quad (N_j < n < N_{j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq k-1). \tag{3.2.3}$$

We shall show how to extend to a finite dyadic martingale  $(u_n : 1 \leq n \leq N_{k+1})$  so that (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) hold for  $j \leq k+1$  and  $j \leq k$  respectively.

We have

$$u_{N_k}(t) = y_l \quad (t \in D_{N_k, l}).$$

For each  $y_l$ , there is a finite martingale  $(v_n^l : 0 \leq n \leq M)$  with

$$v_0^l(t) = y_l \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

$$\|v_M^l(t)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+1} \|x_0\| \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n^l(t)\| &\leq \|y_l\| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+1} \|x_0\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-1} \|x_0\| \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1, 0 \leq n \leq M). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $M$  may be taken independent of  $l$  by simply extending the martingale where necessary by adding further terms equal to the last term of the sequence.

Now let  $N_{k+1} = N_k + M$  and define

$$u_{N_k+i} = v_1^l(2^{N_k}t - l + 1) \quad (t \in D_{N_k, l}).$$

It is now easy to verify that conditions (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) hold where applicable. Continuing in this way we clearly have (3.2.1) for the (infinite) martingale  $(u_n)$ .

The step from Theorem 3.2 to our main result is a very simple one if  $X$  is a quasi-Banach space or more generally is exponentially galbed (see Turpin [8]). In such space there is a natural correspondence between curves with uniform zero derivative and dyadic martingales converging uniformly to 0. In a general  $F$ -space a little more subtlety is required in the proof of the main theorem.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose  $X$  is an  $F$ -space with trivial dual and that  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ . Then there is a curve  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with  $g(0) = x_0, g(1) = x_1$  and*

$$\lim_{|t-s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t) - g(s)}{t - s} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 \leq s, t \leq 1. \tag{3.3.1}$$

*In particular  $g'(t) = 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to suppose  $x_0 = 0$ . Then there is a dyadic martingale  $(u_n : n \geq 0)$  with

$$u_0(t) = x_1 \quad (0 \leq t < 1),$$

$$\max_{0 \leq t < 1} \|u_n(t)\| = \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0.$$



Choose  $N_0 = 0$ . Since each  $u_n$  has finite range it is possible to choose a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $(N_k : k \geq 1)$  so that

$$\|2^{N_i - N_k}(u_k(t) - u_{k-1}(t))\| \leq 2^{i-k} \varepsilon_j \tag{3.3.2}$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1, 0 \leq t < 1$ . Each  $t \in [0, 1)$  has a unique binary expansion

$$t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j 2^{-j},$$

where each  $\tau_j$  is zero or one and  $\tau_j = 0$  infinitely often. Now define

$$v_k(t) = u_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \tau_{N_j} 2^{-j} \right).$$

(Recall that  $u_k$  is constant on the interval  $\sum_{j=1}^k \tau_{N_j} 2^{-j} \leq t < \sum_{j=1}^k \tau_{N_j} 2^{-j} + 2^{-k}$ .) Then we observe that  $v_k$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_{N_k}$ -martingale, with

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t < 1} \|v_k(t)\| &= \varepsilon_k, \\ \mathcal{E}(v_k | \mathcal{B}_0) &= \int_0^1 v_k(t) dt = \int_0^1 u_k(t) dt = x_1. \end{aligned}$$

In fact we observe that

$$\mathcal{E}(v_k | \mathcal{B}_{N_{k-1}}) = v_{k-1}. \tag{3.3.3}$$

For  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we define

$$g_k(t) = \int_0^t v_k(s) ds$$

(the integrand is simple). Then each  $g_k$  is continuous and from (3.3.3) we have

$$g_k(t) = g_{k-1}(t) \quad \text{if } 2^{N_{k-1}} t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now suppose that  $0 < t < 1$  and that  $2l \leq 2^{N_k} t < 2l + 1$ , where  $l$  is an integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(t) - g_{k-1}(t) &= \int_{2l/2^{N_k}}^t (v_k(s) - v_{k-1}(s)) ds \\ &= (t - 2l(2^{-N_k}))(v_k(t) - v_{k-1}(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.4}$$

Equally, if  $2l + 1 \leq 2^{N_k} t < 2l + 2$ ,

$$g_k(t) - g_{k-1}(t) = ((2l + 2)2^{-N_k} - t)(v_k(t) - v_{k-1}(t)). \tag{3.3.5}$$

Combining these results, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k(t) - g_{k-1}(t)\| &\leq \max_{0 \leq t < 1} \|2^{-N_k}(v_k(t) - v_{k-1}(t))\| \\ &= \max_{0 \leq t < 1} \|2^{-N_k}(u_k(t) - u_{k-1}(t))\| \\ &\leq 2^{-k} \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(g_k)$  converges uniformly to a continuous function  $g$  on  $[0, 1]$ , and  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(1) = x_1$ .

Now suppose  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ . Then there is a least integer  $n$  so that for some integer  $l$  we have  $2^n s \leq l < l+1 \leq 2^n t$ . Clearly  $2^{n-1}t - 2^{n-1}s < 2$  and  $2^n t - 2^n s \geq 1$ . Hence  $2^{-n} \leq t - s < 4 \cdot 2^{-n}$  and  $n \geq \log_2 1/(t-s)$ .

Now suppose  $N_{k-1} \leq n < N_k$ , where  $1 \leq k < \infty$ . Suppose  $l_1$  is the least integer not less than  $2^n s$  and  $l_2$  is the greatest integer not greater than  $2^n t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^n(g_{k-1}(t) - g_{k-1}(l_2 2^{-n})) &= (2^n t - l_2)v_{k-1}(l_2 2^{-n}), \\ 2^n(g_{k-1}(l_1 2^{-n}) - g_{k-1}(s)) &= (l_1 - 2^n s)v_{k-1}(l_1 2^{-n}), \\ 2^n(g_{k-1}(i 2^{-n}) - g_{k-1}((i-1)2^{-n})) &= v_{k-1}((i-1)2^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|2^n(g_{k-1}(l_2 2^{-n}) - g_{k-1}(l_1 2^{-n}))\| \leq (l_2 - l_1)\varepsilon_{k-1}$$

and

$$\|2^n(g_{k-1}(t) - g_{k-1}(s))\| \leq (l_2 - l_1 + 2)\varepsilon_{k-1}.$$

However  $l_2 - l_1 \leq 2^n(t-s) < 4$  so that  $l_2 - l_1 + 2 \leq 5$ . Hence

$$\|2^n(g_{k-1}(t) - g_{k-1}(s))\| \leq 5\varepsilon_{k-1}. \quad (3.3.6)$$

Now

$$2^n(g_k(t) - g_{k-1}(t)) = 2^{n-N_k}\rho(v_k(t) - v_{k-1}(t)),$$

where  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ , by (3.3.4) and (3.3.5). Hence

$$\|2^n(g_k(t) - g_{k-1}(t))\| \leq \varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_{k-1}. \quad (3.3.7)$$

A similar inequality holds for  $s$ .

If  $r > k$

$$2^n(g_r(t) - g_{r-1}(t)) = 2^{n-N_r}\rho(v_r(t) - v_{r-1}(t)),$$

where  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^n(g_r(t) - g_{r-1}(t))\| &\leq \|2^{N_k-N_r}(v_r(t) - v_{r-1}(t))\| \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq t < 1} \|2^{N_k-N_r}(u_r(t) - u_{r-1}(t))\| \\ &\leq 2^{k-r}\varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3.2). Hence

$$\|2^n(g(t) - g_k(t))\| \leq \left( \sum_{r>k} 2^{k-r} \right) \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k. \quad (3.3.8)$$

A similar inequality holds for  $s$ .

Combining (3.3.6), (3.3.7) and (3.3.8) and the similar results for  $s$  we obtain

$$\|2^n(g(t) - g(s))\| \leq 7\varepsilon_{k-1} + 4\varepsilon_k$$

and hence

$$\left\| \frac{g(t) - g(s)}{t - s} \right\| \leq 7\varepsilon_{k-1} + 4\varepsilon_k,$$

where  $N_k > \log_2 1/(t - s)$ . Hence  $g$  has the properties specified in the theorem.

Every  $F$ -space  $X$  has a unique maximal linear subspace with trivial dual; this subspace is closed. Let us call this maximal subspace the *core* of  $X$ . If  $\text{core}(X) = \{0\}$ , it does not necessarily follow that  $X$  has a separating dual; for a detailed investigation of related ideas see Ribe [3]. We conclude with a simple corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Suppose  $X$  is an  $F$ -space and  $x \in X$ . In order that there exists a curve  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(1) = x$  and  $g'(t) = 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $x \in \text{core}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in \text{core}(X)$  the existence of  $g$  is given by Theorem 3.3. Suppose conversely such a  $g$  exists and let  $Y$  be the closed linear span of  $\{g(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . Suppose  $\phi$  is a continuous linear functional on  $Y$ . Then  $(\phi \circ g)'(t) = 0$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) and hence by the Mean Value Theorem  $\phi(g(t)) = 0$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ). Thus  $\phi = 0$  and so  $Y \subset \text{core}(X)$ ; in particular  $x \in \text{core}(X)$ .

REFERENCES

1. C. Bessaga, A. Pelczyński and S. Rolewicz, Some properties of the norm in  $F$ -spaces, *Studia Math.* **16** (1957), 183–192.
2. N. J. Kalton, An  $F$ -space with trivial dual where the Krein–Milman theorem holds, *Israel J. Math.* **36** (1980), 41–50.
3. M. Ribe, On the separation properties of the duals of general topological vector spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **9** (1971), 279–302.
4. J. W. Roberts, A compact convex set with no extreme points. *Studia Math.* **60** (1977), 255–266.
5. J. W. Roberts, Pathological compact convex sets in the spaces  $L_p$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ , *The Altgeld Book* (University of Illinois, 1976).
6. S. Rolewicz, O funkcjach o pochodnej zero, *Wiadom. Math. (2)* **3** (1959), 127–128.
7. S. Rolewicz, *Metric linear spaces* (PWN Warsaw, 1972).
8. P. Turpin, *Convexités dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques généraux*, *Dissertationes Math.* (Rozprawy Mat.) **131** (1976).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA  
 COLUMBIA  
 MISSOURI 65211  
 U.S.A.