Abstracts 247

Digital Health Technologies in Mental Health Care: Changing Perspectives of Health Care Professionals from 2019 to 2021

Mark Tacelosky, Fatima Sadat, Chip Meyer, Tara McKinley, Dana Pikul, Tarolyn Carlton, Patricia Rohman, Surinder Singh and Reza Moghadam

Otsuka Pharmaceutical Development & Commercialization, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA

Abstract

Introduction. Demand for digital mental health tools has risen since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic; however, their evolving use in mental health care is not well understood. We surveyed mental health care professionals (HCPs) before and after the onset of the pandemic and assessed how use of and attitudes about digital technology changed.

Methods. We distributed a digital health survey to HCPs in the United States in 2019 (pre-pandemic; N = 141) and in 2021 (during the pandemic; N = 151). Both surveys recorded the respondents' perceived barriers to integrating new digital health technologies and the tools they currently used in their practice. **Results.** HCP use of telemedicine increased from 47% of respondents in 2019 to 81% in 2021, as did the use of mHealth sensors (2% vs 10%). Patient comfort with technology remained one of the biggest barriers to implementing new digital tools (40% vs 43%), while difficulty integrating digital tools into clinical practice became less common (40% vs 32%). Data management (19% vs 10%) and patient acceptability (19% vs 13%) were cited less often as barriers in 2021. Respondents' thoughts on what can be most improved by digital technology shifted substantially, with increased access to care rising from 27% of responses in 2019 to 46% in 2021. Conclusions. The pandemic has changed how HCPs perceive digital health technologies and how they implement these tools in clinical practice. A growing number of HCPs believe increased access to care is the outcome that technology can most improve. Funding. Otsuka Pharmaceutical Development & Commercialization, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA

AXS-05 (DEXTROMETHORPHAN-BUPROPION) Improves Depressive Symptoms and Functioning in Patients With One Prior Treatment Failure: Results From the Evolve Long-Term, Open Label Study

Amanda Jones, PharmD, Caroline Streicher, Shawn Alter, PhD, Zachariah Thomas, PharmD, MPH and Herriot Tabuteau, MD

Axsome Therapeutics, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

Background. In STAR*D, following non-remission with an SSRI, remission rates for second-line treatments were $\sim 25\%$, regardless of the switch strategy employed. Antidepressants with novel mechanisms may improve outcomes in MDD. AXS-05 (dextromethorphan HBr 45 mg- bupropion HCl 105 mg) is a novel, oral, investigational, NMDA receptor antagonist with multimodal activity. The dextromethorphan component of AXS-05 is an NMDA receptor antagonist and a sigma-1 receptor agonist. The bupropion component of AXS-05 serves primarily to increase the bioavailability of dextromethorphan.

Methods. EVOLVE was an open-label study, in which patients were treated with AXS-05 twice daily for up to 15 months. Subjects had either rolled in after a prior AXS-05 study or were directly enrolled and had a DSM-5 diagnosis of MDD, a MADRS score of ≥25, and had been treated with ≥1 antidepressant in the current major depressive episode (MDE). A total of 186 patients were enrolled. Here we present the results for the directly enrolled patients (n =146). Results. Mean change in MADRS total score from a baseline of 32.2 were -9.1 \pm 7.64, -13.3 \pm 8.58, and -20.4 \pm 7.79 points at Weeks 1, 2, and 6, respectively (p< 0.001 for all). Remission (MADRS ≤10) was achieved by 5.7%, 16.2%, and 46.0% of patients at Weeks 1, 2, and 6, respectively. Improvement in functioning, measured by the SDS, was seen starting at Week 1 (p < 0.001). Improvements in MADRS and SDS were sustained at Month 12.

Long-term treatment with AXS-05 was generally well tolerated. The most commonly reported adverse events were COVID-19 infection (8.9%), nausea (8.9%), headache (7.5%), dry mouth (6.2%), insomnia (5.5%), and dizziness (5.5%).

Conclusions. AXS-05 improved depression and functioning in patients who failed one prior antidepressant in the current MDE. **Funding.** Axsome Therapeutics

Metabolic Syndrome in Bipolar Depression with Lumateperone (ITI-007): A Post Hoc Analysis of 2 Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trials

Christoph U Correll, MD^{1,2,3}, Susan G Kozauer, MD⁴, Micah Lands, PharmD⁴, Jason Huo, PhD⁴ and Suresh Durgam, MD⁴

¹The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Northwell Health, Glen Oaks, NY, USA, ²Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Department of Psychiatry and Molecular Medicine, Hempstead, NY, USA, ³Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Berlin, Germany and ⁴Intra-Cellular Therapies, Inc, New York, New York, USA

Abstract

Introduction. Treatments for bipolar disorder are often associated with increased rates of metabolic syndrome (MetSy). MetSy is defined as meeting 3 of the following 5 criteria: waist circumference >40in (men) or >35in (women), triglycerides ≥150mg/dL, high density lipoprotein cholesterol <40mg/dL (men) or <50mg/dL (women), systolic blood pressure (BP) ≥130mmHg or diastolic BP ≥85mmHg, fasting glucose ≥100mg/dL.