

UNIVERSALITY OF METHODS
APPROXIMATING THE DERIVATIVE

GERD HERZOG AND ROLAND LEMMERT

We prove the existence of universal functions for mappings $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$, $0 < p < 1$, with $T_n(f) \rightarrow f'$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) on certain subsets of $C^1([0, 1])$. As an application we conclude that there are continuous functions $f \in C([0, 1])$, such that the derivatives of the Bernstein polynomials

$$\{(B_n(f))' : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

form a dense subset of $L^p([0, 1])$ for each $0 < p < 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C([0, 1])$ denote the Banach space of continuous functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the maximum norm $\|\cdot\|$, let $C^1([0, 1])$ denote the normed space of continuously differentiable functions endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{1,1} = \|f\| + \int_0^1 |f'(t)| dt,$$

and for $0 < p < 1$ let $L^p([0, 1])$ denote the F -space of all measurable functions $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\int_0^1 |g(x)|^p dx < \infty$$

(modulo sets of Lebesgue measure zero), endowed with the metric

$$d(g_1, g_2) = \int_0^1 |g_1(x) - g_2(x)|^p dx.$$

Let T_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a family of mappings

$$T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1]).$$

We shall give conditions on the mappings T_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfied by several classical approximation methods, such that this family of mappings has universal elements.

Received 16th January, 2006

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/06 \$A2.00+0.00.

2. A UNIVERSALITY THEOREM

THEOREM 1. *Let $0 < p < 1$, and let the mappings*

$$T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1]), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

have the following properties:

1. *Each mapping*

$$T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1]), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is continuous;

2. *There is a dense subset S of*

$$(C^1([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_{1,1})$$

such that $T_n(f) \rightarrow f'$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for each $f \in S$.

Then the set of functions $f \in C([0, 1])$ such that

$$\{T_n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is dense in } L^p([0, 1])$$

is a dense G_δ subset of $C([0, 1])$.

REMARKS. 1. If $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < 1$ then $L^{p_2}([0, 1]) \subseteq L^{p_1}([0, 1])$ and the embedding

$$E : L^{p_2}([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^{p_1}([0, 1]),$$

$E(g) = g$ is dense and continuous. Hence, a standard category argument proves that if the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold for each $0 < p < 1$, then the set of functions $f \in C([0, 1])$ such that

$$\{T_n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is dense in } L^p([0, 1]) \text{ for each } 0 < p < 1$$

is a dense G_δ subset of $C([0, 1])$.

2. As will be discussed in Section 6, Theorem 1 cannot be generalised to the case $p \geq 1$.

3. UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS

To prove Theorem 1 we shall make use of the Universality Criterion of Grosse-Erdmann [4, Theorem 1].

Suppose that Y_1 is a Baire space, Y_2 is second countable, and $T_j : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ ($j \in J$) is a family of continuous mappings. An element $y \in Y_1$ is called universal for this family if $\{T_j y : j \in J\}$ is dense in Y_2 . Let U denote the set of all universal elements.

PROPOSITION 1. (Universality Criterion) *Equivalent are:*

1. *The set U is a dense G_δ -subset of Y_1 .*
2. *The set U is dense in Y_1 .*
3. *The set $\{(y, T_j y) : y \in Y_1, j \in J\}$ is dense in $Y_1 \times Y_2$.*

4. DENSE SUBSETS OF $L^p([0, 1])$.

First note that $C([0, 1])$ is a dense subset of $L^p([0, 1])$, see [3]. The following propositions prove that functions in $L^p([0, 1])$ may be approximated by derivatives of uniformly bounded functions:

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $0 < p < 1$. Then*

$$D_{0,\varepsilon} := \{w' : w \in C^1([0, 1]), \|w\| \leq \varepsilon\}$$

is a dense subset of $L^p([0, 1])$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

PROOF: Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. It is sufficient to approximate continuous functions, so let $g \in C([0, 1])$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty))$ satisfy $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = 1.$$

Since g is continuous we can choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2\|g\|/m \leq \varepsilon$. Set

$$\alpha_k = m \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} g(s) ds \quad (k = 0, \dots, m-1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k &:= \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} \left| g(t) - \alpha_k \varphi\left(m\left(t - \frac{k}{m}\right)\right) \right| dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} (\|g\| + |\alpha_k|) \leq \frac{2\|g\|}{m} \leq \varepsilon \quad (k = 0, \dots, m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Define $v, w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$v(x) = -\alpha_k \varphi\left(m\left(x - \frac{k}{m}\right)\right) \quad \left(x \in [k/m, (k+1)/m], \quad k = 0, \dots, m-1\right),$$

and

$$w(x) = \int_0^x g(t) + v(t) dt \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

Note that $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq [0, 1]$ implies that v is continuous (even in C^∞), hence $w \in C^1([0, 1])$.

We have $w(k/m) = 0$ ($k = 0, \dots, m-1$), since $w(0) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w((k+1)/m) - w(k/m) &= \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} g(t) + v(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k}{m} - \frac{\alpha_k}{m} \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} m \varphi\left(m\left(t - \frac{k}{m}\right)\right) dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} m\varphi\left(m\left(t - \frac{k}{m}\right)\right) dt = 1.$$

Let $x \in [k/m, (k + 1)/m]$. Then, by the choice of m ,

$$|w(x)| = |w(x) - w(k/m)| \leq \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} |g(t) + v(t)| dt = \beta_k \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence $w \in D_{0,\varepsilon}$ for each φ with the chosen properties.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, w') &= \int_0^1 |g(t) - w'(t)|^p dt \\ &= \int_0^1 |v(t)|^p dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_k|^p \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} \left| \varphi\left(m\left(t - \frac{k}{m}\right)\right) \right|^p dt \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\alpha_k|^p}{m} \right) \int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^p dt \\ &= m^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left| \int_{k/m}^{(k+1)/m} g(t) dt \right|^p \right) \int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^p dt =: c \int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^p dt, \end{aligned}$$

and likewise these equations are valid for each φ with the chosen properties.

Let $\delta > 0$. Since $0 < p < 1$ we can choose φ such that in addition

$$d(g, w') = c \int_0^1 |\varphi(t)|^p dt \leq \delta,$$

by choosing $\text{supp}(\varphi)$ sufficiently small. □

As a consequence of Proposition 2 we get

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $0 < p < 1$, and let $f \in C([0, 1])$. Then*

$$D_{f,\varepsilon} := \left\{ w' : w \in C^1([0, 1]), \|w - f\| \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

is a dense subset of $L^p([0, 1])$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

PROOF: Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, let $g \in C([0, 1])$, and let $\delta > 0$. Since $C^1([0, 1])$ is a dense subset of $C([0, 1])$ we can choose $u \in C^1([0, 1])$ such that $\|u - f\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. According to Proposition 2 there is a function $v \in D_{0,\varepsilon/2}$ such that

$$d(v' + u', g) = d(v', g - u') \leq \delta.$$

Set $w = v + u$. We have $d(w', g) \leq \delta$, and

$$\|w - f\| \leq \|w - u\| + \|u - f\| = \|v\| + \|u - f\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

that is $w \in D_{f,\varepsilon}$. □

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

We verify condition 3 of Proposition 1.

Each

$$T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1]), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is continuous, $C([0, 1])$ is a Baire space, and $L^p([0, 1])$ is separable. Let $f \in C([0, 1])$, let $g \in L^p([0, 1])$ be without loss of generality in $C([0, 1])$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Condition 3 of Proposition 1 is verified if we can show that there is a function $q \in C([0, 1])$ and a number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|q - f\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(T_{n_0}(q), g) \leq \varepsilon.$$

According to Proposition 3 there exists $w \in D_{f, \varepsilon/2}$ such that $d(w', g) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Next, since S is dense in $(C^1([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_{1,1})$ there exists $q \in S$ such that

$$\|q - w\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad d(q', w') \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

since convergence in $L^1([0, 1])$ implies convergence in $L^p([0, 1])$, compare [3, Lemma 1].

In particular we have $\|q - f\| \leq \|q - w\| + \|w - f\| \leq \varepsilon$, and

$$d(q', g) \leq d(q', w') + d(w', g) \leq \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon.$$

Since $q \in S$ we have

$$T_n(q) \rightarrow q' \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence $d(T_{n_0}(q), q') \leq \varepsilon/3$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$d(T_{n_0}(q), g) \leq d(T_{n_0}(q), q') + d(q', g) \leq \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

6. APPLICATIONS.

1. Theorem 1 applies to the derivatives of Bernstein polynomials: Let

$$(B_n(f))(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) x^k (1-x)^{n-k},$$

and let $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$ be defined by $T_n(f) = (B_n(f))'$. Obviously each T_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is continuous and condition 2. of Theorem 1 holds for $S = C^1([0, 1])$, since $(B_n(f))' \rightarrow f'$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) even in $C([0, 1])$ for each $f \in C^1([0, 1])$, see [7, Section 1.8]. Thus, the set of continuous functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left\{ (B_n(f))' : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \text{ is dense in } L^p([0, 1]) \text{ for each } 0 < p < 1$$

is a dense G_δ subset of $C([0, 1])$.

2. Theorem 1 applies to the derivatives of Lagrange interpolation polynomials: Let $L_n(f)$ denote the Lagrange interpolation polynomial of f of degree at most n with respect to arbitrary nodes

$$0 \leq \xi_0^{(n)} < \xi_1^{(n)} < \dots < \xi_n^{(n)} \leq 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and let $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$ be defined by $T_n(f) = (L_n(f))'$.

Again, each T_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is continuous and condition 2. of Theorem 1 holds for the set S of all polynomials, since $(L_n(f))' = f'$ if $f \in S$ and $n \geq \text{deg} f$. Again, the set of continuous functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\{(L_n(f))' : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is dense in } L^p([0, 1]) \text{ for each } 0 < p < 1$$

is a dense G_δ subset of $C([0, 1])$.

REMARK. For universal properties of the operators $L_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$ with $p \geq 1$ (which depend on the choice of the nodes) see [5].

3. Let $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence with $|\lambda_n| \in (0, 1]$ and with limit 0. Theorem 1 applies to difference quotients: For $f \in C([0, 1])$ let $f_e : [-1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the extension defined by

$$f_e(x) = \begin{cases} 2f(1) - f(2 - x) & (x \in (1, 2]) \\ f(x) & (x \in [0, 1]) \\ 2f(0) - f(-x) & (x \in [-1, 0)) \end{cases},$$

and let $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$ be defined by

$$(T_n(f))(x) = \frac{f_e(x + \lambda_n) - f_e(x)}{\lambda_n}.$$

By standard reasoning each T_n is continuous and $T_n(f) \rightarrow f'$ in $C([0, 1])$ for each $f \in S := C^1([0, 1])$. Once more, the set of continuous functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\{T_n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is dense in } L^p([0, 1]) \text{ for each } 0 < p < 1$$

is a dense G_δ subset of $C([0, 1])$.

This result is, in a certain sense, the one-dimensional case of Joó's generalisation ([6, Theorem I]) of Marcinkiewicz's classical result [8] on universal primitives. In [1] and [2] Bogmér, Sövegjártó and Buczolic proved that there is no universal primitive in $L^1([0, 1])$ for $p \geq 1$. In particular if $p \geq 1$, then there is no $f \in C([0, 1])$ such that

$$\{T_n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is dense in } L^p([0, 1]).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bogmér and A. Sövegjártó, 'On universal functions', *Acta Math. Hungar.* **49** (1987), 237–239.
- [2] Z. Buczolic, 'On universal functions and series', *Acta Math. Hungar.* **49** (1987), 403–414.
- [3] M.M. Day, 'The spaces L^p with $0 < p < 1$ ', *Bull. Amer. math. Soc.* **46** (1940), 816–823.
- [4] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, 'Universal families and hypercyclic operators', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **36** (1999), 345–381.
- [5] G. Herzog, 'On universal functions and interpolation', *Analysis* **11** (1991), 21–26.
- [6] I. Joó, 'On the divergence of eigenfunction expansions', *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.* **32** (1989), 3–36.
- [7] G.G. Lorentz, *Bernstein polynomials*, Mathematical Expositions **8** (University of Toronto Press X, Toronto, 1953).
- [8] J. Marcinkiewicz, 'Sur les nombres dérivés.', *Fund. Math.* **24** (1935), 305–308.

Mathematisches Institut I
Universität Karlsruhe
D-76128 Karlsruhe
Germany

e-mail: Gerd.Herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de
Roland.Lemmert@math.uni-karlsruhe.de