Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 24 (1), 1981

THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF DIVISORS IN AN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION

BY

R. A. SMITH AND M. V. SUBBARAO

1. Let l and k be positive integers. Then for each integer $n \ge 1$, define d(n; l, k) to be the number of (positive) divisors of n which lie in the arithmetic progression $l \mod k$. Note that d(n; 1, 1) = d(n), the ordinary divisor function. To study the average behavior of d(n; l, k), we define

$$D(x; l, k) = \sum_{1 \le n \le x} d(n; l, k)$$

which may be written as

(1)
$$D(x; l, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le x \\ n = l \mod k}} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor$$

where [x] denotes the largest integer $\leq x$. If (l, k) = 1, the orthogonality relation for the Dirichlet characters mod k implies that (1) may be rewritten as

(2)
$$D(x; l, k) = \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{\chi \mod k} \bar{\chi}(l) D(x, \chi)$$

where the sum is taken over all characters $\chi \mod k$ and

(3)
$$D(x,\chi) = \sum_{1 \le n \le x} \left[\frac{x}{n}\right] \chi(n).$$

If we define

$$a_n(\chi) = \sum_{d \mid n} \chi(d),$$

then (3) can be rewritten as

(4)
$$D(x,\chi) = \sum_{1 \le n \le x} a_n(\chi).$$

Throughout this paper, the implied constants in the O-terms are independent of l, k and x. Occasionally, it will be convenient to use the Vinogradov notation $f \ll g$, which means f = O(g). Finally, let $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denote the fractional part of x.

Received by the editors October 5, 1978 and in revised form August 22, 1979.

THEOREM. If χ is any non-principal character mod k, then

$$D(x, \chi) = xH(x, \chi) + O((kx)^{1/3} d(k) \log x)$$

holds uniformly in k and x tending to ∞ provided $k \ll x^2$, where

$$H(x,\chi) = \sum_{1 \le n \le x} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}.$$

Three corollaries follow immediately from this result, the first of which has been obtained by Žogin [5] for *fixed k* with a corresponding error term of order $x^{1/2}$. Following Lehmer [3], we define Euler's constant $\gamma(l, k)$ for the arithmetic progression $l \mod k$ by

$$\gamma(l, k) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \left(H(x; l, k) - \frac{\log x}{k} \right)$$
$$H(x; l, k) = \sum_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k}.$$

where

$$H(x; l, k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le x \\ n \equiv l \mod k}} \frac{1}{n}$$

Note that $\gamma(1, 1) = \gamma$ is Euler's constant.

COROLLARY 1. If (l, k) = 1, then

$$D(x; l, k) = \frac{1}{k} x \log x + \left(\gamma(l, k) - \frac{1 - \gamma}{k}\right) x + O((kx)^{1/3} d(k) \log x)$$

holds uniformly in l, k and x tending to ∞ , provided $k \leq x$.

We observe that the condition (l, k) = 1 is a trivial restriction since if (l, k) = r > 1, then D(x; l, k) = D(x/r; l/r, k/r). The special case l = k = 1 corresponds to the well-known Divisor Problem of Dirichlet.

COROLLARY 2. If χ is a non-principal character mod k, then

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\} \chi(n) = O((kx)^{1/3} d(k) \log x)$$

holds uniformly in k and x tending to ∞ , provided $k \ll x^2$.

COROLLARY 3.

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le x \\ n \ge l \mod k}} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\} = \frac{1 - \gamma}{k} x + O((kx)^{1/3} d(k) \log x)$$

holds uniformly in l, k and x tending to ∞ provided $k \le x$; note that l and k are not required to be coprime.

To deduce Corollary 1 from the theorem, we separate the term in (2) arising from the principal character χ_0 from the other terms, and obtain

(5)
$$D(x; l, k) = xH(x; l, k) - F(x, \chi_0) + O((kx)^{1/3} d(k) \log x)$$

[March

where

$$F(x, \chi_0) = \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{1 \le n \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\} \chi_0(n).$$

For any $x \ge k$, the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula implies that

$$H(x; l, k) = \frac{1}{k} \log x + \gamma(l, k) + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

the constant implied in the O-term being independent of l, k and x. Since

$$F(x, \chi_0) = \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \le n \le x/d} \left\{ \frac{x/d}{n} \right\},$$

it follows from (5) with l = k = 1 that

(6)
$$F(x, \chi_0) = \frac{1-\gamma}{k} x + O(x^{1/3} d(k) \log x)$$

which completes the proof of Corollary 1.

Corollary 2 is a trivial consequence of our theorem, as can readily be seen on replacing [x] by $x - \{x\}$ in (3). Finally, corollary 3 is a trivial consequence of corollary 1 on replacing [x] by $x - \{x\}$ in (1) and applying (5) and (6).

REMARKS. The main significance of these results lies in the uniformity condition in k, since corollary 2 has been obtained by Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan [2, p. 133] for *fixed k*, from which all our results can easily be deduced (k fixed). It should be pointed out that while they only considered the case of real primitive characters χ , their argument applies equally well for non-primitive $\chi \neq \chi_0$, though an extra log x factor must be inserted in their O-term. Finally, we remark that the main terms in the asymptotic formulae of Corollaries 1 and 3 dominate the error terms as x tends to ∞ whenever $k \ll x^{1/2-\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary.

2. We now prove the theorem stated above. In [1], Berndt obtains a variation of Theorem 4.1 of Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan [2] which holds uniformly in $x \to \infty$ and $\lambda \to 0^+$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter associated with the given Dirichlet series; our theorem is easily deduced as a special case of Berndt's theorem (with $\lambda = k^{-1/2}$ roughly).

As the first step towards establishing our theorem, we shall now introduce the following Dirichlet series for a primitive character $\chi \mod q$ in view of the definition of $D(x, \chi)$ given by (4):

(7)
$$\varphi(s) = \varphi(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n(\chi) \lambda_n^{-s} = \lambda^{-s} \zeta(s) L(s, \chi)$$

where

(8)
$$\lambda_n = \lambda \cdot n \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = \pi q^{-1/2}.$$

[March

From the well-known properties of $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s; \chi)$ [4, pp. 207–208], it follows that $\varphi(s, \chi)$ is a meromorphic function of s having a unique singularity at s = 1 corresponding to a simple pole with residue $\lambda^{-1}L(1, \chi) \neq 0$, and satisfies the functional equation

(9)
$$\Delta(s)\varphi(s) = \Delta(1-s)\psi(1-s)$$

where

$$\Delta(s) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+a(\chi)}{2}\right),$$

$$\psi(s) = \psi(s,\chi) = \varepsilon(\chi)\varphi(s,\bar{\chi}),$$

$$|\varepsilon(\chi)| = 1,$$

and

$$a(\chi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi(-1) = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

We observe that $b_n = b_n(\chi) = \varepsilon(\chi)a_n(\bar{\chi})$ in the notation of [1], and furthermore, we note that (9) implies

$$\varphi(0,\chi) = -\frac{1}{2}a(\chi)\varepsilon(\chi)L(1,\bar{\chi}).$$

Therefore, in the notation of [1], we have

$$Q^*(x, \lambda) = (\operatorname{res}_{s=1} \varphi(s, \chi))\lambda x + \varphi(0, \chi)$$
$$= L(1, \chi)x - \frac{1}{2}a(\chi)\varepsilon(\chi)L(1, \bar{\chi}).$$

Since $L(1, \chi) \ll \log q$ (this follows by partial summation), then $Q^*(x, \lambda) \ll x \log q$, whence it follows that $\rho = -\frac{2}{3}$ upon noting that $|a_n| = |b_n| \le d(n)$. Choosing $\eta = \frac{1}{6}$, we see that $E(x, \lambda) = c(qx)^{1/3} \log x$ for some absolute constant c > 0. Since the remaining hypotheses of Berndt's theorem are readily verified (noting that $f(\lambda) = 0$ in our case), we therefore can conclude that

$$D(x, \chi) - xL(1, \chi) \ll \sum_{x < n \le x + y} |a_n(\chi)| + (qx)^{1/3} \log q$$
$$\ll \sum_{x < n \le x + y} d(n) + (qx)^{1/3} \log q$$

where $y \ll (qx)^{1/3}$. Therefore, the asymptotic formula for $\sum_{n \le x} d(n)$ given by, say, Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan (cf. [2, equation (10.3)]) implies that

(10)
$$D(x, \chi) = xL(1, \chi) + O((qx)^{1/3} \log qx)$$

holds for all primitive characters $\chi \mod q$. Since $L(1, \chi) = H(x, \chi) + 0(x^{-1}q^{1/2}\log q)$ for primitive χ (again by partial summation), it follows that

(10) may be rewritten as

(11)
$$D(x, \chi) = xH(x, \chi) + O((qx)^{1/3} \log x)$$

since $q \ll x^2$ implies $q^{1/2} \ll (qx)^{1/3}$.

To complete the proof of the theorem, let χ be a non-principal character mod k. Then there exists a unique primitive character $\chi^* \mod q$ which induces χ , where q > 1 and q divides k [4, p. 126]. Since $\chi(n) = \chi^*(n)$ whenever (n, k) = 1, then (3) implies that

$$D(x,\chi) = \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d)\chi^*(d) D\left(\frac{x}{d},\chi^*\right).$$

By (11), this may be rewritten as

$$D(x, \chi) = \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d)\chi^*(d) \left(\frac{x}{d}H\left(\frac{x}{d}, \chi^*\right) + O((qx)^{1/3}\log x)\right)$$

= $xH(x, \chi) + O((kx)^{1/3} d(k)\log x)$

since $q \leq k$ and

$$H(x,\chi) = \sum_{d \mid k} \frac{\mu(d)\chi^*(d)}{d} H\left(\frac{x}{d},\chi^*\right).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. B. Berndt, On the average order of ideal functions and other arithmetic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 1270–1274.

2. K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, Functional equations with multiple gamma factors and the average order of arithmetic functions, Annals of Math. (2) 76 (1962), 93-136.

3. D. H. Lehmer, Euler's constant for arithmetic progressions, Acta Arith. 27 (1975), 125-142.

4. K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1957).

5. I. I. Žogin, Certain asymptotic equations connected with the problem of Dirichlet on divisors. A generalization of the Dirichlet Theorem. Sverdlovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst. Učen. Zap. **31** (1965), 87–96.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO TORONTO M5S 1A1, CANADA.

Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton T6G 2G1, Canada.