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#### Abstract

1. Introduction. The convex hull of an arbitrary set $M$ in real Euclidean $n$-space is known to consist of all the points within the $r$-simplexes with $r+1$ vertices from $M, r \leqslant n$. This note shows that if $M$ is specialized to be a curve $A_{n}$ of real order $n$, then its convex hull consists of all the points within the $r$-simplexes with $r+1$ vertices on $A_{n}, n=2 r+1$ or $n=2 r$. In the first case each interior point is within exactly one simplex. This result was given by Egerváry (1) for $n=3$. If $n$ is even each interior point of the convex hull of $A_{n}$ is within a 1-parameter system of $\frac{1}{2} n$-simplexes. The class of curves $A_{n}$ includes the twisted $n$-ics, the convex hulls of which have been studied by Karlin and Shapley (2). Some of their results are consequences of the present results.


2. Some definitions. A curve $A_{n}$ is defined to be a 1-1 continuous mapping in real Euclidean $n$-space of all the real numbers $s$ computed modulo 1 or of the interval, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$, which satisfies the order condition that no hyperplane contains more than $n$ points of $A_{n}$.

The order condition implies that any linear $k$-space, $0 \leqslant k<n$, cannot contain more than $k+1$ points of $A_{n}$. If a hyperplane $H$ supports $A_{n}$ at an inner point $s^{\prime}$ then $s^{\prime}$ is defined to have multiplicity two within $H$. By displacing the hyperplanes it is possible to show that the sharpened order condition ${ }^{1}$ holds that no hyperplane contains more than $n$ points of $A_{n}$ if each point is counted with its proper multiplicity of one or two.

The symbol $[A, B, \ldots]$ denotes the intersection of all the linear spaces which include the point sets $A, B, \ldots$, while $\{A, B, \ldots\}$ denotes the convex hull of the union of the point sets $A, B, \ldots$ Two sets $A$ and $B$ are said to be separated by a hyperplane $H$ provided $A$ is in one of the closed half spaces bounded by $H$ and $B$ in the other.
3. The boundary of $A_{n}$. The following lemma is stated without proof.

Lemma 1. If a hyperplane $H$ supports a compact set $X$, then $\{H \cap X\}=$ $H \cap\{X\}$.

Theorem 1. The boundary of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ consists of all the points within all the $q$-simplexes for which the vertices are $q+1$ points of $A_{n}$ including e endpoints, $2 q \leqslant n-2+e,(e=0,1,2)$.

[^0]Proof. If $P$ be a boundary point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, a hyperplane $H$ exists which supports $A_{n}$ and contains $P$. Let $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{q}$ be the distinct curve points in $H \cap\left\{A_{n}\right\}$. Because of the order condition, $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}$ is a $q$-simplex. By Lemma 1 ,

$$
P \in H \cap\left\{A_{n}\right\}=\left\{H \cap A_{n}\right\}=\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}
$$

As $H$ supports $A_{n}$ an interior point $s_{i}$ of $A_{n}$ must be included in $H$ twice. Consequently if $e$ denotes the number of endpoints of $A_{n}$ in $H$, it follows from the order condition that

$$
e+2(q+1-e) \leqslant n \text { or } 2 q \leqslant n-2+e
$$

Thus each boundary point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is within a $q$-simplex, with the required properties.

Conversely let $P$ be a point of a $q$-simplex $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}$ for which $2 q \leqslant n-$ $2+e$. Then a hyperplane exists which contains $P$ and supports $A_{n}$. To construct such a hyperplane, for each point $s_{i}$ interior to $A_{n}$, let $N_{i}$ be an arc $s^{\prime}{ }_{i}<s<s_{i}$ and if $A_{n}$ is not closed let $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$ be neighbourhoods of the endpoints 0,1 respectively. Let $H$ be a hyperplane which contains $n$ points of $A_{n}$ including all $s_{i}, s^{\prime}{ }_{i}$ and so that the remaining $n-2(q+1)+e$ curve points within $H$ are distributed among the arcs $N_{i}, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$ in such a way that no $\operatorname{arc} N_{i}$ contains an odd number of these points. This distribution is always possible because if $A_{n}$ is closed $n$ is even and $e=0$. If $N_{i} \rightarrow s_{i}, N^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$, $N^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 1$ then any limiting position of $H$ contains $P$ and supports $A_{n}$. As $P \in\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\} \subseteq\left\{A_{n}\right\}, P$ is a boundary point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$. The proof is now complete.
4. The structure of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$. If $2 r=n$ or $2 r+1=n, S_{r}$ is defined to be an $r$-simplex with interior points of $A_{n}$ as vertices except for even $n$ when at most one of the vertices may be an endpoint of $A_{n}$.

Theorem 2. The interior points $P$ of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ consist of all the interior points of the simplexes $S_{r}$.

For odd $n, S_{r}$ is uniquely determined by any one of its interior points $P$; for even $n, S_{r}$ is uniquely determined by an interior point $P$ and any one vertex which can be either endpoint of $A_{n}$ or any arbitrary point of $A_{n}$ if it is closed.

Proof. We show first that every interior point $P$ of a simplex $S_{\tau}$ is an interior point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$. As $S_{r} \subseteq\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ it will be sufficient to show $P$ is not a boundary point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$. Let $e$ be the number of vertices of $S_{r}$ which are endpoints of $A_{n}$. If $P$ were a boundary point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ it would be within a hyperplane $H$ which would support $\left\{A_{n}\right\} . H$ would also support $S_{r}$ and consequently, as $P$ is an inner point of $S_{r}, S_{r} \subseteq H$. Therefore $H$ would contain $2(r+1-e)+e$ points of $A_{n}$. This would contradict the order condition as, by the definition of $S_{r}, e=0$ if $n=2 r+1$ and $e \leqslant 1$ if $n=2 r$. Hence the inner points of the simplexes $S_{r}$ are all inner points of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$.

We next show that a given interior point $P$ of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is an interior point of a simplex $S_{r}$. Let $a$ be any real number if $A_{n}$ is closed and 0 if $A_{n}$ is open. Denote by $A\left(a, s^{\prime}\right)$ the arc of points $s, a \leqslant s \leqslant s^{\prime}$. Let $s_{P}$ be the least upper bound of all $s^{\prime}$ for which $P \notin\left\{A\left(a, s^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.

We prove that $P \in\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$. If this were false, $P$ and $\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$ would be separated by a hyperplane at a positive distance from $\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$. This hyperplane would also separate $A\left(a, s^{\prime}\right)$ and $P$ for $s^{\prime}>s_{P}$ provided $s^{\prime}$ were sufficiently close to $s_{P}$. Consequently $P \notin\left\{A\left(a, s^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ contrary to the choice of $s_{P}$.
$P$ is on a supporting hyperplane of $\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$. To prove this let $s_{\mu}$ be an increasing sequence which converges to $s_{P}$. Because $P \notin\left\{A\left(a, s_{\mu}\right)\right\}$ a hyperplane $H_{\mu}$ exists which supports $\left\{A\left(a, s_{\mu}\right)\right\}$ and contains $P . s_{\mu}$ can be chosen so that $H_{\mu}$ converges. If $H$ be its limit then $P \in H$ and $H$ supports $\left\{A\left(a, s_{\mu}\right)\right\}$. But, as $s_{\mu}$ is arbitrary, $H$ supports $\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$. From this result, together with the fact that $P \in\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$, it follows that $P$ is a boundary point of $\left\{A\left(a, s_{P}\right)\right\}$.

Consequently, by Theorem 1, a simplex $S_{q}$ exists which contains $P$, has vertices on $A\left(a, s_{P}\right)$ and for which $2 q \leqslant n-2+e$, where $e$ is the number of vertices of $S_{q}$ which are endpoints of $A\left(a, s_{P}\right)$. The vertices of $S_{q}$ are also on $A_{n}$. Let $e^{\prime}$ be the number of these vertices which are endpoints of $A_{n}$. As $P$ is not a boundary point of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}, 2 q>n-2+e^{\prime}$. Therefore $e^{\prime}<e$ and so $0<e$. If $A_{n}$ is open, $e^{\prime}=e-1$ as 0 is a common endpoint of $A_{n}$ and $A\left(a, s_{P}\right)$. The two inequalities yield the result $2 q=n-2+e$. Hence, if $n=2 r$, then $e=2$ and $q=r$ and, if $n=2 r+1, e=1$ and $q=r$. If $A_{n}$ is closed $n$ is even and $e^{\prime}=0$. In this case the inequalities show $e=2$ and $r=q$. $P$ cannot be a point of a face of $S_{\tau}$ for such points, by Theorem 1, are boundary points of $\left\{A_{r}\right\}$. Therefore $P$ is an interior point of the $r$-simplex $S_{r}$ which satisfies the requirements of the theorem as $e^{\prime}=0$ for odd $n$ and $e^{\prime} \leqslant 1$ for even $n$. This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

For even $n, e=2$ and consequently $a$ is a vertex of $S_{r}$. If $A_{n}$ is closed $a$ is arbitrary and so in this case, for a given $P$, an $S_{r}$ exists with an arbitrary vertex. If $A_{n}$ is open $a=0$. After a reversal of orientation of the points on the curve, the other endpoint of $A_{n}$ can be represented by the number 0 . Therefore $S_{r}$ can be chosen so that either endpoint of $A_{n}$ is a vertex provided $n$ is even.

Suppose now $P$ is a point within two distinct simplexes with vertices $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r} ; s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ and that $P$ is not in a face of $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$. Let $k, 0 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, be the number of vertices common to both simplexes. It follows, with the use of the Steinitz replacement theorem, that the space

$$
\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}^{\prime}\right]
$$

has dimension at most $2 r-k$. It contains $2(r+1)-k$ points of $A_{n}$. This leads to a contradiction of the order condition unless $2 r-k=n$ in which case $k=0$ and $n=2 r$. This proves, for odd $n$, that $P$ is within only one simplex $S_{r}$ and, for even $n$, that $P$ is never in more than one simplex $S_{r}$ with a given vertex. The proof is now complete.

Corollary. Every point $P$ in the interior of $\left\{A_{2 r}\right\}$ is an interior point of each of two suitably chosen simplexes $S_{r}, S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ which have no common vertex.

Proof. If $A_{2 r}$ is open each interior point $P$ of $\left\{A_{2 r}\right\}$ is, by the Theorem, interior to a simplex $S_{r}\left(S_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ with the endpoint $s=0,(s=1)$ as a vertex. If $S_{r}, S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ were to have a common vertex then, by the Theorem, they would be identical and both endpoints of $A_{2 r}$ would be vertices in contradiction to the definition of the simplexes. If $A_{2 r}$ is closed the result is clear.

Lemma 2. If the vertices of two $r$-simplexes $S_{r}, S_{r}^{\prime}$ which have no common vertex are all on $A_{2_{r}}$ and if an arc of $A_{2_{r}}$ exists which contains two vertices of $S_{r}$ and no vertex of $S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$, then $S_{r}, S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ have no point in common.

Proof. Let $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, s_{0}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{r}<s_{0}+1 \quad\left(=s_{r+1}\right)$ be the vertices of $S_{r}$. By the hypothesis an arc $s_{k} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{k+1}$ exists which contains no vertex of $S_{r}^{\prime}, 0 \leqslant k<r$, if $A_{2 r}$ is open and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, if $A_{2 r}$ is closed. In the latter case the coordinates may be adjusted so that $0 \leqslant k<r$. As $S_{r}, S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ have no common vertex, distinct curve points $t^{\prime}{ }_{1}, t_{1}, \ldots, t^{\prime}{ }_{r}, t_{r}$ of $A_{2 r}$ exist so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
t^{\prime}{ }_{1} \leqslant s_{0} \leqslant t_{1}<t_{2}{ }_{2} \leqslant s_{2} \leqslant t_{2}<\ldots<t^{\prime}{ }_{k+1} \leqslant s_{k}<s_{k+1} \leqslant t_{k+1}<\ldots \\
<t^{\prime}{ }_{r} \leqslant s_{\tau} \leqslant t_{\tau} \leqslant t^{\prime}{ }_{1}+1
\end{gathered}
$$

and so that none of the arcs $t^{\prime}{ }_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant t_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, contains a vertex of $S_{r}^{\prime}$. Let $H$ be the hyperplane $\left[t^{\prime}{ }_{1}, t_{1}, \ldots, t^{\prime}{ }_{r}, t_{r}\right]$. As $H$ intersects $A_{2 r}$ only in the $2 r$ points $t^{\prime}{ }_{i}, t_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, all the points of the $\operatorname{arcs} t^{\prime}{ }_{i} \leqslant s \leqslant t_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, are either on $H$ or on the same side of $H$ while all the points of $A_{2 r}$ not within the above arcs are on the opposite side of $H$. Thus $H$ separates the vertices of $S_{r}$ from those of $S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$. Furthermore all the vertices of $S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ are at a positive distance from $H$. Hence $S_{r}$ and $S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ have no points in common. The Lemma is now proved.

Convex hulls are defined for affine space. The following result shows that the convex hull $\left\{A_{2 r}\right\}$ can be defined in terms of projective concepts.

Theorem 3. If $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r} ; s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ are curve points of $A_{2 r}$ for which

$$
0 \leqslant s_{0}<s_{0}^{\prime}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{\tau}<{s^{\prime}}_{r} \leqslant 1,
$$

for open $A_{2 r}$ and

$$
s_{0}<s^{\prime}{ }_{0}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{r}<s_{r}^{\prime}<s_{0}+1\left(=s_{r+1}\right)
$$

for closed $A_{2 r}$ then the interior of $\left\{A_{2 r}\right\}$ consists of all the intersections

$$
\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right] \cap\left[s_{0}^{\prime}, s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{r}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Proof. Let $P$ be a given point in the interior of $\left\{A_{2 r}\right\}$. By the Corollary to Theorem 2, simplexes $S_{r}, S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ exist, without a common vertex, both of which
contain $P$ as an interior point. Let $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, 0 \leqslant s_{0}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{r}<$ $s_{0}+1$ be the vertices of $S_{r}$. As $S_{r}, S_{r}^{\prime}$ have the common interior point $P$ it follows from Lemma 2 that each arc $s_{i} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{i+1}, 0 \leqslant i<r$, contains exactly one vertex of $S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$. Therefore if $s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ be the vertices of $S^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ the subscripts may be adjusted so that, for closed $A_{2 r}$,

$$
s_{0}<s_{0}^{\prime}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{r-1}^{\prime}<s_{r}<s_{r}^{\prime}<s_{0}+1
$$

and, for open $A_{2 r}$, either

$$
0 \leqslant s_{0}<s_{0}^{\prime}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{r}<s_{r}^{\prime} \leqslant 1
$$

or

$$
0 \leqslant s_{0}^{\prime}<s_{0}<\ldots<s^{\prime}{ }_{T}<s_{T} \leqslant 1
$$

As $P$ is a common point of the simplexes

$$
P \in\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right] \cap\left[s_{0}^{\prime}, s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}\right]
$$

Now let $Q$ be any point of $\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right] \cap\left[s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}\right]$ where

$$
s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}
$$

are points of $A_{2 r}$ which satisfy the inequality system. The $r$-spaces [ $s_{0}, s_{1}$, $\left.\ldots, s_{r}\right],\left[s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}\right]$ must have at least one point in common as $2 r=n$. They cannot have more than one point in common for then

$$
\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, s_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{r}^{\prime}\right]
$$

would have dimension at most $2 r-1$ and contain $2 r+2$ points of $A_{2 r}$, in contradiction to the order condition.
$Q$ cannot be a point on a proper face of either simplex $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$, $\left\{s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}\right\}$. Suppose, for example, $Q$ to be within the face $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.s_{r-1}\right\}$. Then the space

$$
\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r-1}, s^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{r}^{\prime}{ }_{r}\right]
$$

would have dimension at most $2 r-1$ and contain $2 r+1$ points of $A_{2 r}$ in contradiction to the order condition.

If $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}$ move continuously so that the inequalities are always satisfied, $Q$ is uniquely defined and moves continuously. We know, if $Q=P$, that $Q$ is interior to $\left\{A_{2_{r}}\right\}$ as well as to both simplexes $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$, $\left\{s^{\prime}{ }_{0}, s^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, s^{\prime}{ }_{r}\right\}$. As $Q$ cannot enter a proper face of either of these simplexes it must remain in the interior of both of them. $Q$ cannot enter the boundary of $\left\{A_{2_{r}}\right\}$. For otherwise it would be in a hyperplane $H$ supporting $\left\{A_{2_{r}}\right\}$ and consequently supporting $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$. As $Q$ is an interior point of the simplex, $\left[s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right] \subseteq H$. It follows from the inequality system that at most one vertex of $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$ is an endpoint of $A_{2 r}$. Hence $H$ would contain at least $2(r+1)-1=2 r+1$ points of $A_{2 r}$ in contradiction to the order condition. Therefore $Q$ must always remain in the interior of $\left\{A_{2 r}\right\}$. The proof is now complete.
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