# ADVANCED WIDE-FIELD BROAD-PASSBAND REFRACTING FIELD CORRECTORS FOR LARGE TELESCOPES

# H.W. Epps

(Department of Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles)

#### J.R.P. Angel and E. Anderson

(Steward Observatory, University of Arizona)

# ABSTRACT

A preliminary 30-arcmin prime focus (f/2.0) refracting field corrector system for the University of California Ten-Meter Telescope (UC TMT) is presented which features 1/4-arcsec images containing more than 80% of the energy, over limited passbands within the wavelength range  $\lambda 3300$ Å to  $\lambda 1.0 \mu$ . Provision has been made in this system for an atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) but same has not yet been realized. Optical elements herein are small enough that this design could be scaled up to a Fifteen-Meter NNTT/SMT.

A compact 40-arcmin internal Cassegrain (f/1.75 hyperbola to f/5.0) broad-passband ( $\lambda$ 3300Å to  $\lambda$ 1.0 $\mu$ ) corrector, suitable for imaging and multi-object spectroscopy at the UC TMT, is presented which features 1/4-arcsec images containing more than 90% of the energy when averaged over field angle and color.

Three 60-arcmin external Cassegrain correctors for 300-inch f/1.8 and f/2.0 parabolic primary mirrors are presented which are suitable for a Fifteen-Meter NNTT/MMT. Image quality is comparable to the UC TMT Cassegrain corrector and it exceeds that of the UC TMT preliminary prime focus corrector system by a substantial margin. Each of these correctors contains an ADC which has been implemented in one example, eliminating 4.0 arcsec of differential atmospheric refraction with an rms residual of +/-0.10 arcsec over the broad passband ( $\lambda$ 3300Å to  $\lambda$ 1.0µ). A 60-arcmin external Cassegrain (f/1.8 extreme hyperbola to f/4.5) corrector with ADC yields yet a factor two in image quality but said hyperbolic primary mirror would be incompatible with angular field requirements in the thermal infrared.

A (300-inch) 40-arcmin external Cassegrain (f/1.0 parabola to f/4.0) broad-passband ( $\lambda$ 3300Å to  $\lambda$ 1.0µ) corrector with ADC is presented. Image quality is comparable to the previous Cassegrain correctors. The practicality of this design, together with recent advances in optical manufacturing capability of large, fast, nonspherical optics, suggests that relatively inexpensive compact telescopes of very large collecting area may be possible in the near future.

#### INTRODUCTION

Multiple-element refracting field correctors for wide-field, broad-passband imaging with single-mirror and two-mirror telescopes have been described in classical literature which is summarized and referenced in recent papers by Angel (1983), by Cao and Wilson (1983) and by Richardson <u>et al.</u> (1984). Notable among many substantive papers on this subject matter is the contribution by Faulde and Wilson (1973) wherein it is suggested that substantial improvement in image quality for a given field angle and chromatic passband can be obtained by the introduction of an aspheric surface within the field corrector.

The work documented herein represents the application of established principles to specific corrector designs under consideration for several large telescope projects. These correctors differ from those by previous authors in four aspects: 1) primary mirrors with faster f/ratios have been used; 2) Two mild aspheric surfaces have been used in each of the correctors; 3) Two plane-parallel plates of FK5 and LLF2 have been incorporated into each corrector to provide material for later design of a pair of counter-rotating zero-deviation prisms which will serve as an atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) unit in each corrector; 4) The optical design constraints on residual monochromatic aberration, and on axial as well as lateral color are considerably more severe than those achieved in the past.

The optimum telescope focal length for wide-field imaging depends upon the desired sampling factor at the focal plane (in pixels per arcsec) and also depends on the assumed pixel size (microns). The quantitative relationship is given by Table 1 shown below.

|             |             | Pixel | l Size (micro | ons) |
|-------------|-------------|-------|---------------|------|
| Samplin     | g Factor    | 15    | 20            | 25   |
| Poor seeing | (4/arcsec)  | 487   | 650           | 812  |
| Light       | (6/arcsec)  | 731   | 974           | 1218 |
| Medium      | (8/arcsec)  | 974   | 1299          | 1624 |
| Heavy       | (10/arcsec) | 1218  | 1624          | 2030 |
|             |             |       |               |      |

# Table 1. OPTIMUM TELESCOPE FOCAL LENGTH (INCHES) FOR WIDE-FIELD IMAGING

The Gehrz Committee (1983) recommends a sampling factor ranging between 4 and 8 pixels per arcsec for the 15-meter NNTT. Faber (1983) (UC TMT Astronomy Advisory Council) favors approximately an 800-inch focal length for imaging on the UC TMT, while Angel (1983) recommends within the focal length range 1200 inches through 1800 inches for a 300-inch telescope. It thus appears that telescope focal lengths somewhere within the range 800 inches < F.L. < 2000 inches should be appropriate for properly sampled direct imaging provided detector pixel sizes in the future do not differ much from the presently available range of 15 to 25 microns.

Table 2 below illustrates that the best focal stations to achieve the desired focal length range for wide-field imaging depend on the primary mirror diameter. We exclude telescopes with primary mirrors slower than f/2.0 as being unnecessarily long and therefore too expensive to house for a given collecting area. The symbol "xxxxx" indicates that the corresponding focal length is not conveniently attainable in any reasonable optical design.

|                               | 800                | 1200                |              | 16         | 00               | 20               | 000        |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| 300-inch Arizona<br>Honeycomb | <br>xxxxxxx        | <br>xxxx f/4<br>(Ex | .0 .<br>tern | <br>al or  | f<br>Intern      | /6.0 .<br>al Cas | s)         |
| 400-inch UC TMT               | f/2.0 x<br>(Prime) | ****                | XXXX         | xxxxx      | ???? .<br>(Inter | f/<br>nal Ca     | 5.0<br>ss) |
| 600-inch NNTT/SMT             | Reimage            | ??? f/2<br>(Pr      | .0 x<br>ime) | ******<br> | *****            | xxxxxx           | :xxxx<br>  |

Table 2. BEST STATIONS TO ACHIEVE DESIRED FOCAL LENGTH (INCHES) FOR WIDE-FIELD IMAGING

Table 2 suggests that 300-inch telescopes with fast external or internal Cassegrain stations should be considered for imaging. A 400-inch telescope might be used at prime focus or internal Cassegrain but note that only the extremes of the desired focal length range are represented. A single-aperture 600-inch telescope may not be suitable at all for imaging if a prime focus corrector with ADC proves to be impossible to design or support mechanically. Table 2 was used as a guide in selecting telescope f/ratios and focal stations to be investigated in this paper.

#### DESIGN OBJECTIVES AND CONSTRAINTS

The optical design objectives and constraints selected for this study are outlined in Table 3 below, representing our collective opinion regarding reasonable and desirable standards of (theoretical geometric) performance to be expected from imaging optics for large telescopes of the future.

Table 3. DESIGN OBJECTIVES FOR WIDE-FIELD BROAD-PASSBAND CORRECTORS

#### A. GEOMETRIC RESTRICTIONS

- 1. 800 inches < focal length < 2000 inches.
- 2. 30 arcmin < angular field diameter < 60 arcmin.
- 3. Focal surface shall be flat.
- 4. Shroud obstruction < 20% area.
- 5. Element sizes, thicknesses and aspherics mechanically feasible and stable against (optical) bending.

#### B. MONOCHROMATIC RESTRICTIONS

- Cassegrain optics usable near-axis in a "naked" two-mirror all-reflecting mode.
- 2. Primary mirror figure parabolic or mildly hyperbolic (compatible with thermal infrared field requirements).
- Images to contain > 90% energy in 1/4 arcsec averaged over field angle and color (RMS diam < 0.16 arcsec if Gaussian).</li>
- 4. Worst image to contain > 80% energy in 1/4 arcsec (RMS diam
  < 0.20 arcsec if Gaussian).</li>
- 5. Distortion at full field < 1.0%.

#### C. COLOR-RELATED RESTRICTIONS

- 1. Accommodate chromatic passband from 3300Å thru 1.0 $\mu$  (4.63 [F-C] units) at a single focus setting.
- 2. Provide an atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) and a flat 0.50-inch thick window near focus.
- 3. Maximum laterial color < 0.20 arcsec.
- 4. Average lateral color < +/-0.07 arcsec at worst field angle.

522

# OPTICAL DESIGN DATA

Table 4 below outlines twenty (20) self-documenting figures which illustrate optical silhouettes, seven (7) quantitative corrector designs in full engineering detail and spot diagram analyses as a function of wavelength and field angle. The twenty (20) figures themselves follow Table 4 without interstitial discussion. A summary of field corrector geometry and optical performance data, a list of potential problem areas common to this generic class of broad-passband field correctors, and a summary of tentative conclusions follows the figures.

Table 4. OUTLINE OF OPTICAL DESIGN DATA FIGURES WHICH FOLLOW

- A. 400-INCH UC TMT (AND 600-INCH NNTT/SMT)
  - 1. Schematic UC TMT Telescope Geometry
  - 2. Prime Focus (f/2.0) Corrector System
  - 3. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (UV)  $\lambda 3300\,\text{\AA}$  to  $\lambda 4000\,\text{\AA}$  (Blue Rear Section)
  - 4. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Blue J-Band)  $\lambda$ 3900Å to  $\lambda$ 5400Å (Blue Rear Section)
  - 5. Mosaic of (Unacceptable) Spot Diagrams (Visual)  $\lambda$ 4400Å to  $\lambda$ 7000Å Blue Rear Section)
  - 6. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Red)  $\lambda$ 5000Å to  $\lambda$ 1.0 $\mu$  (Red Rear Section)
  - 7. Internal Cassegrain (f/1.5 Hyperbola to f/5.0) Corrector
  - 8. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Broad Passband)  $\lambda$ 3300Å to  $\lambda$ 1.0 $\mu$

B. 300-INCH ARIZONA HONEYCOMB (AND 600-INCH EQUIVALENT NNTT/MMT)

- 9. Schematic Telescope Geometry (4-Corrector Study)
- 10. External Cassegrain (f/2.0 Parabola to f/6.0) Corrector
- 11. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Broad Passband)  $\lambda 3300\text{\AA}$  to  $\lambda 1.0\,\mu$
- 12. External Cassegrain (f/2.0 Parabola to f/5.0) Corrector
- 13. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Broad Passband)  $\lambda 3300\text{\AA}$  to  $\lambda 1.0\,\mu$
- 14. External Cassegrain (f/1.8 Parabola to f/4.5) Corrector
- 15. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Broad Passband)  $\lambda 3300\text{\AA}$  to  $\lambda 1.0\,\mu$
- 16. External Cassegrain (f/1.8 Extreme Hyperbola to f/4.5) Corrector
- 17. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Broad Passband)  $\lambda 3300\text{\AA}$  to  $\lambda 1.0\,\mu$
- 18. Schematic Telescope Geometry (Fast Cassegrain)
- 19. External Cassegrain (f/1.0 Parabola to f/4.0) Corrector
- 20. Mosaic of Spot Diagrams (Broad Passband)  $\lambda$ 3300Å to  $\lambda$ 1.0 $\mu$

# THREE-ELEMENT FIELD CORRECTORS (WITH WINDOW AND ADC) FOR THE UC TMT



Figure 1





MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/2.00 PRIME FOCUS CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.78 HYPERBOLIC PRIMARY (A<sub>2</sub> = -1.0037963)



MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/2.00 PRIME FOCUS CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.78 HYPERBOLIC PRIMARY (A<sub>2</sub> = -1.0037963)



MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/2.00 PRIME FOCUS CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.78 HYPERBOLIC PRIMARY (A<sub>2</sub> = -1.0037963)



MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/2.00 PRIME FOCUS CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.78 HYPERBOLIC PRIMARY (A<sub>2</sub> = -1.0037963)





MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/5.00 CASSEGRAIN CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.75 HYPERBOLIC PRIMARY (A<sub>2</sub> = -1.0037963)





Figure 9

533

ł



https://doi.org/10.1017/S0252921100108656 Published online by Cambridge University Press



MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/6.00 CASSEGRAIN CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/2.00 PARABOLIC PRIMARY



H. W. Epps, J. R. P. Angel and E. Anderson



MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/5.00 CASSEGRAIN CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/2.00 PARABOLIC PRIMARY



. .



MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/4.50 CASSEGRAIN CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.80 PARABOLIC PRIMARY





MONOCHROMATIC IMAGES FROM A THREE-ELEMENT f/4.50 CASSEGRAIN CORRECTOR SYSTEM WITH AN f/1.80 HYPERBOLIC PRIMARY (A<sub>2</sub> = -1.1523105)

THREE-ELEMENT BROAD PASSBAND 40 ARCMIN FIELD CORRECTOR (WITH WINDOW AND ADC)



Figure 18



H. W. Epps, J. R. P. Angel and E. Anderson





SUMMARY OF FIELD CORRECTOR GEOMETRY AND OPTICAL PERFORMANCE DATA

|   | 400   | -INCH UC | C TMT | £,    | 00-INCH | ARIZON | A HONEYC       | OMB  |
|---|-------|----------|-------|-------|---------|--------|----------------|------|
|   | Bf/2  | Rf/2     | f/5   | £/6   | £/5     | f/4.5  | (exH)<br>f/4.5 | £/4  |
| (8)                                       | 800   | 800      | 2000  | 1800  | 1500    | 1350   | 1350           | 1200 |
| arcmin)                                   | 30    | 30       | 40    | 60    | 60      | 60     | 60             | 40   |
| (% area)                                  | ŝ     | ę.       | 14    | 19    | 22      | 22     | 22             | 14   |
| hes)                                      |       | I        | 84.7  | 1*66  | 112.0   | 110.6  | 111.0          | 85.0 |
| inches)                                   | 33.5  | 33.5     | 34.0  | 47.5  | 46.0    | 47.3   | 40.7           | 26.3 |
| ENGTH (inches)                            | 57.6  | 57.1     | 73.0  | 150.7 | 133.3   | 136.4  | 99.2           | 64.3 |
|   |       |          |       |       |         |        |                |      |
| 1/4 ARSCEC DIAM (%)                       | 81    | 87       | 94    | 97    | 67      | 94     | 100            | 91   |
| (arcsec)                                  | 0.18  | 0.16     | 0.13  | 0.10  | 0.12    | 0.13   | 60*0           | 0.16 |
| IN 1/4 ARCSEC DIAM (%)                    | 39    | 52       | 60    | 85    | 85      | 80     | 98             | 80   |
| M (arcsec)                                | 0.33  | 0.27     | 0.26  | 0.18  | 0.19    | 0.22   | 0.12           | 0.22 |
| ON AT FULL FIELD (2)                      | 1.2   | 1.3      | 1.3   | 1.5   | 1.0     | 0.7    | 0•6            | 1.4  |
|   |       |          |       |       |         |        |                |      |
| NT SINGLE FOCUS (F-C)<br>10 WITH REFOCUS) | 1.71* | 1.62     | 4.63  | 4.63  | 4.63    | 4.63   | 4.63           | 4.63 |
| [/#°#)                                    | 2.8   |          | 6.9   | 10.1  | 6•9     | 6.3    | 6•9            | 6.2  |
| OR (arcsec)                               | 0.15  | 0.11     | 60.0  | 0.26  | 0.20    | 0.18   | 0.10           | 0.17 |
| T WORST FA (+/-arcsec)                    | 0.06  | 0.04     | 0.03  | 60*0  | 0.07    | 0.07   | 0.04           | 0*06 |
|   |       |          |       |       |         |        |                |      |

Г

POTENTIAL PROBLEM AREAS COMMON TO THIS GENERIC CLASS OF BROAD-PASSBAND FIELD CORRECTORS

- Secondary mirror alignment tolerance are (approximately): decentration
   < +/-0.003 inches; tilt < +/-5 arcsec. Active monitoring will be required,
   though open loop correction can maintain residuals of this order in
   principle.</li>
- Dimensional stability of the telescope tube structure is essential at the level of +/-0.0002 inches for the UC TMT and +/-0.0005 inches for the Arizona honeycomb. Thermal variations during an exposure could be problematical.
- 3. Broad-passband high-efficiency transmission coatings will prove essential to satisfactory "thruput" and elimination of internal ghost images.
- 4. Flatness of the (ccd ??) detector(s) for imaging will prove essential at the level of  $+/-5\mu$  for the UC TMT and  $+/-13\mu$  for the Arizona honeycomb.
- 5. All elements will have to be made thick enough in the final design(s) such that they can be mounted so as to preserve alignment and resist significant (optical) bending under expected variations of gravitational loading.

## SUMMARY OF TENTATIVE CONCLUSIONS

- A. 400-INCH UC TMT (AND 600-INCH NNTT/SMT)
  - Prime focus 30-arcmin field correctors of limited single-focus passband may (??) be possible for imaging if multiple rear sections and refocus are allowed. The present design falls short of reasonable and desirable optical performance expectations by a substantial margin.
  - Vergence thru a prime focus ADC is extreme and cannot be softened. A counter-rotating pair of zero-deviation prisms may not be viable as a prime focus ADC.
  - 3. If an ADC in the present design <u>can</u> be realized, the design should be reoptimized under more restrictive (passband) conditions for better optical performance in the UV and blue (J-band).
  - Limited-passband correctors as described above are not suitable for multi-object (fiber-fed) spectroscopy.

# SUMMARY OF TENTATIVE CONCLUSIONS (Continued)

- A. 400-INCH UC TMT (AND 600-INCH NNTT/SMT) (Continued)
  - 5. An internal Cassegrain 40-arcmin broad-passband field corrector for imaging and spectroscopy is possible for the UC TMT, though its focal length is extreme in the present example but could be shortened. This corrector typs is <u>not</u> suitable for imaging in the NNTT/SMT due to excessive focal length.
- B. 300-INCH ARIZONA HONEYCOMB (AND 600-INCH EQUIVALENT NNTT/MMT)
  - An external Cassegrain (f/1.8 parabola to f/4.5) 60-arcmin broad passband field corrector meets reasonable and desirable optical performance expectations for both imaging and multi-object spectroscopy.
  - 2. The ADC for the above corrector has been implemented on a preliminary basis BY E. Anderson, Steward Observatory.
  - 3. E. Anderson has also replaced the above ADC with a dispersing prism (for slitless spectroscopy). Loss of image quality is minimal.
  - 4. The secondary mirror diameter above can be reduced significantly by relocating the final focus near the primary mirror vertex.
  - 5. An external Cassegrain (f/1.0 parabola to f/4.0) 40-arcmin broad-passband field corrector, with comparable optical quality and characteristics to the above, offers unprecedented opportunity for compact telescope geometry if the required 300-inch f/1.0 parabolic primary mirror can be manufactured in practice.
  - 6. Expected perturbations, such as removal or thickening of the 0.50-inch-thick window near focus, or reasonable residual decentration and/or tilt of the secondary mirror in the above models, do not degrade optical performance by unacceptable amounts.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0252921100108656 Published online by Cambridge University Press

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E.H. Richardson for calling our attention to the importance of refracting field correctors for large telescopes during his presentation at the University of Texas 300-inch telescope Optical Review Conference, March 1982, and for kindly providing us with some of his unpublished quantitative prime focus corrector designs for the Texas 300-inch telescope, which were useful as starting points for our own TMT prime focus corrector design studies.

The work related to the UC TMT was supported by a special research grant from the Regents of the University of California. The work related to the University of Arizona 300-inch honeycomb was supported by a NASA grant for Studies in Innovative Optics through Steward Observatory, University of Arizona. Travel by H.W. Epps to IAU Colloquium No. 79 in Garching, West Germany, was sponsored in part by a travel grant from the UCLA Academic Senate Research Committee.

## REFERENCES

Angel, J.R.P.: 1983, IAU Colloquium No. 78, August 1983. Cao, C., and Wilson, R.N.: 1983, ESO Preprint No. 281, submitted to <u>Astronomy</u>

and Astrophysics (main journal).

Faber, S.M.: 1983, private communication.

Faulde, M., and Wilson, R.N.: 1973, <u>Astronomy and Astrophysics</u>, <u>26</u>, 11. Gehrz, R. <u>et al</u>.: 1983, NNTT Scientific Advisory Committee Preliminary

Specifications for the 15-meter NNTT, Draft Report, November 1, 1983. Richardson, E.H. <u>et al</u>.: 1984, <u>M.N.R.A.S.</u>, <u>206</u>, 47.

# DISCUSSION

J.P. Swings: 1) A few years ago you were "advocating" the Paul-Baker corrector system. What is your reason for working on refractive correctors now? 2) Can you give an idea of the sizes of the optical elements in the correctors you showed and described?

<u>H. Epps:</u> 1) Paul-Baker correctors represent <u>one</u> method of obtaining broad passband wide angular fields. The 72-inch zenith telescope under construction at University of Arizona is an example where their use seems to be desirable. We are working on refractive correctors as an alternative method of achieving broad passband and wide fields. New designs were required in order to incorporate atmospheric dispersion correction (ADC), to accommodate fast primary mirrors in the range f/2 to f/1, and to improve image quality to a standard represented by attaining 90% or more encircled energy within 1/4 arcsec when averaged over all field angles and colours.

2) The largest lenses required by the design presented here are in the range 26 inches to 48 inches. This data is detailed for each corrector in our data summary table.

548