www.cambridge.org/jhl

Research Paper

Cite this article: Zedam F-Z, Bouguerche C, Ahmed M and Tazerouti F (2023). Morphological and molecular characterization of *Encotyllabe vallei* Monticelli, 1907 (Monopisthocotylea, Monogenea) from the gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* Linnaeus (Teleostei, Sparidae) from the southwestern Mediterranean and notes on host specificity of the genus *Encotyllabe* Diesing, 1850. *Journal of Helminthology*, **97**, e82, 1–15 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000688

Received: 25 April 2023 Revised: 07 July 2023 Accepted: 04 October 2023

Keywords:

Monogenea; Mediterranean Sea; integrative taxonomy; *Encotyllabe*; Capsalidae; specificity

Corresponding author: Chahinez Bouguerche; Email: chahinezbouguerche@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



Morphological and molecular characterization of *Encotyllabe vallei* Monticelli, 1907 (Monopisthocotylea, Monogenea) from the gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* Linnaeus (Teleostei, Sparidae) from the southwestern Mediterranean and notes on host specificity of the genus *Encotyllabe* Diesing, 1850

Fatima-Zohra Zedam¹, Chahinez Bouguerche², Mohammed Ahmed² and Fadila Tazerouti¹

¹Université des Sciences et de la Technologie Houari Boumediene (U.S.T.H.B), Faculté des Sciences Biologiques, Département d'Écologie et Environnement, Laboratoire de Biodiverasité et Environnement, Interactions et Génomes, BP 32, El Alia Bab Ezzouar, Alger, Algeria and ²Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Incomplete original descriptions, the unavailability or poor conditions of specimens and the lack of detailed redescriptions have caused the validity of several species of the genus Encotyllabe Diesing, 1850 to be questioned. To date, seven of the recognized species were described upon one or two specimens, hindering study of intraspecific variations. This was made worse by considering few morphoanatomical differences sufficient to erect new species. Among Encotyllabe spp. occurring in Mediterranean waters, E. vallei was first described from the gilt-head bream Sparus aurata (Sparidae) off Italy. Although beautifully illustrated for a paper from that century, morphometric data for E. vallei from the type-host S. aurata remain unavailable. Previous records of E. vallei provided either morphometrical or molecular data, and its validity was questioned. We provide a redescription of *E. vallei* based on newly collected specimens from the S. aurata from the southwestern Mediterranean (off Algeria) using integrative taxonomy. Analysis of cox1 sequences of E. vallei from S. aurata, compared to sequences from other sparid hosts, mainly Pagellus bogaraveo, revealed a divergence not exceeding 2%, suggesting a stenoxenic specificity for this monogenean. Given that P. bogaraveo is the type-host for Encotyllabe pagelli, we were tempted to suggest a synonymy between E. vallei and E. pagelli. We refrained from doing so because E. pagelli was first described from the Atlantic coast off Brest, France. Morphological data for Encotyllabe from P. bogaraveo are warranted assessing the host specificity of E. vallei and whether there might be a species complex within individual sparid fish species.

Introduction

Capsalid monogeneans belonging to the genus *Encotyllabe* Diesing, 1850 are ectoparasitic Monopisthocotylea. They are commonly found on various parts of marine fish, such as the gills, body surface, mouth and pharyngeal toothpads of marine fish. Species of this genus are recognized by the elliptical ventral suckers surrounded by a wide membrane and a pedunculated aseptate haptor equipped with two pairs of substantial hooks and several marginal hooklets (Price 1939).

Encotyllabe spp. are known to inhabit a wide range of waters, encompassing temperate, subtropical and tropical regions (Lebedev 1967). They have a broad host spectrum (Table 1), infecting various teleost fish families (Sepúlveda et al. 2014). Currently, there are 24 species attributed to this genus (WoRMS 2023), however, the validity of several of these species has been questioned due to the incomplete and brief original descriptions (Taborda et al. 2023), the unavailability or poor conditions of some type specimens (Sepúlveda et al. 2014) and the lack of detailed redescriptions. Additionally, seven of the total recognized species were initially described based on only one or two specimens, which hinders the ability to understand intraspecific variability (Sepúlveda et al. 2014). Occasionally, minor morphoanatomical differences have been deemed sufficient grounds for the creation of new species (Khalil and Abdul-Salam 1988; Martorell 2004).

Only three *Encotyllabe* species occur in the Mediterranean: *Encotyllabe paronae* Monticelli, 1907, described from the East Atlantic peacock wrasse *Symphodus tinca* Linnaeus, 1758 (Labridae); *Encotyllabe nordmanni* Diesing, 1850, described from two Bramidae, the

Mediterranean pomfret Brama mediterranea (Bonnaterre, 1788) and the Atlantic pomfret Brama brama (Bonnaterre 1788) and a Pomacentridae Chromis (Linnaeus 1758). Two species are known from sparid fishes: Encotyllabe pagelli Van Beneden and Hesse, 1863, from the blackspot seabream Pagellus centrodontus (Delaroche 1809) collected off Brest, France, and E. vallei Monticelli, 1907, described from the gilt-head bream Sparus aurata Linnaeus, 1758 (Sparidae Rafinesque 1818) off Trieste, Italy. Encotyllabe vallei had been rarely mentioned, and apart from Radujkovic and Euzet (1989), the previous accounts provided scant or no morphometric data and lacked illustrations. Consequently, the brief original description has made it difficult to discern differences from other species (Taborda et al. 2023). As a result, assessing its similarities to and differences from other Encotyllabe species remains challenging due to the absence of morphometric data which led to its recent classification as species inquirendae (Taborda et al. 2023).

In this study, we provide an illustrated redescription of *E. vallei* based on newly collected specimens from the type-host *S. aurata* collected from the western Mediterranean, Algeria. We also provide *cox*1 and 28S sequences and discuss the host specificity of *E. vallei*.

Material and methods

During the years 2020–2021, 115 specimens of *S. aurata* were collected from off Dellys (36°54′ 48″N, 3°54′ 51″E), Zemmouri El Bahri (36°48′ 4.58″N, 3°34′ 7.01″E), Cap Djinet (36°52′ 37″ N, 3°43′ 23″E), Bouharoun (36′370 24″ N, 2°39′ 17″ E) and Cherchell (36° 36′ 31″ N, 2°11′ 50″ E) off the Algerian coast. Fish specimens were purchased dead from fishermen, transferred to the laboratory shortly after capture, identified using keys (Fischer et al. 1987; Kullander and Delling 2012) and examined fresh on the day of purchase.

Gills and pharyngeal tooth pads were detached, placed in individual Petri dishes containing saline solution following Lablack et al. (2022) and carefully examined for monogeneans under a stereomicroscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging GmbH 37081 Göttingen, Germany). Monogeneans were removed from gills using fine dissection needles, heat-killed and preserved in molecular biologygrade ethanol and 70% ethanol for molecular and morphological analyses, respectively. We followed the terminology as defined by Combes (2003) to describe the host specificity of a parasite in relation to the relatedness of host species: Oioxenic is employed for parasites that exploit a single host species; the parasite is denoted as stenoxenic if it exploits a range of phylogenetically related species and euryxenic if it exploits a range of mutually unrelated species.

Morphological methods

For morphological study, monogeneans were stained with acetic carmine, dehydrated in graded ethanol series (70%, 95% and 100%), cleared in clove oil and mounted in Canada balsam using a Wild Heerbrugg stereo microscope. Voucher material was deposited at the Swedish Natural History Museum, Stockholm, Sweden, under registration numbers SMNH-208363–208373, SMNH-218760–218780. Drawings were made with the help of a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) and a drawing tube (Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History). Drawings were scanned and redrawn on a computer with Adobe Illustrator 2023. Measurements are in micrometers and indicated as the range followed by the mean.

Molecular methods

Three monogeneans were selected for DNA extraction. For three *S. aurata*, one monogenean was extracted. A tissue sample from the gill of the fish was taken, preserved in absolute ethanol and deposited as a voucher in the SMNH. For the monogenean, a small lateral part of the body above the haptor was separated with a scalpel following previous works on the barcoding of Monogenea (Ayadi et al. 2022; Azizi et al. 2021; Bouguerche et al. 2019a, 2019b; Bouguerche et al. 2019; Bouguerche et al. 2020; Bouguerche et al. 2021; Lablack et al. 2022) and submitted to molecular analysis, and the rest of the body was mounted on a slide as a voucher for drawing and deposition in a museum collection (Figure 1A). This enables the morphological assessment of sequenced monogeneans. Slides of monogeneans used for molecular work were deposited in the SMNH under registration numbers SMNH-208 363, SMNH-208 364 and SMNH-208 365.

Molecular barcoding of Monogenea

For cox1, total genomic DNA was isolated using a QIAmp DNA Micro Kit (Qiagen). The specific primers JB3 (=COIASmit1) (forward 50-TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT-30) and JB4.5 (=COI-ASmit2) (reverse 50-TAAAGAAAGAACATAAT-GAAAATG-30) were used to amplify a fragment of 402 bp of the cox1 gene (Bowles et al. 1995; Littlewood et al. 1997). PCR reactions were performed in 20 µl of a mixture containing 1 ng of DNA, 1 CoralLoad PCR buffer, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.25 mM dNTP, 0.15 µM of each primer and 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen). Thermocycles consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 37 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 48°C for 40 s and extension at 72°C for 50 s. The final extension was conducted at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were purified (Ampure XP Kit, Beckman Coulter) and sequenced in both directions on a 3730 l DNA Analyzer 96-capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). We used CodonCode Aligner version 3.7.1 software (Codon Code Corporation, Dedham, MA, USA) to edit sequences and compare them to the GenBank database content with BLAST and deposited them in GenBank under accession numbers OR148271, OR148272, OR148273.

For 28S, DNA was extracted using a QiaAmp DNA Micro Kit (Qiagen). A 28S rDNA fragment of 884 bp was amplified using the universal primers C10 (50-ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT-30) and D2 (30-TCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-50) (Hassouna et al. 1984). PCR reactions were performed in a final volume of 20 mL, containing: 1 ng of DNA, 16 CoralLoad PCR buffer, 3 mM MgCl2, 66 mM of each dNTP, 0.15 mM of each primer and 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen). Thermocycles consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The final extension was conducted at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel, purified and directly sequenced in both directions on a 3730xl DNA Analyzer 96-capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) at Eurofins Genomics. Sequences were edited and assembled using CodonCode Aligner software (CodonCode Corporation, Dedham, MA, USA) and compared to the GenBank database content with BLAST. Sequences from three individual monogeneans were obtained and were found to be identical; they were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers OR149163, OR187608.

Table 1. The distribution of *Encotyllabe* spp. according to the systematics of host groups, reproduced from Lebedev (1967), updated. Note that *E. latridis* mentioned by Lebedev (1967) was omitted because it is currently included in *Mediavagina* Lawler and Hargis, 1968. *Encotyllabe masu* Ishii and Sawada, 1938; *E. monticelli* Perez Vigueras, 1940; *E. pricei* Koratha, 1955 and *E. punctatai* Gupta et Krishna, 1980 as *species inquirendae* because these species were poorly described, based on only one or two specimens.

Parasite species	Family of type-host	Type-host	Reference	Other hosts
Encotyllabe antofagastensis Sepúlveda, González and Oliva, 2014	Haemulidae	A. scapularis	(Sepúlveda et al. 2014)	
Encotyllabe bifurcatum Taborda, Sepulveda, Luque, Escribano and Oliva, 2023	Sparidae	P. pagrus	(Taborda et al. 2023)	
Encotyllabe caballeroi Velasquez, 1977	Lethrinidae	Lethrinus nebulosus	(Williams and Beverley- Burton 1989)	Lethrinus spp., L. nebulosus (Lethrinidae), Gymnocranius audleyi (Lethrinidae), Scolopis monogramma, Scolopis sp. (Nemipteridae) (Egorova 2000; Justine et al. 2010; Rohde et al. 1994)
Encotyllabe callaoensis Tantalean, 1974	Sciaenidae	-	-	-
<i>Encotyllabe carangis</i> Pillai and Pillai, 1976 ¹	Carangidae	Caranx sp.	(Pillai 1968)	
Encotyllabe caranxi Lebedev, 1967	Carangidae			Caranx lutescens, Caranx sexfasciatus, Caranx sp., Pseudocaranx dentex (Carangidae) (Egorova 2000)
Encotyllabe cheilodactyli Sepúlveda, González and Oliva, 2014	Cheilodactylidae	Cheilodactylus variegatus (Cheilodactylidae)	(Sepúlveda et al. 2014)	
Encotyllabe chironemi Robinson, 1961	Cheilodactylidae	Chirodactylus spectabilis	(Robinson 1961)	
Encotyllabe embiotocae Noble, 1966	Embiotocidae	Cymatogaster aggregata (Noble, 1966)		Amphistichus argenteus (Noble 1966)
Encotyllabe fotedari Gupta and Krishna, 1975				
Encotyllabe kuwaitensis Khalil and Abdul-Salam, 1988	Carangidae	Caranx sp. (Khalil and Abdul-Salam, 1988)		Plectorhinchus schotaf (Haemulidae) (Kardousha et al. 2002) Caranx sexfasciatus (Egorova 2000)
Encotyllabe lintoni Monticelli, 1909	Sparidae	Calamus calamus	(Noble 1966)	
Encotyllabe lutjani Tripathi, 1959	Lutjanidae	Lutjanus johnii	(Tripathi 1959 (1957))	
<i>Encotyllabe monticelli</i> Perez Vigueras, 1940	Sparidae	Calamus bajonado	(Noble 1966)	
Encotyllabe nordmanni Diesing, 1850	Bramidae	Brama brama	(Diesing 1850)	
Encotyllabe pagelli Van Beneden and Hesse, 1863	Sparidae	Pagellus bogaraveo	(Van Beneden and Hesse 1863)	Pagrus pagrus (referred to as 'common seabream') (Dawes 1947)
Encotyllabe pagrosomi MacCallum, 1917	Sparidae	Pagrus auratus	(MacCallum 1917)	Caulolatilus sp. (Malacanthidae) (Meserve 1938) Rhencus macracanthus (Günther 1864) (Yamaguti 1963) Caulolatilus princeps (Malacanthidae), Chrysophrys auratus (Sparidae), Haemulon steindachneri, Orthopristis rubra, Rhencus macracanthus (Haemulidae) (Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe paronae Monticelli, 1907	Labridae	Symphodus tinca	(Monticelli 1907)	
Encotyllabe parvum Taborda, Sepulveda, Luque, Escribano and Oliva, 2023	Haemulidae	Orthopristis rubra	(Taborda et al. 2023)	

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Parasite species	Family of type-host	Type-host	Reference	Other hosts
Encotyllabe pricei Koratha, 1955	Scorpaenidae	Scorpaena plumieri	(Yamaguti 1963)	
Encotyllabe souzalimae Carvalho and Luque, 2012	Trichiuridae	Trichiurus lepturus	(Carvalho and Luque 2012)	<i>Thyrsitops lepidoides</i> (Gempylidae) (Carvalho and Luque 2012)
Encotyllabe spari Yamaguti, 1934 ²	Sparidae	Acanthopagrus schlegelii	(Yamaguti 1934)	Diagramma pictum (Haemulidae) Epinephelus akaara (Serranidae) (Yamaguti 1934) Haemulon sciurus, Orthopristis ruber, Anisotremus surinamensis, Conodon nobilis (Haemulidae), Pagrus pagrus (Sparidae), Menticirrhus americanus, Micropogonias furnieri (Sciaenidae), Dactylopterus volitans (Dactylopteridae) (Taborda et al. 2023) Carangoides bajad (Carangidae) (Kardousha et al. 2002) Sparus aurata (Sparidae) (Mahmoud et al. 2014)
Encotyllabe vallei Monticelli, 1907	Sparidae			See Table 5
Encotyllabe xiamenensis Li, Yan and Wang, 2004	Sparidae	Pagrosomus major	(Taborda et al. 2023)	

¹ was originally described in an unpublished thesis and never published according to the rules of the International Code for Zoological Nomenclature; it is not considered valid (see Taborda et al. (2023)).

² Yamaguti (1934) noted that both *E. pagelli* and *E. pagrosomi* are parasitic in the sparid *Pagrus auratus* and probably more closely related to *E. spari*, but because the original papers of these species were inaccessible to him, he noted that his species should be looked upon as a provisional one.

Molecular analysis

Trees were constructed using our newly generated sequences and those of closely related taxa available in GenBank. For 28S, we used almost all closely related sequences available in GenBank (Table 2), except for those that were too short and/or did not align well. A sequence of a monocotylid *Holocephalocotyle monstrosae* Derouiche, Neifar, Gey, Justine and Tazerouti, 2019 (Derouiche et al. 2019) was used as an outgroup. The 28S dataset included 50 nucleotide sequences. Both extremities of the sequences were trimmed to obtain a clean matrix. After estimating the best model with MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016), the tree was inferred using the maximum likelihood (ML) method based on the general time reversible model (GTR) with gamma distributed with invariant sites (G+I).

For *cox*1, the newly generated sequences of *E. vallei* were aligned with 25 species of *Encotyllabe* recovered after BLAST (Table 3). Two sequences of *Empruthotrema aoneken* Irigoitia, Braicovich, Rossin and Timi *in* Irigoitia, Braicovich, Rossin, Canel, Levy, Farber and Timi, 2019 (MN190708, MN190709 (Irigoitia et al. 2019)) were used as an outgroup. The trimmed matrix included 261 positions in the dataset. The tree was inferred using the ML method based on the Hasegawa–Kishino–Yano model (HKY) with gamma distributed (G).

All trees were constructed in MEGA7, with 500 replications for the ML trees. The neighbour-joining (NJ) method was also used for comparison in MEGA7, with 2000 bootstraps computed for *cox1* and 28S from the same datasets. Distances (p-distances and Kimura two parameter) were computed from the same datasets with MEGA7(Kumar et al. 2016).

Results

Molecular characterization of monogeneans

For the 28S gene, molecular data were generated for three specimens of E. vallei collected from S. aurata off Algeria, Western Mediterranean (OR148271, OR148272, OR148273). The alignment of the new 28S sequence data with that of related taxa, primarily Encotyllabinae Monticelli, 1892, Benedeniinae Johnston and Capsalinae Baird, 1853, yielded 1288 characters. The final dataset used for phylogenetic analysis included 846 characters. The phylogenetic analysis using both ML and NJ methods produced phylograms with identical topologies (Figure 2). In these phylograms, each of the four capsalid subfamilies represented a distinct and well-supported clade. Within Encotyllabe, three subclades were identified: the first included Encotyllabe sp1. collected from P. bogaraveo, while the second included E. vallei from S. aurata and D. vulgaris. The third subclade within Encotyllabe included the following species: Encotyllabe sp. from Pagrus pagrus, Encotyllabe sp. from Orthopristis ruber, E. caballeroi, Encotyllabe cheilodactyli, Encotyllabe chironemi, E. cf. spari and Encotyllabe antofagastensis.

There were no intraspecific variations within our newly generated sequences of *E. vallei*. Additionally, all sequences of *E. vallei* from the Western Mediterranean (off Algeria) were identical, irrespective of host. Overall, the genetic distance between the newly generated sequences and those of Capsalidae ranged from 1% to 33%, while the divergence among *Encotyllabe* spp. ranged from 1% to 2%. The closest sequences to our newly generated sequences of *E. vallei* were those of *E. chironemi* from *Chironemus marmoratus* off Australia, *Encotyllabe* cf. *spari* from *O. ruber* off Brazil,



Figure 1. Encoty/labe vallei (Monticelli, 1907) ex S. aurata. A, Hologenophores SMNH-208 365 (GenBank OR148273); B, voucher, whole body, SMNH-208 366; C, Large hamulus; D, small hamulus.

Encotyllabe sp. from *P. pagrus*, and from *O. ruber* off Brazil, and *E. antofagastensis* from *Anisotremus scapularis* off Chile (1% interspecific variation).

The newly generated *cox*1 sequences (OR148271, OR148273 and OR148273, respectively) were 433, 416 and 432 bp long. Deleted ambiguously aligned characters resulted in a final dataset

of 261 characters for phylogenetic analysis. The ML and NJ analyses also have identical topologies and only the ML tree is shown (Figure 3). In the cox1 dataset, the same clades (when cox1 and 28S sequences are both available for a given species) were represented with much greater levels of difference between them. All sequences of *E. vallei* from Algeria clustered in a

Table 2. Sequences used in the molecular analysis of 28S sequences of monogeneans.

Parasite species	Host species	Origin	GenBank	Source
Encotyllabe vallei*	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OR149163	Present study
Encotyllabe vallei*	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OR187608	Present study
Encotyllabe haemulii	Orthopristis ruber	Brazil	MT968927	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe yamagutii	Pagrus pagrus	Brazil	MT968928	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679678	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679679	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679680	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679681	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679682	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679683	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679684	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp1.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL679685	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp2.	Diplodus vulgaris	Algeria	OL679687	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp2.	Diplodus vulgaris	Algeria	OL679688	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp2.	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OL679689	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp2.	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OL679690	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe antofagastensis	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	MT982166	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe cheilodactyli	Cheilodactylus variegatus	Chile	MT982167	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe chironemi	Chironemus marmoratus	Australia	AF382054	(Olson and Littlewood 2002)
Encotyllabe cf. spari	Orthopristis ruber	Brazil	KY553149	(Camargo et al. 2017)
Encotyllabe caballeroi	Gymnocranius audleyi	Australia	AF026112	(Mollaret et al. 1997)
Allobenedenia dischizosepta	Acanthistius patachonicus	Argentina	MH929436	
Benedenia sp.	Plectropomus leopardus	Japan	LC542978	(Ogawa et al. 2021)
Benedenia sargocentron	Sargocentron spiniferum	China	JN797597	Unpublished
Benedenia lutjani	Lutjanus carponotatus	Australia	AF026106	(Mollaret et al. 1997)
Neobenedenia girellae	Trachinotus blochii	South Korea	MT549677	(Nam et al. 2020)
Neobenedenia melleni	Sphoeroides annulatus	Mexico	MH843696	(Brazenor et al. 2018)
Neobenedenia girellae	Rachycentron canadum	Australia	MG193660	(Brazenor et al. 2018)
Neobenedenia girellae	Plectropomus leopardus	Australia	MG193661	(Brazenor et al. 2018)
Neobenedenia girellae	Lates calcarifer	Australia	MG193662	(Brazenor et al. 2018)
Neobenedenia girellae	Epinephelus coioides	Australia	MG193663	(Brazenor et al. 2018)
Neobenedenia girellae	Sparus aurata	Portugal	MW690095	(Tedesco et al. 2023)
Neobenedenia sp.	Cheilodactylus variegatus	Chile	MT982168	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Neobenedenia sp.	Larimichthys polyactis	South Korea	OM333244	Unpublished
Neobenedenia sp.	Aplodactylus punctatus	Chile	<u>MK202438</u>	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Neobenedenia sp.	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	MK202439	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Neobenedenia sp.	Pinguipes chilensis	Chile	MK202441	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Neobenedenia sp.	Pinguipes chilensis	Chile	MK202442	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Neobenedenia sp.	Cheilodactylus variegatus	Chile	MK202444	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Neobenedenia sp.	Paralabrax humeralis	Chile	MK202447	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Gracilobenedenia kuremibai	Plectorhinchus chaetodonoides	Japan	LC602797	(Nitta 2021)
Gracilobenedenia lutjani	Lutjanus fulviflamma	Japan	LC602796	(Nitta 2021)
Gracilobenedenia lutjani	Lutjanus vitta	Japan	LC602795	(Nitta 2021)

(Continued)

Journal of Helminthology

Table 2. (Continued)

Parasite species	Host species	Origin	GenBank	Source
Gracilobenedenia hichi	Priacanthus hamrur	Japan	LC602798	(Nitta 2021)
Nasicola klawei	Thunnus albacares	USA	HQ721184	(Bullard et al. 2011)
Nasicola klawei	Thunnus albacares	USA	HQ721185	(Bullard et al. 2011)
Nasicola klawei	Thunnus albacares	USA	HQ721186	(Bullard et al. 2011)

*, new sequences.

Table 3. Sequences used in the molecular analysis of cox1 sequences of monogeneans.

Parasite species	Host species	Origin	GenBank	Source
Encotyllabe vallei*	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OR148271	Present study
Encotyllabe vallei*	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OR148272	Present study
Encotyllabe vallei*	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OR148273	Present study
Encotyllabe sp.	Orthopristis ruber	Brazil	MW000907	Unpublished
Encotyllabe sp.	Orthopristis ruber	Brazil	MW000908	Unpublished
Encotyllabe sp.	Orthopristis ruber	Brazil	MW000909	Unpublished
Encotyllabe sp.	Orthopristis ruber	Brazil	MT967362	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675214	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675215	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675216	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675217	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675218	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675219	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675220	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675221	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675223	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Pagellus bogaraveo	Algeria	OL675224	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OL675225	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OL675226	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp.	Sparus aurata	Algeria	OL675222	(Lablack et al. 2022)
Encotyllabe sp. 2	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	JQ782840	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Encotyllabe sp. 2	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	JQ782838	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Encotyllabe sp. 2	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	JQ782836	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Encotyllabe sp. 2	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	JQ782839	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Encotyllabe sp. 2	Anisotremus scapularis	Chile	JQ782837	(Sepúlveda and González 2019)
Empruthotrema aoneken	Sympterygia bonapartii	Argentina	MN190710	(Irigoitia et al. 2019)
Empruthotrema aoneken	Sympterygia acuta	Argentina	MN190709	(Irigoitia et al. 2019)
Empruthotrema aoneken	Sympterygia acuta	Argentina	MN190708	(Irigoitia et al. 2019)

*, new sequences. numbers SNHM 208 363-208 373, SMNH 218 760-218 780.

well-supported clade. Similarly, *Encotyllabe* sp. and *Encotyllabe* sp. 2 from *O. ruber* and *A. scapularis*, respectively, formed well-supported monophyla.

Morphology of E. vallei Monticelli, 1907 (Figures 1 and 4)

Type-host: S. aurata (Linnaeus), the gilt-head (sea) bream (Sparidae). Additional hosts: Dentex sp., D. dentex (Linnaeus.), Diplodus

The replicate *cox*1 sequences of *E. vallei* generated in the present study differed between them by 0% to 1% (intraspecific variations). All genotypes of *E. vallei* from Algeria from different hosts differed by 1% to 2% divergence in P-distances.

puntazzo (Walbaum) and *Mullus surmuletus* Linnaeus. *Type-locality*: Trieste, Italy (Monticelli, 1907).

Additional localities: Montenegro, Spain. Off Bouharoun, off Dellys (36°54′ 48″N, 3°54′ 51″E), Zemmouri El Bahri (36°48′



Figure 2 . Maximum likelihood tree based on an analysis of 28S sequence data for Capsalidae. Bootstrap percentages (500 replicates) are indicated next to or below the branches (only values >70% are shown). There was a total of 846 positions in the final dataset. The NJ tree (p-distance method) had a similar topology and is not presented.

Table 4. Measurements of E. vallei from different hosts and localities.

Host		Sparus aurata		Diplodus puntazzo	Diplodus annularis, Diplodus cervinus, Diplodus sargus, Diplodus vulgaris, Pagrus auriga
Site on host	Gills, pharyngeal pads	Unknown	Gills	Gills	Gills
Locality	Algeria	Italy	Egypt	Montenegro	Spain
No. of specimens	33			2	10
Source	Present study	(Monticelli 1907)	(Mahmoud et al. 2014)	(Radujkovic and Euzet 1989)	(Martorell 2014)
Body length	1727 ± 267 (1106 – 228, n = 32)	3500	1060–2020	3500	764 (780–1560, n = 6)
Body width	693 ± 143 (447 – 1072, n = 32)	-	230–300	1100	344 (360–840, n = 5)
Haptor diameter	-	-	-	-	348 (300–680, n = 4)
Peduncle length	464 ± 100 (227–666, n = 32)	-	-	-	278 (260–500, n = 6)
Large hamulus length	141 (88 – 24, n = 18)	-	80–130	270	169 (136–248, n = 6)
Small hamulus length	20 (20 – 32, n = 19)	-	10–20	27	21 (20–32, n = 5)
Marginal hooklets length		-	10–20	12	11 (11–12, n = 4)
Oral suckers diameter	138 (82 – 192, n = 09)	-	-	-	140 (144–172, n = 4)
Pharynx length	137 (54 – 19, n = 26)	-	50–70*	-	51 (78–168, n = 3) *
Pharynx width	173 (98 – 253, n = 26)	-	-	-	-
Left testes length	228 ± 57 (128 – 35, n = 31)	-	20–110	-	66 (46–170, n = 11) *
Left testes width	188 ± 44 (98 – 29, n = 31)	-	-	-	-
Right testes length	224 ± 57 (108 – 31, n = 30)	-	40–150	-	-
Right testes width	173 ± 43 (94 – 25, n = 30)	-	-	-	-
Ovary length	109 (55 – 139, n = 29)	-	30–50	-	55 (42–142, n = 6)
Ovary width	132 (64 – 198, n = 29)	-	20–30	-	33 (20–108, n = 6)

* Diameter.

4.58"N, 3°34' 7.01"E), Cap Djinet (36°52' 37" N, 3°43' 23"E), Bouharoun (36'370 24" N, 2°39' 17" E) and Cherchell (36°36' 31" N, 2°11' 50" E), Algeria (this paper).

Site on host: pharyngeal tooth pads, gills.

Prevalence and intensity: ex *S. aurata* off Algeria: 34% (31 out of 90 fish).

Specimens from Algeria, from S. aurata (Figures 1 and 4): vouchers deposited in the collections of the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm (SMNH-208363–SMNH-208373; SMNH-218760–SMNH-218780).

Specimens with molecular information: entire specimen lacking only a small lateral part (below the haptor) parts of specimens mounted on slide, lateral excised part used for molecular analysis: specimens from Algeria, from *S. aurata*: SMNH-208 363 (GenBank accession numbers OR149163, OR148271), SMNH-208 364 (GenBank accession numbers OR187608, OR148272), SMNH-208 365 (GenBank accession numbers OR148273).

Redescription

Measurements and description based on 33 stained and mounted specimens (Table 4). Body stocky, $1727 \pm 267 (1106-2280, n = 32)$

long, 693 ± 143 (447–1072, n = 32) maximum width at posterior third of body proper (Figure 4B). Two prohaptoral suckers muscular, 138 ± 33 (82–192) in diameter, oval, embedded in a lobed pad located in anterolateral margin of anterior region. Pharynx pyriform muscular. Two pairs of eyespots visible at level of pharynx. Intestinal ceca branched, with few lateral diverticula, mostly obscured by vitellarium, confluent posteriorly.

Haptor bell-shaped, without septa; peduncle 464 ± 100 (227-666, n = 32) in length. Haptor armed with a pair of large hamuli (Figure 4C), a pair of smaller hamuli (Figure 4D) and 14 marginal hooklets. Length of median haptoral sclerites as follows: large hamulus, 141 ± 50 (88–246, n = 18) in length; small hamulus, 214 (84–289, n = 19) in length. Testes rounded, relatively large and unequal in size, located at midlevel of the body proper, length $228 \pm 57 (128-356, n = 31)$; width $188 \pm 44 (98-299, n = 31)$ for left testes and 224 ± 57 (108–319, n = 30) length, 173 ± 43 (94–258, n = 30) width for right testes (Figure 4). Vasa efferentia not observed. Vas deferens thick-walled, becoming convoluted alongside transverse vitelloducts, entering cirrus sac and enlarging into a fusiform internal seminal vesicle. Cirrus housed in cirrus sac, receiving posteriorly ejaculatory duct. Cirrus sac fusiform, muscular. Ovary anterior to tests, oval, giving rise to a long oviduct, length 109 (55-139, n = 29), 132 (64–198, n = 29) width. Ovo-vitelline duct and



0.050

Figure 3. Maximum likelihood tree based on an analysis of cox1 sequence data for Encotyllabe spp. Bootstrap percentages (500 replicates) are indicated next to or below the branches (only values > 70% are shown). There was a total of 261 positions in the final dataset. The NJ tree (p-distance method) had a similar topology and was not shown.



Figure 4. Encotyllabe vallei (Monticelli, 1907) ex S. aurata, Detail of the reproductive organs in the region of the vagina (SMNH-208 367).

oötype not observed. Vitelline reservoir large. Vitellarium muscular, extending from level of ejaculatory duct to posterior end of body proper. Eggs not observed.

Discussion

To date, the genus *Encotyllabe* comprises 24 species, all of which are parasites of marine fish belonging to various families. They are found in a wide range of aquatic environments, including temperate, subtropical and tropical waters (Lebedev 1967; WoRMS 2023). Among these species, *E. vallei* is common in sparid fish species as indicated in Table 5. First described from *S. aurata*, it was reported on sparids mainly of the genus *Diplodus* (Martorell 2004). There is also a single mention of its occurrence on the common dentex *D. dentex* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Euzet et al. 1993), *Dentex* sp. (Palombi, 1949) and on the redbanded seabream *Pagrus auriga* Valenciennes, 1843 (Martorell 2004).

Furthermore, there have been records of *E. vallei* being on the striped red mullet, *M. surmuletus* Linnaeus, collected off the coast of Spain. However, the authors of this report suggested an accidental parasitism given that both the sparid type-host (*S. aurata*) and the mullet host (*M. surmuletus*) share the same habitat. Alternatively, it could potentially indicate the existence of a distinct species (Ferrer-Castello 2015). Most interestingly, in the figure's caption, Ferrer Castelló (2015) additionally referred to four individuals of *Encotyllabe* found on the annular sea bream *Diplodus annularis* (Linnaeus, 1758) but did not discuss it further. Curiously, in

previous investigations of the transmission of monogeneans in farmed fish, the authors noted the absence of transmission of *E. vallei* from *S. aurata* to *D. labrax* (Fernandez-Jover et al. 2010; Papoutsoglou 2016).

Mahmoud et al. (2014) attributed the capsalid Monogenea collected on *S. aurata* off Egypt, which is the usual host and the type-host of *E. vallei* to *E. spari* (Mahmoud et al. 2014). However, a closer examination and comparison of the photograph of their specimens with the illustration of *E. spari* provided by Yamaguti (1934) reveals slight differences in the position of tests and shape of large hamuli (see Figure 1 in Mahmoud et al. (2014) versus Figure 7 provided by Yamaguti (1934)). Additionally, *E. spari* was first described from three different hosts: the blackhead seabream *Acanthopagrus schlegelii* (Bleeker.) (jun. syn. *Sparus macrocephalus* (Basilewsky)), the trout sweetlips *Plectorhinchus pictus* (Tortonese) and Hong Kong grouper *Epinephelus akaara* (Temminck and Schlegel) from a distinct locality off Japan. It is highly likely that the capsalids reported by Mahmoud et al. (2014) are *E. vallei*.

We note that some authors referred to the 'absence' of a detailed redescription of *E. vallei* (Sepúlveda et al. 2014; Taborda et al. 2023). However, it should be noted that Radujkovic and Euzet (1989) did indeed provide a detailed and beautifully illustrated redescription of *E. vallei*, even though their specimens were collected from Montenegro and not from the type-host. Furthermore, it was sometimes mentioned that Monticelli reported *E. vallei* on *S. aurata* and *Dentex* sp. (Radujkovic and Euzet 1989; Zakia 2019). However, it is important to clarify that the original description of *E. vallei* was based on specimens collected from *S. aurata*, and the first mention

Table 5. Hosts and localities of E. vallei Monticelli, 1907. All localities are from the Mediterranean.

Host, locality	References
Sparus aurata	
Italy	(Monticelli 1907), (Palombi 1949)
Spain	(Fernandez-Jover et al. 2010)
Egypt	(Mahmoud et al. 2014) ¹
Algeria (gills)	(Kaouachi et al. 2012; Zakia 2019), present study
Dentex sp.	
Unknown locality	(Palombi 1949)
Dentex dentex	
Mediterranean	(Euzet et al. 1993)
Diplodus puntazzo, gill filaments	
Montenegro	(Radujkovic and Euzet 1989)
Spain	(Sánchez-García et al. 2015)
Algeria	(Kaouachi et al. 2012; Meftah 2012)
Diplodus annularis, Diplodus cervinus, Pagrus auriga	
Spain	(Martorell 2004)
Diplodus sargus	
Spain	(Martorell 2004)
Algeria (gill filaments)	(Kaouachi et al. 2012; Meftah 2012)
Diplodus vulgaris	
Spain	(Martorell 2004)
Algeria (gill filaments)	(Kaouachi et al. 2012; Meftah 2012)
Mullus surmeletus, pharyngeal plates	
Spain, Mediterranean	(Ferrer-Castello 2015)

¹ Reported as E. spari..

Table 6. Intraspecific and interspecific variations of the cox1 gene within species of Encotyllabe

Species	Sequences divergence in P-distances (%)	Source
Intraspecific variations		
Encotyllabe haemuli	0	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe yamagutii	0	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe antofagastensis	0.2–0.8	(Sepúlveda et al. 2014)
Encotyllabe cheilodactyli	0.2–1.4%	(Sepúlveda et al. 2014)
Interspecific variations		
Encotyllabe haemuli vs. Encotyllabe antofagastensis	7	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe haemuli vs. Encotyllabe cheilodactyli	9.2	(Taborda et al. 2023)
Encotyllabe cheilodactyli vs. Encotyllabe antofagastensis	10.4–11.4	(Sepúlveda et al. 2014)

on *Dentex* sp. was made by Palombi (1949). The illustrations and morphometric data, along with the molecular data generated herein, will provide satisfactory and reliable material for comparison and for understanding the patterns of host specificity of this monogenean.

The first 28S rDNA and *cox1* sequences for species of *Encotyllabe* in the Mediterranean and of *E. vallei* were provided by Lablack et al. (2022), who conducted an extensive and valuable data collection of monogeneans from the southwestern Mediterranean. However, some issues arise when analyzing all records of *Encotyllabe* from sparids from Algeria:

In their study, Lablack et al. (2022) proposed the existence of two putative species within the genus *Encotyllabe* based on genetic divergence in the *cox1* gene. The first putative species, referred to as *Encotyllabe* sp. 1, was found on *Pagellus bogaraveo*, while the second putative species, *Encotyllabe* sp. 2, was found concurrently on *D. vulgaris* and *S. aurata*. They observed a range of genetic divergence within these species that fell between 0% and 1.9%.

Lablack et al. (2022) considered this divergence to be 'slightly higher' than the upper limit of the intraspecific ranges observed in other *Encotyllabe* species, specifically referring to *E. antofagastensis* and *E. cheilodactyli*.

In light of the available data and taking into consideration the molecular data generated so far, it can be deduced that *Encotyllabe* sp. 1 is oioxenic,¹ meaning it is highly specific and primarily associated with *P. bogaraveo*. On the other hand, *Encotyllabe* sp. 2 appears to be stenoxenic, indicating it exhibits a narrower host range, primarily infecting *D. vulgaris* and *S. aurata*.

A similar situation had been demonstrated for microcotylids from sparids: Microcotyle visa Bouguerche, Gey, Justine and Tazerouti, 2019 and M. isyebi Bouguerche, Gey, Justine and Tazerouti, 2019 are oioxenic to their hosts, Pagrus caeruleostictus (Valenciennes.) and Boops boops (Linnaeus.) (Bouguerche et al. 2019a, 2019b; Villora-Montero et al. 2020); Microcotyle whittingtoni Víllora-Montero, Pérez-del-Olmo, Georgieva, Raga and Montero, 2020 is oioxenic to D. dentex (Villora-Montero et al. 2020), while the enigmatic M. erythrini Van Beneden et Hesse, 1863 is rather stenoxenic, occurring on Pagellus erythrinus (Linnaeus) and on P. pagrus (Linnaeus) (Villora-Montero et al. 2020). Hence, we could assume that Encotyllabe sp. 1 is oioxenic while Encotyllabe sp. 2 is stenoxenic, but a more significant concern arises when trying to distinguish between Encotyllabe sp. 1 and Encotyllabe sp. 2. (1.1-1.9%) is well below the threshold for Monogenea and invertebrates, and higher divergences were used to separate Enco*tyllabe* species (see Table 6). In the present analysis, the divergence of E. vallei from S. aurata ranged between 0-2 % which suggests that a single species is present in S. aurata. Similarly, the divergence between Encotyllabe from S. aurata, D. vulgaris and P. bogaraveo does not exceed 2%, below the interspecific divergence for cox1 known for Monogenea. We thus suggest that E. vallei is the sole species infesting the previously mentioned host.

It is important to note that stenoxenic specificity is not unusual in Monogenea and has been previously demonstrated using molecular barcoding (Bouguerche et al. 2019a, Lablack et al. 2022; Villora-Montero et al. 2020). For instance, the microcotylid *M. erythrini* was described from the sparid *Pagellus erythrinus* off Brest (Brittany, Atlantic Ocean) than recorded from three other hosts (*Pagellus acarne, B. boops* and *D. dentex*) in several localities in the Mediterranean (Bouguerche *et al.* 2019b). Despite the record of *M. erythrini sensu lato* from the record from *B. boops* being demonstrated to be a distinct species, *M. erythrini sensu stricto* had been shown to be exceptionally a stenoxenic microcotylid, occurring on *P. erythrinus* and *P. pagrus* (demonstrated by *cox1* barcoding) (Villora-Montero et al. 2020). *Encotyllabe vallei* presents another 'enigmatic' monogenean species, displaying a host specificity pattern distinct from that of its congeners.

In our current study, it is noteworthy that the molecular tree based on 28S sequences illustrates the monophyly of three subfamilies of capsalids: the Encotyllabinae, Benedeniinae and Capsalinae (Figure 2). Perkins et al. (2009), in a molecular study based on different markers, retrieved only the Capsalinae as monophyletic. Similarly, Gastineau et al. (2023) retrieved a monophyly of the Capsalinae, with representatives of all four genera of the subfamily (*Capsala* Bosc, 1811; *Capsaloides* Price, 1936; *Tristoma* Cuvier, 1817 and *Nasicola* Yamaguti, 1968) united together in a well-supported monophyletic clade. Results for other subfamilies were less in accordance with traditional taxonomy (Gastineau et al. 2023). Hence, future phylogenetic investigations, using more extensive datasets, will certainly offer a more comprehensive insight into the evolutionary history of capsalid monogeneans.

The distribution of *Encotyllabe* spp. according to the systematics of host groups is given in Table 1, which summarizes updated data originally compiled by Lebedev (1967). From the available data, a discernible pattern emerges in the geographical distribution of Encotyllabe species: Encotyllabe lintoni Monticelli, 1909; Encotyllabe monticelli Perez Vigueras, 1940 and Encotyllabe pricei Koratha, 1955 occur in North America. E. pagrosomi MacCallum, 1917 and Encotyllabe embiotocae Noble, 1966 occur in Pacific waters; E. chironemi is restricted to the coast of New Zealand and in the seas of Japan; Encotyllabe caranxi Lebedev, 1967 described from New Zealand-Australian fish; E. pagelli is known from the coast of Belgium and Ireland; E. spari Yamaguti, 1934; E. lutiani Tripathi, 1959 and E. masu Ishii and Sawada, 1938 is found off the coast of India. The Mediterranean species are E. vallei, E. paronae and E. nordmanni. The validity of all the Mediterranean species in addition to E. pagelli was questioned (Taborda et al. 2023). Another striking aspect when analyzing these data is that nearly all Encotyllabe spp. were described from a single host species or closely related host species, as previously noted by Taborda et al. (2023), suggesting host specificity. However, the findings presented in our study challenge the notion of strict host specificity for Encotyllabe, particularly for E. vallei indicating that it primarily associates with a narrower but still specific range of host species. A similar conclusion was reached for Encotyllabe species from Haemulidae hosts, as such Encotyllabe haemuli and E. antofagastensis which formed a well-supported clade (see Taborda et al. (2023)).

Determining the taxonomic status of *Encotyllabe* spp. presents a challenging task, as traditional taxonomy relies on a variety of morphological characteristics, including body shape, the relative sizes of several organs, the shape and relative position of the testes, the penis shape, the extension of the vitellaria and the size and shape of the anchors, as well as the relative distances between different organs (Robinson 1961; Sepúlveda et al. 2014; Taborda et al. 2023). However, it is important to note that the presence of an evaginated or protrusible pharynx, as suggested by Martorell (2004), adds a layer of complexity to this taxonomic classification. Considering this, it may be advisable to exclude the size of the pharynx as a definitive criterion for taxonomic differentiation.

Because *P. bogaraveo* is the type-host of *E. pagelli* (Van Beneden and Hesse 1863), we were at first tempted to suggest a synonymy between *E. vallei* and *E. pagelli*. However, we refrained from doing so as *E. pagelli* was first described from the Atlantic coast off Brest, France. In the absence of available morphological data for *Encotyllabe* from *P. bogaraveo*, it remains challenging to definitively determine the host specificity of *E. vallei*. There is the possibility that a species complex exists within individual sparid fish species, as has been observed in some other *Encotyllabe* species. The acquisition of morphometric and morphological data from specimens collected from *P. bogaraveo* would be instrumental in shedding light on the host specificity patterns of this capsalid and clarifying its taxonomic status within the genus.

¹To describe the host specificity of a parasite considering the relatedness of host species, Combes (2003) employed the following terms: If the parasite attacks a single host species, it is denoted as oioxenic or monoxenic; if it attacks only a range of phylogenetically related species, it is termed stenoxenic and, if it attacks a range of mutually unrelated species, it is termed euryxenic.

Conflict of interest declaration. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions. Fatima-Zohra Zedam: conceptualisation; data curation; investigation; methodology; project administration; validation; writing – original draft. Chahinez Bouguerche: data curation; funding acquisition; investigation; methodology; project administration; validation; writing – original draft, review and editing. Mohammed Ahamed: conceptualisation; data curation; investigation; methodology; project administration; validation. Fadila Tazerouti: project administration. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements. This study is wholeheartedly dedicated to Professor Nadia Kechemir-Issad, an Algerian parasitologist and a former student of the late Claude Combes, a French biologist and parasitologist for her passionate teaching of host-parasite interactions. We thank members of the Department of Zoology from the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Sweden, especially Dr. Oleksandr Holovachov for kindly providing accession numbers. Our thanks are due to fishermen from Algiers. We thank Professor Jean-Lou Justine from Institut de Systématique, Évolution et Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Paris, France, for providing comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by the Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden.

Financial support. Chahinez Bouguerche was supported individually by the Swedish Taxonomy Initiative, Artdatabanken, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences within the scope of the project 'Taxonomy and systematics of digenetic trematodes parasitizing fishes of Sweden' (dha 2019.4.3-48). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, the decision to publish or the preparation of the manuscript.

Ethical standards. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional guides on the care and use of laboratory animals.

References

- Ayadi ZEM, Tazerouti F, Gastineau R and Justine JL (2022) Redescription, complete mitochondrial genome and phylogenetic relationships of *Hexostoma thynni* (Delaroche, 1811) Rafinesque, 1815 (Monogenea, Hexostomatidae). *Parasite* 29, 29. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2022030.
- Azizi R, Bouguerche C, Santoro M, Gey D, Tazerouti F, Justine JL and Bahri S (2021) Redescription and molecular characterization of two species of *Pauciconfibula* (Monogenea, Microcotylidae) from trachinid fishes in the Mediterranean Sea. *Parasitology Research* 120(7), 2363–2377. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00436-021-07097-9.
- Bouguerche C, Gey D, Justine JL and Tazerouti F (2019a) Microcotyle visa n. sp. (Monogenea: Microcotylidae), a gill parasite of Pagrus caeruleostictus (Valenciennes)(Teleostei: Sparidae) off the Algerian coast, Western Mediterranean. Systematic Parasitology 96(2), 131–147.
- Bouguerche C, Gey D, Justine JL and Tazerouti F (2019b) Towards the resolution of the *Microcotyle erythrini* species complex: Description of *Microcotyle isyebi* n. sp. (Monogenea, Microcotylidae) from *Boops boops* (Teleostei, Sparidae) off the Algerian coast. *Parasitology Research* 118(5), 1417–1428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-019-06293-y.
- Bouguerche C, Tazerouti F, Gey D and Justine JL (2019) Redescription and molecular characterisation of *Allogastrocotyle bivaginalis* Nasir and Fuentes Zambrano, 1983 (Monogenea: Gastrocotylidae) from *Trachurus picturatus* (Bowdich) (Perciformes: Carangidae) off the Algerian coast, Mediterranean Sea. *Systematic Parasitology* 96(8), 681–694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-019-09883-7.
- Bouguerche C, Tazerouti F, Gey D and Justine JL (2020) No vagina, one vagina, or multiple vaginae? An integrative study of *Pseudaxine trachuri* (Monogenea, Gastrocotylidae) leads to a better understanding of the systematics of *Pseudaxine* and related genera. *Parasite* 27, 50. https://doi.org/ 10.1051/parasite/2020046.

- Bouguerche C, Tazerouti F, Gey D and Justine JL (2021) Triple barcoding for a hyperparasite, its parasitic host, ad the host itself: A study of *Cyclocotyla bellones* (Monogenea) on *Ceratothoa parallela* (Isopoda) on *Boops boops* (Teleostei). *Parasite* 28, 49. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/ 2021044.
- Bowles J, Blair D and McManus DP (1995) A molecular phylogeny of the human schistosomes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 4(2), 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1995.1011.
- Brazenor AK, Bertozzi T, Miller TL, Whittington ID and Hutson KS (2018) DNA profiling reveals Neobenedenia girellae as the primary parasitic monogenean in global fisheries and aquaculture. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 129, 130–137.
- Bullard SA, Olivares-Fuster O, Benz GW and Arias CR (2011) Molecules infer origins of ectoparasite infrapopulations on tuna. *Parasitology International* 60(4), 447–451.
- Camargo A, Luque J and Santos C (2017) Mexicana rubra sp. nov. and Encotyllabe cf. spari Yamaguti, 1934 (Monogenea) of Orthopristis ruber (Cuvier, 1830) from the Brazilian Coast off Rio de Janeiro. Helminthologia 54(4), 336–347.
- Carvalho ARd and Luque JL (2012) Three new species of monogeneans parasitic on Atlantic cutlassfish *Trichiurus lepturus* (Perciformes: Trichiuridae) from Southeastern Brazil. *Acta Scientiarum. Biological Sciences* 34(3). https://doi.org/10.4025/actascibiolsci.v34i3.10360.
- Combes C (2003) L'art d'être parasite: les associations du vivant. Paris: Flammarion. 400 pp. [In French]
- Dawes B (1947) *The Trematoda of British Fishes*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. 644 pp
- Derouiche I, Neifar L, Gey D, Justine JL and Tazerouti F (2019) Holocephalocotyle monstrosae n. gen. n. sp. (Monogenea, Monocotylidae) from the olfactory rosette of the rabbit fish, Chimaera monstrosa (Holocephali, Chimaeridae) in deep waters off Algeria. Parasite 26, 59. https://doi.org/10.1051/ parasite/2019060.
- Diesing CM (1850) Systema Helminthum, Vol. I, 679–679. Vindobonae: W. Braumüller.
- Egorova TP (2000) Recent composition of the subfamily Encotyllabinae (Monogenea: Capsalidae). *Parazitologiya* 34, 295–301. [In Russian]
- Euzet L, Combes C and Caro C (1993) A check list of Monogenea of Mediterranean fish. Second International Symposium on Monogenea, Montpellier/ Sète.
- Fernandez-Jover D, Faliex E, Sanchez-Jerez P, Sasal P and Bayle-Sempere JT (2010) Coastal fish farming does not affect the total parasite communities of wild fish in SW Mediterranean. *Aquaculture* **300**(1–4), 10–16.
- Ferrer-Castello E (2015) Parasitofauna del salmonete de roca (*Mullus surmuletus*) en el Mediterráneo español: Variabilidad espaciotemporal y su implicación pesquera. University of Valencia, Spain. 297 pp. [In Spanish]
- Fischer W, Bauchot ML and Schneider M (1987) Fiches FAO d'identification des espèces pour les besoins de la pêche. (Révision 1). Méditerranée et mer Noire. Zone de pêche 37. Volume II. Vertébrés. Publication préparée par la FAO, résultat d'un accord entre la FAO et la Commission des Communautés Européennes (Projet GCP/INT/422/EEC) financée conjointement par ces deux organisations, Vol. 2. Rome, FAO. 761–1530 pp.
- Gastineau R, Bouguerche C, Tazerouti F and JLJ (2023) Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Tristoma integrum* Diesing, 1850 (Monogenea, Capsalidae), including its complete mitogenome. *Parasite* 30(16). https:// doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2023016.
- Hassouna N, Michot B and Bachellerie JP (1984) The complete nucleotide sequence of mouse 28S rRNA gene. Implications for the process of size increase of the large subunit rRNA in higher eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 12, 3563–3583.
- Irigoitia MM, Braicovich PE, Rossin MA, Canel D, Levy E, Farber MD and Timi JT (2019) Diversity of *Empruthotrema* Johnston and Tiegs, 1992 parasitizing batoids (Chondrichthyes: Rajiformes and Myliobatiformes) from the Southwest Atlantic Ocean, with description of three new species. *Parasitology Research* 118(11), 3113–3127.
- Ishii N and Sawada T (1938) Studies on the ectoparasitic trematodes. *Livro Jubilar Prof. Travassos, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil* **3**, 231–243.
- Justine JL, Beveridge I, Boxshall, GA, Bray RA, Moravec F and Whittington ID (2010) An annotated list of fish parasites (Copepoda, Monogenea,

Digenea, Cestoda and Nematoda) collected from Emperors and Emperor Bream (Lethrinidae) in New Caledonia further highlights parasite biodiversity estimates on coral reef fish. *Zootaxa* **2691**, 1–40.

- Kaouachi N, Boualleg C, Bensouilah M and Quilichini Y (2012) Les Monogènes parasites du genre Diplodus dans l'Est du littoral algérien. Bulletin de l'Institut Scientifique, Rabat, section Sciences de la Vie 34(1), 57–63. [In French]
- Kardousha M, Al-Ansi M and Al-Khayat J (2002) Monogenea of Arabian Gulf fishes. 3. Encotyllabe spari and E. kuwaitensis (Capsalidae) from Qatari waters. Rivista di Parassitologia 19, 227–235.
- Khalil L and Abdul-Salam J (1988) The subfamily Encotyllabinae (Monogenea: Capsalidae) with the description of Alloencotyllabe caranxi ng, n. sp. and Encotyllabe kuwaitensis n. sp. Systematic Parasitology 11(2), 139–150.
- Kullander SO, Nyman L, Jilg K and Delling B (2012) Nationalnyckeln till Sveriges flora och fauna. Strålfeniga fiskar. Actinopterygii. ArtDatabanken, SLU, Uppsala, 517 pp. [In Swedish]
- Kumar S, Stecher G and Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33, 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054.
- Lablack L, Rima M, Georgieva S, Marzoug D and Kostadinova A (2022) Novel molecular data for monogenean parasites of sparid fishes in the Mediterranean and a molecular phylogeny of the Microcotylidae Taschenberg, 1879. *Current Research in Parasitology & Vector-Borne Diseases* 2, 100069.
- Lebedev BI (1967) Two new monogeneans of the genus *Encotyllabe* Diesing, 1850 from Percomorphilformes of the New Zealand Australian shelf. *Parazitologiya* 1(6), 529–534.
- Littlewood DTJ, Rohde K and Clough KA (1997) Parasite speciation within or between host species? – Phylogenetic evidence from site-specific polystome monogeneans. *International Journal for Parasitology* 27, 1289–1297. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00086-6.
- MacCallum GA (1917) Some new forms of parasitic worms. Zoopathologica 1, 45–74.
- Mahmoud NE, Mahmoud A and Fahmy M (2014) Parasitological and comparative pathological studies on monogenean infestation of cultured sea bream (*Sparus aurata*, Sparidae) in Egypt. *Journal of Oceanography and Marine Research* 2(4), 1–6.
- Martorell JC (2004) Parasitismo branquial de peces espáridos (Pisces: Sparidae) del mar Mediterráneo. Universitat de València, València, Spain. 271 pp. [In Spanish].
- Meftah I (2012) Spécificité parasitaire dans le complexe sparidae du genre Diplodus (Rafinesque, 1810) – Monogènes du genre Lamellodiscus (Johnston et Tiegs, 1922) sur le littoral Algérois. Thesis, Université des Sciences et de la Technologie Houari Boumediene, Algiers, Algeria. [In French].
- Meserve FG (1938) Some monogenetic trematodes from the Galapagos Islands and the neighboring Pacific. In *Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions*, Vol. 2. The University of Southern California Press. pp. 31–75 + 37 Pl.
- Mollaret I, Jamieson BGM, Adlard RD, Hugall A, Lecointre G, Chombard C and Justine JL (1997) Phylogenetic analysis of the Monogenea and their relationships with Digenea and Eucestoda inferred from 28S rDNA sequences. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **90**, 433–438.
- Monticelli FS (1907) Il genere Encotyllabe. Annuario del Museo Zoologico Reale Universita Napoli 2, 13 pp. [In Italian].
- Nam UH, Seo HJ, Hwang I and Kim JH (2020) *Neobenedenia girellae* infection of aquarium-raised snubnose pompano (*Trachinotus blochii*) in Korea. *Journal of Fish Pathology* **33**(1), 15–21.
- Nitta M (2021) Capsalids (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) from marine fishes off Okinawa in Japan with the proposal of two new genera. *Parasitology International* 85, 102448.
- **Noble ER** (1966) The genus *Encotyllabe* (Class Trematoda) with a description of a new species. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* **85**(1), 144–151.
- Ogawa K, Mizuochi H, Yamaguchi T, Shirakashi S, Asai N and Agawa Y (2021) *Benedenia akajin* n. sp. (Monogenea: Capsalidae) from leopard coral grouper Plectropomus leopardus reared in Okinawa Prefecture, Japan. *Fish Pathology* **55(4)**, 117–124.

- Olson PD and Littlewood DTJ (2002) Phylogenetics of the Monogenea Evidence from a medley of molecules. *International Journal for Parasitology* **32**, 233–244.
- Palombi A (1949) I Trematodi d'Italia. Parte I. Trematodi Monogenetici. Archivio Zoologico Italiano 34, 203–408. [In Italian].
- Papoutsoglou SE (2016) Metazoan parasites of fishes from Saronicos gulf, Athens-Greece. *Thalassographica* 1(1), 69–102.
- **Pillai S** (1968) Trematode parasites of fish Monogenea from Kerala coastal fishes. Thesis, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, India.
- Price EW (1939) North American monogenetic trematodes. III. The family Capsalidae (Capsaloidea). *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences* 29(2), 63–92.
- Radujkovic BM and Euzet L (1989) Parasites des poissons marins du Monténégro: Monogènes. In: Radujkovic, B. M and Raibaut A (Eds.) Faune des parasites de poissons marins des côtes du Monténégro (Adriatique Sud). Acta Adriatica 30(1/2), 51–135. [In French]
- Robinson ES (1961) Some monogenetic Trematodes from marine fishes of the Pacific. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society* **80(3)**, 235–266. https://doi.org/10.2307/3223640.
- Rohde K, Hayward C, Heap M and Gosper D (1994) A tropical assemblage of ectoparasites: Gill and head parasites of *Lethrinus miniatus* (Teleostei, Lethrinidae). *International Journal for Parasitology* 24, 1031–1053.
- Sánchez-García N, Ahuir-Baraja AE, Raga JA and Montero FE (2015) Morphometric, molecular and ecological analyses of the parasites of the sharpsnout seabream *Diplodus puntazzo* Cetti (Sparidae) from the Spanish Mediterranean: Implications for aquaculture. *Journal of Helminthology* 89(02), 217–231.
- Sepúlveda F and González M (2019) DNA barcoding evidence for the first recorded transmission of *Neobenedenia* sp. from wild fish species to *Seriola lalandi* cultured in an open recirculating system on the Coast of Northern Chile. *Aquaculture* 501, 239–246.
- Sepúlveda F, González M and Oliva M (2014) Two new species of *Encotyllabe* (Monogenea: Capsalidae) based on morphometric and molecular evidence: Parasites of two inshore fish species of northern Chile. *Journal of Parasitology* 100(3), 344–349.
- Taborda N, Sepulveda FA, Luque JL, Escribano R and Oliva ME (2023) Two new species of *Encotyllabe* (Monogenea: Capsalidae) from Brazil: Morphological and molecular evidence. *Diversity* 15(6), 706.
- Tedesco P, Caffara M, Ribeiro Moreira NM, Gomes C, Gustinelli A and Fioravanti ML (2021) Occurrence of Neobenedenia girellae (Monogenea: Capsalidae) in gilthead seabream Sparus aurata (Actinopterygii: Sparidae) cultured in Portugal. Pathogens 10(10), 1269.
- Tripathi YR (1959 (1957)) Monogenetic trematodes from fishes of India. Indian Journal of Helminthology 9(1/2), 1–149.
- Van Beneden PJ and Hesse CE (1863) Recherches sur les Bdelloïdes (Hirudinées) et les Trématodes marins. Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences, des Lettres et des Beaux-Arts de Belgique 34, pp. 1–150 + Plates. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.11767.
- Víllora-Montero M, Pérez-del-Olmo A, Georgieva S, Raga JA and Montero FE (2020) Considerations on the taxonomy and morphology of *Microcotyle* spp.: Redescription of *M. erythrini* van Beneden & Hesse, 1863 (sensu stricto)(Monogenea: Microcotylidae) and the description of a new species from *Dentex dentex* (L.)(Teleostei: Sparidae). *Parasites & Vectors* 13 (1), 45.
- Williams A and Beverley-Burton M (1989) Redescription of 3 Species of the Genus Encotyllabe (Capsalidae, Monogenea) From Fishes of the East Coast of Australia. Australian Journal of Zoology 37(1), 45–53.
- WoRMS (2023) Encotyllabe pagelli Van Beneden and Hesse, 1863. Accessed at: https:// www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=119424 on 2023-10-03.
- Yamaguti S (1934) Studies on the helminth fauna of Japan. Part 2. Trematodes of fishes, I. *Japanese Journal of Zoology* 5, 249–541.
- Yamaguti S (1963) Systema Helminthum. Volume IV. Monogenea and Aspidocotylea. New York: InterScience Publishers. 699 pp.
- Zakia H (2019) Biodiversité des communautés parasitaires chez deux poissons Sparidae *Pagellus acarne* (Risso, 1827) et *Sparus aurata* (Linnaeus, 1758) du Golf de Bejaia. Thesis, Université Oran1 Ahmed Ben Bella. Oran, Algeria. [In French]