# Radiocarbon

1961

# MASS-SPECTROMETER ANALYSES OF RADIOCARBON STANDARDS

## HARMON CRAIG

Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, La Jolla

Twenty-four samples of CO<sub>2</sub> from combustion of the NBS oxalic-acid radiocarbon standard, submitted from 13 radiocarbon laboratories, were analyzed for C<sup>13</sup> content in this laboratory in order to provide comparative data for normalizing the counting results. The samples were analyzed on Samson, a 60° sector, six-inch radius, McKinney-Nier type mass-spectrometer with magnetic switching between sample and standard gas feed to the instrument.

The  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  samples were received in sealed breakoffski flasks containing on the order of 100 cc of gas, except for the Lamont, Yale, Isotopes Inc., and La Jolla samples which were in sample tubes with stopcocks. Aliquots of 10-15 cc were taken for analysis by direct expansion of the gas into an evacuated gas pipette on a vacuum line. The Lamont, Isotopes Inc., and Socony Mobil samples contained small amounts of an impurity not condensible in liquid  $\mathrm{N}_2$ , and the wet combustion sample from the National Physical Laboratory contained some water; these samples were purified with liquid  $\mathrm{N}_2$  and dry-ice traps. The other samples contained no detectable impurities.

The samples were analyzed in series against a single gas standard which had previously been well calibrated against the PDB Chicago  $C^{13}$  standard. The following corrections were applied to the raw  $\delta C^{13}$  values:

- a. Valve mixing: f = 1.006
- b. Fraction of mass 44 tail falling on mass 45: f = 1.0066
- c.  $CO_2$  background in source: f = 1.0033
- d. 0<sup>17</sup> content of standard and sample gas: correction as given by Craig (1957).

The raw  $\delta C^{13}$  values are multiplied by the indicated factors; samples were also analyzed for  $O^{18}$  to obtain the corrections for  $O^{17}$  in the individual samples. The instrumental corrections were minimized for inter-comparison of standards with each other by using a spectrometer gas standard chosen to have a  $C^{13}$  content close to the oxalic-acid value (-24.8% relative to PDB). The mass-spectrometer has been shown to be exactly linear (to 0.1%) over a range of 0 to-50%.

Table 1 presents the results in terms of  $C^{13}$  enrichment relative to the Chicago PDB standard ( $\delta^{\dagger}C^{13}$ ), and also as enrichments ( $\delta_{w}C^{13}$ ) relative to

TABLE 1

Isotopic composition of CO<sub>2</sub> from NBS oxalic-acid-radiocarbon standards. All samples represent the CO2 which was actually counted except for La Jolla and Socony Mobil who do not use CO<sub>2</sub> as counter gas.

Laboratory <sup>1</sup>	$\delta_{^{\dagger}\mathrm{C}^{10}}$	$\delta^{w}C_{1a}$	$\delta^{\dagger}\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle 18}}$	Oxalic Acid Combustion
Copenhagen			The second secon	
K-301	-19.41	+5.73	-15.09	direct
K-302	<b>-19.</b> 73	+5.40	-15.92	direct
	-19.72	+5.42		
K-303	-19.51	+5.63	-11.67	wet
Groningen				
1st series	-18.77	+6.39	-12.42	direct
Duplicate sample	-18.73	+6.43	-12.44	
2nd series	-19.24	+5.91	-13.82	direct
Duplicate sample	-19.21	+5.94	-13.82	
Isotopes, Inc.	-21.57	+3.52	-12.68	wet
La Jolla"		,	12.00	
170 A	-31.34	-6.50	-2.75	wet
170 B	-31.37	-6.53	-2.10	wet
145	-22.72	+2.34	(-5.3)	direct
197	-21.84	+3.24	(-5.3)	wet
Lamont		, 0.21	( 0,0)	*****
L-456 D	-19.05	+6.10	-11.60	wet
National Physical Lab.	17,00	1 0.10	11.00	*** (
A	-18.94	+6.21	-10.86	wet
B	-21.43	+3.66	-12.63	direct
New Zealand	-1.10	1 0.00	-12,00	(iii()
CR-929	-19.50	+5.64	-10.20	direct
Duplicate sample	-19.45	+5.69	-10.20 -10.35	arrect
Pennsylvania	-17.40	7- 5.09	-10,55	
P-263	-25.67	-0.67	16.00	
1 -200	-25.70 -25.70	-0.67 -0.71	-16.29	wet
Sanny M. 1.:13			-16.36	
Socony Mobil <sup>3</sup>	-19.28	+5.87	-16.30	direct
Stockholm				
ST 532	-17.15	+8.05	-17.26	direct
Duplicate sample	-17.26	+7.94	-17.28	-
Trondheim				
T-200	-18.37	+6.80	-19.35	wet
Uppsala				
Oxalic acid-1	-18.97	+6.18	-13.24	direct
Yale	-20.01	+5.12	-12.22	wet

#### NOTES

The sample represents CO2 produced from the combustion of oxalic acid. The CO2 was then hydrogenated to CH4 for counting; the isotopic analysis does not include any fractionation which may occur in the preparation of the CH4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All sample numbers or letters denote separate combustions, "Duplicate sample" means duplicate flasks of gas from one combustion were received and analyzed. An analysis

with no notation is a repeat analysis of the same gas, made as a check after the series was analyzed (K-302 and P-263).

The samples are CO<sub>2</sub> from recombustion of the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> which was actually counted. The C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> samples were combusted with CuO and O<sub>2</sub> in a closed recycling system as described by Craig (1953), which prevents fractionation in this step. Samples 170A and 170B are duplicate recombustions of the same C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> from a single combustion. (The O¹8 value—5.3 is the average of several other combustions, as the last two samples were not analyzed for oxygen.)

the  $C^{13}$  content of average wood which is taken as  $\delta = -25\%$  relative to PDB (Craig, 1953). The delta values are defined by:

 $\delta^{t} = 1000 [(R/R_{PDB}) - 1]; \delta_{w} = 1000 [(R/R_{wood}) - 1]$ 

where  $R=C^{13}/C^{12}$ , and  $R_{wood}\equiv 0.975~R_{PDB}$ . The absolute values of the ratios are given by Craig (1957). The uncertainty in the  $\delta$  values is  $\pm~0.1\%$ .

Table 1 also shows the measured  $O^{18}/O^{16}$  enrichments in per mil  $(\delta^{\dagger}O^{18})$  relative to PDB standard  $CO_2$ , as it may be of some interest to record the range and variation of  $O^{18}$  content in the different combustions. The observed data are quite typical of values observed for carbon combustion with CuO and tank  $O_2$  (Craig, 1957, p. 141) except for the  $C_2H_2$  combustions which were done with CuO and tank  $O_2$  and deviate for an unknown reason.

Average values for both "direct" combustion (with  $O_2$ ) and wet combustion were computed by using only one value for direct or wet combustion for each laboratory; when duplicates or samples from more than one combustion of the same type were analyzed the average of these was used as one analysis. In order to compare combustions directly, the La Jolla data were not included, as these values include the effect of the additional step of making  $C_2H_2$  from the  $CO_2$  obtained from the combustion.

The average direct combustion value thus obtained (7 laboratories) is  $\delta^t C^{13} = -19.3\%c$ . The average wet combustion value (excluding also the Pennsylvania value which seems clearly divergent; 6 laboratories) is -19.6%c, indicating that wet oxidation is probably about as reliable as direct combustion with  $O_2$ , at least for oxalic acid. A histogram of all the data appears markedly non-Gaussian, with a pronounced tendency for enrichment of  $C^{12}$  in the product  $CO_2$ , as might be expected if some combustions are less complete than others.

δC14 values relative to any standard are given by:

$$\delta_{14} = 2\delta_{13} + 10^{-3}\delta_{13}^2$$
 (8 values in per mil)

assuming that for any separation process  $\alpha_{14} = \alpha_{13}^2$  (Craig, 1954, p. 133). The total  $C^{13}$  range, excluding the one very light La Jolla wet combustion value and the Pennsylvania value, is 5.5%e, indicating that the probable spread among various laboratories for careful work will be of the order of only 1% variation in reported activity when fractionation is neglected, in the case of a single sample. The range of  $C^{13}$  variation in ancient and modern wood is of the order of 10%e (Craig, 1953) and is thus a more important factor than most of the laboratory effects observed in the present study.

Thanks are due the Isotopes Inc., New Zealand, Socony Mobil, and Stockholm laboratories for their courtesy in indicating the pressures in their sample flasks. Construction and operation of Samson were supported by the Office of Naval Research, the Atomic Energy Commission, and the National Science Foundation.

### REFERENCES

Craig, H., 1953, The geochemistry of the stable carbon isotopes: Geochim, et Cosmochim, Acta, v. 3, p. 53-92,