## TWO BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS WITH EXTREME CELLULAR AND COMPACTNESS PROPERTIES

## MURRAY BELL

1. Introduction. In this paper, we construct two kinds of Boolean algebras with extreme cellular properties and nice embedding properties. The extreme cellular properties are  $\sigma - j$ -linked but not  $\sigma - j + 1$ -linked and ccc but not  $\sigma - 2$ -linked. The nice embedding properties are that they are ZF-definable subalgebras of both P/F and R (see Preliminaries for notation). It is the author's opinion that R contains much of the "ZF-strength" of P/F.

In Section 3, we define a subalgebra H of R that will contain all of our examples and which is embedded in P/F.

In Section 4 the Boolean algebras yield spaces which solve a problem of E. van Douwen [3] in compactness theory.

Boolean algebras that are ccc but not  $\sigma-2$ -linked of size continuum had previously been constructed by A. Hajnal and F. Galvin and A. Hajnal [4]; however they were not ZFC-demonstrably subalgebras of P/F, our example is. The author owes much to an in-depth analysis of their examples and of R.

In our conclusion, we discuss the Boolean algebras P/F versus R.

**2. Preliminaries.** Our set-theoretic notation is standard. We only mention that if A is a set, then  $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{S: S \subseteq A\}$  and that if f is a function, then Dom f and Rng f denote the domain and range of f respectively.

Our use of Boolean algebraic concepts is elementary. The Stone space of a Boolean algebra B is denoted by st B and is the space of all ultrafilters on B topologized with  $\{\overline{b}:b\in B\}$  as a base where  $\overline{b}=\{p\in \text{st }B:b\in p\}$ . Two elements b and b' of B are disjoint if  $b\wedge b'=0$ . A subset A of B is ccc if there does not exist an uncountable pairwise disjoint subset of A. A subset A of B is j-linked (where  $j<\omega$ ) if for every j-element subset F of A, A is A subset A of B is is A subset A

Received December 2, 1981 and in revised from April 22, 1983. This research was supported by Grant No. U0070 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

$$A - \{0\} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$$

where for each  $n < \omega$ ,  $A_n$  is j-linked.

Let X be a topological space and let

$$\tau^*(X) = \{U: U \text{ is a non-empty open subset of } X\}.$$

Consider  $\tau^*(X)$  as a subset of the power set algebra  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then, X is said to be ccc or  $\sigma - j$ -linked if  $\tau^*(X)$  is ccc or  $\sigma - j$ -linked respectively. It is trivial to check that if B is a Boolean algebra, then B is ccc or  $\sigma - j$ -linked if and only if st B is ccc or  $\sigma - j$ -linked respectively.

If X is a compact space, then the compactness number of X, cmpn X = the least  $n < \omega$  (if one exists) such that there exists an open subbase  $\mathscr S$  of X for which every cover of X from  $\mathscr S$  has a  $\leq n$  subcover. If no such  $n < \omega$  exists, then we say that cmpn  $X = \infty$ . If cmpn X = 2, then X is said to be supercompact ([5]). Cmpn X = n is defined in [2].

P/F denotes a Boolean algebra that is the power set algebra of a countably infinite set modulo the ideal of its finite subsets. N denotes the Baire space  $\omega^{\omega}$  with the Tychonov topology. R denotes the subalgebra of the power set algebra  $\mathcal{P}(N)$  that is generated by the rectangles  $\prod_{i<\omega} A_i$  of N.

## 3. The Boolean algebra H. For each $M \subseteq N$ , set

$$\hat{M} = \{ f \upharpoonright n : n < \omega \text{ and } f \in M \}.$$

Put

$$\mathscr{A} = \left\{ \prod_{i \le \omega} A_i \text{: for every } i < \omega, A_i - A_{i+1} \text{ is finite and } A_i \subseteq \omega \right\}$$

and

$$H = [\mathcal{A}]$$
 = the subalgebra of  $R$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

THEOREM 3.1. H is embeddable in P/F.

*Proof.* Consider P/F as  $\mathcal{P}(\hat{N})$  modulo the ideal of finite sets. Referring to [7], page 37, it suffices to define a one to one function  $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}(\hat{N})$  satisfying

$$\bigcap_{j < r} A^j - \bigcup_{j < s} B^j \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if  $\bigcap_{j < r} \varphi(A^j) - \bigcup_{j < s} \varphi(B^j)$  is infinite whenever

$$A^{j} = \prod_{i < \omega} A_{i}^{j} \in \mathscr{A} \text{ and } B^{j} = \prod_{i < \omega} B_{i}^{j} \in \mathscr{A}.$$

Define  $\varphi: \mathscr{A} \to P(\hat{N})$  by  $\varphi(A) = \hat{A}$ . If  $f \in \bigcap_{j < r} A^j - \bigcup_{j < s} B^j$ , then

$$\{f \upharpoonright i: i < \omega\} \subseteq \bigcap_{j < r} \varphi(A^j).$$

If an infinite subset R of  $\{f \upharpoonright i: i < \omega\}$  was contained in  $\bigcup_{j < s} \varphi(B^j)$ , then there would exist j < s such that  $R \cap \varphi(B^j)$  would be infinite. Since  $B^j$  is a closed subset of N, we would conclude that  $f \in B^j$ . This is a contradiction. Hence,  $\bigcap_{j < r} \varphi(A^j) - \bigcup_{j < s} \varphi(B^j)$  contains a cofinite subset of  $\{f \upharpoonright i: i < \omega\}$  and thus is infinite.

Conversely, if  $\{s_n: n < \omega\}$  is an infinite subset of  $\bigcap_{j < r} \varphi(A^j) - \bigcup_{j < s} \varphi(B^j)$ , we consider two cases:

Case 1. For every  $i < \omega$ ,  $\{s_n(i): n < \omega \text{ and } i \in \text{Dom } s_n\}$  is finite. In this case, for every  $i < \omega$  there exists  $n_i < \omega$  such that  $i \in \text{Dom } s_{n_i}$ . Therefore, for every  $i \in \omega$ ,

$$s_{n_i}(i) \in \bigcap_{j < r} A_i^j$$
.

Define  $f \in N$  such that  $s_0 \subseteq f$  and for all  $i \ge \text{Dom } s_0$ ,

$$f(i) \in \bigcap_{j < r} A_i^j.$$

Then,

$$f \in \bigcap_{j < r} A^j - \bigcup_{j < s} B^j.$$

Case 2. There exists  $i < \omega$  such that  $\{s_n(i): n < \omega \text{ and } i \in \text{Dom } s_n\}$  is infinite. In this case, we choose one such  $i < \omega$ . Then,  $\{s_n(i): n < \omega \text{ and } i \in \text{Dom } s_n\}$  is an infinite subset of  $\bigcap_{j < r} A_i^j$ . Since, for each j < r,  $A_i^j - A_k^j$  is finite for every  $k \ge i$ , we see that for every  $k \ge i$ ,

$${s_n(i): n < \omega \text{ and } i \in \text{Dom } s_n} \cap \bigcap_{j < r}^{n} A_k^j$$

is infinite. Choose an  $n < \omega$  such that  $i \in \text{Dom } s_n$ . Define  $f \in N$  such that  $s_n \subseteq f$  and for all  $k \ge \text{Dom } s_n$ ,

$$f(k) \in \bigcap_{j < r} A_k^j$$
.

Then,

$$f \in \bigcap_{j < r} A^j - \bigcup_{j < s} B^j.$$

Remark. For each  $m < \omega$  set

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \left\{ \prod_{i < \omega} A_i : \text{ for each } i \ge m, A_i - A_{i+1} \text{ is finite} \right\}$$

and set  $H_m = [\mathscr{A}_m]$ . Define  $\varphi_m : \mathscr{A}_m \to \mathscr{P}(N)$  by

$$\varphi_m(A) = \{ f \upharpoonright n : n > m \text{ and } f \in A \}.$$

Just as in the theorem,  $\varphi_m$  extends to an embedding of  $H_m$  into P/F.  $H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq H_2 \dots$  I have been unable to prove that  $\bigcup_{m < \omega} H_m$  embeds in P/F.

**4. Boolean subalgebras of** H that are  $\sigma - j$ -linked but not  $\sigma - j + 1$ -linked. Fix  $j \ge 2$ . Set

$$T_j = \{ \pi \in N : \pi(0) \in \{1, \dots, j+1\} \text{ and for every } n < \omega, \pi(n+1) \in \{j\pi(n) + 1, \dots, j\pi(n) + j + 1\} \}.$$

For every  $\pi \in T_i$  set

$$C_{\pi} = \prod_{n < \omega} (\{jn + 1, \dots, jn + j + 1\} - \text{Rng } \pi).$$

Each  $C_{\pi}$  is a compact nowhere dense element of H. Set

$$B_j = [\{C_{\pi} : \pi \in T_j\}].$$

This is the subalgebra of H generated by  $\{C_{\pi}: \pi \in T_j\}$ .  $B_j$  is our ZF-definable example.

A. If F and G are disjoint finite subsets of  $T_j$  and  $\bigcap_{\pi \in F} C_{\pi} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exist a finite function s and for every  $k \geq \text{Dom } s$  a subset  $F_k$  of size  $\geq j$  of  $\{jk+1,\ldots,jk+j+1\}$  with

$$s \times \prod_{k \ge \text{Dom } s} F_k \subseteq \bigcap_{\pi \in F} C_{\pi} - \bigcup_{\pi \in G} C_{\pi}.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $f \in \cap_{\pi \in F} C_{\pi}$ . Choose  $q < \omega$  such that

$$\{ \{\pi(n): n \ge q\} : \pi \in F \cup G \}$$

is a disjoint family. Let

$$m_1 = \min \{ \pi(q) : \pi \in F \cup G \} \text{ and } m_2 = \max \{ \pi(q) : \pi \in F \cup G \}.$$

We define s as follows:

$$s(m) = f(m) \qquad \text{if } m < m_1$$

$$= \pi(q+1) \quad \text{if } m_1 \le m = \pi(q) \le m_2 \text{ for some } \pi \in G$$

$$\neq \pi(q+1) \quad \text{if } m_1 \le m = \pi(q) \le m_2 \text{ for some } \pi \in F$$

$$= jm+1 \quad \text{if } m_1 < m < m_2 \text{ and } m \notin \{\pi(q) : \pi \in F \cup G\}.$$

For every  $k \ge \operatorname{Dom} s = m_2 + 1$ , there is at most one  $\pi \in F$  and one  $r < \omega$  such that

$$\pi(r) \in \{jk + 1, \dots, jk + j + 1\}.$$

Set

$$F_k = \{jk + 1, \dots, jk + j + 1\} - \bigcup_{\pi \in F} \operatorname{Rng} \pi.$$

Then  $F_k$  has size  $\geq j$  and

$$s \times \prod_{k \ge \text{Dom } s} F_k \subseteq \bigcap_{\pi \in F} C_{\pi} - \bigcup_{\pi \in G} C_{\pi}.$$

B.  $B_i$  is  $\sigma = j$ -linked.

*Proof.* For every  $m < \omega$  and for every  $s \in \prod_{n < m} \{jn + 1, \ldots, jn + j + 1\}$  set  $B_s = \{b \in B_j : \text{ for every } k \ge \text{Dom } s \text{ there exists a subset } F_k \text{ of size } \ge j \text{ of } \{jk + 1, \ldots, jk + j + 1\} \text{ with } s \times \prod_{k \ge \text{Dom } s} F_k \subseteq b\}$ . Each  $B_s$  is j-linked. Furthermore,

$$B_j - \{\emptyset\} = \bigcup_{\text{all } s} B_s.$$

Since, if  $b \in B_j - \{\emptyset\}$ , then there exist disjoint finite subsets F and G of  $T_j$  and an  $f \in N$  with

$$f \in \bigcap_{\pi \in F} C\pi - \bigcup_{\pi \in G} C_{\pi} \subseteq b.$$

If  $s \times \prod_{k \ge \text{Dom } s} F_k$  is as in the conclusion of A, then  $b \in B_s$ .

C. 
$$B_j$$
 is not  $\sigma = j + 1$ -linked.

*Proof.* Consider  $T_j$  as a subspace of N.  $T_j$  is compact. For every finite function s from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$ , set

$$[s] = \{\pi \in T_j : s \subseteq \pi\}.$$

Then  $\{ [\pi \upharpoonright n] : n < \omega \text{ and } \pi \in T_j \}$  is a clopen basis for  $T_j$ . Assume

$$\{C_{\pi}:\pi\in T_j\}=\bigcup_{n<\omega}L_n,$$

i.e.,  $T_i = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$  where

$$A_n = \{ \pi \in T_j : C_\pi \in L_n \}.$$

By the Baire category theorem, there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $A_n$  is not nowhere dense. In other words, for some  $\pi \in T_j$  and some  $m < \omega$ ,

$$[\pi \upharpoonright m + 1] \subseteq \operatorname{cl} A_n$$
.

So, we can find  $\{\pi_i: 1 \le i \le j+1\} \subseteq A_n$  such that for every  $1 \le i \le j+1$ ,

$$\pi_i \in [\pi \upharpoonright m + 1]$$
 and

$$\pi_i(m+1) = j\pi_i(m) + i = j\pi(m) + i.$$

If

$$f \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{j+1} C_{\pi_i},$$

then there exists  $1 \le i \le j + 1$  such that

$$f(\pi(m)) = j\pi(m) + i.$$

So,

$$f(\pi_i(m)) = f(\pi(m)) = \pi_i(m+1) \in \operatorname{Rng} \pi_i$$

and hence  $f \notin C_{\pi_i}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{j+1} C_{\pi_i} = \emptyset$$

and  $L_n$  is not j + 1-linked.

D. Cmpn (st 
$$B_i$$
) =  $j + 1$ .

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{S}_{j} = \{\overline{N - C_{\pi}} : \pi \in T_{j}\} \cup \{\overline{C}_{\pi} : \pi \in T_{j}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is an open (and also closed) subbase for st  $B_j$ . We will show that any cover of st  $B_j$  from  $\mathcal{S}_j$  has a  $\leq j+1$  subcover. By compactness, any such cover has a finite subcover, so let

st 
$$B_j = \bigcup_{\pi \in F} \overline{N - C_{\pi}} \cup \bigcup_{\pi \in G} \overline{C}_{\pi}$$

where F and G are finite subsets of  $T_j$ . Then as a fixed ultrafilter will testify,

$$N = \bigcup_{\pi \in F} N - C_{\pi} \cup \bigcup_{\pi \in G} C_{\pi}.$$

If  $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$ , then we get a two subcover. Therefore, we assume that  $F \cap G = \emptyset$ . If for every  $n < \omega$ , there exists  $1 \leq \varphi(n) \leq j+1$  such that for all  $\pi \in F$ ,  $jn + \varphi(n) \notin \operatorname{Rng} \pi$ , then if we define  $f(n) = jn + \varphi(n)$ , we see that

$$f \in \bigcap_{\pi \in F} C_{\pi}.$$

Invoking A, we have that

$$\bigcap_{\pi \in F} C_{\pi} - \bigcup_{\pi \in G} C_{\pi} \neq \emptyset$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, there exists  $n < \omega$  such that for every  $1 \le k \le j + 1$  there exists  $\pi_k \in F$  with  $jn + k \in \text{Rng } \pi_k$ . Then

$$N = \bigcup_{k=1}^{j+1} N - C_{\pi_k}$$

and thus  $\{\overline{N-C_{\pi_k}}: 1 \le k \le j+1\}$  is our  $\le j+1$  subcover.

It remains to prove that cmpn (st  $B_j$ )  $\leq j$ . From B and C we see that st  $B_j$  is  $\sigma - j$ -linked but not  $\sigma - j + 1$ -linked; in particular st  $B_j$  is not separable. Now invoke a theorem of E. van Douwen [3] which states that if cmpn  $X \leq j$  and X is  $\sigma - j$ -linked, then X is separable.

Remark 1. Question 1 of [3] asks if there exists compact  $T_2$  spaces that are  $\sigma - j$ -linked, not  $\sigma - j + 1$ -linked and of compactness number j + 1. The spaces st  $B_j$  are such examples.

Remark 2. If we apply the same technique when j = 1 to yield  $B_1$ , then st  $B_1$  is the one point compactification of a discrete space of size continuum. Hence, st  $B_1$  has no restrictive cellular properties.

Remark 3. In [1], the author has shown that there is a subalgebra  $B_{\infty}$  of H such that  $B_{\infty}$  is  $\sigma - j$ -linked for all  $j < \omega$  but  $B_{\infty}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered, i.e., whenever

$$B_{\infty} - \{\emptyset\} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} B_n$$

there exists a finite subset F of  $B_n$  for some  $n < \omega$  such that  $\wedge F = 0$ . It follows that

cmpn(st 
$$B_{\infty}$$
) =  $\infty$ .

5. A Boolean subalgebra of H that is ccc but not  $\sigma$  – 2-linked. For a set X,  $X^n$  denotes the set of all n-sequences composed of members of X. Set

$$T = \bigcup_{n < \omega} [2^n]^n,$$

i.e., T is the set of all n-sequences whose terms are n-sequences of 0's and 1's. T is a countable set and we will identify N with  $T^{\omega}$ .

Let < be the lexicographic order on  $2^{\omega}$  with greatest element 1. Set

$$C^0 = \{ f \in 2^{\omega} : f(0) = 0 \}$$
 and  $C^1 = \{ f \in 2^{\omega} : f(0) = 1 \}.$ 

Set  $\mathscr{L} = \{L: L \text{ is a } < \text{increasing convergent sequence in } C^1 \text{ with sup } L < 1\}$ . Choose  $\varphi: \mathscr{L} \to C^0$  any ZF-injection. Set

$$\mathscr{K} = \{ \{ \varphi(L) \} \cup L : L \in \mathscr{L} \}.$$

 $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies the following two properties: (a) if  $K \neq K'$ , then min  $K \neq \min K'$  and (b) if  $S \in \mathcal{L}$ , then there exists  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $S \subseteq K$ .

Definition. If  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $s \in T$  with Dom s = n, then s splits K if there exists i < n such that for every j < n,  $j \neq i$  and for every  $g \in K$ ,

$$s(i) = (\sup K) \upharpoonright n$$
 and  $s(j) \neq g \upharpoonright n$ .

For every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  set

$$A_K = \prod_{n < \omega} \{ s \in T : \text{Dom } s \ge n \text{ and } s \text{ splits } K \}.$$

Since each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  is a nowhere dense subset of  $2^{\omega}$ , each  $A_K \neq \emptyset$ . Set

$$B_0 = [\{A_K: K \in \mathcal{K}\}].$$

 $B_0$  is the subalgebra of H generated by  $\{A_K: K \in \mathcal{X}\}$ .  $B_0$  is our ZF-definable example.

A. Let F and G be disjoint finite subsets of K.

$$\bigcap_{K \in \mathscr{F}} A_K - \bigcup_{K \in \mathscr{G}} A_K \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if

$$\{\sup K: K \in \mathscr{F}\} \cap \bigcup_{L \in \mathscr{F}} L = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* (only if) Indirect proof. If sup  $K \in L$ , where  $K, L \in \mathcal{F}$ , then choose  $k < \omega$  such that

$$\sup K \upharpoonright k \, \mp \, \sup L \upharpoonright k.$$

If Dom  $s \ge k$ , then s cannot split both K and L, hence  $A_K \cap A_L = \emptyset$ . (if) Direct proof. Assume  $\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{G} = \emptyset$  and

$$\{\sup K: K \in \mathscr{F}\} \cap \bigcup_{L \in \mathscr{F}} L = \emptyset.$$

It suffices to find, for each  $n < \omega$ , an  $s \in T$  with Dom  $s \ge n$  and such that for every  $K \in \mathscr{F}$  and for every  $K' \in \mathscr{G}$ , s splits K but s does not split K'. To this end, fix  $n < \omega$  and choose  $k \ge n$  such that

- $1. |\mathscr{F} \cup \mathscr{G}| \leq k$
- 2. there exists  $t \in 2^k$  such that

$$t \notin \{g \mid k:g \in \bigcup_{L \in \mathscr{F}} L\}$$

3. if  $K, L \in \mathcal{F}$  and sup  $K \neq \sup L$ , then

$$\sup K \upharpoonright k \notin \{g \upharpoonright k : g \in L\}$$

4. if  $K' \in \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\min \, K' \upharpoonright k \, \notin \, \{g \upharpoonright k : g \, \in \, \underset{L \in \mathscr{F}}{\cup} L \}.$$

Let  $\mathscr{F}' \subseteq \mathscr{F}$  be maximal with respect to the property that if  $K, L \in \mathscr{F}', K \neq L$ , then sup  $K \neq \sup L$ . It is now easy to define an  $s \in T$  with Dom s = k so that

$$\{\sup K \upharpoonright k: K \in \mathscr{F}'\} \cup \{\min K' \upharpoonright k: K' \in \mathscr{G}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Rng} s$$
$$\subseteq \{\sup K \upharpoonright k: K \in \mathscr{F}'\} \cup \{\min K' \upharpoonright k: K' \in \mathscr{G}\} \cup \{t\}.$$

This s splits all  $K \in \mathcal{F}$  and no  $K' \in \mathcal{G}$ .

In order to prove that  $B_0$  is ccc, we first prove a lemma about  $2^{\omega}$ .

LEMMA. If  $1 \le s < \omega$  and if  $\{(x_0^{\alpha}, \ldots, x_{s-1}^{\alpha}): \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq (2^{\omega})^s$  satisfies: for each i < s and for each  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1, x_i^{\alpha} \ne x_i^{\beta}$ , then there exists a countable  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  such that for every

$$f:E \to s \quad \{x_{f(\alpha)}^{\alpha}: \alpha \in E\}$$

has uncountable closure in  $2^{\omega}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(2^{\omega})^s$  is hereditarily separable, choose  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  such that

$$\{(x_0^{\alpha},\ldots,x_{s-1}^{\alpha}):\alpha\in E\}$$

is dense in

$$\{(x_0^{\alpha},\ldots,x_{s-1}^{\alpha}):\alpha<\omega_1\}.$$

Let  $f:E \to s$ . Since

$$\{(x_0^{\alpha},\ldots,x_{s-1}^{\alpha}): \alpha \in E\} = \bigcup_{1 \le s} \{(x_0^{\alpha},\ldots,x_{s-1}^{\alpha}): f(\alpha) = i\},$$

there exists an i < s such that  $\{(x_0^{\alpha}, \ldots, x_{s-1}^{\alpha}): f(\alpha) = i\}$  has uncountable closure in  $\{(x_0^{\alpha}, \ldots, x_{s-1}^{\alpha}): \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . Since  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$  implies  $x_i^{\alpha} \neq x_i^{\beta}$ , it must be that  $\{x_i^{\alpha}: f(\alpha) = i\}$  has uncountable closure in  $2^{\omega}$ .

B.  $B_0$  is ccc.

Proof. Indirect proof. Assume that

$$\left\{\bigcap_{K\in\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}A_{K}-\bigcup_{K\in\mathscr{G}_{\alpha}}A_{K}:\alpha<\omega_{l}\right\}$$

is an uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint non- $\emptyset$  elements of  $B_0$ . Therefore, for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,

$$\mathscr{F}_{\alpha} \cap \mathscr{G}_{\alpha} = \emptyset.$$

By a delta-system argument, we may assume that if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha} \cap \mathscr{G}_{\beta} = \emptyset$ . Hence, if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then

$$(\mathscr{F}_{\alpha} \cup \mathscr{F}_{\beta}) \cap (\mathscr{G}_{\alpha} \cup \mathscr{G}_{\beta}) = \emptyset.$$

We further assume that  $\{ \{ \sup K: K \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \} : \alpha < \omega_1 \}$  is a delta-system with root Q and that there exists  $s < \omega$  such that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,

$$\mathscr{F}'_{\alpha} = \{ K \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha} : \sup K \notin Q \}$$

has exactly s elements. For every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , put

$$\mathscr{F}'_{\alpha} = \{K_i^{\alpha} : i < s\}.$$

Thus, invoking A, we see that for every  $\alpha < \beta$  there exist  $K \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$  and  $L \in \mathscr{F}_{\beta}$  such that either sup  $K \in L$  or sup  $L \in K$ . Since  $\{\{\sup K: K \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}\}: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is an uncountable disjoint collection and each  $K \in \mathscr{K}$  has only

countably many elements, by restricting to an uncountable subset of  $\omega_1$ , we may as well assume that if  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ , then there exist  $K \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$  and  $L \in \mathscr{F}_{\beta}$  such that sup  $K \in L$ .

By applying the lemma to

$$\{ (\sup K_0^{\alpha}, \ldots, \sup K_{s-1}^{\alpha}) : \alpha < \omega_1 \} \subseteq (2^{\omega})^s,$$

we get a countable  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  such that for every

$$f: E \to s \quad \{ \sup K_{f(\alpha)}^{\alpha} : \alpha \in E \}$$

has uncountable closure in  $2^{\omega}$ . Choose  $\gamma < \omega_1$  such that sup  $E < \gamma$ . For every  $\alpha \in E$  there exists i < s such that

$$\sup K_i^{\alpha} \in \bigcup_{j \leq s} K_j^{\gamma}.$$

Define  $f:E \to s$  by f(a) = one such i. Then

$$\{\sup K_{f(\alpha)}^{\alpha}: \alpha \in E\} \subseteq \bigcup_{j \le s} K_j^{\gamma}.$$

But  $\bigcup_{i \le s} K_i^{\gamma}$  has countable closure in  $2^{\omega}$ . This is a contradiction.

C. 
$$B_0$$
 is not  $\sigma = 2$ -linked.

*Proof.* We will show that whenever  $\mathscr{K} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathscr{K}_n$ , then there exists  $n < \omega$  and K, L in  $\mathscr{K}_n$  such that sup  $K \in L$ . Together with A, this implies that  $\{A_K: K \in \mathscr{K}\}$  is not  $\sigma - 2$ -linked.

Assume

$$\mathscr{K} = \bigcup_{n \leq \omega} \mathscr{K}_n$$
.

By induction on  $n < \omega$ , define two sequences  $(a_n)_{n < \omega}$  and  $(b_n)_{n < \omega}$  such that

- 1.  $a_0 \in C^1 \{1\}$  and  $b_0 = 1$
- 2. for every  $n < \omega$ , if there exists  $K \in \mathcal{X}_n$  such that  $a_n < \sup K < b_n$ , then  $a_{n+1} = \text{one}$  such  $\sup K$  and  $b_{n+1}$  is such that  $a_{n+1} < b_{n+1} < b_n$ ; if there does not exist  $K \in \mathcal{X}_n$  such that  $a_n < \sup K < b_n$ , then  $a_{n+1}$  and  $b_{n+1}$  are such that  $a_n < a_{n+1} < b_{n+1} < b_n$ .

Now, set  $S = \{a_n : n < \omega\}$ . Note that  $S \in \mathcal{L}$  and for all  $n < \omega$ ,

$$a_n < \sup S < b_n$$
.

Since  $\mathscr{K}$  satisfies the property (b), there exists  $L \in \mathscr{K}$  such that  $S \subseteq L$ . Note that  $\sup L = \sup S$ . Since  $L \in \mathscr{K}$ , there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $L \in \mathscr{K}_{g}$ . Since L satisfies

$$a_n < \sup L < b_n$$

by 2, we have that  $a_{n+1} = \sup K$  for some  $K \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . But then  $\sup K \in I_n$ .

D. Cmpn (st  $B_0$ ) = 2, i.e., st  $B_0$  is supercompact.

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ \overline{A}_K : K \in \mathcal{K} \} \cup \{ \overline{N - A}_K : K \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

Then  $\mathscr S$  is a closed (and also open) subbase for st  $B_0$ . We will show that any 2-linked subcollection of  $\mathscr S$  has a non-empty intersection. By compactness, it suffices to show that any finite 2-linked subcollection of  $\mathscr S$  has a non-empty intersection; so let  $\{\overline{A}_K:K\in\mathscr F\}\cup\{\overline{N-A}_K:K\in\mathscr G\}$  have the property that every pair of sets has a non-empty intersection. This means that if  $K,L\in\mathscr F$ , then  $A_K\cap A_L\neq\emptyset$  and that if  $K\in\mathscr F$  and  $L\in\mathscr G$ , then  $A_K-A_L\neq\emptyset$ . Hence, invoking A, we conclude that

$$\bigcap_{K \in \mathscr{F}} A_K - \bigcup_{K \in \mathscr{G}} A_K \neq \emptyset.$$

If  $p \in \operatorname{st} B_0$  and

$$\bigcap_{K\in\mathscr{X}}A_K-\bigcup_{K\in\mathscr{Q}}A_K\in p,$$

then

$$p \in \bigcap_{K \in \mathscr{F}} \overline{A}_K \cap \bigcap_{K \in \mathscr{G}} \overline{N - A}_K.$$

Remark 1. A. Hajnal had constructed a ccc poset of size continuum which was not  $\sigma-2$ -linked. F. Galvin and A. Hajnal [4] have other examples with further properties. By standard techniques, these yield Boolean algebras, which under extra set-theoretic assumptions, are embedded in P/F. It was the desire to find examples that embed in P/F in ZFC alone that occasioned the effort. The author would like to thank Fred Galvin for his generous correspondence.

Remark 2. The role that the function  $\varphi: \mathcal{L} \to C^0$  played was solely to guarantee that st  $B_0$  would be supercompact. This had an unexpected benefit of simplifying some proofs. In fact, if one sets

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K: K \text{ is } a < \text{increasing convergent sequence in } 2^{\omega} \text{ with } \sup K < 1\}$$

and sets

$$B'_0 = [\{A_K: K \in \mathscr{K}\}],$$

then  $B_0'$  is ccc and not  $\sigma - 2$ -linked; however A is no longer true and st  $B_0'$  is not supercompact by the standard subbase  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**6. Conclusion.** This conclusion is only a discussion. Proofs are not supplied.

We now discuss the mutual strengths of the rectangle algebra R and the quotient algebra P/F. How much of R is embeddable in P/F and how much of P/F is embeddable in R? It is convenient to make some definitions. A boolean algebra B is combinatorially embedded in a boolean algebra C if there exists a one to one mapping  $\varphi: B \to C$  such that

$$\bigwedge_{i \le n} b_n \neq 0$$
 if and only if  $\bigwedge_{i \le n} \varphi(b_i) \neq 0$ .

A combinatorial embedding preserves the disjointness properties. Note that if  $\varphi$  is onto a subalgebra of C, then  $\varphi$  is a boolean algebraic embedding as well. A subalgebra B of P/F is representable if B, considered as a set of equivalence classes, has a choice function, i.e., an  $h:B \to \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  such that for all  $b \in B$ ,  $h(b) \in b$ . Representable subalgebras are of interest when we work in ZF.

We have seen that H is embeddable in P/F and that H contains several interesting subalgebras. H also contains the power set algebra  $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$  as the subalgebra  $\{A \times \omega^{\omega}: A \subseteq \omega\}$ . Another interesting subalgebra of H is

$$E = \left[ \left\{ \prod_{i < \omega} A - i : A \subseteq \omega \right\} \right].$$

St E is homeomorphic to Exp  $\beta\omega - [\omega]^{<\omega}$ , the filter analogue of  $\beta\omega - \omega$ . We remind the reader that  $\beta\omega$  is the Stone space of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ ,  $\beta\omega - \omega$  is the Stone space of P/F and Exp  $\beta\omega$  is the hyperspace of closed subsets of  $\beta\omega$  with the Vietoris topology. It is well known that any boolean algebra of size  $\omega_1$  is embeddable in P/F in ZFC, so under CH, R itself is embeddable in P/F. In ZFC alone, it is unclear whether R can even be combinatorially embedded in P/F.

**Problem 1.** In ZFC, can R be embedded in P/F? A particularly simple subalgebra of R that the author is unable to even combinatorially embed in P/F is

$$\left[\left\{\prod_{i<\omega}A_i: \text{ for each } i<\omega,\, A_i \text{ is a singleton or is } \omega\right\}\right].$$

On the other hand, ZFC easily implies that P/F cannot be embedded in R. R contains no increasing  $\omega_1$ -sequences (in fact, the simultaneously  $F_{\sigma}$  and  $G_{\delta}$  subsets of  $\omega^{\omega}$  have this property, (cf. [6] p. 196) whereas, ZFC implies that P/F contains an increasing  $\omega_1$ -sequence. We mention that it is consistent with ZF that P/F does not contain an increasing  $\omega_1$ -sequence. K. Kunen has proven that ZF alone implies that P/F cannot be embedded in R. However, ZFC does imply that P/F can be combinatorially embedded in R. Using choice, let  $h:P/F \to \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  be such that  $h(b) \in b$ . The mapping  $\psi:P/F \to H$  defined by

$$\psi(b) = \prod_{i < \omega} [h(b) - i]$$

is combinatorial embedding.

P/F has a certain vague nature due to the fact that one cannot prove in ZF that it is representable. As an example of this, consider the following two statements:

- 1. If  $\{A_n : n < \omega\}$  is a set of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $A_{n+1} A_n$  is finite, then there exists an infinite  $A \subseteq \omega$  such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $A A_n$  is finite.
- 2. If  $\{b_n: n < \omega\}$  is a set of non-0 elements of P/F such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $b_{n+1} \leq b_n$ , then there exists a non-0  $b \in P/F$  such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $b \leq b_n$ .

Statement 1 is a ZF-theorem while Statement 2 seems (the author has no proof) of necessity to require a choice principle to prove. Statement 1 is clearly the more fundamental statement about  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ . Upon closer inspection, one sees that the subalgebra H, as embedded in P/F in Theorem 3.1 is representable. This has led me to

*Problem* 2. In ZF, is there a representable subalgebra of P/F that cannot be embedded in R?

The point of view taken in this paper is that a successful investigation of the set algebra R will shed light on the ZF-strength of the quotient algebra P/F.

## REFERENCES

- M. G. Bell, Compact ccc non-separable spaces of small weight, Topology Proceedings 5 (1980), 11-25.
- 2. M. G. Bell and J. van Mill, *The compactness number of a compact topological space 1*, Fundamenta Mathematicae CVI (1980), 163-173.
- 3. E. van Douwen, *Nonsupercompactness and the reduced measure algebra*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae 21 (1980), 507-512.

- 4. F. Galvin and A. Hajnal, On the relative strength of chain conditions, to appear.
- 5. J. de Groot, Supercompactness and superextensions, in Contributions to extension theory of topological structure, Symp. Berlin (1967), (Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1969), 89-90.
- 6. F. Hausdorff, Set theory (Chelsea Publishing Company, Second Edition).
- 7. R. Sikorski, Boolean algebras (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1964).

The University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba