# A GENERALIZATION OF SUMMABILITY-(Z, p) OF SILVERMAN AND SZÁSZ

by DAVID L. SHERRY

(Received 4th January 1969)

#### 1. Background

A sequence  $x = \{s_i\}$  has been defined to be summable-(Z, p) to s if

 $\lim_{n} Z_{n}^{p}(x) = s,$ 

where p is a positive integer and

$$Z_n^p(x) = (s_{n-n+1} + \dots + s_n)/p$$
  $(n \ge 0, s_i = 0 \text{ if } i < 0).$ 

Ignoring the values of n for which  $0 \le n < p-1$ , the transformation (Z, p) coincides with the Nörlund transformation defined by the sequence

containing p initial 1's. This class of methods has been studied by Silverman and Szász (5) and by Hill and Sledd (4). We quote the following results for reference.

(1.1) Summability-(Z, p) is regular for p = 2, 3, ... and (Z, 1) is the identity transformation.

(1.2) ((5), Th. 11) Summability-(Z, p) implies summability-(C, 1) to the same value for p = 1, 2, 3, ...

(1.3) ((5), Th. 14) If p is a divisor of q, then the convergence field of (Z, p) is contained in that of (Z, q).

(1.4) ((5) Th. 15) If d is the greatest common divisor of p and q, then the convergence fields of (Z, p) and (Z, q) intersect in that of (Z, d).

(1.5) 
$$Z_{n+p}^{p}(x) = Z_{p-1}^{p}(x) - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (s_{i} - s_{i+p})$$
 for  $p, n = 1, 2, 3, ...,$  and there-

fore a sequence  $x = \{s_i\}$  is summable-(Z, p) if and only if  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} (s_i - s_{i+p})$  is convergent. Furthermore,  $x = \{s_i\}$  is summable (Z, p) only if  $s_i - s_{i+p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

### **2.** (Z, p, k) Summability

We will be interested in generalizing the definition of (Z, p)-summability as well as the results (1.1)-(1.5). We write

$$Z_n^{p,k}(x) = (Z_{n-p+1}^{p,k-1}(x) + \dots + Z_n^{p,k-1}(x))/p \quad (n \ge 0),$$
  
$$Z_i^{p,k-1}(x) = 0 \text{ if } j < 0,$$

where p and k are positive integers, k > 1 and  $Z_n^{p, 1}(x) = Z_n^p(x)$ . A sequence  $x = \{s_i\}$  is summable (Z, p, k) to the value s if

$$\lim_{n} Z_n^{p, k}(x) = s.$$

For brevity, let us write  $Z_n^{p, k}(x) = Z_n^{p, k}$ . It is easy to express  $Z_n^{p, k}$  in terms of  $s_n$ . We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n^{p, k} x^n = p^{-1} \left( \frac{1-x^p}{1-x} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n^{p, k-1} x^n$$
$$= p^{-2} \left( \frac{1-x^p}{1-x} \right)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n^{p, k-2} x^n,$$

and so on, giving

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n^{p, k} x^n = p^{-k} \left( \frac{1-x^p}{1-x} \right)^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n.$$

Thus

(2.2) 
$$Z_n^{p,k} = p^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^n b_i^{p,k} s_{n-i}, \quad n \ge 0,$$

with k a positive integer,  $b_i^{p, k} = 0$  for i > k(p-1) and

$$\left(\frac{1-x^{p}}{1-x}\right)^{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k(p-1)} b_{i}^{p,k} x^{i}.$$

Ignoring the values of n for which  $0 \le n < k(p-1)$ , the transformation (Z, p, k) coincides with the Nörlund transformation defined by the sequence

 $(b_0^{p,k}, b_1^{p,k}, ..., b_{k(p-1)}^{p,k}, 0, 0, ...).$ 

We now wish to show that (2.1) and (2.2) remain significant for non-integral k and allow us to give a more general definition of (Z, p, k). In order to do this, we need information concerning the coefficients of the series expansion of  $(1-x^p)^k(1-x)^{-k}$  when k is non-integral. We can find this by comparing the general case with the case p = 2. For p = 2,  $(1-x^p)^k(1-x)^{-k}$  is the familiar  $(1+x)^k$ . If k is positive and non-integral then

$$\left(\frac{1-x^2}{1-x}\right)^k = (1+x)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n,$$

where

 $p_n \sim n^{-k-1}$ 

$$(1-x^{2})^{k}(1-x)^{-k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} {k \choose n} x^{2n} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} {\binom{-k}{n}} x^{n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2n} x^{2n} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n} x^{n}$$

54

Therefore

(2.3) 
$$p_{2n} = b_0 a_{2n} + b_2 a_{2(n-1)} + \dots + b_{2n} a_0 \sim (2n)^{-k-1} \sim n^{-k-1},$$
  
 $p_{2n+1} = b_1 a_{2n} + b_3 a_{2(n-1)} + \dots + b_{2n+1} a_0 \sim (2n+1)^{-k-1} \sim n^{-k-1}.$ 

Considering the case p = 3, we have

$$(1-x^3)^k (1-x)^{-k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{k}{n} x^{3n} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{-k}{n} x^n$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{3n} x^{3n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n.$$

Therefore

$$q_{3n+i} = b_i c_{3n} + b_{i+3} c_{3(n-1)} + \dots + b_{3n+i} c_0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

Since  $c_{3n} = a_{2n}$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., and  $b_{3n+i} \sim (3n+i)^{k-1} \sim (2n+j)^{k-1} \sim b_{2n+j}$  for i = 0, 1, 2; j = 0, 1, (2.3) gives us

$$q_{3n+i} \sim n^{-k-1}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

In general, if

(2.4) 
$$\left(\frac{1-x^p}{1-x}\right)^k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i^{p,k} x^i$$

then

(2.5) 
$$b_i^{p,k} = b_{j+np}^{p,k} \sim n^{-k-1}, \quad j = 0, 1, ..., p-1.$$

If k > 0 then  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |b_i^{p,k}| < \infty$ . The series in (2.4) has radius of convergence 1 and Abel's theorem gives the result that  $B_n^{p,k} = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i^{p,k} \rightarrow p^k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of these results (2.1) and (2.2) can be extended to include all real positive

values of k. (Z, p, k), with p a positive integer and k positive and real, is a Nörlund method associated with the sequence  $\{b_i^{p,k}\}$  and the sequence is defined by (2.3).

We now quote two theorems given by Borwein and Boyd ((2), Ths. 16, 17) concerning Nörlund methods.

(2.6) The Nörlund method  $(N, p_n)$  is regular if and only if there is a constant H independent of n such that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \left| p_{r} \right| < H \left| P_{n} \right| \quad \text{for } n \ge M$$

and  $p_n/P_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2.7) If  $(N, p_n)$  and  $(N, q_n)$  are regular and  $p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n$ ,  $q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n$ ,  $q(x)/p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_n x^n$ , then summability- $(N, p_n)$  implies summability- $(N, q_n)$  if

## DAVID L. SHERRY

and only if there is a constant H independent of n such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n} |k_{n-r}P_r| < H |Q_n| \quad \text{for } n \ge M$$

and  $k_n/Q_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Applying these theorems to (Z, p, k), we have

**Theorem 1.** Summability-(Z, p, k) is regular for k > 0 and p = 2, 3, ... and (Z, 1, k) is the identity transformation.

**Theorem 2.** If p is a divisor of q, then the convergence field of (Z, p, k) is properly contained in that of (Z, q, k) for k > 0.

**Theorem 3.** If 0 < k < k', then the convergence field of (Z, p, k) is properly contained in that of (Z, p, k') for p = 2, 3, ...

**Theorem 4.** Summability-(Z, p, k) implies summability-(C, k) to the same value for k > 0 and p = 2, 3, 4, ...

In order to generalize (1.4) and (1.5) we restrict k to be a positive integer. With this restriction the following theorem follows directly from a theorem of Borwein ((1), Th. 3).

**Theorem 5.** If d is the greatest common divisor of p and q, then the convergence fields of (Z, p, k) and (Z, q, k) intersect in that of (Z, d, k) for k = 1, 2, 3, ...

Using induction, it can be proved that

$$b_i^{p,k} = b_{k(p-1)-i}^{p,k}, i = 0, 1, ..., k(p-1); k = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

Therefore we can write

$$Z_{n+k(p-1)+1}^{p,k}(x) = p^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{k(p-1)} b_i^{p,k} s_{n+1+i} \quad (n \ge -k(p-1)-1; \ s_k = 0 \text{ if } k > 0).$$

Furthermore we have the following identity:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k(p-1)} b_i^{p,k} x^{n+1+i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k(p-1)} b_i^{p,k} x^i - \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)(p-1)} b_i^{p,k-1} \left( x^{i+j} - x^{i+j+p} \right) \right].$$

In view of these results we are justified in writing

$$Z_{n+k(p-1)+1}^{p,k}(x) = Z_{k(p-1)}^{p,k}(x) - p^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ p^{1-k} \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)(p-1)} b_i^{p,k-1} \left( s_{i+j} - s_{i+j+p} \right) \right].$$

Inspection of the expression in the brackets will reveal that if  $y = \{s_i - s_{i+p}\}$  then the expression is the (Z, p, k-1) transform of y and we have

**Theorem 6.** If  $x = \{s_i\}$  and  $y = \{s_i - s_{i+p}\}$ , then

$$Z_{n+k(p-1)+1}^{p,k}(x) = Z_{k(p-1)}^{p,k}(x) - p^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} Z_{j+(k-1)(p-1)}^{p,k-1}(y), \quad p, k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

## A GENERALIZATION OF SUMMABILITY-(Z, p)

and therefore  $x = \{s_i\}$  is summable-(Z, p, k) if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Z_{j+(k-1)(p-1)}^{p,k-1}(y)$$

is convergent. Further, a necessary condition for  $x = \{s_i\}$  to be summable-(Z, p, k) is that  $y = \{s_i - s_{i+p}\}$  be summable-(Z, p, k-1) to zero.

If we define (Z, p, 0) to be ordinary convergence, then Theorem 6 reduces to (1.5) when k = 1.

Finally, we note that when p and k are positive integers, the  $b_i^{p,k}$  may be considered generalizations of the binomial coefficients since  $b_i^{2,k} = \binom{k}{i}$ . With p fixed, a generalized Pascal's triangle may be constructed using

$$b_i^{p, 1} = 1, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., p-1,$$
  

$$b_i^{p, k} = b_i^{p, k-1} + ... + b_{i-p+1}^{p, k-1}, \quad k > 1, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., k(p-1),$$

where

$$b_i^{p,k-1} = 0$$
 if  $i < 0$  or  $i > (k-1)(p-1)$ .

We might also mention that (Z, 2, k), k a positive integer, was considered by Hutton in 1812. For a reference to this, see Hardy ((3), 21-22).

#### REFERENCES

(1) D. BORWEIN, Nörlund methods of summability associated with polynomials, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 12 (1959), 7-15.

(2) D. BORWEIN and A. V. BOYD, Binary and ternary transformations of sequences, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) 11 (1959), 175-181.

(3) G. H. HARDY, Divergent Series (Oxford, 1949).

(4) J. D. HILL and W. T. SLEDD, Summability-(Z, p) and sequences of periodic type, Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964), 741-754.

(5) L. L. SILVERMAN and O. SZÁSZ, On a class of Nörlund matrices, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 45 (1944), 347-357.

UNIVERSITY OF WEST FLORIDA