## DENSITY OF NUMERICAL RADIUS ATTAINING OPERATORS ON SOME REFLEXIVE SPACES

## CARMEN SILVIA CARDASSI

We show that for reflexive spaces X the density of numerical radius attaining operators in L(X) is equivalent to the density of numerical radius attaining operators in  $L(X^*)$ . As a consequence of this fact and of a result of Berg and Sims, we prove that for uniformly smooth spaces X the numerical radius attaining operators are dense in L(X).

If X is a Banach space, we define the numerical radius of a bounded linear operator  $T: X \rightarrow X$ , denoted by v(T), by

 $v(T) = \sup\{|\langle x^*, Tx \rangle| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)\},\$ 

where  $\Pi(X) = \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : ||x|| = ||x^*|| = \langle x^*, x \rangle = 1\}$ .

We say that T attains its numerical radius if there is  $(x_0, x_0^*) \in \Pi(X)$  such that  $v(T) = |\langle x_0^*, Tx_0 \rangle|$  and denote the set of numerical radius attaining operators by NRA(X).

We denote L(X) the space of all bounded linear operators from X to X .

**THEOREM 1.** Let X be a reflexive space. Then  $\overline{NRA(X)} = L(X)$  if

Received 23 July 1984. This work was partially supported by FAPESP (Sao Paulo, Brasil) and was done during a visit to Kent State University (Kent, Ohio, USA). The author is grateful to Professor Joeseph Diestel for introducing the subject of this work to her.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/85 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

and only if  $\overline{NRA(X^*)} = L(X^*)$ .

**Proof.** We will show first that  $\overline{NRA(X)} = L(X)$  implies  $\overline{NRA(X^*)} = L(X^*)$ .

Let  $R \in L(X^*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since X is reflexive, there is  $T \in L(X)$  such that  $T^* = R$ .

By hypothesis, there is  $T_0 \in NRA(X)$  such that  $||T-T_0|| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $(x_0, x_0^*) \in \Pi(X)$  be such that  $v(T_0) = |\langle x_0^*, T_0 x_0 \rangle|$ .

Then  $(x_0^*, \hat{x}_0) \in \Pi(X^*)$ , where  $\hat{}$  denotes the canonical injection from X into  $X^{**}$ , which in this case is also onto.

Since  $v(T_0) = v(T_0^*)$  ([2]), we get  $v(T_0^*) = |\langle \hat{x}_0, T_0^* x_0^* \rangle|$  and then  $T_0^* \in NRA(X^*)$ .

But  $||R-T_0^*|| = ||T-T_0|| < \epsilon$  and so we conclude that  $\overline{NRA(X^*)} = L(X^*)$ .

For the reverse implication, note that  $X^*$  is reflexive and apply the first part of the proof to get that  $\overline{NRA(X^*)} = L(X^*)$  implies  $\overline{NRA(X^{**})} = L(X^{**})$ .

Since X is reflexive,  $NRA(X^{**}) = NRA(X)$  and  $L(X^{**}) = L(X)$  and we have finished the proof.

COROLLARY 2. Let X be a uniformly smooth space. Then  $\overline{NRA(X)} = L(X)$ .

**Proof.** Since X is uniformly smooth,  $X^*$  is uniformly convex. By [1],  $\overline{NRA(X^*)} = L(X^*)$ . But X is also reflexive and applying Theorem 1 we get  $\overline{NRA(X)} = L(X)$ .

We note that it is possible to give a direct proof of the result of Corollary 2, by means of a minor modification on Berg and Sims' argument ([1]).

Incidentally, we do not know any example of a reflexive space X for which  $\overline{NRA(X)} \neq L(X)$ . Actually we do not know any example of a Banach space with this property.

2

## References

- [1] I.D. Berg and Brailey Sims, "Denseness of operators which attain their numerical radius", J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 36 (1984), 130-133.
- [2] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1971).

Instituto de Matematica e Estatistica, Universidade de São Paulo, C.P. 20570 (Ag. Iguatemi), 01498 - São Paulo, Brasil.