

# Corrigendum: Block idempotents and the Brauer correspondence

Jon F. Carlson

Professor William F. Reynolds has discovered a mistake in the main theorem of [1]. The problem occurs with the first display on page 339 in that  $K_j^* E_i^*$  is only congruent modulo  $\text{rad}Z(G)$  to  $\psi_i(\hat{K}_j) E_i^*$ . The theorem still holds if  $\theta(\text{rad}Z(G)) \subseteq \text{rad}Z(H)$ , but this is not the case in general.

## References

- [1] Jon F. Carlson, "Block idempotents and the Brauer correspondence",  
*Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 5 (1971), 337-341.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Georgia,  
Athens,  
Georgia,  
USA.

---

Received 5 February 1973.