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#### Abstract

We obtain the stellar parameters of eleven OB stars in Cyg OB2 using model atmospheres. Each of the analysed stars shows an enhanced Helium abundance. We releate this is to the young agae of the OB association and/or the low rotational velocity of the stars.


## 1. Introduction

Herrero et al. (1992, Paper I) found that some galactic OB stars show a surface helium abundance larger than predicted by evolutionary theories: a helium discrepancy. This discrepancy is present in supergiants and among fast rotators of luminousity class V. Recent evolutionary theories propose rotationaly-induced additional mixing (Maeder \& Zahn 1998; Langer \& Heger 1998) as an explanation, as it could affect the stellar mass-luminosity relation and the surface helium abundances. These differences with classical evolutionary theories are functions of the rotational velocity of the star and the stellar age.

## 2. Observations

To study these discrepancies in an homogeneous sample we observed eleven stars that belong to the Cyg OB2 association, a rich, young association studied by Massey \& Thompson (1991, MT) among others. MT, with $U B V$ photometry and spectra of selected stars, found that Cyg OB2 contains 108 stars earlier than B 1.5 V , the distance modulus is $11.2 \pm 0.10$, and the reddening is high $\left(\left\langle E_{B-V}\right\rangle \simeq 1.8\right)$. There are seven giants and supergiants in the sample that we observed at the INT with the IDS with different grids during a run in August 1995. We covered the region $4000-5000 \AA$ with spectral resolutions of 0.6 and $1.3 \AA$.

## 3. Analysis and discussion

The spectral profiles of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{He}$ I and He II lines were compared with those generated with NLTE plane-parallel, hydrostatic atmosphere models with lineblocking. We checked for the model which better fits line-profiles, not only

Table 1. Parameters determined for the stars observed in Cyg OB2.

| star \# | sp. type | $T_{\text {eff }}$ | $\log g$ | $\epsilon$ | $\begin{gathered} v_{r} \sin i \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $M_{v}$ | $\begin{array}{r} R \\ \left(\mathrm{R}_{\odot}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\log (L / L \odot)$ | $\begin{gathered} M_{\mathrm{s}} \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} M_{e v} \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} M_{i} \\ \left(M_{\odot}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 c | O5 If | 48.0 | 3.77 | 0.09 | 145 | -5.61 | 13.3 | 5.93 | 37.8 | 73.1 | 74 |
| 22 | O4 III(f) | 47.0 | 3.61 | 0.12 | 125 | -6.69 | 22.7 | 6.36 | 76.2 | 118.7 | 131 |
| 9 | O5 If | 44.5 | 3.52 | 0.09 | 135 | -6.53 | 22.0 | 6.24 | 57.5 | 98.9 | 107 |
| 516 | O5.5 V ((f)) | 44.0 | 3.61 | 0.15 | 135 | -6.89 | 25.2 | 6.33 | 94.5 | 100.1 | 123 |
| 11 | O5 If ${ }^{+}$ | 43.0 | 3.42 | 0.09 | 120 | -6.51 | 22.4 | 6.17 | 47.5 | 80.7 | 94 |
| 29 | 07 V | 40.0 | 3.83 | 0.09 | 180 | -4.71 | 9.5 | 5.32 | 22.3 | 34.2 | 35 |
| 4 | O7 III( $(\mathrm{f})$ ) | 39.0 | 3.52 | 0.07 | 125 | -5.44 | 13.9 | 5.60 | 23.2 | 42.1 | 44 |
| 20 | O9.5 V | 35.0 | 4.00 | 0.09 | 25 | -3.88 | 7.0 | 4.82 | 17.9 | 21.8 | 22 |
| 21 | B0.5 V | 34.5 | 3.90 | 0.09 | 30 | -3.58 | 6.3 | 4.70 | 11.4 | 20.2 | 21 |
| 10 | O9.5 I | 31.0 | 3.11 | 0.09 | 85 | -6.86 | 31.6 | 5.92 | 46.9 | 54.2 | 61 |
| 19 | O9.5 III | 30.0 | 3.02 | 0.09 | 75 | -5.41 | 16.4 | 5.29 | 10.3 | 26.7 | 28 |

equivalent widths. With the parameters of the best-fit model ( $v_{\mathrm{rot}} \sin i, T_{\text {eff }}$, $\log g$ and helium content, $\epsilon$ ), we calculate radii, luminosities and spectroscopic masses following the method presented in Paper I. The final parameters determined are presented in Table 1. Errors are $\pm 1500 \mathrm{~K}$ in $T_{\text {eff }}, \pm 0.1$ dex in $\log g$ and $\pm 0.03$ in $\epsilon . M_{\mathrm{s}}, M_{\mathrm{ev}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the spectroscopic mass, the present evolutionary mass and the initial evolutionary mass, respectively. The initial masses have been obtained from evolutionary tracks by Schaller et al. (1992) for non-rotating stars.

As can be seen, the stars observed have low or moderate projected rotational velocities, six of them between 120 and $140 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We confirm that Cyg OB2 is a young association because three of the stars analysed have initial masses, as derived from evolutionary tracks, greater than $100 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Only star \#516 shows a He-enhanced abundance, however the non-rotating evolutionary tracks of Schaller et al. (1992) predict for this star a surface He abundance of $\epsilon=0.14$.

In conclusion, no helium discrepancy is found in the analised stars. This result can be explained by the young age of the association, the low rotational velocities observed, or both properties combined. We need to study more OB stars in other galactic associations before we can prove this hypothesis.
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