



# Elliptic Curves over the Perfect Closure of a Function Field

Dragos Ghioca

*Abstract.* We prove that the group of rational points of a non-isotrivial elliptic curve defined over the perfect closure of a function field in positive characteristic is finitely generated.

## 1 Introduction

For this paper we fix a prime number  $p$  and denote by  $\mathbb{F}_p$  the finite field with  $p$  elements. The perfect closure  $K^{\text{per}}$  of a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p$  is defined to be  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} K^{1/p^n}$ .

The classical Lehmer conjecture (see [12, p. 476]) asserts that there is an absolute constant  $C > 0$  so that any algebraic number  $\alpha$  that is not a root of unity satisfies the following inequality for its logarithmic height:

$$h(\alpha) \geq \frac{C}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

A partial result towards this conjecture is obtained in [3]. The analog of Lehmer's conjecture for elliptic curves and abelian varieties asks for a good lower bound for the canonical height of a non-torsion point of the abelian variety. This question has also been much studied (see [1, 2, 7, 11, 14, 20]). In Section 3, using a Lehmer-type result for elliptic curves from [5], we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $K$  be a function field of transcendence degree 1 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (i.e.,  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ ). Let  $E$  be a non-isotrivial elliptic curve defined over  $K$ . Then  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is finitely generated.*

Using specializations we are able to extend the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 to the perfect closure of any finitely generated field extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (see Theorem 3.3).

Using completely different methods, Minhyong Kim studied the set of rational points of non-isotrivial curves of genus at least two over the perfect closure of a function field in one variable over a finite field (see [8]).

Combining the result of Theorem 3.3 with the results obtained by the author and Rahim Moosa in [4], one can prove the full Mordell–Lang conjecture for abelian varieties  $A$  which are isogenous with a direct product of non-isotrivial elliptic curves (where the *full* Mordell–Lang conjecture refers to the intersection of a subvariety of  $A$  with the divisible hull of a finitely generated subgroup of  $A$ ; see also the remark of Thomas Scanlon at the end of [16]).

---

Received by the editors November 6, 2006; revised December 3, 2006.

Published electronically December 4, 2009.

AMS subject classification: 11G50, 11G05.

Keywords: elliptic curves, heights.

## 2 Tame Modules

In this section we prove a technical result about tame modules which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $K$  be its field of fractions. If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, then by the *rank* of  $M$ , denoted  $\text{rk}(M)$ , we mean the dimension of the  $K$ -vector space  $M \otimes_R K$ . We call  $M$  a *tame* module if every finite rank submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated.

If  $R$  is a ring and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, we denote by  $M_{\text{tor}}$  the set of torsion elements of  $M$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with  $M_{\text{tor}}$  finite. Assume there exists a function  $h: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i) (quasi-triangle inequality)  $h(x \pm y) \leq 2(h(x) + h(y))$ , for every  $x, y \in M$ .
- (ii) if  $x \in M_{\text{tor}}$ , then  $h(x) = 0$ .
- (iii) there exists  $c > 0$  such that for each  $x \notin M_{\text{tor}}$ ,  $h(x) > c$ .
- (iv) there exists  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $R/aR$  is finite and for all  $x \in M$ ,  $h(ax) \geq 8h(x)$ .

Then  $M$  is a tame  $R$ -module.

**Proof** By the definition of a tame module, it suffices to assume that  $M$  is a finite rank  $R$ -module and conclude that it is finitely generated.

Let  $a \in R$  as in (iv) of Lemma 2.2. By [15, Lemma 3],  $M/aM$  is finite. The following result is the key to the proof of Lemma 2.2.

**Sublemma 2.3** For every  $D > 0$ , there exist only finitely many  $x \in M$  such that  $h(x) \leq D$ .

**Proof of Sublemma 2.3** If we suppose Sublemma 2.3 is not true, then we can define

$$C = \inf\{D \mid \text{there exists infinitely many } x \in M \text{ such that } h(x) \leq D\}.$$

Properties (ii) and (iii) and the finiteness of  $M_{\text{tor}}$  yield  $C \geq c > 0$ . By the definition of  $C$ , it must be that there exists an infinite sequence of elements  $z_n$  of  $M$  such that for every  $n$ ,  $h(z_n) < \frac{3C}{2}$ .

Because  $M/aM$  is finite, there exists a coset of  $aM$  in  $M$  containing infinitely many  $z_n$  from the above sequence.

But if  $k_1 \neq k_2$  and  $z_{k_1}$  and  $z_{k_2}$  are in the same coset of  $aM$  in  $M$ , then let  $y \in M$  be such that  $ay = z_{k_1} - z_{k_2}$ . Using properties (iv) and (i), we get

$$h(y) \leq \frac{h(z_{k_1} - z_{k_2})}{8} \leq \frac{h(z_{k_1}) + h(z_{k_2})}{4} < \frac{3C}{4}.$$

We can do this for any two elements of the sequence that lie in the same coset of  $aM$  in  $M$ . Because there are infinitely many of them lying in the same coset, we can construct infinitely many elements  $z \in M$  such that  $h(z) < \frac{3C}{4}$ , contradicting the minimality of  $C$ . ■

From this point on, our proof of Lemma 2.2 follows the classical descent argument in the Mordell–Weil theorem (see [17]).

Take coset representatives  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  for  $aM$  in  $M$ . Define then

$$B = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} h(y_i).$$

Consider the set  $Z = \{x \in M \mid h(x) \leq B\}$ , which is finite according to Sublemma 2.3. Let  $N$  be the finitely generated  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  which is spanned by  $Z$ .

We claim that  $M = N$ . If we suppose this is not the case, then by Sublemma 2.3 we can pick  $y \in M - N$  which minimizes  $h(y)$ . Because  $N$  contains all the coset representatives of  $aM$  in  $M$ , we can find  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $y - y_i \in aM$ . Let  $x \in M$  be such that  $y - y_i = ax$ . Then  $x \notin N$  because otherwise it would follow that  $y \in N$  (we already know  $y_i \in N$ ). By our choice of  $y$  and by properties (iv) and (i), we have

$$h(y) \leq h(x) \leq \frac{h(y - y_i)}{8} \leq \frac{h(y) + h(y_i)}{4} \leq \frac{h(y) + B}{4}.$$

This means that  $h(y) \leq \frac{B}{3} < B$ . This contradicts the fact that  $y \notin N$  because  $N$  contains all the elements  $z \in M$  such that  $h(z) \leq B$ . This contradiction shows that indeed  $M = N$  and so,  $M$  is finitely generated. ■

### 3 Elliptic Curves

Unless otherwise stated, the setting is the following:  $K$  is a finitely generated field of transcendence degree 1 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  where  $p$  is a prime as always. We fix an algebraic closure  $K^{\text{alg}}$  of  $K$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$  the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  inside  $K^{\text{alg}}$ .

Let  $E$  be a non-isotrivial elliptic curve (i.e.,  $j(E) \notin \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ ) defined over  $K$ . Let  $K^{\text{per}}$  be the perfect closure of  $K$  inside  $K^{\text{alg}}$ . Theorem 1.1, which we are going to prove in this section, says that  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is finitely generated.

For every finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  we denote by  $M_L$  the set of discrete valuations  $v$  on  $L$ , normalized so that the value group of  $v$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For each  $v \in M_L$  we denote by  $f_v$  the degree of the residue field of  $v$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . If  $P \in E(L)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $mP$  represents the point on the elliptic curve obtained using the group law on  $E$ . We define a notion of height for the point  $P \in E(L)$  with respect to the field  $K$

$$h_K(P) = \frac{-1}{[L : K]} \sum_{v \in M_L} f_v \min\{0, v(x(P))\}$$

(see [18, Chapter VIII] and [19, Chapter III]). Then we define the canonical height of  $P$  with respect to  $K$  as

$$\widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_K(2^n P)}{4^n}.$$

We also denote by  $\Delta_{E/K}$  the divisor which is the minimal discriminant of  $E$  with respect to the field  $K$  (see [18, Chapter VIII]). By  $\deg(\Delta_{E/K})$  we denote the degree of

the divisor  $\Delta_{E/K}$  (computed with respect to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). We denote by  $g_K$  the genus of the function field  $K$ .

The following result is proved in [5] (see their Theorem 7, which extends a similar result of Hindry and Silverman [6] valid for function fields of characteristic 0).

**Theorem 3.1 (Goldfeld–Szpiro)** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a function field  $K$  of one variable over a field in any characteristic. Let  $\widehat{h}_{E/K}$  denote the canonical height on  $E$  and let  $\Delta_{E/K}$  be the minimal discriminant of  $E$ , both computed with respect to  $K$ . Then for every point  $P \in E(K)$  that is not a torsion point:*

$$\widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) \geq 10^{-13} \deg(\Delta_{E/K}) \text{ if } \deg(\Delta_{E/K}) \geq 24(g_K - 1),$$

and

$$\widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) \geq 10^{-13-23g} \deg(\Delta_{E/K}) \text{ if } \deg(\Delta_{E/K}) < 24(g_K - 1).$$

We are ready to prove our first result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We first observe that replacing  $K$  by a finite extension does not affect the conclusion of the theorem. Thus, at the expense of replacing  $K$  by a finite extension, we may assume  $E$  is semi-stable over  $K$  (the existence of such a finite extension is guaranteed by [18, Chapter VII, Proposition 5.4(c)]; see also Corollary 1.4 from [18, Appendix A, Corollary 1.4]).

As before, we let  $\widehat{h}_{E/K}$  and  $\Delta_{E/K}$  be the canonical height on  $E$  and the minimal discriminant of  $E$ , respectively, computed with respect to  $K$ .

We let  $F$  be the usual Frobenius. For every  $n \geq 1$ , we denote by  $E^{(p^n)}$  the elliptic curve obtained by raising to power  $p^n$  the coefficients of a Weierstrass equation for  $E$ . Thus

$$(3.1) \quad F^n: E(K^{1/p^n}) \rightarrow E^{(p^n)}(K)$$

is a bijection. Moreover, for every  $P \in E(K^{1/p})$ ,

$$pP = (VF)(P) \in V(E^{(p)}(K)) \subset E(K)$$

where  $V$  is the Verschiebung. Similarly, we get that

$$(3.2) \quad p^n E(K^{1/p^n}) \subset E(K) \text{ for every } n \geq 1.$$

Thus  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  lies in the  $p$ -division hull of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $E(K)$ . Because  $E(K)$  is finitely generated (by the Mordell–Weil theorem), we conclude that  $E(K^{\text{per}})$ , as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, has finite rank.

We will show next that the height function  $\widehat{h}_{E/K}$  and  $p^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy the properties (i)–(iv) of Lemma 2.2 corresponding to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $E(K^{\text{per}})$ .

Property (ii) is well known for  $\widehat{h}_{E/K}$ . Property (i) follows from the quadraticity of  $\widehat{h}$ :  $\widehat{h}(P + Q) + \widehat{h}(P - Q) = 2\widehat{h}(P) + 2\widehat{h}(Q)$  (see [17, p. 40, Section 3.6]) for all points  $P, Q \in E$ . Hence  $\widehat{h}(P \pm Q) \leq 2(\widehat{h}(P) + \widehat{h}(Q))$ . We also have the formula

$$\widehat{h}_{E/K}(p^2P) = p^4 \widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) \geq 8\widehat{h}(P) \text{ for every } P \in E(K^{\text{alg}}),$$

(see [18, Chapter VIII]), which proves that property (iv) of Lemma 2.2 holds. Now we prove that property (iii) also holds (here we will use Theorem 3.1). Let  $P$  be a non-torsion point of  $E(K^{\text{per}})$ . Then  $P \in E(K^{1/p^n})$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Because  $K^{1/p^n}$  is isomorphic to  $K$ , they have the same genus, which we call  $g$ . We denote by  $\widehat{h}_{E/K^{1/p^n}}$  and  $\Delta_{E/K^{1/p^n}}$  the canonical height on  $E$  and the minimal discriminant of  $E$ , respectively, computed with respect to  $K^{1/p^n}$ . Using Theorem 3.1, we conclude

$$\widehat{h}_{E/K^{1/p^n}}(P) \geq 10^{-13-23g} \deg(\Delta_{E/K^{1/p^n}}).$$

We have  $\widehat{h}_{E/K^{1/p^n}}(P) = [K^{1/p^n} : K] \widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) = p^n \widehat{h}_{E/K}(P)$ . Now, using the proof of Proposition 5.4(b) from Chapter VII of [18], and the fact that  $E$  has semi-stable reduction over  $K$ , we conclude that  $E/K^{1/p^n}$  has the same minimal discriminant as  $E/K$ . However, the degree of the minimal discriminant changes by a factor of  $p^n$ , because each place of  $K^{1/p^n}$  is ramified of degree  $p^n$  over  $K$ . Thus

$$\deg(\Delta_{E/K^{1/p^n}}) = p^n \deg(\Delta_{E/K}).$$

We conclude that for every non-torsion  $P \in E(K^{\text{per}})$ ,

$$\widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) \geq 10^{-13-23g} \deg(\Delta_{E/K}).$$

Because  $E$  is non-isotrivial,  $\Delta_{E/K} \neq 0$  and so,  $\deg(\Delta_{E/K}) \geq 1$ . We conclude

$$(3.3) \quad \widehat{h}_{E/K}(P) \geq 10^{-13-23g}.$$

Inequality (3.3) shows that property (iii) of Lemma 2.2 holds for  $\widehat{h}_{E/K}$ . Thus properties (i)-(iv) of Lemma 2.2 hold for  $\widehat{h}_{E/K}$  and  $p^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  relative to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $E(K^{\text{per}})$ .

We show that  $E_{\text{tor}}(K^{\text{per}})$  is finite. Equation (3.2) shows that the prime-to- $p$ -torsion of  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  equals the prime-to- $p$ -torsion of  $E(K)$ ; thus the prime-to- $p$ -torsion of  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is finite. If there exists infinite  $p$ -power torsion in  $E(K^{\text{per}})$ , equation (3.1) yields that we have arbitrarily large  $p$ -power torsion in the family of elliptic curves  $E^{(p^n)}$  over  $K$ . But this contradicts standard results on uniform boundedness for the torsion of elliptic curves over function fields, as established in [13] (actually, [13] proves a uniform boundedness of the entire torsion of elliptic curves over a fixed function field; thus including the prime-to- $p$ -torsion). Hence  $E_{\text{tor}}(K^{\text{per}})$  is finite.

Because all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 hold, we conclude that  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is tame. Because  $\text{rk}(E(K^{\text{per}}))$  is finite, we conclude that  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is finitely generated. ■

*Remark 3.2.* It is absolutely crucial in Theorem 1.1 that  $E$  is non-isotrivial. Theorem 1.1 fails in the isotrivial case, i.e., there exists no  $n \geq 0$  such that  $E(K^{\text{per}}) = E(K^{1/p^n})$ . Indeed, if  $E$  is defined by  $y^2 = x^3 + x$  ( $p > 2$ ),  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(t, (t^3 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $P = (t, (t^3 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , then  $F^{-n}P \in E(K^{1/p^n}) \setminus E(K^{1/p^{n-1}})$ , for every  $n \geq 1$ . So,  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is not finitely generated in this case (and we can get a similar example also for the case  $p = 2$ ).

We extend now the result of Theorem 1.1 to elliptic curves defined over arbitrary function fields in characteristic  $p$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $K$  be a finitely generated field extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $E$  be a non-isotrivial elliptic curve defined over  $K$ . Then  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is a finitely generated group.*

**Proof** At the expense of replacing  $K$  by a finite extension we may assume  $E[p] \subset E(K)$ . Clearly, if we prove Theorem 3.3 for a finite extension of  $K$ , then our result holds also for  $K$ . Therefore we assume from now on that  $E[p] \subset E(K)$ .

Let  $j(E)$  be the  $j$ -invariant of  $E$ . Because  $E$  is non-isotrivial, then  $j(E)$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Also, because  $E$  is defined over  $K$ , then  $j(E) \in K$ . Let  $F_0 := \mathbb{F}_p(j(E))$ . We denote by  $F_0^{\text{alg}}$  the algebraic closure of  $F_0$  inside a fixed algebraic closure  $K^{\text{alg}}$  of  $K$ .

Let  $d := \text{trdeg}_{F_0} K$ . If  $d = 0$ , then Theorem 1.1 yields the conclusion of Theorem 3.3. Therefore, we assume from now on that  $d \geq 1$ . Because  $d \geq 1$ , we view  $K$  as the function field of a parameter variety  $V$  defined over  $F_0$ . Then we may view  $E$  as the generic fiber of a family of elliptic curves  $\pi: E \rightarrow V$  such that if  $\eta$  is the generic point of  $V$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(\eta) = E_\eta = E$ . The residue field of the generic fiber of  $\pi$  is  $K$ , while for every closed point  $y \in V$ , the corresponding residue field is denoted by  $F_0(y)$ . Note that for each closed point  $y$ ,  $F_0(y)$  is a function field of transcendence degree 1 over  $F_p$ . Because the generic fiber of  $\pi$  is smooth ( $E$  is an elliptic curve), there exists a non-empty Zariski dense set  $V_0 \subset V$ , such that  $\pi$  is smooth over  $V_0$ . For each  $y \in V_0(F_0^{\text{alg}})$ , we get the fiber  $E_y$  called the *specialization* of  $E_\eta$  over  $y$ . A rational point  $P \in E_\eta(K)$  corresponds to a rational section  $s_P: V \rightarrow E$  and for  $y \in V_0$ , we obtain a point  $s_P(y) \in E_y(F_0(y))$ . The map  $P \rightarrow s_P(y)$  induces the *specialization (group) homomorphism*  $E_\eta(K) \rightarrow E_y(F_0(y))$ . Because  $\dim V_0 = d$ , then there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset  $V_1 \subset V_0$  which has a finite morphism into affine space  $\psi: V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$ . Moreover, the image of  $\psi$  contains a non-empty Zariski open subset of  $\mathbb{A}^d$ . We obtain the morphism  $\psi \circ \pi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$  whose generic fiber is again  $E$ . Thus we may view our family of elliptic curves  $\{E_y\}$  as parametrized by  $\mathbb{A}^d$ . By [10, Theorem 7.2], there exists a Hilbert subset  $S \subset \mathbb{A}^d(F_0)$  such that for  $t \in S$  and  $y \in V_1(F_0^{\text{alg}})$  with  $\psi(y) = t$ , the specialization morphism

$$(3.4) \quad E_\eta(K) \rightarrow E_y(F_0(y))$$

is injective. In particular, because  $E[p] \subset E(K)$ :

$$(3.5) \quad E[p] \text{ injects through the specialization morphism.}$$

By [9, Chapter 9, Theorem 4.2],  $F_0$  is a Hilbertian field. Hence,  $S$  is infinite (in particular, it is non-empty). Let  $y \in \psi^{-1}(S)$  be fixed. The above specialization morphism extends to a morphism  $E(K^{1/p^n}) \rightarrow E_y(F_0(y)^{1/p^n})$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . We are using the fact that the valuation  $v$  on  $K$  corresponding to the specialization (3.4) has a unique extension on  $K^{1/p^n}$ , which we also call  $v$ . In addition, the residue field of  $v$  on  $K^{1/p^n}$  is contained in  $F_0(y)^{1/p^n}$ , because  $F_0(y)$  is the residue field of  $v$  on  $K$ . In particular, we have a group homomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad E(K^{\text{per}}) \rightarrow E_y(F_0(y)^{\text{per}}),$$

where  $F_0(y)^{\text{per}}$  is the perfect closure of  $F_0(y)$  inside  $F_0^{\text{alg}}$ . Using (3.5) in (3.6) we conclude that

$$(3.7) \quad E[p^\infty](K^{\text{per}}) \text{ injects through the specialization morphism.}$$

We showed in (3.2) that  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is contained in the  $p$ -division hull of  $E(K)$ . Therefore (3.4) and (3.7) yield that (3.6) is also injective. Hence  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  embeds into  $E_y(F_0(y)^{\text{per}})$ . By construction,  $E_y$  is an elliptic curve of  $j$ -invariant equal to  $j(E)$  (note that  $j(E) \in F_0$  and  $F_0$  is the constant field in our specialization). Thus  $E_y$  is a non-isotrivial elliptic curve and  $F_0(y)$  is a function field of transcendence degree 1 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $E_y(F_0(y)^{\text{per}})$  is finitely generated. Hence  $E(K^{\text{per}})$  is also finitely generated, as desired. ■

**Acknowledgments** I would like to thank Thomas Scanlon for asking me the analogue of Theorem 3.3 for ordinary abelian varieties. His question motivated me to obtain the results presented in this paper. I also thank the referee for his or her very useful comments.

## References

- [1] M. H. Baker and J. H. Silverman, *A lower bound for the canonical height on abelian varieties over abelian extensions*. Math. Res. Lett. **11**(2004), no. 2-3, 377–396.
- [2] S. David and M. Hindry, *Minoration de la hauteur de Néron-Tate sur les variétés abéliennes de type C. M. J. Reine Angew. Math.* **529**(2000), 1–74.
- [3] E. Dobrowolski, *On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*. Acta Arith. **34**(1979), no. 4, 391–401.
- [4] D. Ghioca and R. Moosa, *Division points of subvarieties of isotrivial semi-abelian varieties*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2006**, Art. ID 65437.
- [5] D. Goldfeld and L. Szpiro, *Bounds for the order of the Tate-Shafarevich group*. Compositio Math. **97**(1995), no. 1-2, 71–87.
- [6] M. Hindry and J. H. Silverman, *The canonical height and integral points on elliptic curves*. Invent. Math. **93**(1988), no. 2, 419–450. doi:10.1007/BF01394340
- [7] ———, *On Lehmer’s conjecture for elliptic curves*. In: Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1988–1989, Progr. Math. 91, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 103–116.
- [8] M. Kim, *Purely inseparable points on curves of higher genus*. Math. Res. Lett. **4**(1997), no. 5, 663–666.
- [9] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [10] ———, *Number theory. III. Diophantine geometry*. In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 60, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [11] M. Laurent, *Minoration de la hauteur de Néron-Tate*. In: Séminaire de théorie des nombres, Paris 1981–82, Progr. Math. 38, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 137–151.
- [12] D. H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*. Ann. of Math. (2) **34**(1933), no. 3, 461–479. doi:10.2307/1968172
- [13] M. Levin, *On the group of rational points on elliptic curves over function fields*. Amer. J. Math. **90**(1968), 456–462. doi:10.2307/2373538
- [14] D. W. Masser, *Counting points of small height on elliptic curves*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **117**(1989), no. 2, 247–265.
- [15] B. Poonen, *Local height functions and the Mordell-Weil theorem for Drinfeld modules*. Compositio Math. **97**(1995), no. 3, 349–368.
- [16] T. Scanlon, *A positive characteristic Manin-Mumford theorem*. Compositio Math. **141**(2005), no. 6, 1351–1364. doi:10.1112/S0010437X05001879
- [17] J.-P. Serre, *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*. Aspects of Mathematics E15, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1989.
- [18] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

- [19] ———, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [20] ———, *A lower bound for the canonical height on elliptic curves over abelian extensions*. J. Number Theory **104**(2004), no. 2, 353–372. doi:10.1016/j.jnt.2003.07.001

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB T1K 3M4*  
*e-mail: dragos.ghioca@uleth.ca*