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1. The question, "How, from a given function which is selfreciprocal for a transform of a particular order, can we construct other functions which are self-reciprocal for transforms of different orders?" was first raised by Hardy and Titchmarsh ${ }^{1}$ who gave some rules for constructing such functions. Following their method, I have shown, in a recent paper, ${ }^{2}$ that there are certain general theorems of the following type:-

If $f(x)$ is its own $J_{\mu}$ transform, $g(x)$ is its own $J_{\nu}$ transform. In this note I add a few more such theorems, the interest lying mainly in the results themselves and not in a rigorous proof thereof; and hence only the formal procedure is given here.

For his constant guidance in my work I wish to express my thanks to Prof. E. C. Titchmarsh at whose suggestion I started the investigation.
2. Following Hardy and Titchmarsh I will say that a function is $R_{\nu}$ if it is self-reciprocal for $J_{\nu}$ transforms, and it is - $R_{\nu}$ if it is skewreciprocal for $J_{\nu}$ transforms. Also, for $R_{1}$ and $R_{-\frac{1}{9}}$ I will write $R_{s}$ and $R_{e}$ respectively.

I will make use of the following result of Hardy and Titchmarsh. ${ }^{3}$
A necessary and sufficient condition that a function $f(x)$ should be $R_{\mu}$ is that it should be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} 2^{{ }^{1 s}} \boldsymbol{s}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \psi(s) x^{-s} d s, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<c<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(s)=\psi(1-s) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]3. Theorem I. If $f(x)$ is $R_{1}$, the function
$$
g(x)=x^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\frac{\hbar}{2} \nu} H_{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)}(x y) f(y) d y
$$
where $H_{\nu}(x)$ is Struve's function of order ${ }^{1} \nu$, is $R_{\nu}$.
This theorem can be proved on the lines of a similar theorem of Hardy and Titchmarsh ${ }^{2}$, or it can be derived from a more general theorem proved in my paper referred to above ${ }^{3}$, with the help of a formula given by Watson ${ }^{4}$.
4. Theorem II. If $f(x)$ is $R_{\mu}$, the function
$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} Q\left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right) f(y) d y
$$
is $R_{\nu}$, provided that
\[

\left.$$
\begin{array}{c}
Q(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{k-i \infty}^{k+i \infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu-\frac{1}{2} s\right) \times(s) e^{x 8} d s \quad(x>0)  \tag{4.1}\\
=0 \quad(x<0)
\end{array}
$$\right\}
\]

where $k$ is any positive number, and $\chi(s)$ satisfies (2.2).
By (2.1) we have

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i x} \int_{0}^{x} Q\left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right) d y \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} 2^{\frac{1}{s}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \psi(s) y^{-s} d s
$$

where $\psi(s)=\psi(1-s)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i x} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} 2^{\frac{1 s}{}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \psi(s) d s \int_{0}^{x} Q\left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right) y^{-s} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+2 \infty} 2^{\sharp s} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \psi(s) x^{-s} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(s-1) u} Q(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using a form of Mellin's Inversion Formula ${ }^{5}$, from (4.1) we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x s} Q(x) d x=\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu-\frac{1}{2} s\right) \chi(s),
$$

where $\chi(s)=\chi(1-s)$. Changing $s$ into $1-s$, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(8-1) x} Q(x) d x=\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu-\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \chi(s) .
$$

1 Watson VII, § 10.4(2).
2 Hardy and Titchmarsh IV, § 2.
${ }^{3}$ Mehrotra $V, \S 8$.
4 Watson VII, § 13.24 (2).
${ }^{5}$ See Bateman I, Hardy II and Pincherle VI.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} 2^{\frac{1}{s}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu-\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \chi(s) \psi(s) x^{-8} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \psi_{1}(s) x^{-8} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi_{1}(s)=\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu-\frac{1}{2} s\right) \chi(s) \psi(s)$.
As $\psi_{1}(s)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\psi_{1}(s)=\psi_{1}(1-s)
$$

it follows from (2.1) that $g(x)$ is $R_{r}$.
5. If, in Theorem II, we put $\mu=\nu$, we get a corollary. If $f(x)$ is $R_{\nu}$, the function

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} Q\left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right) f(y) d y
$$

is $R_{v}$ provided that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{k-i \infty}^{k+i \infty} e^{x s} \lambda(s) d s \\
&=0 \quad(x>0) \\
& \quad(x<0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda(s)=\lambda(1-s)$.
6. Theorem III. If $f(x)$ is $R_{\mu}$, the function

$$
g(x)=\int_{0}^{1 / x} Q\left(\log \frac{1}{x y}\right) f(y) d y
$$

is $R_{v}$, provided that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlr}
Q(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{k-i \infty}^{k+i \infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \mu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu+\frac{1}{2} s\right) \chi(s) e^{x s} d s \quad(x>0)  \tag{6.1}\\
& =0 \quad(x<0)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\chi(s)$ satisfies (2.2).
The proof of this theorem is similiar to that of Theorem II.
The symmetry of the integral in (6.1) shows that if $f(x)$ is $R_{\nu}$, $g(x)$ is $R_{\mu}$.
7. For the particular case $\mu=\mp \frac{1}{2}, \nu= \pm \frac{1}{2}$, the above theorem takes the simpler form:

If $f(x)$ is $R_{c}$ (or $R_{s}$ ), the function

$$
g(x)=\int_{0}^{1 / x} Q\left(\log \frac{1}{x y}\right) f(y) d y
$$

is $R_{s}$ (or $R_{c}$ ) provided that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{k-i \infty}^{k+i \infty} \Gamma(s) \chi(s) e^{x s} d s \quad(x>0) \\
& =0 \quad(x<0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi(s)$ satisfies (2.2).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hardy and Titchmarsh IV.
    2 Mehrotia V.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hardy and Titchmarsh III, Theorem 8.

