

## Is dietary macronutrient composition during pregnancy associated with offspring birth weight? An observational study

Sukshma S. Sharma<sup>1\*</sup>, Darren C. Greenwood<sup>2</sup>, Nigel A. B. Simpson<sup>3</sup> and Janet E. Cade<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nutritional Epidemiology Group, School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, Division of Biostatistics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, Division of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

(Submitted 15 May 2017 – Final revision received 29 October 2017 – Accepted 20 November 2017 – First published online 10 January 2018)

### Abstract

There is lack of evidence on the differential impact of maternal macronutrient consumption: carbohydrates (CHO), fats and protein on birth weight. We investigated the association between maternal dietary macronutrient intakes and their sub-components such as saccharides and fatty acids and birth weight. This analyses included 1,196 women with singleton pregnancies who were part of the CAffeine and REproductive health study in Leeds, UK between 2003 and 2006. Women were interviewed in each trimester. Dietary information was collected twice using a 24-h dietary recall about 8–12 weeks and 13–27 weeks of gestation. Multiple linear regression models adjusted for alcohol and smoking in trimester 1, showed that each additional 10 g/d CHO consumption was associated with an increase of 4 g (95% CI 1, 7;  $P=0.003$ ) in birth weight. Conversely, an additional 10 g/d fat intake was associated with a lower birth weight of 8 g (95% CI 0, 16;  $P=0.04$ ) when we accounted for energy contributing macronutrients in each model, and maternal height, weight, parity, ethnicity, gestational age at delivery and sex of the baby. There was no evidence of an association between protein intake and birth weight. Maternal diet in trimester 2 suggested that higher intakes of glucose (10 g/d) and lactose (1 g/d) were both associated with higher birth weight of 52 g (95% CI 4, 100;  $P=0.03$ ) and 5 g (95% CI 2, 7;  $P<0.001$ ) respectively. These results show that dietary macronutrient composition during pregnancy is associated with birth weight outcomes. An appropriately balanced intake of dietary CHO and fat during pregnancy could support optimum birth weight.

**Key words:** Macronutrients: Proteins: Carbohydrates: Fats: Birth weight: Birth centiles: Pregnancy: Diets

There is increasing evidence elucidating the role of diet during pregnancy on the growing fetus<sup>(1,2)</sup> and subsequently, in the offspring metabolic health in adulthood<sup>(3)</sup>. Maternal diet in pregnancy is suggested to contribute in the alteration of fetal outcomes<sup>(4)</sup>, including birth weight<sup>(5)</sup>, preterm delivery<sup>(6)</sup>, low birth weight infants (<2500 g)<sup>(7)</sup> and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) births<sup>(8)</sup>. Meta-analyses<sup>(9–11)</sup> have examined the role of micronutrients in the maternal diet, including vitamin C<sup>(9)</sup>, iron<sup>(12)</sup> and folate<sup>(13,14)</sup> in the development of adverse birth outcomes. Amongst dietary macronutrients, evidence has been restricted to exploring the use of protein-energy supplementation in pregnancy for improving offspring birth weight amongst low-income countries<sup>(15–17)</sup>. However, amongst high-income countries the prevalence of maternal and infant protein-energy under nutrition is low due to sufficient macronutrient consumption during pregnancy<sup>(18)</sup>.

Although during pregnancy, in well-nourished women, the recommended dietary allowances of protein, carbohydrate (CHO) and fat are largely met<sup>(5,18,19)</sup>, the influence of the source of energy intake: macronutrients during pregnancy on birth outcomes including birth weight remains unclear. The specific

source of energy (dietary protein, fat and CHO) consumed may also have a differential impact on birth outcomes<sup>(2,20–23)</sup>. Evidence remains inadequate and conflicting from previous observational studies<sup>(2,20–23)</sup> that investigated the potential association between energy composition of food consumed during pregnancy and birth weight. Studies have also explored the effect of macronutrient/energy-dense dietary patterns in pregnancy<sup>(6,8,24)</sup> on birth outcomes. These ‘western’ or ‘junk’ dietary patterns in the studies, included energy-dense food items, for instance, sweet snacks, desserts, bakery products and processed foods, were suggested to have negative implications on the quality of birth outcome. Amongst macronutrient sub-components, results remain conflicting in studies which explored the effect of fatty acids, including long chained PUFA (LC-PUFA) on birth outcomes<sup>(25–29)</sup>. In addition, no studies, to our knowledge, have explored the effect of dietary saccharides (monosaccharides, disaccharides, dietary fibres) during pregnancy on birth outcomes including birth weight or ‘customised’ birth weight centiles – computer generated antenatal growth charts for individual pregnancies that allow variation in the maternal characteristics, taking birth weights from previous

**Abbreviations:** CHO, carbohydrates; LGA, large for gestational age; SGA, small for gestational age.

\* **Corresponding author:** S. S. Sharma, email fsss@leeds.ac.uk

pregnancies into consideration<sup>(30)</sup>. Customised birth weight centiles are used in this study as they set individual standards for fetal growth that allow better differentiation between optimal and abnormal growth *in utero*<sup>(31)</sup>. This method adjusts for a number of variables including maternal height, weight, parity, sex of the baby, ethnicity, and across all gestational ages. Using this external adjustment is particularly useful for some categories, such as minor ethnic groups which require large numbers from which to derive precise model coefficients.

We aimed to investigate the association between intakes of specific dietary macronutrients (CHO, fat and protein, and their sub-components such as saccharides and fatty acids) during pregnancy in a well-nourished population and birth outcomes: birth weight, birth centile, SGA infants and large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infants.

## Methods

### *Study design and population*

The Caffeine and REproductive health (CARE) study prospectively recruited low risk pregnant women from two large teaching hospital maternity units in Leeds, UK from September 2003 to June 2006<sup>(32,33)</sup>. This study was designed to explore diet with a focus on maternal caffeine intake in relation to fetal growth. The inclusion criteria were pregnant women aged between 18 and 45 years and carrying singleton pregnancies accurately dated by ultrasound. Women with concurrent medical disorders, psychiatric illness, HIV infection, or hepatitis B infection were excluded. Participants completed a consent form indicating their willingness to participate in the study. They were interviewed by research midwives during their booking appointment in the antenatal clinic. Questionnaires for trimester 1 (8–12 weeks of gestation) and 3 (from 28 weeks of gestation) were interviewer-administered, and the questionnaire for trimester 2 (13–27 weeks of gestation) was self-administered<sup>(34)</sup>. Their demographic details (age, parity, maternal height, weight, socioeconomic status, and gestational age) were self-reported by means of an interviewer-administered questionnaire. Ethical approval was obtained from Leeds West Local Research Ethics Committee (LREC), Directorate of Research and Development, Leeds, LREC Ref 7260. Participants gave signed informed consent before enrolment into the study.

### *Dietary data*

Out of 1289 participants in the original study, dietary information was available for 1196 women in trimester 1 and 575 women in trimester 2. The dietary intake was collected at home twice in a 24-h dietary recall<sup>(33–35)</sup> administered by a trained research midwife; once during trimester 1 (8–12 weeks of gestation) and again during trimester 2 (13–27 weeks of gestation). Trained personnel entered the 24-h dietary recalls by using nutrient analysis package – ‘DANTE’ (Diet and Nutrition Tool for Evaluation). The nutrient analysis computed by this software package was based on the standard UK food composition tables by the Royal Society of Chemistry<sup>(36)</sup>.

Primary exposures were macronutrients: protein, fat and CHO and their sub-components including fatty acids and saccharides. The CHO sub-components included mono-saccharides (glucose, fructose), disaccharides (sucrose, maltose and lactose), and complex sugars (starch, soluble fibre). The dietary fat sub-components included SFA, MUFA and PUFA. However, total protein was considered for sub-component analyses as the data for animal and vegetable protein, and amino acid contents were unavailable.

### *Other data*

Questionnaires administered by trained midwives included information on confounders such as smoking habits, alcohol consumption and other information such as episodes of nausea. The multiple linear regression models were adjusted for smoking status<sup>(37)</sup> and alcohol intake<sup>(38)</sup> due to their adverse effects on infant and prenatal nutrition. Smoking status for trimesters 1 and 2 listed the frequency of smoking and was categorised into three: ‘non-smoker’, ‘current smoker’ and ‘occasional smoker – previously smoked everyday but do not smoke now’. The participant’s average alcohol consumption (units/d) (continuous variable) was measured during trimester 1 and 2. Physical activity was self-reported and was recorded into three categories: ‘no weekly physical activity’, ‘light/moderate physical activity’ and ‘vigorous physical activity (up to <20 min 1–2/week)’. Three questionnaires were administered to determine lifestyle behaviours with a focus on caffeine intake in pregnancy from 4 weeks before pregnancy until recruitment into the study at 8–12 weeks of pregnancy; the second covered the period 13–27 weeks; and the third included the period from 28–40 weeks of pregnancy<sup>(34)</sup>.

### *Outcome: birth weight, birth centile, small-for-gestational-age and large-for-gestational-age births*

The information on antenatal pregnancy complications and delivery details (gestational age at delivery, birth weight, and sex of the baby) were obtained from the electronic maternity databases. The primary outcomes in our study were birth weight and birth centile. Birth weight was recorded in g in the electronic maternity database. The customised birth centiles were computed by using customised centile charts<sup>(31,39)</sup> which accounted for the following factors: maternal weight, height, ethnicity, parity, gestational age at delivery and sex of the baby. Other outcomes additionally explored were SGA births and LGA births (refer supplementary material). These particular definitions were chosen as they are clinically relevant amongst at-risk infant groups. On the customised centile chart, SGA birth was defined as birth weight <10th centile<sup>(30,31,40)</sup>, and LGA birth was defined as birth weight >90th centile<sup>(30,41)</sup>. Both of these outcomes accounted for the following variables: maternal height, weight, ethnicity, parity, gestational age at delivery and sex of the baby<sup>(31)</sup>.

### *Statistical analysis*

We calculated the means and standard deviations, and absolute frequency distributions with percentages (*n* (%)) for



demographic characteristics of interest (Table 1 in results). To examine associations between macronutrients or their sub-components, and birth weight/centile; multiple linear regression models (models 1 and 2) were designed for trimesters 1 and 2 separately. Each macronutrient and its sub-component model were adjusted for other energy contributing macronutrients and sub-components within the model. In order to help with the interpretation of birth centiles, we have additionally presented these results in actual birth weight in g. In the centile model (model 1) we made use of customised centile charts<sup>(31,39)</sup> which automatically accounted for these variables: maternal height, weight, parity, ethnicity, gestational age at delivery and sex of the baby. The birth weight model (model 1) was adjusted for maternal height, weight, parity, ethnicity, gestational age at delivery and sex of the baby. All regression models (birth weight/centile models) under model 2 were additionally adjusted for participants' alcohol consumption and smoking habits in pregnancy.

We carried out logistic regression analyses to explore the OR for delivering an SGA/LGA infant. In the logistic regression models, SGA and LGA births were binary outcomes. Model 2 additionally adjusted for alcohol intake and smoking habits.

The results of the macronutrient consumption (CHO, fat and protein) were presented for 10 g/d increments, and sub-components of dietary fat and CHO were presented for 1 g/d increments. However, couple of sub-components consumed in higher amounts: starch and glucose intakes were presented for 10 g/d increments. The statistical significance level for the results was set at 5%. All analyses were performed using Stata SE, version 13.1 (1985–2013; StataCorp).

## Results

### Baseline characteristics

The CARE study analyses included 1196 women in trimester 1, amongst which trimester 2 included 575 women (45% lost to follow-up). The descriptive characteristics of 1196 participants in our analyses are similar to the remaining non-participants in the original cohort.

The mean age of the women in this cohort was 30 (SD 5) years, with (42%, *n* 540) being primiparous (Table 1). A majority of the cohort were of European origin (93%, *n* 1202). The mean BMI of the participants measured at baseline was 25 (SD 5) kg/m<sup>2</sup>. A majority of women (98%, *n* 1171) were employed; one third (39%, *n* 472) of the participants completed university degree as the highest level of education. Approximately half of the cohort (52%, *n* 585) were non-smokers during pregnancy, and approximately 68% (*n* 753) and 78% (*n* 610) did light/moderate physical activity in trimesters 1 and 2 respectively. Amongst the neonates, the mean birth weight was 3434 (SD 559) g, and the mean gestational age at delivery was 40 weeks (SD 2). Around 4%, (*n* 51) infants were termed low birth weight (<2500 g) and preterm births respectively, and approximately 14% (*n* 165) were LGA (>90th centile) infants.

Mean total energy intake per day of the participants in trimesters 1 and 2 were 8870 (SD 2895) kJ (2120 (SD 692) kcal) and 9535 (SD 2653) kJ (2279 (SD 634) kcal), respectively (Table 2). During trimester 1, the mean total CHO, protein and fat intakes per day

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the participants and their infants in the CAffeine and REproductive health study (Mean values and standard deviations; numbers and percentages)

Characteristics*	<i>n</i>	%
<b>Maternal characteristics (<i>n</i> 1196)</b>		
Age (years)		
Mean	30	
SD	5	
Pre-pregnancy weight (kg)		
Mean	67	
SD	14	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		
Mean	25	
SD	5	
Primiparous	497	42
Ethnicity, European origin	1115	93
Dietary supplement users	988	83
<b>Smoking status</b>		
Trimester 1 ( <i>n</i> 1118)		
Non-smoker	585	52
Occasional smoker	342	31
Current smoker	191	17
Trimester 2 ( <i>n</i> 821)		
Non-smoker	470	57
Occasional smoker	252	31
Current smoker	99	12
<b>Alcohol consumption (units/d)</b>		
Trimester 1		
Mean	0.5	
SD	0.9	
Trimester 2		
Mean	0.2	
SD	0.4	
<b>Physical activity</b>		
Trimester 1 ( <i>n</i> 1102)		
No weekly physical activity	170	15
Light/moderate physical activity	753	68
Vigorous physical activity (up to <20 min 1–2/week)	109	10
Trimester 2 ( <i>n</i> = 781)		
No weekly physical activity	59	8
Light/moderate physical activity	610	78
Vigorous physical activity (up to <20 min 1–2/week)	73	9
<b>Infant characteristics (<i>n</i> 1196)</b>		
Birth weight (g)		
Mean	3434	
SD	559	
Preterm births	51	4
Low birth weight (<2500 g)	51	4
Birth weight (>4000 g)	165	14
Gestational age at delivery (weeks)		
Mean	40	
SD	2	
<b>Pregnancy outcomes</b>		
Live births	1189	99
Still births	3	0.3
Fetal deaths	4	0.3
<b>Infants by sex</b>		
Male	602	50
Female	594	50

\* Results of the descriptive statistics have been restricted to participants included in the later analyses.

were 274 (SD 99), 77 (SD 29) and 86 (SD 39) g, respectively. However, during trimester 2 the mean total CHO, protein and fat intakes per day slightly increased to 300 (SD 92), 81 (SD 28) and 91 (SD 36) g, respectively. There was a slight increase in mean added sugar intake per day from 127 (SD 73) g in trimester 1 to 149 (SD 69) g in trimester 2.

**Table 2.** Dietary macronutrient intakes of the CAffeine and REproductive health study participants in trimesters 1 and 2 (Mean values and standard deviations)

Macronutrient intakes (g/d)	Trimester 1 (n 1196)		Trimester 2 (n 575)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Total energy (kJ/d)	8870	2895	9535	2653
Total energy (kcal/d)	2120	692	2279	634
Total carbohydrate (g/d)	274	99	300	92
Total protein (g/d)	77	29	81	28
Total fat (g/d)	86	39	91	36
MUFA (g/d)	26	15	27	12
PUFA (g/d)	14	10	14	9
SFA (g/d)	31	16	34	17
Cholesterol (mg/d)	243	169	239	152
Added sugar (g/d)	127	73	149	69
Starch (g/d)	141	55	146	52
Monosaccharides (g/d)				
Glucose	25	19	27	17
Fructose	27	28	30	24
Disaccharides (g/d)				
Sucrose	54	36	64	36
Maltose	2	7	2	3
Lactose	16	13	19	15
Total dietary fibre (g/d) (Englyst method)	14	7	16	7

**Table 3.** Association between macronutrients (g) in trimester 1 and 2, and birth centile/birth weight (Birth centiles/birth weights and 95% confidence intervals)

Macronutrient* intakes (10 g/d increment)	Birth centile (model 1)			Birth centile (model 2)		
	Centile†	95% CI	P	Centile†‡	95% CI	P
<b>Trimester 1 (n 1196)</b>						
Total carbohydrate	0.3	0.1, 0.5	0.001	0.2	0.1, 0.4	0.002
Total fat	-0.7	-1.2, -0.1	0.008	-0.5	-1.0, 0	0.06
Protein	0.6	0, 1.3	0.07	0.4	-0.2, 1.2	0.22
<b>Trimester 2 (n 575)</b>						
Total carbohydrate	0.2	0, 0.5	0.06	0.2	0, 0.5	0.07
Total fat	-0.3	-1.1, 0.4	0.37	-0.3	-1.1, 0.5	0.43
Protein	-0.2	-1.2, 0.8	0.70	-0.3	-1.4, 0.6	0.48

  

	Birth weight (g) (model 1)			Birth weight (g) (model 2)		
	Birth weight§	95% CI	P	Birth weight†§	95% CI	P
<b>Trimester 1 (n 1196)</b>						
Total carbohydrate	4.0	1.6, 7.0	0.002	4.0	1.0, 7.0	0.003
Total fat	-10.0	-18.0, -3.0	0.006	-8.0	-16.0, -0.3	0.04
Protein	10.0	0.4, 20.0	0.04	8.0	-2.0, 19.0	0.12
<b>Trimester 2 (n 575)</b>						
Total carbohydrate	4.0	-0.3, 8.0	0.07	3.0	-0.6, 7.0	0.09
Total fat	-2.0	-14.0, 9.0	0.64	-1.0	-13.0, 10.0	0.76
Protein	-6.0	-20.0, 8.0	0.40	-6.0	-22.0, 8.0	0.38

\* Mutually adjusted for other energy contributing macronutrients.

† Adjusted using customised growth charts for maternal weight, height, ethnicity, parity, gestational age at delivery, sex of baby.

‡ Additional adjustment for average alcohol intake and smoking status.

§ Adjusted for maternal weight, height, ethnicity, parity, gestational age at delivery, sex of baby.

*Relationship between macronutrients, and birth centile/birth weight*

We observed associations between trimester 1 macronutrient intake and both birth centile and birth weight (Table 3). In trimester 1, there was a positive association between CHO consumption and birth centile/birth weight. The fully adjusted models (model 2) indicated that a higher intake of CHO (10 g/d

increment) was associated with a higher birth centile (0.2; 95% CI 0.1, 0.4;  $P=0.002$ ) and a higher birth weight (4 g; 95% CI 1, 7;  $P=0.003$ ). Conversely, a higher total fat intake (10 g/d increment) at this stage of pregnancy was negatively associated with birth centile (-0.7; 95% CI -1.2, -0.1;  $P=0.008$ ) on the customised centile chart. However, on further adjusting the model for alcohol intake and smoking habits (model 2), higher fat intake (10 g/d increment) was not associated with birth

centile (−0.5; 95% CI −1.0, 0.0;  $P=0.06$ ) in spite of narrow CI. When we explored its relation with birth weight, fat consumption (10 g/d increment) was negatively associated with birth weight (−8g; 95% CI −16, −0.3;  $P=0.04$ ) in the fully adjusted model (model 2). Amongst other macronutrients, protein intake was not associated with birth centile or birth weight after adjusting for smoking status and alcohol intake, but it had wide CI.

The odds of delivering a SGA infant were positively associated with a high-fat consumption (10 g/d increment), unadjusted OR 1.05 (95% CI 1.00, 1.10;  $P=0.03$ ). However, after adjusting the model (model 2) the odds of delivering a SGA infant (adjusted OR 1.03, 95% CI 0.98, 1.09;  $P=0.14$ ) were not associated with a high fat intake (10 g/d increment). Our analyses showed no evidence of an association between macronutrient intake, and the risk of giving birth to LGA infants.

*Relationship between macronutrient sub-components, and birth centile/birth weight*

In trimester 1 (model 2) (Table 4 and 5), among the complex CHO sub-components, higher starch intake (10 g/d increment) was positively associated with birth centile (0.3; 95% CI 0.0, 0.7;  $P=0.05$ ) but not with birth weight (5 g; 95% CI −0.6, 10;  $P=0.08$ ). Amongst saccharides, higher lactose intake (1 g/d

increment) was associated with a higher birth centile (0.1; 95% CI 0.0, 0.2;  $P=0.03$ ) and not with higher birth weight (2 g; 95% CI −0.1, 4 g;  $P=0.06$ ). In trimester 2 (model 2), higher glucose (10 g/d increment) consumption was positively associated with a higher birth weight (52 g; 95% CI 4, 100;  $P=0.03$ ). Lactose intake (1 g/d increment) was positively associated with a higher birth centile (0.2; 95% CI 0.0, 0.4;  $P=0.01$ ) and birth weight (5 g; 95% CI 2, 7;  $P<0.001$ ). Amongst fat sub-components in trimester 1 (model 2), a higher PUFA intake (1 g/d increment) was negatively associated with birth weight (−4g; 95% CI −8, 0.1;  $P=0.05$ ) but not with birth centile.

**Discussion**

This analysis has shown that dietary macronutrient composition and its sub-components could be associated with birth outcomes. To our knowledge, this is the first observational study to explore relationships between dietary macronutrient sub-components in pregnancy and birth outcomes, including birth weight and birth centile. These associations were mostly observed in trimester 1. A possible explanation for this might be that placentation is established and the fetal growth programmed in trimester 1<sup>(42–44)</sup>. Up to 11 weeks of gestation, the embryo develops in a stable nutritional environment. This may

**Table 4.** Association between macronutrient sub-components during trimester 1 and 2, and birth weight (Birth weights and 95% confidence intervals)

Macronutrient sub-components (g/d increment)	Birth weight (g) (model 1)			Birth weight (g) (model 2)		
	Birth weight*	95% CI	P	Birth weight*†	95% CI	P
<b>Trimester 1 (n 1196)</b>						
Sources of total carbohydrate‡§						
Starch (10 g)	4.0	−1.0, 9.0	0.13	5.0	−0.6, 10.0	0.08
Glucose (10 g)	13.0	−20.0, 45.0	0.43	13.0	−20.0, 47.0	0.43
Fructose (1 g)	0.4	−1.0, 2.0	0.62	0.2	−2.0, 2.0	0.83
Sucrose (1 g)	−0.6	−1.0, 0.1	0.11	−0.3	−1.0, 0.4	0.40
Lactose (1 g)	2.0	−0.1, 4.0	0.07	2.0	−0.1, 4.0	0.06
Maltose (1 g)	5.0	−4.0, 15.0	0.28	2.0	−8.0, 13.0	0.66
Soluble fibre (1 g)	6.0	−4.0, 15.0	0.23	2.0	−8.0, 12.0	0.67
Sources of total fat¶						
SFA (1 g)	−2.0	−4.0, 1.0	0.21	−1.0	−4.0, 2.0	0.46
MUFA (1 g)	2.0	−2.0, 6.0	0.28	1.0	−2.0, 5.0	0.44
PUFA (1 g)	−4.0	−8.0, −0.4	0.02	−4.0	−8.0, 0.09	0.05
Protein (10 g)§	10.0	0.5, 20.0	0.04	8.0	−2.0, 19.0	0.12
<b>Trimester 2 (n 575)</b>						
Sources of total carbohydrate‡§						
Starch (10 g)	4.0	−4.0, 12.0	0.34	4.0	−4.0, 12.0	0.32
Glucose (10 g)	42.0	−6.0, 90.0	0.09	52.0	4.0, 100.0	0.03
Fructose (1 g)	−1.0	−4.0, 2.0	0.40	−2.0	−5.0, 1.0	0.20
Sucrose (1 g)	−1.0	−2.0, 0.3	0.14	−1.0	−2.0, 0.1	0.08
Lactose (1 g)	3.0	1.0, 6.0	0.005	5.0	2.0, 7.0	<0.001
Maltose (1 g)	−0.5	−15.0, 14.0	0.94	−0.01	−14.0, 14.0	0.99
Soluble fibre (1 g)	2.0	−12.0, 16.0	0.78	−0.5	−14.0, 13.0	0.94
Sources of total fat¶						
SFA (1 g)	2.0	−2.0, 6.0	0.35	3.0	−1.0, 7.0	0.14
MUFA (1 g)	−2.0	9.0, 4.0	0.46	−4.0	−11.0, 2.0	0.19
PUFA (1 g)	−2.0	−7.0, 3.0	0.51	0.2	−0.5, 0.05	0.12
Protein (10 g)§	−6.0	−21.0, 8.0	0.40	0.2	−5.0, 6.0	0.93

\* Adjusted for maternal weight, height, ethnicity, parity, gestational age at delivery, sex of baby.

† Additional adjustment for average alcohol intake and smoking status.

‡ Adjusted for dietary protein intakes.

§ Adjusted for dietary fats intakes.

|| Adjusted for carbohydrate intakes.

**Table 5.** Associations between macronutrient sub-components in trimesters 1 and 2, and birth centile (Birth centiles and 95% confidence intervals)

Macronutrient sub-components (g/d increment)	Birth centile (model 1)			Birth centile (model 2)		
	Centile*	95% CI	P	Centile*†	95% CI	P
<b>Trimester 1 (n 1196)</b>						
Sources of total carbohydrate‡§						
Starch (10 g)	0.4	0.02, 0.75	0.03	0.36	-0.01, 1.0	0.05
Glucose (10 g)	2.0	-0.18, 4.44	0.07	2.0	-0.37, 4.0	0.09
Fructose (1 g)	-0.03	-0.17, 0.10	0.60	-0.04	-0.18, 0.09	0.52
Sucrose (1 g)	-0.04	-0.09, 0.01	0.15	-0.02	-0.07, 0.03	0.48
Lactose (1 g)	0.13	0.0, 0.27	0.04	0.15	0.01, 0.29	0.03
Maltose (1 g)	0.07	-0.62, 1.0	0.82	-0.01	-1.0, 1.0	0.96
Soluble fibre (1 g)	0.31	-0.32, 1.0	0.33	0.14	-0.53, 1.0	0.67
Sources of total fat¶						
SFA (1 g)	-0.10	-0.28, 0.06	0.22	-0.05	-0.23, 0.12	0.56
MUFA (1 g)	0.06	-0.19, 0.32	0.60	0.04	-0.22, 0.31	0.74
PUFA (1 g)	-0.20	-0.46, 0.04	0.11	-0.21	-0.5, 0.05	0.12
Protein (10 g)§	0.64	-0.06, 1.0	0.07	0.45	-0.28, 1.19	0.22
<b>Trimester 2 (n 575)</b>						
Sources of total carbohydrate‡§						
Starch (10 g)	0.35	-0.14, 1.0	0.16	0.34	-0.16, 1.0	0.18
Glucose (10 g)	2.0	-0.1, 6.0	0.16	3.0	-0.5, 6.0	0.09
Fructose (1 g)	-0.08	-0.30, 0.13	0.45	-0.11	-0.33, 0.10	0.29
Sucrose (1 g)	-0.04	-0.12, 0.03	0.30	-0.04	-0.12, 0.03	0.23
Lactose (1 g)	0.17	0.0, 0.34	0.05	0.23	0.04, 0.41	0.01
Maltose (1 g)	-0.16	-1.0, 1.0	0.75	-0.03	-1.05, 1.0	0.94
Soluble fibre (1 g)	0.19	-1.0, 1.0	0.68	0.01	-1.0, 1.0	0.97
Sources of total fat¶						
SFA (1 g)	0.07	-0.20, 0.36	0.57	0.11	-0.17, 0.39	0.44
MUFA (1 g)	-0.22	-0.67, 0.21	0.31	-0.26	-1.0, 0.19	0.25
PUFA (1 g)	0.03	-0.32, 0.39	0.84	0.07	-0.29, 0.45	0.67
Protein (10 g)§	-0.19	-1.0, 1.0	0.70	-0.38	-1.0, 0.68	0.48

\* Adjusted using customised growth charts for maternal weight, height, ethnicity, parity, gestational age at delivery, sex of baby.

† Additional adjustment for average alcohol intake and smoking status.

‡ Adjusted for dietary protein intakes.

§ Adjusted for dietary fats intakes.

|| Adjusted for carbohydrate intakes.

explain why the associations seem to weaken or disappear in trimester 2. Early pregnancy reflects infant organ developmental stages, where the overall energy intake may be less important than the quality of diet. So it might be that the diets high in CHO and fat might just reflect poorer quality diets. In addition, 45% women in trimester 2 (n 575) were lost to follow-up as fewer women responded to the request for a second 24-h dietary recall, as communication at this point with the women was by post rather than a study visit. Despite this, the size of the estimates and CI were similar between trimesters 1 and 2. In trimester 2, glucose and lactose were associated with increasing birth weight, this might be attributed to the increased availability of free maternal glucose ready to be utilised as a primary source of energy to meet fetal demands required for organ growth during this period<sup>(45-49)</sup>.

Higher intakes of total CHO during trimester 1 was associated with higher birth weight and an increase in birth centile. This finding in our study is in agreement with literature. A study reported similar associations between low contribution of CHO to total energy during pregnancy and thinness at birth<sup>(50)</sup>. Another study reported that high percentage (%) of energy from CHO in the diet could be associated with high offspring birth weight<sup>(20)</sup>.

Interestingly, amongst monosaccharides, we observed that in trimester 2 additional consumption of dietary glucose was

associated with heavier birth weight. A similar association was observed in a study<sup>(51)</sup> amongst pregnant women with type 1 diabetes mellitus. They reported an association between increased maternal glucose levels amongst diabetic pregnant women and LGA offspring. In our study, we observed that high intake of starch was associated with increased odds of delivering LGA infants. According to a study<sup>(52)</sup> which compared normal *v.* pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), participants who consumed a CHO-rich diet were likely to have high blood glucose levels, and an increased risk of delivering LGA offspring. Randomised controlled trials (RCT) have reported possible effects of a high CHO intake *v.* a low CHO intake amongst women with GDM and increased risk of macrosomia<sup>(53,54)</sup>. A possible explanation for these results could be that high CHO intakes could lower maternal insulin sensitivity, making higher levels of free glucose available for placental circulation, subsequently activating fetal glycolysis<sup>(55)</sup>. Pedersen<sup>(56)</sup> attributed the role of maternal hyperglycaemia to this birth outcome which reportedly caused increase in fetal insulin levels and led to fetal hyperglycaemia.

A high lactose intake might be attributed to high milk and dairy product intake by the women. The Danish National Birth Cohort study<sup>(57)</sup> explored the association between maternal milk and dairy products consumption with birth weight among 50 117 mother-infant pairs and found that higher dairy

consumption promoted higher birth weight. Another study came to a similar conclusion suggesting a decreased risk of SGA<sup>(58)</sup>. Additional lactose consumption (in the form of dairy products) leading to a higher birth weight could also be related to higher iodine levels found in milk and dairy sources in the UK<sup>(59,60)</sup>. Iodine levels could influence birth weight<sup>(61,62)</sup> through a role in controlling metabolic rate and development of body structures<sup>(63)</sup>. The lactose association observed may also be indirectly attributed to the level of placental calcium transferred to the fetus<sup>(64)</sup>, increasing bone calcification during skeletal development, and overall birth weight<sup>(65)</sup>.

Unlike previous studies<sup>(1,20,22)</sup> which reported an association with protein, our study did not find any evidence of an association between protein and birth weight/centile, and LGA/SGA. Although we found a positive association between protein intake and birth weight under model 1 during trimester 1, no association was observed after adjusting for alcohol and smoking habits, but the CI were wide. Our study participants were adequately nourished, hence this might be the reason we did not notice any effects. A study<sup>(20)</sup> suggested that the energy contribution from protein in the diet is associated with increased birth weight and placental weight. They considered the type of protein such as animal/vegetable protein but their results were of low statistical power, and did not adjust for mother's alcohol consumption. However, in support of our finding, a study<sup>(21)</sup> in Asia found no evidence of an association between protein intake in pregnancy and offspring weight.

Our analyses suggest that total fat intake and its sub-components such as PUFA were associated with lower birth weight and birth centile. However, our result conflicts with a South-Asian study<sup>(29)</sup> which reported a positive association between dietary fat intake in pregnancy and increased birth weight. Contradicting results from other studies<sup>(20,21,23)</sup> reported no association between them; an observational study<sup>(20)</sup> explored the relation between energy percentage (%) from total dietary fat and birth weight, and suggested no evidence of an association after adjusting for other energy contributing nutrients. Our analysis adjusted for alcohol, as it is associated with increased risk of lower birth weight<sup>(66–68)</sup> and fat-rich foods are often consumed with alcohol. Conversely, the study by Moore *et al.*<sup>(20)</sup> did not adjust for alcohol consumption during pregnancy. However, amongst RCT on animal models, there is no evidence suggesting an association between a high-fat diet in pregnancy and changes in birth weight. Previous studies<sup>(69,70)</sup> based on animal models explored the effect of a high-fat diet in pregnancy on the development of offspring metabolic disorders including hyperinsulinaemia, blood pressure, and changes in serum leptin levels. An RCT<sup>(70)</sup> amongst pups, explored the effects of high-fat diet on offspring and suggested that maternal adiposity and not dietary fat *per se*, was associated with increased offspring weight, and metabolic disorders such as hyperinsulinaemia which could further persist through adulthood. During trimester 1, higher PUFA intake was associated with lower birth weight of infants. Three studies<sup>(1,71,72)</sup> discussed the 'anti-obesogenic' property of PUFA during pregnancy which reportedly prevented extra fat mass deposits in the fetus. Ethical issues make studies of this nature

challenging in humans such as acidosis and ketosis in response to low-CHO-high-fat diets, alterations in cholesterol and free fatty acid metabolism in pregnancy. Further studies are needed to validate our result.

The CARE cohort was a well-nourished group; the participants' average dietary macronutrient intake/d during trimesters 1 and 2 largely met the estimated average requirements of energy recommended during pregnancy in the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy report by the Department of Health, UK<sup>(73)</sup>, and the intakes were similar to those found in other studies involving pregnant women<sup>(20,50,74)</sup>. Our previous publication of results made use of the specially designed questionnaire to capture caffeine intake, which demonstrated that maternal caffeine intake was inversely associated with birth weight<sup>(32)</sup>. We chose to use the 24-h dietary recall which was also collected, to measure the whole dietary intake of our participants in detail on a specific day. Alternative approaches such as a FFQ were not available for the whole diet in this sample and require participants to subjectively average out a potentially varied diet over a longer period of time. A number of validation studies<sup>(75–78)</sup> have shown that 24-h dietary recall is a well-established method which correlates well with true usual intake, and are adequate and suitable to large populations rather than individuals. Though this method is less suited to episodically consumed foods, it has been shown to work well for commonly consumed foods and nutrients, particularly macronutrients, present in most food items that are the subject of this current research<sup>(75,76)</sup>.

The estimates of change in birth weight by macronutrient intake are small because we have chosen a small macronutrient increment/d (10 g is 1/10th of a standard deviation). Using a larger increment for all macronutrients, such as 100 g/d, equivalent to 1 SD, would be associated with an increase in birth weight of about 40 g. Such a change in birth weight might have a modest impact on preterm infants or those already having low birth weight, but need not be of great concern to infants with a better starting point. Furthermore, it is essential to consider that small effects on a population level could be important<sup>(79)</sup>, through shifting the whole distribution of birth weights, higher or lower depending on the type of macronutrient consumed.

### Strengths and weaknesses

Our study had some strengths to be considered. This is a large cohort comprising of 1196 pregnant women, from whom dietary data were collected on two occasions during their pregnancy, that is in trimesters 1 and 2. Diet was assessed using an interviewer led 24-h recall; allowing detail of food types and amounts to be recorded. The regression models were carefully adjusted for potential confounders: alcohol intake, smoking habits, maternal height, weight, parity, ethnicity and sex of the baby. We had detailed dietary information, including values of macronutrient sub-components including saccharides and fatty acids.

There are few limitations to any study which explores nutritional intake. For sub-components, the nutrient values computed in the software using the food composition database<sup>(36)</sup> may not be accurate or complete. A couple of studies<sup>(80,81)</sup>



reported issues of missing values for nutrients in databases, including McCance and Widdowson's food composition database<sup>(81)</sup>. Energy intake estimations from food items and beverages of the participants were based on memory recall and are subjected to mis/under-reporting and bias<sup>(82–84)</sup>. Some studies suggest use of a combination of dietary assessments to cross check the dietary information for correct quantity estimation, measurement uniformity and frequency of consumption<sup>(85,86)</sup>, however, this is more common where food frequency questionnaires are the main dietary measure. Dietary data in our study was recorded only for trimesters 1 and 2. Data were unavailable for type of protein (animal/vegetable) and amino acid content, which led us to include total protein in the regression models.

### Conclusion

These results show that dietary macronutrient composition during pregnancy is associated with birth weight outcomes. CHO and its sub-components such as lactose, glucose and starch were associated with increasing offspring birth weight. Conversely dietary fat and its sub-component – PUFA were associated with decreasing birth weight. Offspring birth weight could be supported through carefully balanced fat and CHO intakes during pregnancy.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the CARE study participants, members of the CARE Study Group: Sinead Boylan, Vivien A. Dolby, Alastair W. M. Hay, Sara F. L. Kirk, Susan Shires and James D. Thomas, James Walker, Kay L. M. White and Christopher P. Wild from the Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Leeds, research midwives: Viv Dolby and Heather Ong, members responsible for designing the nutritional methods: Sinead Boylan, Sara Kirk, and for database management: Neil Hancock, James Thomas, Ellen Hill and nutritionist students.

This work was supported by the Food Standards Agency, UK (contract no. T01032/33). The Food Standards Agency had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

S. S. undertook the project, formulated the research question, performed the statistical analyses of the data and wrote all the drafts of the manuscript. D. C. G. helped formulate the research question and designing the study, supervised the analyses and commented on all the drafts. N. A. B. S. helped formulate the research question and study design, and commented on all the drafts. J. E. C. was the principal investigator of the original CARE Study, formulated the study design and the research question, supervised the analyses and commented on all the drafts.

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest to declare.

### Supplementary material

For supplementary material/s referred to in this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114517003609>

### References

- Blumfield ML, Hure AJ, MacDonald-Wicks LK, *et al.* (2012) Dietary balance during pregnancy is associated with fetal adiposity and fat distribution. *Am J Clin Nutr* **96**, 1032–1041.
- Moore VM & Davies MJ (2005) Diet during pregnancy, neonatal outcomes and later health. *Reprod Fertil Dev* **17**, 341–348.
- Maslova E, Rytter D, Bech BH, *et al.* (2016) Maternal intake of fat in pregnancy and offspring metabolic health – a prospective study with 20 years of follow-up. *Clin Nutr* **35**, 475–483.
- Kjøllesdal MKR & Holmboe-Ottesen G (2014) Dietary patterns and birth weight – a review. *AIMS Public Health* **1**, 211–225.
- Brantsæter AL, Haugen M, Myhre R, *et al.* (2014) Diet matters, particularly in pregnancy – Results from MoBa studies of maternal diet and pregnancy outcomes. *Norsk Epidemiologi* **24**, 63–77.
- Englund-Ögge L, Brantsæter AL, Sengpiel V, *et al.* (2014) Maternal dietary patterns and preterm delivery: results from large prospective cohort study. *BMJ* **348**, g1446.
- Chen L-W, Wu Y, Neelakantan N, *et al.* (2014) Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy is associated with risk of low birth weight: a systematic review and dose–response meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* **12**, 174.
- Thompson JMD, Wall C, Becroft DMO, *et al.* (2010) Maternal dietary patterns in pregnancy and the association with small-for-gestational-age infants. *Br J Nutr* **103**, 1665–1673.
- Klemmensen Å, Tabor A, Østerdal ML, *et al.* (2009) Intake of vitamin C and E in pregnancy and risk of pre-eclampsia: prospective study among 57 346 women. *BJOG* **116**, 964–974.
- Haider B, Yakoob M & Bhutta ZA (2011) Effect of multiple micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy on maternal and birth outcomes. *BMC Public Health* **11**, Suppl. 3, S19.
- Lu W-P, Lu M-S, Li Z-H, *et al.* (2014) Effects of multi-micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy on postnatal growth of children under 5 years of age: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e88496.
- Alwan NA, Greenwood DC, Simpson NAB, *et al.* (2011) Dietary iron intake during early pregnancy and birth outcomes in a cohort of British women. *Hum Reprod* **26**, 911–919.
- Kawai K, Spiegelman D, Shankar AH, *et al.* (2011) Maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation and pregnancy outcomes in developing countries: meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Bull World Health Organ* **89**, 402–411B.
- Fekete K, Berti C, Trovato M, *et al.* (2012) Effect of folate intake on health outcomes in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis on birth weight, placental weight and length of gestation. *J Nutr* **11**, 75.
- Imdad A & Bhutta ZA (2012) Maternal nutrition and birth outcomes: effect of balanced protein-energy supplementation. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **26**, Suppl. 1, 178–190.
- Stevens B, Buettner P, Watt K, *et al.* (2015) The effect of balanced protein energy supplementation in undernourished pregnant women and child physical growth in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Matern Child Nutr* **11**, 415–432.
- Liberato SC, Singh G & Mulholland K (2013) Effects of protein energy supplementation during pregnancy on fetal growth: a review of the literature focusing on contextual factors. *Food Nutr Res* **57**, 20499.
- Blumfield ML, Hure AJ, Macdonald-Wicks L, *et al.* (2012) Systematic review and meta-analysis of energy and macronutrient intakes during pregnancy in developed countries. *Nutr Rev* **70**, 322–336.

19. von Ruesten A, Brantsæter AL, Haugen M, *et al.* (2014) Adherence of pregnant women to Nordic dietary guidelines in relation to postpartum weight retention: results from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *BMC Public Health* **14**, 75.
20. Moore VM, Davies MJ, Willson KJ, *et al.* (2004) Dietary composition of pregnant women is related to size of the baby at birth. *J Nutr* **134**, 1820–1826.
21. Chong MF-F, Chia A-R, Colega M, *et al.* (2015) Maternal protein intake during pregnancy is not associated with offspring birth weight in a multiethnic Asian population. *J Nutr* **145**, 1303–1310.
22. Cucó G, Arija V, Iranzo R, *et al.* (2006) Association of maternal protein intake before conception and throughout pregnancy with birth weight. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* **85**, 413–421.
23. Lagiou P, Tamimi RM, Mucci LA, *et al.* (2004) Diet during pregnancy in relation to maternal weight gain and birth size. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **58**, 231–237.
24. Knudsen VK, Orozova-Bekkevold IM, Mikkelsen TB, *et al.* (2007) Major dietary patterns in pregnancy and fetal growth. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **62**, 463–470.
25. De Giuseppe R, Roggi C & Cena H (2014) *n*-3 LC-PUFA supplementation: effects on infant and maternal outcomes. *Eur J Nutr* **53**, 1147–1154.
26. Imhoff-Kunsch B, Briggs V, Goldenberg T, *et al.* (2012) Effect of *n*-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid intake during pregnancy on maternal, infant, and child health outcomes: a systematic review. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **26**, Suppl. 1, 91–107.
27. Oken E, Kleinman KP, Olsen SF, *et al.* (2004) Associations of seafood and elongated *n*-3 fatty acid intake with fetal growth and length of gestation: results from a US pregnancy cohort. *Am J Epidemiol* **160**, 774–783.
28. Szajewska H, Horvath A & Koletzko B (2006) Effect of *n*-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation of women with low-risk pregnancies on pregnancy outcomes and growth measures at birth: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **83**, 1337–1344.
29. Mani I, Dwarkanath P, Thomas T, *et al.* (2016) Maternal fat and fatty acid intake and birth outcomes in a South Indian population. *Int J Epidemiol* **45**, 523–531.
30. Gardosi J, Chang A, Kalyan B, *et al.* (1992) Customised antenatal growth charts. *Lancet* **339**, 283–287.
31. Gardosi J (2004) Customized fetal growth standards: rationale and clinical application. *Semin Perinatol* **28**, 33–40.
32. Boylan SCJ, Dolby VA, Greenwood DC, *et al.* (2010) Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of fetal growth restriction: a large prospective observational study. *BMJ* **340**, c2331.
33. Greenwood DC, Alwan N, Boylan S, *et al.* (2010) Caffeine intake during pregnancy, late miscarriage and stillbirth. *Eur J Epidemiol* **25**, 275–280.
34. Alwan NA, Greenwood DC, Simpson NA, *et al.* (2010) The relationship between dietary supplement use in late pregnancy and birth outcomes: a cohort study in British women. *BJOG* **117**, 821–829.
35. Boylan SM, Cade JE, Kirk SFL, *et al.* (2008) Assessing caffeine exposure in pregnant women. *Br J Nutr* **100**, 875–882.
36. Holland BWA, Unwin ID, Buss DH, *et al.* (1992) *McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods*, 5th ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
37. Cogswell ME, Weisberg P & Spong C (2003) Cigarette smoking, alcohol use and adverse pregnancy outcomes: implications for micronutrient supplementation. *J Nutr* **133**, 1722S–1731S.
38. Nykjaer C, Alwan NA, Greenwood DC, *et al.* (2014) Maternal alcohol intake prior to and during pregnancy and risk of adverse birth outcomes: evidence from a British cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health* **68**, 542–549.
39. Gestation Network (2017) Gestation network-customised growth charts. <http://www.gestation.net/> (accessed March 2016).
40. Clausson B, Gardosi J, Francis A, *et al.* (2001) Perinatal outcome in SGA births defined by customised versus population-based birthweight standards. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **108**, 830–834.
41. Pasupathy D, McCowan L, Poston L, *et al.* (2011) Perinatal outcomes in large for gestational age infants; the use of absolute birth weight or customised birth weight centiles? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **204**, S222.
42. Kroener L, Wang ET & Pisarska MD (2016) Predisposing factors to abnormal first trimester placentation and the impact on fetal outcomes. *Semin Reprod Med* **34**, 27–35.
43. Roberts CT (2010) IFPA Award in Placentology Lecture: complicated interactions between genes and the environment in placentation, pregnancy outcome and long term health. *Placenta* **31**, Suppl., S47–S53.
44. Smith GCS (2004) First trimester origins of fetal growth impairment. *Semin Perinatol* **28**, 41–50.
45. Brantsæter AL, Olafsdottir AS, Forsum E, *et al.* (2012) Does milk and dairy consumption during pregnancy influence fetal growth and infant birthweight? A systematic literature review. *Food Nutr Res* **56**, 20050.
46. Walsh J, Mahony R, Byrne J, *et al.* (2011) The association of maternal and fetal glucose homeostasis with fetal adiposity and birthweight. **159**, 338–341.
47. Gabbe SG & Quilligan EJ (1977) Fetal carbohydrate metabolism: its clinical importance. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **127**, 92–103.
48. Hay WW Jr. & Sparks JW (1985) Placental, fetal, and neonatal carbohydrate metabolism. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* **28**, 473–485.
49. Hay WW (2006) Recent observations on the regulation of fetal metabolism by glucose. *J Physiol* **572**, 17–24.
50. Langley-Evans AJ & Langley-Evans SC (2003) Relationship between maternal nutrient intakes in early and late pregnancy and infants weight and proportions at birth: prospective cohort study. *J R Soc Promot Health* **123**, 210–216.
51. Kerssen A, de Valk HW & Visser GHA (2007) Increased second trimester maternal glucose levels are related to extremely large-for-gestational-age infants in women with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **30**, 1069–1074.
52. Butte NF (2000) Carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in pregnancy: normal compared with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, 1256s–1261s.
53. Combs CA, Gunderson E, Kitzmiller JL, *et al.* (1992) Relationship of fetal macrosomia to maternal postprandial glucose control during pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* **15**, 1251–1257.
54. Walsh JM, McGowan CA, Mahony R, *et al.* (2012) Low glycaemic index diet in pregnancy to prevent macrosomia (ROLO study): randomised control trial. *BMJ* **345**, e5605.
55. Clapp JF 3rd (2002) Maternal carbohydrate intake and pregnancy outcome. *Proc Nutr Soc* **61**, 45–50.
56. Pedersen J (1961) Pathogenesis of the characteristic features of newborn infants of diabetic women. In *The Pregnant Diabetic and Her Newborn*, pp. 128–137. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins.
57. Olsen SF, Halldorsson TI, Willett WC, *et al.* (2007) Milk consumption during pregnancy is associated with increased infant size at birth: prospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **86**, 1104–1110.
58. Olmedo-Requena R, Amezcua-Prieto C, Luna-Del-Castillo dJD, *et al.* (2016) Association between low dairy intake during pregnancy and risk of small-for-gestational-age infants. *Matern Child Health* **20**, 1296–1304.

59. Bath SC, Hill S, Goenaga Infante H, *et al.* (2017) Iodine concentration of milk-alternative drinks available in the UK in comparison with cows' milk. *Br J Nutr* **118**, 525–532.
60. Yang Z & Huffman SL (2011) Review of fortified food and beverage products for pregnant and lactating women and their impact on nutritional status. *Matern Child Nutr* **7**, 19–43.
61. Rydbeck F, Rahman A, Grandér M, *et al.* (2014) Maternal urinary iodine concentration up to 1.0 mg/L is positively associated with birth weight, length, and head circumference of male offspring. *J Nutr* **144**, 1438–1444.
62. Andersen SL, Olsen J, Wu CS, *et al.* (2013) Low birth weight in children born to mothers with hyperthyroidism and high birth weight in hypothyroidism, whereas preterm birth is common in both conditions: a Danish National Hospital Register Study. *Eur Thyroid J* **2**, 135–144.
63. Xiao Y, Sun H, Li C, *et al.* (2017) Effect of iodine nutrition on pregnancy outcomes in an iodine-sufficient area in China. *Biol Trace Elem Res* (epublication ahead of print version 2 August 2017).
64. Kovacs CS (2014) Bone metabolism in the fetus and neonate. *Pediatr Nephrol* **29**, 793–803.
65. Sabour H, Hossein-Nezhad A, Maghbooli Z, *et al.* (2006) Relationship between pregnancy outcomes and maternal vitamin D and calcium intake: a cross-sectional study. *Gynecol Endocrinol* **22**, 585–589.
66. Chiaffarino F, Parazzini F, Chatenoud L, *et al.* (2006) Alcohol drinking and risk of small for gestational age birth. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **60**, 1062–1066.
67. Windham GC, Fenster L, Hopkins B, *et al.* (1995) The association of moderate maternal and paternal alcohol consumption with birthweight and gestational age. *J Epidemiol* **6**, 591–597.
68. Nykjaer C, Alwan NA, Greenwood DC, *et al.* (2013) Maternal alcohol intake up to and during pregnancy and risk of adverse birth outcomes: evidence from a British cohort. *Lancet* **382**, S79.
69. Khan IY, Dekou V, Douglas G, *et al.* (2005) A high-fat diet during rat pregnancy or suckling induces cardiovascular dysfunction in adult offspring. *Am J Physiol* **288**, R127–R133.
70. White CL, Purpera MN & Morrison CD (2009) Maternal obesity is necessary for programming effect of high-fat diet on offspring. *Am J Physiol* **296**, R1464–R1472.
71. Newman RE, Bryden WL, Fleck E, *et al.* (2002) Dietary *n*-3 and *n*-6 fatty acids alter avian metabolism: metabolism and abdominal fat deposition. *Br J Nutr* **88**, 11–18.
72. Nuernberg K, Breier BH, Jayasinghe SN, *et al.* (2011) Metabolic responses to high-fat diets rich in *n*-3 or *n*-6 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in mice selected for either high body weight or leanness explain different health outcomes. *Nutr Metab* **8**, 56.
73. Department of Health (1991) *Dietary Reference Values. A Guide*. London: HMSO.
74. Chen L-W, Tint M-T, Fortier MV, *et al.* (2016) Maternal macronutrient intake during pregnancy is associated with neonatal abdominal adiposity: the Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes (GUSTO) Study. *J Nutr* **146**, 1571–1579.
75. Hartman AM, Brown CC, Palmgren J, *et al.* (1990) Variability in nutrient and food intakes among older middle-aged men. Implications for design of epidemiologic and validation studies using food recording. *Am J Epidemiol* **132**, 999–1012.
76. Beer-Borst S & Amadó R (1995) Validation of a self-administered 24-hour recall questionnaire used in a large-scale dietary survey. *Z Ernahrungswiss* **34**, 183–189.
77. Sharma M, Rao M, Jacob S, *et al.* (1998) Validation of 24-hour dietary recall: a study in hemodialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr* **8**, 199–202.
78. Karvetti RL & Knuts LR (1985) Validity of the 24-hour dietary recall. *J Am Diet Assoc* **85**, 1437–1442.
79. Rose G (2001) Sick individuals and sick populations. *Int J Epidemiol* **30**, 427–432.
80. Deharveng URC G, Slimani N, Southgate DAT, *et al.* (1998) Comparison of nutrients in the food composition tables available in the nine European countries participating in EPIC. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **53**, 60–79.
81. Cowin I & Emmett P (1999) The effect of missing data in the supplements to McCance and Widdowson's food tables on calculated nutrient intakes. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **53**, 891–894.
82. Meltzer HM, Brantsaeter AL, Ydersbond TA, *et al.* (2008) Methodological challenges when monitoring the diet of pregnant women in a large study: experiences from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *Matern Child Nutr* **4**, 14–27.
83. Black AE & Cole TJ (2001) Biased over- or under-reporting is characteristic of individuals whether over time or by different assessment methods. *J Am Diet Assoc* **101**, 70–80.
84. Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M, Alexander J, *et al.* (2008) Validity of a new food frequency questionnaire for pregnant women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *Matern Child Nutr* **4**, 28–43.
85. Byers T (2001) Food frequency dietary assessment: how bad is good enough? *Am J Epidemiol* **154**, 1087–1088.
86. Hebert JR, Clemow L, Pbert L, *et al.* (1995) Social desirability bias in dietary self-report may compromise the validity of dietary intake measures. *Int J Epidemiol* **24**, 389–398.