



# $L$ -functions for Quadratic Characters and Annihilation of Motivic Cohomology Groups

Jonathan W. Sands

*Abstract.* Let  $n$  be a positive even integer, and let  $F$  be a totally real number field and  $L$  be an abelian Galois extension which is totally real or CM. Fix a finite set  $S$  of primes of  $F$  containing the infinite primes and all those which ramify in  $L$ , and let  $S_L$  denote the primes of  $L$  lying above those in  $S$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_L^S$  denotes the ring of  $S_L$ -integers of  $L$ . Suppose that  $\psi$  is a quadratic character of the Galois group of  $L$  over  $F$ . Under the assumption of the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture, we obtain a non-trivial annihilator of the motivic cohomology group  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  from the lead term of the Taylor series for the  $S$ -modified Artin  $L$ -function  $L_{L/F}^S(s, \psi)$  at  $s = 1 - n$ .

## 1 Motivic Cohomology Groups

Fix a totally real algebraic number field  $F$ , and an abelian Galois extension  $L$  which is either totally real or CM. Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ , of order  $|G|$ , and let  $\tau \in G$  denote complex conjugation, so  $\tau$  is the identity if  $L$  is totally real. Let  $\mathcal{O}_L$  denote the ring of algebraic integers of  $L$ .

Fix a finite set of primes  $S$  of  $F$  which contains all of the infinite primes of  $F$  and all of the primes which ramify in  $L$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}_L^S$  denote the ring of  $S$ -integers of  $L$ . It consists of the elements of  $L$  whose valuation is non-negative at each prime above a prime in  $S$ , and is a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module.

For an integer  $n \geq 2$ , the motivic cohomology group  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  injects into  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , which in turn injects into  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , as seen in [2]. The first two of these abelian groups are finite, and we denote their orders by  $h_n(L)$  and  $h_n^S(L)$  respectively. These play the role of a class number and an  $S$ -class number that one would have in the analogous situation for  $n = 1$ . The groups  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  and  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  are all isomorphic, and finitely generated [2]. They are the higher analog of the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_L^S$ . If  $\sigma \in G$ , then  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $L$  that restricts to automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_L^S$  and  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . Therefore we obtain an automorphism  $\sigma_*$  of each of the motivic cohomology groups in this paragraph, and thus they become  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules.

Now fix an even integer  $n \geq 2$ . The point of this note (Theorem 4.3) is to use the first non-vanishing derivative of an Artin  $L$ -function at  $1 - n$  to obtain a non-trivial annihilator in  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  for  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , analogous to what was done for ideal class

Received by the editors December 1, 2013.

Published electronically April 23, 2015.

AMS subject classification: 11R42, 11R70, 14F42, 19F27.

Keywords: motivic cohomology, regulator, Artin  $L$ -functions.

groups in [9]. In general, one would seek an annihilator arising from such values for a conjugacy class of characters, as in Conjecture 2.6.1 of [1] for the case of class groups. Our characters will be rational, so for us, each conjugacy class will contain just one element.

Playing the role of the relevant group of roots of unity in this situation is the finite cyclic Galois cohomology group  $H^0(L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ , whose order we denote by  $w_n(L)$ . It is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  (see [3]). The group  $H^0(L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  also has a natural action of  $G$ , and based on the definition, one can identify the  $G$ -fixed subgroup  $H^0(L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))^G$  with  $H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ .

Let  $Y_L$  denote the free abelian group on the embeddings of  $L$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $G$  acts on  $Y_L$  via its action on  $L$ , and we set  $X_L$  equal to the submodule of elements of  $Y_L$  on which  $\tau$  acts as  $-1$ . Note that  $X_L$  is trivial when  $L$  is totally real. Indeed, the rank of  $X_L$  is  $r_2(L)$ , the number of pairs of complex conjugate embeddings of  $L$ .

For any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $A$ , denote  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$  (respectively  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ ) by  $\mathbb{R}A$  (respectively  $\mathbb{C}A$ ). For any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $g: A \rightarrow B$ , let  $g_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $g_{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the natural maps  $\mathbb{R}A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}B$  and  $\mathbb{C}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}B$ . The motivic Beilinson regulator map  $\lambda_L: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}X_L$  is a  $G$ -module map. Its  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear extension  $\lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}X_L$ , is known to be an  $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module isomorphism. So the free rank of  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  also equals  $r_2(L)$ . The Beilinson regulator in this setting is the covolume of  $\lambda_L(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)))$  in  $\mathbb{R}X_L$ , denoted  $R_n^B(L) = \text{covol}(\lambda_L(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))))$ . See [7] and the references there for details.

**Remark 1.1** Voevodsky’s proof of the Bloch–Kato conjecture [14], and consequently the Quillen–Lichtenbaum conjecture, establishes the existence of natural maps from  $K_{2n-i}(L)$  to  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  for all  $n \geq 2$  and  $i = 1$  or  $2$ . The kernels and cokernels of these maps are finite and annihilated by  $2$ . Applying the localization sequence of Geisser [2] establishes natural maps from  $K_{2n-i}(\mathcal{O}_L^S)$  to  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , again with finite kernels and cokernels annihilated by  $2$ . Thus the motivic formulation of results only differs from the  $K$ -theoretic formulation at the prime  $2$ . For arithmetic purposes, there is evidence that the motivic formulation of results allows for consistent treatment of all primes, including  $2$ . See [5] for further explanation.

Now suppose that  $E$  is an intermediate field between  $F$  and  $L$ . Let  $H = \text{Gal}(L/E)$  and  $N_H = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \sigma$ , which we view as an endomorphism of  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ . Then we have  $G$ -module maps  $r_{L/E}: Y_L \rightarrow Y_E$  and  $\gamma_{L/E}: Y_E \rightarrow Y_L$ . Here  $r_{L/E}$  maps an embedding of  $L$  to its restriction to  $E$ , and  $\gamma_{L/E}$  maps an embedding  $\nu$  of  $E$  to the sum of all embeddings of  $L$  that restrict to  $\nu$ . So  $\gamma_{L/E} \circ r_{L/E}$  acts as  $N_H$  on  $Y_L$ . Note that by restriction we may also consider  $r_{L/E}: X_L \rightarrow X_E$  and  $\gamma_{L/E}: X_E \rightarrow X_L$ .

Here are some basic properties for future reference.

**Proposition 1.2** *Let  $\iota: E \rightarrow L$  denote the inclusion map. The induced maps*

$$\iota_*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \iota^*: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

*have the following properties:*

- (i)  $\iota^* \circ \iota_* = [L : E]$ ,

- (ii)  $\iota_* \circ \iota^* = N_H,$
- (iii)  $\lambda_L \circ \iota_* = \gamma_{L/E} \circ \lambda_E,$
- (iv)  $\lambda_E \circ \iota^* = r_{L/E} \circ \lambda_L.$

**Proof** See [7] and the references there, taking into account Remark 1.1. ■

## 2 Regulators

We now define an equivariant generalization of the Beilinson regulator. This will be an element of the real group ring  $\mathbb{R}[G]$  that is in general expected to produce a rational group ring element when multiplied by the equivariant  $L$ -function. In our special case, this will be seen in Corollary 2.5. Beyond that, the goal is to interpret the arithmetic significance of the rational values obtained this way. Such an interpretation is the main result of this note, Theorem 4.3.

Begin with an even positive integer  $n$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module homomorphism  $f: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow X_L$  whose kernel is finite. Such a homomorphism exists because the representations of  $G$  on  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  and  $X_L$  become isomorphic via  $\lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  and must hence be isomorphic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}}^{-1}$  is an automorphism of the finitely generated  $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module  $\mathbb{R}X_L$ , which is necessarily projective, because  $\mathbb{R}[G]$  is semisimple Artinian. As in Snaith [11], we define the group-ring regulator  $R_G(f) = \det_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}}^{-1})$ , using the identity automorphism on a complementary module  $Z$  for which  $(\mathbb{R}X_L) \oplus Z$  is free over  $\mathbb{R}[G]$ . Thus  $R_G(f) \in \mathbb{R}[G]$ .

For  $\psi$  an irreducible character of the abelian group  $G$ , let  $e_{\psi} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \psi(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}$  be the usual associated idempotent in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . The following proposition summarizes some basic properties of  $R_G(f)$ .

**Proposition 2.1**

- (i) *The following are equivalent:*
  - (a)  $\ker(f)$  is finite,
  - (b)  $\ker(f) = \text{tor}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)))$ ,
  - (c)  $\text{coker}(f)$  is finite,
  - (d)  $f_{\mathbb{R}}$  is an isomorphism,
  - (e)  $R_G(f) \in \mathbb{R}[G]^*$ .
- (ii) *Let  $\psi$  range over the group  $\hat{G}$  of irreducible characters of the abelian group  $G$ . We have the following equalities, the last one requiring that one of the equivalent conditions in (a) holds. (Note that  $\mathbb{C}[G]e_{\psi} = \mathbb{C}e_{\psi} \cong \mathbb{C}$ .)*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_G(f) &= \det_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}}^{-1}) = \det_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(f_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \lambda_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{\psi \in \hat{G}} \det_{\mathbb{C}[G]e_{\psi}}(f_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \lambda_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{-1}|_{e_{\psi}\mathbb{C}X_L^{\mathbb{C}}}) = \sum_{\psi \in \hat{G}} \det_{\mathbb{C}[G]e_{\psi}}(\lambda_{L, \mathbb{C}} \circ f_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}|_{e_{\psi}\mathbb{C}X_L^{\mathbb{C}}})^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *When  $\psi$  has exponent 2, we have*

$$R_G(f)e_{\psi} = \det_{\mathbb{R}[G]e_{\psi}}(f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}}^{-1}|_{e_{\psi}\mathbb{R}X_L}) = \det_{\mathbb{R}[G]e_{\psi}}(\lambda_{L, \mathbb{R}} \circ f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}|_{e_{\psi}\mathbb{R}X_L})^{-1}.$$

**Proof** The proofs follow exactly as in [9, Proposition 3.2]. ■

The next result shows that the regulator of  $f$  for the field  $L$  is related in a useful natural way to the regulator of  $r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*$  for the subfield  $E$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *Suppose that  $f: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow X_L$  is a  $G$ -module homomorphism with finite kernel. Let  $\pi_{G/H}: \mathbb{R}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[G/H]$  be the natural projection map, where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\chi$  is a first degree character of  $\bar{G} = G/H$  and  $\psi \in \hat{G}$  is its inflation, we let  $r(\psi)$  denote the dimension of  $e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L$  as a complex vector space. Again let  $E$  be the fixed field of  $H$ , and let  $\iota: E \rightarrow L$  denote the inclusion map. Then*

$$R_{G/H}(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)e_\chi = |H|^{r(\psi)} \pi_{G/H}(R(f)e_\psi).$$

**Proof** We extend  $f, \gamma_{L/E}, r_{L/E}, \iota_*, \lambda_E$  and  $\lambda_L$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $f_{\mathbb{C}}, \gamma_{L/E, \mathbb{C}}, \text{etc.}$ , but to simplify notation in this proof, we will omit the subscript  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $\lambda_E$  and  $\lambda_L$  are then isomorphisms. Then the result follows from the basic facts we have established:

$$\begin{aligned} R(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)e_\chi &= \det_{\mathbb{C}e_\chi}(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_* \circ \lambda_E^{-1}|_{e_\chi \mathbb{C}X_E})e_\chi \quad \text{by Prop. 2.1,} \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}e_\chi}(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \lambda_L^{-1} \circ \gamma_{L/E}|_{e_\chi \mathbb{C}X_E})e_\chi \quad \text{by Prop. 1.2,} \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \lambda_L^{-1} \circ \gamma_{L/E}|_{e_\chi \mathbb{C}X_E})e_\chi \quad \text{upon identifying } \mathbb{C}e_\chi = \mathbb{C}, \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{L/E} \circ r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \lambda_L^{-1}|_{e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L})e_\chi \quad \text{as } \gamma_{L/E}: e_\chi \mathbb{C}X_E \cong e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L, \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(N_H \circ f \circ \lambda_L^{-1}|_{e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L})e_\chi \quad \text{by the comment preceding Prop. 1.2,} \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}}(|H| \circ f \circ \lambda_L^{-1}|_{e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L})e_\chi \quad \text{since } N_H e_\psi = |H|e_\psi, \\ &= |H|^{r(\psi)} \det_{\mathbb{C}}(f \circ \lambda_L^{-1}|_{e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L})e_\chi \quad \text{as } r(\psi) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L), \\ &= |H|^{r(\psi)} \pi_{G/H}(\det_{\mathbb{C}e_\psi}(f \circ \lambda_L^{-1}|_{e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L})e_\psi) \quad \text{as } e_\psi \mathbb{C}X_L \cong \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \pi_{G/H}(e_\psi) = e_\chi, \\ &= |H|^{r(\psi)} \pi_{G/H}(R(f)e_\psi) \quad \text{by Prop. 2.1.} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now we derive a formula for the component of the equivariant regulator corresponding to the non-trivial character of a Galois group of order two. By inflation, this will allow us to do the same for characters of order two on larger groups.

**Lemma 2.3** *Suppose that  $E$  is CM,  $F = E^+$ , the maximal totally real subfield of  $E$ , and  $\tau$  is the non-trivial automorphism of  $E$  over  $F$ . Let  $\iota$  be the inclusion of  $F$  in  $E$  and let  $\chi$  be the non-trivial character of  $\bar{G} = \text{Gal}(E/F) = \langle \tau \rangle$ . If  $\bar{f}: (H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))) \rightarrow X_E$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\bar{G}]$ -module homomorphism with finite kernel, then*

$$R_{\bar{G}}(\bar{f})e_\chi = \frac{(X_E : \bar{f}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))}{R_n^B(E)} e_\chi.$$

**Proof** Since  $X_E$  is free as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and has the same free rank as  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , we can choose an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $g: X_E \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  that becomes an isomorphism when reduced modulo torsion.

Note that by Proposition 1.2,  $(2e_{\chi_0})H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = (1 + \tau)H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = (\iota_* \circ \iota^*)H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \subset \iota_*H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , and this is finite since  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  is finite because the field  $F = E^+$  is totally real.

Tensoring with  $\mathbb{R}$  shows that  $e_{\chi_0}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . Then, letting  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \lambda_{E, \mathbb{R}}$ , we have

$$R_{\overline{G}}(\overline{f})e_{\chi} = \det_{\mathbb{R}e_{\chi}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} \circ \overline{f}_{\mathbb{R}}|_{e_{\chi}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{R})})e_{\chi}$$

by Proposition 2.1,

$$= \det_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} \circ \overline{f}_{\mathbb{R}}|_{e_{\chi}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{R})})e_{\chi}$$

by identifying  $\mathbb{R}e_{\chi} = \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$= \det_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} \circ \overline{f}_{\mathbb{R}})e_{\chi}$$

by what we have just noted,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \det_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \overline{f}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1})^{-1}e_{\chi} \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \circ g_{\mathbb{R}} \circ g_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} \circ \overline{f}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1})^{-1}e_{\chi} \\ &= \frac{\det_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{f}_{\mathbb{R}} \circ g_{\mathbb{R}})}{\det_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \circ g_{\mathbb{R}})}e_{\chi} \end{aligned}$$

by properties of determinants,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\det_{\mathbb{Z}}(\overline{f} \circ g)}{\det_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \circ g_{\mathbb{R}})}e_{\chi} \\ &= \frac{(X_E : \overline{f}(g(X_E)))}{\text{covol}(\lambda(g(X_E)))}e_{\chi} \\ &= \frac{(X_E : \overline{f}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))}{\text{covol}(\lambda(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))}e_{\chi} \end{aligned}$$

as  $g(X_E)\text{tor}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))) = H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , while  $\overline{f}$  and  $\lambda$  kill torsion,

$$= \frac{(X_E : \overline{f}(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))}{R_n^B(E)}e_{\chi}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Combining the last two lemmas, we get an expression for the component of the regulator corresponding to a character of order two on an abelian Galois group.

**Proposition 2.4** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is a character of order 2 of the abelian group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ , with  $L$  a CM field and  $F$  totally real. Let  $f: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow X_L$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module homomorphism with finite kernel. Let  $E = E_\psi$ , the fixed field of  $\ker(\psi)$ . Then*

$$(R_G(f)e_\psi) = \frac{(X_E : (r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))}{|\ker(\psi)|^{r(\psi)} R_n^B(E)} e_\psi.$$

**Proof** Let  $H = \ker(\psi)$ . Then  $(G : H) = 2$  and the fixed field  $E = E_\psi$  of  $H$  is a quadratic extension of  $F$ . Note that  $E$  is either totally real or CM, as either  $E \subset L^+$  or  $E \cdot L^+ = L$ . If  $E$  is totally real, then  $X_E = 0$ . Hence  $e_\psi X_L \cong e_\chi X_E = 0$ , and  $R(f)e_\psi = 1e_\psi$  by Proposition 2.1, as it is the determinant of a linear transformation on this 0-dimensional space. Also  $r(\psi) = 0$  and  $R_n^B = 1$ , so the other side of the equation reduces to  $1e_\psi$  as well.

We now assume that  $E$  is CM, so that  $E^+ = F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |H|^{r(\psi)} \pi_{G/H} (R(f)e_\psi) &= R_{\bar{G}}(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*) e_\chi \quad \text{by Lemma 2.2,} \\ &= \frac{(X_E : (r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))}{R_n^B(E)} e_\chi \quad \text{by Lemma 2.3.} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi_{G/H}: \mathbb{R}e_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}e_\chi$  is an isomorphism with  $\pi_{G/H}(e_\psi) = e_\chi$ , we obtain the desired conclusion. ■

We pause to record an observation on rationality which one expects to hold more generally, in line with Stark’s principal conjecture [13].

**Corollary 2.5** *With the assumptions as in Proposition 2.4,  $R_G(f)R_n^B(E)e_\psi \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ .*

### 3 Artin *L*-functions

Again let  $E$  be an intermediate field between  $F$  and  $L$ , with  $L/F$  abelian and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$  as always. Let  $H = \text{Gal}(L/E)$  and let  $\hat{H}$  denote the group of characters of  $H$ . Then  $S_E$  will denote the set of primes of  $E$  above those of  $F$  in  $S$ . The  $S_E$ -imprimitive Artin *L*-function for a character  $\psi \in \hat{H}$  is defined for complex  $s$  with real part greater than 1 by a product over primes of  $E$  as

$$L_{L/E}^S(s, \psi) = \prod_{\text{prime } \mathfrak{p} \in S_E} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N\mathfrak{p}^s} \psi(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})\right)^{-1},$$

and this function extends meromorphically to the whole complex plane.

As usual,  $r_1(E)$  and  $r_2(E)$  will denote the number of real embeddings of  $E$  and the number of pairs of complex conjugate embeddings of  $E$ , respectively. For the trivial character  $\psi_0$ , we have  $L_{L/E}^S(s, \psi_0) = \zeta_E^{S_E}$ , the Dedekind zeta function of  $E$  with Euler factors for finite primes in  $S_E$  removed. It has a zero of order equal to  $r_2(E)$  at  $s = 1 - n$  when  $n \geq 2$  is even, and  $r_1(E) + r_2(E)$  when  $n \geq 2$  is odd. We will make use of the following description of its first non-zero Taylor coefficient at  $s = 1 - n$ , denoted  $\zeta_E^{S, *}(1 - n)$ .

**Conjecture 3.1** (Motivic Lichtenbaum) *For each integer  $n \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\zeta_E^{S,*}(1-n) = \pm \frac{h_n^S(E)}{w_n(E)} R_n^B(E).$$

**Remark 3.2** (i) This conjecture is known to be true, up to powers of 2, for  $E$  abelian over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by [6].

(ii) The standard form of this conjecture occurs when  $S$  consists of just the infinite primes. However, augmenting the set  $S_E$  by a finite prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  multiplies each side by  $\pm(1 - N\mathfrak{p}^{n-1})$ . On the left,  $(1 - N\mathfrak{p}^{n-1})$  is the value of the Euler factor corresponding to  $\mathfrak{p}$  when  $s = 1 - n$ . On the right,  $w_n(E)$  and  $R_n^B(E)$  depend only on  $H^0(E, \mathfrak{q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  and  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ , so are independent of  $S$ . For  $h_n^S(E) = |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^{S_E}, \mathbb{Z}(n))|$ , there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^{S_E}, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^{S_E \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}}, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \rightarrow 0,$$

derived from the localization sequences of Geisser [2] for  $\mathcal{O}_E^S$  and  $\mathcal{O}_E^{S \cup \mathfrak{p}}$ . The long exact sequence in cohomology gives  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}(n-1))$ , while  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n-1))$  of order easily computed to be  $N\mathfrak{p}^{n-1} - 1$ .

(iii) When  $E$  is totally real and  $n \geq 2$  is even,  $R_n^B(E) = 1$  because  $\mathbb{R}X_E = 0$ , and the conjecture holds up to powers of two as a consequence of Wiles' proof in [15] of the main conjecture for totally real fields (see [4] and [3]). For  $E$  totally real and absolutely abelian, it is known to hold exactly, based on the full proof of the main conjecture in this case [15]. The case of  $E$  totally real and  $n = 2$  is the Birch–Tate conjecture, which was made earlier (see Section 4 of [12]).

**Proposition 3.3** *For the principal character  $\psi_0$  of  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ , the first non-zero Taylor coefficient of  $L_{L/F}^S(s, \psi_0)$  at  $s = 1 - n$  is*

$$L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi_0) = \pm 2^c \frac{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|}{|H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|} = \pm 2^c h_n^S(F)/w_n(F),$$

with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c = 0$  if  $F$  is abelian over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or more generally if the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture holds for  $F$ .

**Proof** Since  $\psi_0$  is the inflation of the trivial character on  $\text{Gal}(F/F)$ , the functorial properties of Artin  $L$ -functions give

$$L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi_0) = \zeta_F^{S,*}(1-n).$$

The result then follows from the preceding remarks, since  $F$  is totally real. ■

**Proposition 3.4** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is a quadratic character of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Let  $E_\psi$  denote the quadratic extension of  $F$  that is the fixed field of  $H = \ker(\psi)$ , and let  $\sigma_\psi$  denote the generator of  $\text{Gal}(E_\psi/F) \cong G/H$ . Assume that the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture holds for  $E_\psi$  and  $F$ . Then the first non-zero Taylor coefficient of  $L_{L/F}^S(s, \psi)$  at  $s = 1 - n$*

is

$$L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi) = \pm 2^t \frac{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_\psi}}{|H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_\psi}} R_n^B(E_\psi)$$

for some integer  $t$ .

**Proof** First,  $\psi$  is inflated from the non-trivial character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gal}(E_\psi/F)$ , and this character is the difference between the regular representation of  $\text{Gal}(E_\psi/F)$  and the trivial character. The functorial properties of Artin  $L$ -functions combined with the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture for  $E_\psi$  and the known motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture for the totally real field  $F$  give

$$L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi) = \frac{\zeta_{E_\psi}^{S,*}(1-n)}{\zeta_F^{S,*}(1-n)} = \pm \frac{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))| |H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|}{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))| |H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|} R_n^B(E_\psi).$$

The very definition of  $H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  gives us an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma_\psi} H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi} \rightarrow 1.$$

Consequently the second ratio appearing in the last expression for  $L_{L/F}^{S,*}(\psi)$  is

$$\frac{|H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|}{|H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|} = \frac{1}{|H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_\psi}}.$$

Now for  $\iota$  denoting the inclusion  $F \rightarrow E_\psi$ , there is a homomorphism induced by  $\iota^*$ :

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n)) / H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

for which the kernel on the left and the cokernel on the right are finite and annihilated by 2. Thus the first ratio in the expression for  $L_{L/F}^{S,*}(\psi)$  above becomes  $2^t |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_\psi}$ , and this completes the proof. ■

**Corollary 3.5** Suppose that  $\psi$  is a quadratic character of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ ,  $E = E_\psi$  is the fixed field of  $H = \ker(\psi)$ , and  $\sigma_\psi$  is the generator of  $\text{Gal}(E_\psi/F) \cong G/H$ . Assume that the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture holds for  $E_\psi$ . Given a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module homomorphism  $f: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow X_L$  with finite kernel, we have

$$R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi) e_\psi = \pm 2^d m \frac{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_\psi}}{|H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_\psi}} e_\psi,$$

where  $m = (X_E : r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*(H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)))) / |H|^{r_2(E)}$  is an integer, as is  $d$ .

For the principal character  $\psi_0$ , we have

$$R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi_0) e_{\psi_0} = \pm 2^c \frac{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|}{w_n(F)} e_{\psi_0}$$

with  $c = 0$  if the full motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture holds for  $F$ .

**Proof** For the principal character  $\psi_0$ , the result follows from Proposition 3.3 and the fact that  $(|G|e_{\psi_0})H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = N_G H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = (\iota_* \circ \iota^*)H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \subset \iota_* H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ . This is finite since  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  is finite, because the field  $F = E^+$  is totally real. Thus  $e_{\psi_0} \mathbb{C}H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = 0$ , so  $R_G(f)e_{\psi_0} = 1e_{\psi_0}$  by Proposition 2.1.

For the case of a quadratic character  $\psi$ , Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.4 together give

$$R_G(f)L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi)e_{\psi} = \pm 2^d \frac{\left( X_E : (r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))) \right)}{|\ker(\psi)|^{r(\psi)}} \frac{|H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_{\psi}}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_{\psi}}}{|H^0(E_{\psi}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_{\psi}}} e_{\psi}.$$

If  $E$  is totally real, then  $r(\psi) = 0$ , so the result is clear.

We may now assume that  $E$  is CM. Then  $\iota_*(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)))$  is fixed by  $H$ , and therefore  $f(\iota_*(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))$  is contained in the  $H$ -fixed sub-module  $X_L^H$  of  $X_L$ . Since  $E$  is CM, every infinite prime of  $E$  splits completely in  $L$ . Thus it is easy to see that  $X_L^H = \gamma_{L/E}(X_E)$ . So  $(r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n)))$  is contained in  $r_{L/E}(X_L^H) = (r_{L/E} \circ \gamma_{L/E})(X_E) = |H|X_E$ . Consequently, the index  $(X_E : (r_{L/E} \circ f \circ \iota_*)(H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(E, \mathbb{Z}(n))))$  above is an integer multiple of  $(X_E : |H|X_E) = |H|^{r_2(E)}$ . Restricting  $\gamma_{L/F}$  gives  $e_{\psi}X_L \cong e_{\chi}X_E$ , so  $r(\psi) = r_2(E)$  and the result follows. ■

### 4 The Annihilation Theorem

Fix an even positive integer  $n$ .

**Lemma 4.1** Suppose that  $\alpha \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(H^0(L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)))$ .

- (i) For the principal character  $\psi_0$ ,  $\alpha e_{\psi_0} = cw_n(F)e_{\psi_0}$  for some integer  $c$ .
- (ii) For any character  $\psi$  of order 2,  $\alpha e_{\psi} = c|H^0(E_{\psi}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_{\psi}}e_{\psi}$  for some integer  $c$ .

**Proof** (i) (See [9, Lemma 4.2].) Write  $\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_{\sigma}\sigma$ . Then, restricting to

$$H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \subset H^0(E, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)),$$

we find that

$$A = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_{\sigma} \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))) = w_n(F)\mathbb{Z},$$

since  $H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  is a cyclic group of order  $w_n(F)$ . So  $A = cw_n(F)$ , with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\alpha - A = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_{\sigma}(\sigma - 1)$ . Since  $(\sigma - 1)e_{\psi_0} = 0$  for each  $\sigma \in G$ , we have  $(\alpha - A)e_{\psi_0} = 0$  so  $\alpha e_{\psi_0} = Ae_{\psi_0} = cw_n(F)e_{\psi_0}$ .

(ii) Restricting instead to  $H^0(E_{\psi}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_{\psi}}$  shows that

$$B = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_{\sigma}\psi(\sigma) \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^0(E_{\psi}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_{\psi}}) = |H^0(E_{\psi}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))|^{1-\sigma_{\psi}}\mathbb{Z},$$

since  $H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  is a cyclic group. So  $B = c|H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi}|$ , with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\alpha - B = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_\sigma(\sigma - \psi\sigma)$ . Since  $(\sigma - \psi(\sigma))e_\psi = 0$  for each  $\sigma \in G$ , we have  $(\alpha - B)e_\psi = 0$  so  $\alpha e_\psi = B e_\psi = c|H^0(E_\psi, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi}|e_\psi$ . ■

**Proposition 4.2** *Suppose that  $L$  is an abelian totally real or CM extension of a totally real field  $F$ , with abelian Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  be an even integer, let*

$$\alpha \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(H^0(L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)))$$

and let

$$f: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow X_L$$

be a  $G$ -module homomorphism with finite kernel.

(i) *For the principal character  $\psi_0$  of  $G$ , we have*

$$2^k \alpha R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi_0) e_{\psi_0} = m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))| e_{\psi_0}$$

for some integers  $k$  and  $m$ .

(ii) *If  $\psi$  is a quadratic character of  $G$  and the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture holds for the fixed field  $E_\psi$  of the kernel of  $\psi$ , then we have*

$$2^k \alpha R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi) e_\psi = m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi}| e_\psi$$

for some integers  $k$  and  $m$ .

**Proof** This results directly from combining Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 4.1. ■

**Theorem 4.3** *Suppose that  $L$  is an abelian totally real or CM extension of a totally real field  $F$ , with abelian Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(H^0(L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)))$  and let  $f: H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(L, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow X_L$  be a  $G$ -module homomorphism with finite kernel. If  $\psi$  is a character of  $G$  such that  $\psi^2 = 1$  and the motivic Lichtenbaum conjecture holds for the fixed field of  $\ker(\psi)$ , then for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $2^k |G| \alpha R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi) e_\psi$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  and annihilates  $H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ .*

**Proof** For the principal character,

$$2^k |G| \alpha R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*}(1-n, \psi_0) e_{\psi_0} = m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))| N_G \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$$

by Proposition 4.2. So we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & (m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))| N_G) \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \\ &= m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))| \cdot (N_G \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 1.2 with  $E = F$ ,

$$N_G \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \iota_* \iota^* (H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))) \subset \iota_* (H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))),$$

and this is clearly annihilated by  $m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|$ . Hence we reach the desired conclusion in this case.

For a character  $\psi$  of order 2, we put  $E = E_\psi$ , and  $H = \text{Gal}(L/E)$ . Also let  $\tilde{\sigma}_\psi \in G$  restrict to the generator  $\sigma_\psi$  of  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ , so that  $|G|e_\psi = (1 - \tilde{\sigma}_\psi)N_H$ . Then

$$2^k |G| \alpha R_G(f) L_{L/F}^{S,*} (1 - n, \psi) e_\psi = m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi}| (1 - \sigma_\psi) N_H$$

by Proposition 4.2. So we consider

$$\begin{aligned} m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi}| (1 - \tilde{\sigma}_\psi) N_H \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \\ = m |H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{E_\psi}^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))^{1-\sigma_\psi}| \cdot \left( (1 - \tilde{\sigma}_E) \cdot (N_H \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 1.2 with  $\iota: E \rightarrow L$  and the fact that  $\iota \circ \sigma_\psi = \tilde{\sigma}_\psi \circ \iota$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \tilde{\sigma}_\psi) \cdot (N_H \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))) &= (1 - \tilde{\sigma}_\psi) \cdot \left( \iota_* \iota^* (H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_L^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))) \right) \\ &\subset (1 - \tilde{\sigma}_\psi) \cdot \iota_* (H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))) = \iota_* \left( (1 - \sigma_\psi) \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^S, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and this is clearly annihilated by  $m |(1 - \sigma_\psi) \cdot H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{O}_E^S, \mathbb{Z}(n))|$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 4.4** (i) When  $L$  is a totally real multiquadratic extension of  $F$ , [10] obtains a stronger result in the case of  $n = 2$ , eliminating the factor of  $2^k$ .

(ii) An approach to this result via the Equivariant Tamagawa Number Conjecture is provided by [8].

**Acknowledgements** We are deeply indebted to Manfred Kolster for many suggestions, and for enormous help in extending our ideas from the limited setting of tame kernels to the more general setting of motivic cohomology groups.

## References

- [1] D. Burns, *On derivatives of Artin L-series*. Invent. Math. **186**(2011), 291–371. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-011-0320-0>
- [2] T. Geisser, *Motivic cohomology over Dedekind rings*. Math. Z. **248**(2004), 773–875. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-004-0680-x>
- [3] C. Junkins and M. Kolster, *The analogue of the Gauss class number problem in motivic cohomology*, Ann. Sci. Math. Quebec **36**(2012), 69–96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40316-013-0006-7>
- [4] M. Kolster, *Cohomological version of the Lichtenbaum conjecture at the prime 2*. Appendix in: J. Rognes and C. Weibel, *Two-primary algebraic K-theory of rings of integers in number fields*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13**(2000), 1–54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00317-3>
- [5] ———, *K-theory and Arithmetic*. In: Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory, ICTP Lecture Notes XV, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004, 191–258.
- [6] M. Kolster, T. Nguyen Quang-Do, and V. Fleckinger, *Twisted S-units, p-adic class number formulas, and the Lichtenbaum conjectures*. Duke Math. J. **84**(1996), 679–717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-96-08421-5>
- [7] J. Neukirch, *The Beilinson conjecture for algebraic number fields*. In: Beilinson's Conjectures on Special Values of L-Functions, Perspect. Math. **4**, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988, 193–248.
- [8] A. Nickel, *Leading terms of Artin L-series at negative integers and annihilation of higher K-groups*. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **151**(2011), 1–22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004111000193>
- [9] J. W. Sands *L-functions at the origin and annihilation of class groups in multiquadratic extensions*. Acta Arithmetica **154**(2012), 173–185. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/aa154-2-5>

- [10] J. W. Sands and L. D. Simons, *Values at  $s = -1$  of  $L$ -functions for multi-quadratic extensions of number fields and annihilation of the tame kernel*. *J London Math. Soc.* 76(2007), 545–555.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/jlms/jdm074>
- [11] V. P. Snaith, *Stark's conjectures and new Stickelberger phenomena*. *Canad. J. Math.* 58(2006), 419–448. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2006-018-5>
- [12] J. T. Tate, *Symbols in Arithmetic*. In: *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970)*, Tome 1, Gauthier-Villars, 1971, 201–211.
- [13] ———, *Les conjectures de Stark sur les fonctions  $L$  d'Artin en  $s = 0$* . Birkhauser, Boston, 1984.
- [14] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/l$ -coefficients*. *Ann. of Math.* 174(2011), 401–438.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.11>
- [15] A. Wiles, *The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields*. *Ann. of Math.* 131(1990), 493–540.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1971468>

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05401, USA*  
*e-mail:* [jonathan.sands@uvm.edu](mailto:jonathan.sands@uvm.edu)