

ON TRANSLATION PLANES WHICH ADMIT SOLVABLE AUTOTOPIISM GROUPS HAVING A LARGE SLOPE ORBIT

VIKRAM JHA

0. Introduction. Our main object is to prove the following result.

THEOREM C. *Let \mathbf{A} be an affine translation plane of order $q^r \cong q^2$ such that l_∞ , the line at infinity, coincides with the translation axis of \mathbf{A} . Suppose G is a solvable autotopism group of \mathbf{A} that leaves invariant a set Δ of $q + 1$ slopes and acts transitively on $l_\infty \setminus \Delta$.*

Then the order of \mathbf{A} is q^2 .

An autotopism group of any affine plane \mathbf{A} is a collineation group G that fixes at least two of the affine lines of \mathbf{A} ; if in fact the fixed elements of G form a subplane of \mathbf{A} we call G a *planar* group. When \mathbf{A} in the theorem is a Hall plane [4, p. 187], or a generalized Hall plane ([13]), G can be chosen to be a planar group. But there are also many planes, which satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem, in which it is impossible to choose G to be a planar group; for instance deriving a Walker plane [19], or a suitably chosen semifield plane [9, Section 4], leads to such examples. However, when q is a prime, then the only known possibilities for \mathbf{A} in Theorem C are the Hall planes, the derived Walker planes (when $q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$) and the recently discovered Cohen-Ganley planes [1], which exist whenever $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$.

The main corollary of Theorem C may be described in terms of spreads in projective spaces. Recall that a spread Σ in a projective space $\mathbf{P} = PG(2r - 1, q)$ is a collection of $r - 1$ dimension subspaces such that every point of \mathbf{P} lies in a unique member (or 'component') of Σ . Also $\text{Aut } \Sigma$ is the group of collineations of \mathbf{P} that permutes the components of Σ among themselves. Theorem C yields the following characterization of the spreads associated with the finite Hall planes.

COROLLARY D. *Let Σ be a spread in $\mathbf{P} = PG(2r - 1, q)$ with $r > 1$. Suppose G is a solvable subgroup of $\text{Aut } \Sigma$ that fixes individually each member of a set Δ consisting of $q + 1$ components of Σ . Then G is transitive on $\Sigma \setminus \Delta$ only if all the following conditions hold.*

Received September 10, 1981 and in revised form November 11, 1983. We would like to thank the referee for making invaluable suggestions regarding the presentation of this paper.

- (i) $\mathbf{P} = PG(3, q)$;
- (ii) Δ is a regulus; and
- (iii) $(\Sigma \setminus \Delta) \cup \Delta'$ is a regular (Desarguesian) spread where Δ' is the opposite regulus of Δ .

Remark. If the solvability hypothesis on G is dropped then the only known counterexamples to Corollary D are two well-known spreads in $PG(7, 2)$: the Lorimer-Rahilly spread [16] and its transpose the Johnson-Walker spread. If additionally we replace the assumption that G acts trivially on Δ , by the weaker assumption that G fixes Δ globally, then there arise many infinite families of counterexamples to the corollary (Section 4).

The proof of our main result (Theorem C) follows from a study of certain autotopism groups undertaken in Section 2. Specifically, Section 2 considers an affine translation plane \mathbf{A} of order $q^r > q^2$ which admits an autotopism group H of order $u^\alpha p^\beta$ where

- (i) p is the characteristic of \mathbf{A} ; and
- (ii) u is a p -primitive divisor of $q^{r-1} - 1$.

Our main conclusion is that when $q^r \neq 16$, H is a planar group. This fact allows us to gain further information about H that we require in the proof of Theorem C. A side effect of our analysis has a slight bearing on an old conjecture of Hughes [4, p. 178] which asserts that the full autotopism group of a finite semifield plane must be solvable.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let G be the autotopism group of a finite semifield plane of order $p^r > p^2$, where p is a prime. Suppose that u is a primitive divisor of $p^{r-1} - 1$ such that pu divides $|G|$.*

Then G is a non-solvable group.

The following result a consequence of Foulser's dimension theorem for subplanes [3, Corollary 3.5], is required in the proof of Theorem B.

THEOREM A. *Let \mathbf{A} be an affine translation plane of order $q^r > q$ and characteristic p . Suppose P is a planar p -group of \mathbf{A} such that its fixed plane \mathbf{A}_p has order at least q .*

Then $|P| \cong q^{r-1}$ is only possible when $q^r = 16$ or \mathbf{A}_p is a Desarguesian Baer subplane of \mathbf{A} .

Special cases of Theorem A follow from [5, Section 6] (e.g., when \mathbf{A}_p is a kern plane or $\mathbf{A}_p \cap l_\infty$ is a Desarguesian net). In particular the following known instance of Theorem A ([2, Corollary 3]) will be needed in its proof.

1. RESULT. *Let \mathbf{B} be a Baer subplane of an affine translation plane \mathbf{A} of order n^2 . Suppose \mathbf{A} admits a collineation group of order n that fixes \mathbf{B} elementwise. Then \mathbf{B} is a Desarguesian plane.*

We shall need to assume that the reader is familiar with translation planes and their connection with spreads and quasifields [4, 15, 16]. Apart from standard notation we wish to emphasize the following

Conventions. (a) Let G be a permutation group of the finite set \mathbf{A} . Then G_X denotes the elementwise stabilizer of $X \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is the set of fixed points of G . But if \mathbf{A} is an affine plane and G is a planar group we usually write \mathbf{A}_G , instead of $\mathcal{F}(G)$, for the fixed plane of G .

(b) The integer $q > 1$ is always a power of the prime p and a Sylow p -subgroup of any finite group G is called an S_p subgroup of G . If u is another prime then a $\{u, p\}$ subgroup of G is required to have order $u^\alpha p^\beta$, where α and β are integers.

(c) If \mathbf{A} is an affine plane then we denote its line at infinity by l_∞ and we call \mathbf{A} an *affine translation plane* if the group of l_∞ elations is transitive on the affine points of \mathbf{A} .

Remark. In Theorem C we considered planes of order q^r . In this theorem, and in fact throughout the paper, there is no need to consider r to be an integer; it is sufficient for r to be a positive rational number (usually ≥ 2) such that q^r is an integer.

1. An upper bound for planar p -groups. The object of this section is to prove Theorem A. We do this using an inductive argument based on the following theorem of Foulser [3, Corollary 3.5].

1. DIMENSION THEOREM. *Let Π be a p -group of automorphisms of a finite quasifield Q , whose characteristic is p . Then $\dim \mathcal{F}(\Pi) \mid \dim Q$, where dimensions are given relative to the prime field in Q .*

We shall carry out our induction on the ' ρ -triples' defined below.

2. Definition. Let q be a power of the prime p and suppose $r > 1$. Then (q, r, p) is a ρ -triple if there exists (Q, F, Π) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) Q is a quasifield of order q^r and F is a subquasifield of order q ; and
- (ii) Π is a nontrivial p -group in $(\text{Aut } Q)_F$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\Pi) = F$ and $|\Pi| \cong q^{r-1}$.

(Note. Foulser's dimension theorem mentioned above forces r to be an integer.)

3. PROPOSITION. *The only ρ -triples are those of type $(q, 2, p)$ or $(2, 4, 2)$.*

Proof of Proposition 3. We proceed by induction on r . Let $r = R (> 2)$ be the smallest integer associated with a counterexample to the proposition. This means that there exists a ρ -triple $(q, R, p) \neq (2, 4, 2)$. Let (Q, F, Π) be chosen to satisfy conditions 2(i) and (ii), relative to (q, R, p) .

Also choose V to be a $GF(p)$ subspace of $(Q, +)$ satisfying the following requirements:

- (1) $|V| = pq$;
- (2) $V \supset F$; and
- (3) V is left invariant by the group Π . (The existence of V follows from the fact that the number of subspaces of $(Q, +)$ that satisfy (1) and (2) is relatively prime to $|\Pi|$.)

We now break up our argument into a series of lemmas and the notation introduced in each lemma is in force until Proposition 3 is proved.

4. LEMMA. *Let Π_1 be the kernel of the restriction map $\alpha: \Pi \rightarrow \Pi|V$. Also let $F_1 = \mathcal{F}(\Pi_1)$. Then*

- (a) $|\Pi_1| \cong q^{R-2} > 1$;
- (b) $Q \supset F_1 \supset F$ (and the subspace F_1 is also a subquasifield of Q);
- (c) Π leaves F_1 invariant.

Proof. Since $\alpha(\Pi)$ is semiregular on $V \setminus F$ we have

$$|\Pi_1| = |\Pi| / |\alpha(\Pi)| \cong |\Pi|/q.$$

Now (a) follows because our hypothesis states $|\Pi| \cong q^{R-1}$ and $R > 2$. Part (b) is immediate and (c) is valid because Π_1 is normal in Π .

5. LEMMA. *There exist integers r, t (both > 1) such that*

- (a) $R = rt$; (b) $|Q| = |F_1|^r$; and
- (c) $|F_1| = |F|^t = q^t$.

Proof. Let Π_2 be the subgroup of $(\text{Aut } F_1)_F$ induced by Π on the Π invariant quasifield F_1 , defined in Lemma 4. Thus by definition 2(ii), $\mathcal{F}(\Pi_2) = F$ and so the dimension theorem (Result 1) yields (c). Part (b) follows if the dimension theorem is applied to Π_1 , since $\mathcal{F}(\Pi_1) = F_1$. Now (a) follows from (b) and (c).

6. LEMMA. *F_1 is a Baer extension of F .*

Proof. Otherwise Lemma 5(c) shows that $t \geq 3$ and so Lemmas 4(a) and 5 imply:

$$(i) \Pi_1 \cong q^{R-2} = q^{t(r-(2/t))} > |F_1|^{r-1}.$$

Hence $(|F_1|, r, p)$ qualifies as a ρ -triple relative to (Q, F_1, Π_1) , because of Lemma 5(b). To avoid contradicting our inductive hypothesis we therefore must have $r = 2$, since $|F_1| \neq 2$. Now Q is a Baer extension of F_1 and the semiregularity of Π_1 on $Q \setminus F_1$ shows that $|\Pi_1| \leq |F_1|$. But this contradicts statement (i) because $r = 2$. So the lemma is valid.

7. LEMMA. $|Q| = |F_1|^2 = |F|^4$.

Proof. By Lemmas 5 and 6, $|Q| = |F_1|^{R/2}$ where $R/2$ is an integer. But Lemmas 4(a) and 6 show that

$$|\Pi_1| \cong q^{R-2} = |F_1|^{(R/2-1)}.$$

Thus (Q, F_1, Π_1) gives a ρ -triple $(|F_1|, R/2, p)$, contrary to our inductive hypothesis unless $R/2 = 2$ or $(|F_1|, R/2, p) = (2, 4, 2)$. But $|F_1| > 2$ and so $R = 4$, as stated in the lemma.

8. COROLLARY. $|\Pi_1| = q^2$ and the restriction map $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi|_{F_1}$ induces a group of order q on F_1 .

Proof. By Lemmas 4(a) and 7 we have $|\Pi_1| \cong q^2$. But Lemma 7 also implies that Q is a Baer extension of F_1 and so we can only have $|\Pi_1| = q^2$. By Lemma 4 Π_1 is the kernel of the restriction map $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi|_{F_1}$ and so the corollary follows.

Result 0.1 when applied to Corollary 8 shows that $F_1 = GF(q^2)$. Hence the restriction $\Pi|_{F_1}$ has order ≤ 2 and so Corollary 8 forces $q = 2$. Now Lemma 7 gives $(q, R, p) = (2, 4, 2)$, contrary to our inductive hypothesis. Hence Proposition 3 has been proved.

We now deduce the main result of this section using Proposition 3.

THEOREM A. Let the quasifield Q have order q^r where the rational number $r > 1$ and let p be the characteristic of Q . Suppose Π is a p -group in $\text{Aut } Q$ such that

- (i) $|\Pi| \cong q^{r-1}$; and
- (ii) $|\mathcal{F}(\Pi)| \cong q$.

Then either Q is a Baer extension of $GF(q)$ or $\mathcal{F}(\Pi) = GF(2)$ and $|Q| = 16$.

Proof. We may choose a rational number $m \geq 1$ such that $|\mathcal{F}(\Pi)| = q^m$. So by Foulser’s dimension theorem (Result 1) there is a positive integer R such that $mR = r$. Hence

$$(i) \quad |\Pi| \cong q^{r-1} = q^{m(R-1/m)} \cong |\mathcal{F}(\Pi)|^{R-1}.$$

Thus we have a ρ -triple $(|\mathcal{F}(\Pi)|, R, p)$ and so Proposition 3 gives $|Q| = 16, |\mathcal{F}(\Pi)| = 2$ or $R = 2$. In the latter event $\mathcal{F}(\Pi)$ is a Baer quasifield and now the semiregularity of Π on $Q \setminus \mathcal{F}(\Pi)$ shows $|\Pi| \leq |\mathcal{F}(\Pi)|$. Thus the relations (i) collapse into equalities and so $|\mathcal{F}(\Pi)| = q$. Result 0.1 shows that $\mathcal{F}(\Pi)$ is a field and so Theorem A is proved.

2. Autotopism $\{u, p\}$ groups. Throughout this section \mathbf{A} is an affine translation plane of order $q^r > 16$ and characteristic p . In addition we shall always assume that $q^{r-1} - 1$ possesses a primitive divisor u ; thus u is a prime divisor of $q^{r-1} - 1$ but not of $p^s - 1$ whenever $q^{r-1} > p^s \geq p$. Our object is to study autotopism $\{u, p\}$ subgroups of \mathbf{A} , because of the relevance of such groups to Theorem C. Such a group H need not be planar if $q^r = q^2$; for instance a Hall plane of order q^2 contains a

nonplanar autotopism group of order pu (generated by a Baer p -element and a kern homology of order u). However, if $q^r > q^2$ then we shall show that H must be a planar group and, when $q^{r-1} \nmid |H|$, we also have $H \subseteq \Gamma L(1, q^{r-1})$; in fact considerable information about H can be gained even when $q^{r-1} \mid |H|$, but we shall not analyse this situation as it is not relevant to our main objectives. We summarize most of our conclusions in the following result.

THEOREM B. *Let H denote an autotopism group of the plane \mathbf{A} and assume that $|H| = u^\alpha p^\beta$, with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. Also let P and U denote (resp.) S_p and S_u subgroups of H . Then the following statements are valid provided that $q^r > q^2$.*

- (a) H is a planar group.
- (b) Suppose $U \supseteq V \neq 1$. Then $\mathbf{A}_U = \mathbf{A}_V$ and both planes have order q . Moreover U is cyclic such that $C_H(V) = U$.
- (c) The following conditions are pairwise equivalent.
 - (i) $\mathbf{A}_U \cap l_\infty$ is H invariant;
 - (ii) H contains a non-trivial normal u -group;
 - (iii) $U \triangleleft H$;
 - (iv) $H \subseteq \Gamma L(1, q^{r-1})$;
 - (v) $q^{r-1} \nmid |H|$;
 - (vi) P fixes some points of $l_\infty \setminus (l_\infty \cap \mathbf{A}_U)$.

Remarks. (i) As far as Theorem C is concerned, the only bit of part (c) that we require is (i) \Rightarrow (vi); however the proof of this fact involves proving most of the other implications of part (c).

(ii) Applications of Theorem B to planes with shears are considered at the end of this section.

The main tool in the proof of Theorem B is the following lemma on vector spaces.

1. LEMMA. *Let \mathbf{V} be an elementary abelian group of order $q^r \cong q^2$ and suppose U is any non-trivial u -group in $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{V}, +)$. Then the following statements are valid.*

- (a) $|\mathcal{F}(U)| = q$.
- (b) U is semiregular on $\mathbf{V} \setminus \mathcal{F}(U)$.
- (c) U is cyclic.
- (d) if $r > 2$ then $\mathbf{V} = \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus C_U$ where C_U is the only U submodule of \mathbf{V} disjoint from $\mathcal{F}(U)$.
- (e) If $r > 2$ and \mathbf{W} is a U -submodule of \mathbf{V} then either $\mathbf{W} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(U)$ or $|\mathbf{W}| \cong q^{r-1}$.

Proof. By Maschke's theorem [17, Theorem 15.1] $\mathbf{V} = \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus C$ where C is some U module. As U is fixed point free on C we get

$$u \mid \left(\frac{q^r}{p^m} - 1 \right) \quad \text{where } p^m = |\mathcal{F}(U)|.$$

Since u is also a primitive divisor of $q^{r-1} - 1$ the condition above implies that $q \geq p^m$ and also that

$$u \mid \left(\frac{q^r}{p^m} - q^{r-1} \right).$$

Now we contradict the primitivity of u unless $q = p^m$. Hence part (a) is valid and part (b) follows immediately by applying part (a) to the cyclic subgroups of U . In particular U is faithful and semiregular on C . Hence U is a Frobenius complement [16, Lemma 4.2]. Since u is also odd (being a primitive divisor), the Frobenius complement U is cyclic [17, Theorem 18.1 (4)] and part (c) is verified. To prove part (d) we assume $r > 2$. The existence of the U -module C_U is again guaranteed by Maschke's theorem and so to prove the uniqueness assume there are two distinct U -modules C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus C_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Now $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq 0$ because then $q^r \geq q^{2(r-1)}$, contradicting the condition $r > 2$. But since U is fixed point free on the non-zero points of $C_1 \cap C_2$ we now find

$$u \mid (|C_1 \cap C_2| - 1)$$

and so by the primitivity of u we have

$$|C_1 \cap C_2| \geq q^{r-1}.$$

But each C_i has order q^{r-1} and so we contradict the assumption that $C_1 \neq C_2$. Hence (d) is valid. Finally, to verify (e), assume that a U -module $\mathbf{W} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}(U)$. Now Maschke's theorem shows that \mathbf{W} contains a subspace $\mathbf{X} (\neq 0)$ on which U is fixed point free. So by the primitivity of u we again have $|\mathbf{X}| \geq q^{r-1}$. Hence the lemma is valid.

It is more convenient to apply Lemma 1 to spreads of order q^r , rather than directly to the translation plane \mathbf{A} . So the next few lemmas are concerned with a spread theoretic version of Theorem B.

2. LEMMA. *Let Γ be a spread of order $q^r > q^2$ admitting an autotopism group H of order $u^\alpha p^\beta$ where $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) H is a planar group;
- (ii) the fixed plane of any nontrivial u -subgroup of H has order q ;
- (iii) H acts faithfully on λ , where λ denotes one of the components of Γ that is left invariant by H ; and
- (iv) the commutator $[\sigma, \theta] \neq 1$ whenever σ and θ are nontrivial p and u elements (resp.) in H .

Proof. As H is an autotopism group we may assume it leaves invariant at least two distinct components of Γ , which we shall label λ and μ . Let $U \neq 1$ be any u -group in H and write

$$L = \lambda \cap \mathcal{F}(U) \quad \text{and} \quad M = \mu \cap \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Now by Lemma 1, $|L| = q = |M|$ and so part (ii) is valid. To prove that H itself is planar we first consider the following situation.

Case A. When H contains a nontrivial normal u -subgroup U .

Let \bar{U} be an S_u subgroup of H that contains U and let P be any S_p subgroup of H . Now by part (ii) the plane $\mathbf{A}_{\bar{U}} = \mathbf{A}_U$ and so P leaves $\mathbf{A}_{\bar{U}}$ invariant. But the H invariant components λ and μ are in $\mathbf{A}_{\bar{U}}$ and so P induces a planar group on $\mathbf{A}_{\bar{U}}$. Hence $H = \langle P, \bar{U} \rangle$ is also a planar group. Now to complete the proof of part (i) it remains to consider the negation of case A.

Case B. H does not contain any normal nontrivial u -group.

As H is solvable it now must contain an elementary abelian normal p -group $K \neq 1$. Now K is certainly planar and H leaves \mathbf{A}_K invariant and hence also $k = \lambda \cap \mathcal{F}(K)$. Now by Lemma 1(e) either $k \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\bar{U}) \cap \lambda$ or $|k| \cong q^{r-1}$, whenever \bar{U} is any S_u subgroup of H . But since $r > 2$, $|k| \cong q^{r-1}$ contradicts the Baer condition for \mathbf{A}_K and so

$$k \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\bar{U}) \cap \lambda.$$

Similarly

$$\mu \cap \mathcal{F}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\bar{U}) \cap \mu$$

and so $\mathbf{A}_K \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{\bar{U}}$. Now any S_p subgroup P normalizes K and so induces a planar group on \mathbf{A}_K . Hence $H = \langle \bar{U}, P \rangle$ fixes elementwise a subplane of \mathbf{A}_U and part (i) is proved. Part (iii) is an immediate corollary. Finally, to verify part (iv), assume that $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$. Now by Lemma 1(d)

$$\lambda = \mathcal{F}(\theta) \oplus C_\theta$$

where C_θ is the unique θ module in λ disjoint from $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$. The uniqueness of C_θ shows that its normalizer σ also fixes C_θ and hence

$$|\mathcal{F}(\sigma) \cap C_\theta| > 1.$$

But now θ leaves $\mathcal{F}(\sigma) \cap C_\theta$ invariant and so by Lemma 1(e),

$$|\mathcal{F}(\sigma) \cap C_\theta| \cong q^{r-1},$$

contradicting the Baer condition for the plane \mathbf{A}_σ . Hence the proof of the lemma is complete.

Until the proof of Theorem B is complete we shall continue assuming the notation and hypothesis of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *If U is an S_u subgroup of H then the following conditions are pairwise equivalent.*

- (1) $U \triangleleft H$.
- (2) H contains a normal u -group $\neq 1$.
- (3) $H \subseteq \Gamma L(1, q^{r-1})$.
- (4) $q^{r-1} \nmid |H|$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is vacuous. To prove (2) \Rightarrow (3) assume that $U_0 \triangleleft H$ where U_0 is a nontrivial u -group in H . Now by Lemma 1, $\lambda = F_0 \oplus C_0$ where $F_0 = \mathcal{F}(U_0) \cap \lambda$ and C_0 is the only nonzero U_0 module disjoint from F_0 . So the planar group H leaves C_0 invariant and, because of the Baer condition for subplanes, acts faithfully on C_0 . Since U_0 is a cyclic (Lemma 1(c)) normal subgroup of H which acts irreducibly on C_0 we find [17, Proposition 19.8] that $H \subseteq \Gamma L(1, q^{r-1})$. Hence (2) \Rightarrow (3) is valid while (3) \Rightarrow (1) and (4) are easily verified. It is now sufficient to verify that (4) \Rightarrow (2). Assume (2) is false. Since H is solvable it must now contain a normal p -group $K \neq 1$ and now Lemma 2(iv) implies that $u \mid (|K| - 1)$. Hence the primitivity of u implies that $q^{r-1} \mid |K|$, contrary to the hypothesis of condition (4). Hence the lemma is valid.

We now use Theorem A to extend the list of equivalent conditions given in the previous lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let U be an S_u subgroup of H . Then the following conditions are pairwise equivalent, when $q^r \neq 16$.*

- (1) $U \triangleleft H$.
- (2) The plane \mathbf{A}_U is invariant under H .
- (3) $\mathbf{A}_U \cap l_\infty$ is H invariant.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are immediate, while (3) \Rightarrow (2) follows from the fact that $(\mathbf{A}_U \cap l_\infty) \cup \mathbf{A}_H$ is a generating set for \mathbf{A}_U . Finally, to verify (2) \Rightarrow (1), assume (2) and consider the kernel N of the restriction map $H \rightarrow H|_{\mathbf{A}_U}$. Since U is also an S_u subgroup of N it is sufficient to check that $U \triangleleft N$. If $U = N$ we are done, so assume that $up \mid |N|$. Now by Lemma 3, applied to N , we have $q^{r-1} \mid |N|$ unless $U \triangleleft N$. Thus (1) is false only when the elementwise stabilizer of \mathbf{A}_U is divisible by q^{r-1} . But \mathbf{A}_U has order q and so Theorem A can be applied. This yields $q^r = q^2$ or 16, contrary to our assumptions. The lemma follows.

It will now be convenient to state the following simple fact on projective planes.

Remark 5. Suppose \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 are subplanes of a projective plane \mathbf{P} and that they intersect in a (nondegenerate) subplane \mathbf{P}_0 . Also let l be any line of \mathbf{P}_0 . Then

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \cap l \supseteq \mathbf{P}_2 \cap l \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_1 \supseteq \mathbf{P}_2.$$

Proof. Each \mathbf{P}_i is generated by the points of $(\mathbf{P}_i \cap l) \cup \mathbf{P}_0$.

LEMMA 6. *Suppose U is an S_u subgroup and P an S_p subgroup of H . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) P fixes some point of $l_\infty \setminus (l_\infty \cap \mathbf{A}_U)$.
- (2) $l_\infty \cap \mathbf{A}_U$ is H invariant.

Proof. Assume if possible that (1) holds while (2) is false. Now appropriate conditions listed in Lemmas 3 and 4 show that H does not contain a normal u -subgroup $\neq 1$. So by the solvability of H it contains a nontrivial normal p -group K . Since P contains K , condition (1) shows that $\mathbf{A}_K \neq \mathbf{A}_U$. Hence

$$\mathbf{A}_K \cap \lambda \neq \mathbf{A}_U \cap \lambda,$$

e.g., use Remark 5. But since $K \triangleleft H$, $\mathbf{A}_K \cap \lambda$ is now seen to be a U -submodule of λ distinct from $\mathbf{A}_U \cap \lambda$. Now Lemma 1(e) contradicts the Baer condition for the plane \mathbf{A}_K . Hence (1) \Rightarrow (2) is valid. To prove the converse assume $l_\infty \cap \mathbf{A}_U$ is H -invariant. Now by Lemma 4, $U \triangleleft H$ and so by Lemma 1(d),

$$\lambda = (\mathbf{A}_U \cap \lambda) \oplus C_U$$

where C_U is H invariant. But now clearly $\mathcal{F}(P) \cap C_U \neq 0$ and so $\mathbf{A}_P \not\subseteq \mathbf{A}_U$. Hence Remark 5 shows that (1) must occur and so the lemma is proved.

It is clear that Lemmas 1 to 6 constitute a proof of Theorem B. When $q = p$ we can sharpen the conclusions of Theorem B by showing that \mathbf{A} satisfies the additional properties listed below; in particular \mathbf{A} must now have odd order.

7. COROLLARY. *Let \mathbf{A} be an affine translation plane of order $p^r > \text{Max}(p^2, 16)$ and suppose u is a primitive divisor of $p^{r-1} - 1$. Assume \mathbf{A} admits an autotopism group of order $u^\alpha p^\beta$ with $\alpha\beta \equiv 1$. Then all the following statements are valid:*

- (i) $p > 2$;
- (ii) $p \mid r - 1$; and
- (iii) \mathbf{A} does not admit affine elations.

Proof. If \mathbf{A} admits a planar p -group of order p^{r-1} then Theorem A leads to a contradiction. Otherwise Theorem B implies that our autotopism group of order $u^\alpha p^\beta$ is in $\Gamma L(1, p^{r-1})$. This is only possible if $p \mid r - 1$ and so (ii) holds. Now $p = 2$ is impossible because \mathbf{A} cannot admit Baer involutions. Hence (i) applies. By [3, Theorem 4.2], affine elations and planar p -elements cannot coexist in \mathbf{A} unless $p \mid r$, contradicting (ii). So the corollary is valid.

A consequence of Corollary 7 (that we shall not use) is that autotopism (u, p) groups tend to be nonsolvable, especially in planes admitting shears.

8. COROLLARY. *Let u be a primitive divisor of $p^{r-1} - 1$ and assume $p^r > \text{Max}(p^2, 16)$. Suppose \mathbf{A} is an affine translation plane admitting an autotopism group G such that $pu \mid |G|$.*

Then G is nonsolvable if $p = 2$ or \mathbf{A} admits affine elations.

Proof. If G is solvable it has a Hall (u, p) subgroup [17, Theorem 11.1] to which we may apply Corollary 7.

3. Main theorems. We shall now prove Theorem C and Corollary D. The following simple fact will be used in our proof.

Remark 1. Let T be a finite transitive group acting on a set Ω and let m be any prime divisor of $|\Omega|$. Then the S_m subgroups of T are fixed point free on Ω .

LEMMA 2. *Let \mathbf{A} be an affine translation plane with characteristic p and order $q^r > q^2$. Suppose G is a solvable autotopism group of \mathbf{A} that leaves invariant a set Δ of $q + 1$ slopes and acts transitively on $l_\infty \setminus \Delta$. Then $q^{r-1} - 1$ cannot have a primitive divisor when $q^r \neq 16$.*

Proof. To get a contradiction suppose u is a primitive divisor of $q^{r-1} - 1$. The transitivity of G now implies that pu is a divisor of $|G|$ and so, by the solvability hypothesis, G contains a Hall subgroup H of order $u^\alpha p^\beta$ with $\alpha\beta \equiv 1$ [17, Theorem 11.1]. By Theorem B, parts (a) and (b), we find that the S_u subgroups of H are planar groups with fixed planes of order q . But now Remark 1 implies that every S_u subgroup of H fixes Δ identically. Next consider the action of \mathbf{P} , an S_p subgroup of H , on the line l_∞ . This time Remark 1 shows that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}) \cap l_\infty \subset \Delta$$

and so condition c(vi) of Theorem B fails. So Theorem B (cf. condition c(i)) shows that if U is an S_u subgroup of H then H cannot leave $\Delta = \mathbf{A}_U \cap l_\infty$ invariant. This contradicts the invariance of Δ under G and so the lemma is proved.

We can now complete the proof of our main result using the argument of [6, Proposition 3.5].

THEOREM C. *Let \mathbf{A} be an affine translation plane of order $q^r \equiv q^2$. Suppose G is a solvable autotopism group of \mathbf{A} that leaves invariant a set Δ of $q + 1$ slopes and acts transitively on $l_\infty \setminus \Delta$. Then \mathbf{A} is a plane of order q^2 .*

Proof. When \mathbf{A} has order 16 the theorem can be verified by using the techniques of Johnson, Ostrom and Walker (e.g. [10]). (Alternatively, this case can be handled by using the recently completed classification of all translation planes of order 16, due to Riefart and Dempwolff [18].) So to get a contradiction we may assume that

$$q^r > \text{Max}(16, q^2).$$

Now Lemma 2 shows that $q^{r-1} - 1$ has no primitive divisors and so by Zsigmondy's theorem ([20], [16, p. 63]), $q^r = p^3$ where p is a Mersenne prime. Thus G contains a 2-group S of order 2^{x+1} where $p + 1 = 2^x$. Now consider the action of S on one of the sides l of the autotopism triangle associated with G . Since l has $p^3 - 1$ nonzero affine points and $2 \parallel p^3 - 1$, we may conclude that S has an orbit of length at most 2 in this set of $p^3 - 1$ points. Hence G has a 2-subgroup S_1 of order 2^x which fixes at least three points in the projective closure of \mathbf{A} . By similarly considering the action of S_1 on another side m , of the autotopism triangle fixed by G , we find that S_1 contains a subgroup S_2 of order 2^{x-1} , such that S_2 fixes at least three points on m . Hence S_2 must be trivial as \mathbf{A} cannot admit Baer involutions. Thus $p + 1 = 3$, contrary to our assumption that $q^r > 16$. The result follows.

It is obvious that the following corollary is equivalent to Corollary D mentioned in the introduction.

COROLLARY D. *In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem C assume that $GF(q)$ is in the kern of \mathbf{A} and that $G|\Delta = \text{identity}$. Then \mathbf{A} is a Hall plane.*

Proof. By Theorem C \mathbf{A} has order q^2 . So every S_p subgroup S of G is planar and \mathbf{A}_S is a Baer subplane such that Δ is its slope set. There are now two cases to consider:

- (i) G leaves invariant a Baer subplane \mathbf{A}_0 such that $\mathbf{A}_0 \cap l_\infty = \Delta$; or
- (ii) there exist distinct S_p subgroups of G , say S and T , such that \mathbf{A}_S and \mathbf{A}_T are distinct Baer subplanes (containing Δ).

If possibility (i) occurs then the p -complement of G leaves \mathbf{A}_0 invariant. There is no loss in generality if we allow G to contain a group of kern homologies of order $q - 1$; hence the p -complement in G contains a (Hall) subgroup H whose order is divisible by $(q - 1)^2$. So the representation $H \rightarrow H|\mathbf{A}_0$ has kernel divisible by $q - 1$. Thus $G_{\mathbf{A}_0}$, the elementwise stabilizer of \mathbf{A}_0 , is a group of order $q(q - 1)$ and so \mathbf{A} must be a Hall plane (e.g., [5, Theorem 5]).

Next consider case (ii). Obviously there are now $q + 1$ Baer subplanes across the partial spread associated with Δ and so \mathbf{A} is derivable. Also G inherits to a group \bar{G} which contains several shears groups of order q . Now the Hering-Ostrom theorem [15, Theorem 35.10] contradicts the solvability of G unless $q^2 = 3^2$ and $G = SL(2, 3)$. Hence \mathbf{A} must be a Hall plane [15, Theorem 49.6]. This completes the proof of the corollary.

4. Concluding remarks. Bearing in mind the hypothesis of Theorem B, it is natural to consider the classification of all spreads Γ which satisfy the following more general conditions.

Hypothesis (H). Γ is a spread in $PG(2r - 1, q)$ admitting an automorphism group G such that $\text{Aut } G$ fixes globally a set Δ of $q + 1$ components and acts transitively on $\Gamma \setminus \Delta$.

There are now very many known spreads in $PG(3, q)$ that satisfy hypothesis (H); many families of such examples can be constructed by using the procedure of Cohen and Ganley [1, Theorem 7.1]. Thus, contrary to our earlier expectations (e.g., see [7] or [8, problem A]), we now feel that the spreads in $PG(3, q)$, satisfying hypothesis (H), are probably too numerous to classify. So we raise a slightly modified version of our earlier question [8, problem A].

Problem (P). Classify all spreads Γ satisfying hypothesis (H) when $r \geq 3$.

The case when $r = 3$ always occurs in Desarguesian spreads of order 2^{3s} with $G = SL(2, 2^s)$. Moreover, Kantor has recently constructed many families of spreads of even order q^3 (with $q = 2^{2m+1}$, $m > 1$) that also satisfy hypothesis (H), with $G = SL(2, q)$ [11, Case (3), p. 252]. But when $r = 4$ there are only three known spreads which satisfy hypothesis (H). These are the Lorimer spread of order 16, its transpose and the (unpublished) Denniston-Walker spread in $PG(7, 8)$. (In the last case, G is a normal extension of Z_{73} by $SL(2, 8)$.) So as a supplement to problem (P) we raise the following

Question. Does hypothesis (H) imply $r \leq 4$ and is $r \geq 3$ only possible when q is even?

Let us briefly reconsider hypothesis (H) for $r \geq 2$, when q is prime. We now have far fewer examples. It turns out that if the order of Γ exceeds 16 then the only known possibilities for Γ are the ‘‘Cohen-Ganley systems’’ in $PG(3, p)$ and the spreads derived from them. Here by a Cohen-Ganley system we mean any spread of order p^2 constructed by the procedure described in Cohen et al. [1, Theorem 7.1]. At present there are only three known infinite families of C.G. systems, viz. Hall spreads, spreads derived from Walker spreads and the Cohen-Ganley spreads [1, Section 6]. Thus the following question related to problem (P) may admit a complete solution, at least modulo the C.G. systems.

Problem (Q). Classify all translation planes of order p^r that admit collineation groups with a slope orbit of length $p^r - p$.

We end by noting that further work on the type of problems discussed in this article must take into account the bizarre translation planes of Kantor [12], that have order q^3 and exist whenever q is a square prime power. Each of these planes admits a collineation group G with a slope orbit of length $q^3 - q$ (cf. Theorem C) and yet they do not satisfy

hypothesis (H), at least relative to q , because the corresponding kern subplanes have order $q^{3/2}$.

REFERENCES

1. S. D. Cohen and M. J. Ganley, *A class of translation planes*, to appear in *Quart. J. Math.*
2. D. A. Foulser, *Subplanes of partial spreads in translation planes*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 4 (1972), 32-38.
3. ——— *Planar collineations of order p in translation planes of order p^f* , *Geom. Dedicata* 5 (1976), 393-409.
4. D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper, *Projective planes* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973).
5. V. Jha, *On tangentially transitive translation planes and related systems*, *Geom. Dedicata* 4 (1975), 457-483.
6. ——— *On Δ -transitive translation planes*, *Arch. Math.* 37 (1981), 377-384.
7. ——— *On subgroups and factor groups of $GL(n, q)$ acting on spreads with the same characteristic*, *Discrete Math.* 41 (1982), 43-51.
8. ——— *On spreads admitting large autotopism groups*, *Proc. Conf. on Finite Geometries*, Pullman, 1981 (Marcel and Dekker, New York, 1983).
9. ——— *On semitransitive translation planes*, to appear in *Geom. Dedicata*.
10. N. L. Johnson and T. G. Ostrom, *The translation planes of order 16 that admit $PSL(2, 7)$* , *J. Comb. Theory, A* 26 (1979), 127-134.
11. W. M. Kantor, *Expanded, sliced and spread spreads*, *Proc. Conf. on Finite Geometries*, Pullman, 1981 (Marcel and Dekker, New York, 1983).
12. ——— *Translation planes of order q^6 admitting $SL(2, q^2)$* , *J. Comb. Theory, A* 32 (1982), 299-302.
13. P. B. Kirkpatrick, *Generalizations of Hall planes of odd order*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 4 (1971), 205-209.
14. P. Lorimer, *A projective plane of order 16*, *J. Comb. Theory, A* 16 (1974), 334-347.
15. H. Lüneburg, *Translation planes* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
16. T. G. Ostrom, *Finite translation planes*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 158 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970).
17. D. S. Passman, *Permutation groups* (Benjamin, New York, 1968).
18. A. Riefart and U. Dempwolff, *Classification of translation planes of order 16 (I)*, (to appear).
19. M. Walker, *A class of translation planes*, *Geom. Dedicata* 5 (1976), 135-146.
20. K. Zsigmondy, *Zur Theorie der Potenzreste*, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* 3 (1892), 265-284.

*University of Iowa,
Iowa City, Iowa*