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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a Fano manifold. We call a Kähler metric $\omega \in c_{1}(M)$ a Kähler-Ricci soliton if it satisfies the equation $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)-\omega=L_{V} \omega$ for some holomorphic vector field $V$ on $M$. It is known that a necessary condition for the existence of Kähler-Ricci solitons is the vanishing of the modified Futaki invariant introduced by Tian and Zhu. In a recent work of Berman and Nyström, it was generalized for (possibly singular) Fano varieties, and the notion of algebrogeometric stability of the pair ( $M, V$ ) was introduced. In this paper, we propose a method of computing the modified Futaki invariant for Fano complete intersections in projective spaces.


## §1. Introduction

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold, that is, $M$ is a compact complex manifold and $c_{1}(M)$ is represented by some Kähler form $\omega$ on $M$. If we take holomorphic coordinates $\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ of $M, \omega$ and its Ricci form $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)$ are locally written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{i \bar{j}}=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}\right) \\
\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r_{i \bar{j}}=-\partial_{i} \partial_{\bar{j}} \log \left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{k \bar{l}}\right)\right) \\
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \sum_{i, j} r_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]Since both $\omega$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)$ are in $c_{1}(M), \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)-\omega$ is an exact $(1,1)$-form. Therefore, there exists a real-valued smooth function $\kappa$ on $M$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)-\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \kappa
$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra consisting of all holomorphic vector fields on $M$. Then, any $V \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be lifted to the anticanonical bundle $-K_{M}$ of $M$, and naturally acts on the space of Hermitian metrics on $-K_{M}$. Let $h$ be a Hermitian metric on $-K_{M}$ such that $\omega=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h$, and let $\mu_{h, V}$ be the holomorphy potential of the pair $(h, V)$ defined by this action (cf. Definition 2.2). Then, we can easily check that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i_{V} \omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \mu_{h, V} \\
-\Delta_{\partial} \mu_{h, V}+\mu_{h, V}+V(\kappa)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta_{\partial}=-g^{i \bar{j}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{j}}$ denotes the $\partial$-Laplacian with respect to $\omega$. A metric $\omega$ is called a Kähler-Ricci soliton if it satisfies the equation

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)-\omega=L_{V} \omega
$$

for some $V \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $L_{V}$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to $V$. This is equivalent to the condition $\kappa=\mu_{h, V}$ (up to an additive constant). In particular, in the case when $V \equiv 0$, this metric is a well-known KählerEinstein metric. An obstruction to the existence of Kähler-Ricci solitons was first discovered by Tian and Zhu [TZ02]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a function on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n}
$$

and define the modified Futaki invariant $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)$ as the Gâteaux differential of $\mathcal{F}$ at $V$ in the direction $W$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{F}(V+t W)\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M} \mu_{h, W} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M} W\left(\kappa-\mu_{h, V}\right) e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if there exists a Kähler-Ricci soliton $\omega$ with respect to $V$, then we have $\kappa=\mu_{h, V}$ (up to an additive constant), and $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)$ must vanish.

Tian and Zhu showed that $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)$ is independent of the choice of $\omega \in$ $c_{1}(M)$. (In the case when $V \equiv 0$, this function coincides with the original Futaki invariant, and its independence was shown in [Fut83].) Recently, Berman and Nyström [BN14] generalized this obstruction to arbitrary Fano varieties (i.e., projective normal varieties with log terminal singularities and satisfying the property that $-K_{M}$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle), and introduced the notion of K-stability for the pair ( $M, V$ ). (Wang, Zhou and Zhu [WZZ14] also defined the slightly modified notion of K-stability inspired by the algebraic formula for the modified Futaki invariant in [BN14].) It is important to examine the sign of the modified Futaki invariant, since we can know whether $c_{1}(M)$ contains a Kähler-Ricci soliton or not if we examine the sign of the modified Futaki invariant on the central fiber for any special test configuration, that is, check the K-polystability.

Chen, Donaldson and Sun [CDS15] and Tian [Tian15] proved that if $M$ is K-polystable, there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric. In the case of Kähler-Ricci solitons, Berman and Nyström [BN14] showed that if $M$ admits a Kähler-Ricci soliton with respect to $V$, then $(M, V)$ is Kpolystable. They also showed that if $M$ is strongly analytically K-polystable and all the higher-order modified Futaki invariants of $(X, V)$ vanish, then there exists a Kähler-Ricci soliton with respect to $V$, where strongly analytically $K$-polystable means that the modified K-energy is coercive modulo automorphisms. However, it is still an open question whether the K-polystability of $(M, V)$ leads to the existence of a Kähler-Ricci soliton with respect to $V$.

Motivated by the above reasons, we propose a method of calculating the function $\mathcal{F}$ (therefore, the modified Futaki invariant Fut $_{V}$ as well) for Fano complete intersections in projective spaces. The main theorem of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a Fano complete intersection in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$, that is, $M$ is an $(N-s)$-dimensional Fano variety in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ defined by homogeneous polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}$ of degree $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}$ respectively, and

$$
\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left|z^{i}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

is the Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$. We suppose that there exists a constant $m>0$ such that $m \omega \in c_{1}(M)$. Let $V \in \mathfrak{s l}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ such that $V F_{i}=\alpha_{i} F_{i}$ for some constants $\alpha_{i}(i=1, \ldots, s)$.

Then, we have $m=N+1-d_{1}-\cdots-d_{s}$, and the function $\mathcal{F}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}(V)= & -\frac{(N-s)!}{d_{1} \cdots d_{s} m^{N-s}} \\
& \times \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{V}:=V \log \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left|z^{i}\right|^{2}\right)$.
From the above theorem, we know that $\mathcal{F}(V)$ can be written as a linear combination of the integrals $I_{0, l}:=m^{l} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(\theta_{V}\right)^{l} e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N}(0 \leqslant l \leqslant s)$.

Although we can easily get a method of computing $\mathcal{F}$ using the localization formula for orbifolds in [DT92], our formula (1.1) is still valuable since we need not assume that $M$ has at worst orbifold singularities. Moreover, we also do not require the explicit geometric knowledge of $M, V$ and $\omega$ (local coordinates (uniformization), the zero set of $V$, curvature, etc.). More concretely, in order to apply the localization formula in [DT92] directly to our case, we have to know the following.
(1) The zero set $\operatorname{Zero}(V)$ of $V$, where we assume that $\operatorname{Zero}(V)$ consists of disjoint nondegenerate submanifolds $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}$.
(2) The values of integrals

$$
\int_{Z_{i}} \frac{e^{m\left(\omega+\theta_{V}\right)}}{\operatorname{det}\left(L_{i, V}+K_{i}\right)},
$$

where $L_{i, V}(W):=[V, W]$ denotes an endomorphism, and $K_{i}$ is the curvature matrix of the normal bundle of $Z_{i}$.

If $s(=\operatorname{codim}(M))=1$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{i}\right)=0$, the above integral can be computed by taking local coordinates (or uniformization) around $Z_{i}$. However, it is very hard to compute in general.

The Futaki invariant of complete intersection was first computed by Lu [Lu99] using the adjunction formula and the Poincaré-Lelong formula. Then, it was also computed by many mathematicians using different techniques (see [PS04, Hou08, AV11]). Lu [Lu03] also computed the modified Futaki invariant for smooth hypersurfaces in projective spaces. Our formula (Theorem 1.1) extends Lu's result [Lu03] for (possibly singular) Fano complete intersections of arbitrary codimension. Compared with the

Kähler-Einstein case [Lu99], our formula has in common that $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is expressed by the degree $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}$ of defining polynomials of $M$ and the weights $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ of the actions induced by the vector field $V$. However, we need more knowledge of $V$ to compute the integrals $I_{0, l}(0 \leqslant l \leqslant s)$ (see Section 5 for more details).

In this paper, we prove the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) based on the calculations in [Lu99, AV11]. In Section 2, we review some fundamental materials and results for Kähler-Ricci solitons. The standard references for (holomorphic) equivariant cohomology theory are [BGV92, Hou08, Liu95]. We introduce an algebraic formula for $\mathcal{F}$ with reference to the quantization of the modified Futaki invariant studied in [BN14]. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 by the Poincaré-Lelong formula. Then, in Section 4, we also give another proof of Theorem 1.1 using the algebraic formula for $\mathcal{F}$ (cf. Proposition 2.8). Finally, we give examples of computation of $\mathcal{F}$ in Section 5.

## §2. Preminaries

### 2.1 Holomorphic equivariant coholomogy

Let $M$ be a complex manifold, and let $G$ be a Lie group acting holomorphically on $M$. Denote $\mathfrak{g}:=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ the Lie algebra of $G$. Then, for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\xi_{M}^{\mathbb{R}}$ the real holomorphic vector field on $M$ given by

$$
\xi_{M}^{\mathbb{R}}(f)(p)=\left.\frac{d}{d t} f(\exp (-t \xi) \cdot p)\right|_{t=0}, \quad f \in C^{\infty}(M), \quad p \in M
$$

and by $\xi_{M}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{M}^{\mathbb{R}}-\sqrt{-1} J \xi_{M}^{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ the complex holomorphic vector field on $M$. Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ be the algebra of a complex-valued polynomial function on $\mathfrak{g}$. We regard each element in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)$ as a polynomial function which takes values in differential forms. The group $G$ acts on an element $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)$ by

$$
(g \cdot \sigma)(\xi)=g \cdot\left(\sigma\left(g^{-1} \cdot \xi\right)\right), \quad g \in G \text { and } \xi \in \mathfrak{g} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{G}(M)=(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M))^{G}$ be the space of $G$-invariant elements in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)$. For $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)$, we define the bidegree of $\sigma$ by

$$
\operatorname{bideg}(\sigma)=(\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{P})+p, \operatorname{deg}(P)+q)
$$

where $\sigma=P \otimes \varphi\left(P \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]\right.$ and $\left.\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{p, q}(M)\right)$. For instance, $\operatorname{bideg}(\xi)=$ $(1,1)$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_{G}(M)=\bigoplus \mathcal{A}_{G}^{p, q}(M)$ has the structure of a bigraded algebra.

We define the equivariant exterior differential $\bar{\partial}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)$ as

$$
\left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathfrak{g}} \sigma\right)(\xi)=\bar{\partial}(\sigma(\xi))+2 \pi \sqrt{-1} i_{\xi_{M}}(\sigma(\xi)), \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)
$$

Then, $\bar{\partial}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ increases by $(0,1)$, the total bidegree on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes \mathcal{A}(M)$, and preserves $\mathcal{A}_{G}(M)$. Hence, we have a complex $\left(\mathcal{A}_{G}(M), \bar{\partial}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)$.

Definition 2.1. The holomorphic equivariant cohomology $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ of the pair $(M, G)$ is the cohomology of the complex $\left(\mathcal{A}_{G}(M), \bar{\partial}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)$.

Let $E$ be a $G$-linearized holomorphic vector bundle over $M$, and let $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$ be the space of Hermitian metrics on $E$. The group $G$ acts on $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$ by the formula

$$
(g \cdot h)(u, v)=h\left(g^{-1} \cdot u, g^{-1} \cdot v\right), \quad g \in G \text { and } u, v \in E
$$

Hence, for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define the real Lie derivative of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$ by

$$
L_{\xi}^{\mathbb{R}} h=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \exp (t \xi) \cdot h\right|_{t=0}
$$

and the complex Lie derivative of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\operatorname{Herm}(M)$ by

$$
L_{\xi} h=\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{\xi}^{\mathbb{R}} h-\sqrt{-1} L_{J \xi}^{\mathbb{R}} h\right)
$$

We can also define the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the space of sections $\Gamma(E)$ in a similar way. Let $\nabla$ be the Chern connection with respect to $h$, and put

$$
\mu_{h, \xi}=L_{\xi}-\nabla_{\xi_{M}}
$$

Since $\mu_{h, \xi}(f s)=\xi_{M} f \cdot s+f \cdot L_{\xi} s-\xi_{M} f \cdot s-f \cdot \nabla_{\xi_{M}} s=f \cdot \mu_{h, \xi}(s)$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $s \in \Gamma(E)$, we have $\mu_{h, \xi} \in \Gamma(\operatorname{End}(E))$. Moreover, one can show that

$$
L_{\xi} h=-\mu_{h, \xi} \cdot h, \quad i_{\xi_{M}} \theta(h)=-\mu_{h, \xi} \quad \text { and } \quad i_{\xi_{M}} \Theta(h)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \mu_{h, \xi}
$$

where $\theta(h)=\partial h \cdot h^{-1}$ is the connection form and $\Theta(h)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial}(\partial h \cdot h)$ is the curvature form with respect to $h$. Define the equivariant curvature form $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$ by

$$
\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)=\Theta(h)+\mu_{h, \xi} .
$$

Then, $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$ is $\bar{\partial}_{\mathfrak{g}}$-closed and defines an element in $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1,1}(M)$.
Now, let us consider the case when $E=L$ is a $G$-linearized ample line bundle. Then, $\mu_{h, \xi}$ is a complex-valued smooth function on $M$.

Definition 2.2. The function $\mu_{h, \xi}$ is said to be the holomorphy potential of the pair $(h, \xi)$.

### 2.2 Kähler-Ricci soliton

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold.
Definition 2.3. A Kähler metric $\omega$ on $M$ is a Kähler-Ricci soliton if the metric $\omega$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)-\omega=L_{V} \omega \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some holomorphic vector field $V$ on $M$.
If the pair $(\omega, V)$ is a Kähler-Ricci soliton, taking the imaginary part of (2.1) yields $L_{\operatorname{Im}(V)} \omega=0$, so $\omega$ is invariant under the group action generated by $\operatorname{Im}(V)$. More generally, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. [BN14, Lemma 2.13] Let $M$ be a Fano manifold, and let $V$ be a holomorphic vector field on $M$. If there exists a Kähler metric $\omega$ that is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Im}(V)$, then there exists a complex torus $T_{c}$ acting holomorphically on $M$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(V)$ may be identified with an element in the Lie algebra of the corresponding real torus $T \subset T_{c}$.

Proof. First, we check that the isometry group $K$ of $\omega$ is a compact Lie group. This is shown by considering the canonical embedding $M \hookrightarrow$ $H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)$ and the $K$-invariant Hilbert norm

$$
\|s\|^{2}:=\int_{M}|s|_{k}^{2} \omega^{n} \quad\left(s \in H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)\right)
$$

Actually, $K$ is identified with a subgroup of the group consisting of unitary transformations on $H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, which yields that $K$ is compact. Taking the topological closure of the 1-parameter subgroup generated by $\operatorname{Im}(V)$ in $K$, we get a real torus $T$ as desired. In general, any holomorphic action of a real torus on $M$ can be naturally extended to the corresponding complex torus action on $M$.

### 2.3 Modified Futaki invariant

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano variety. For simplicity, let us make the following assumptions.
(1) $M$ is a compact subvariety of a projective manifold $N$.
(2) $L$ is an ample line bundle on $N$ such that on the regular part $M_{\text {reg }}$ of $M$ the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L\right|_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} \simeq-k K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some integer $k$.
(3) The Lie group $G:=\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ acts on $(N, L)$ such that the isomorphism (2.2) is $G$-equivariant.

Remark 2.5. In fact, $M$ can be embedded into

$$
\mathbb{C} P^{N} \simeq \mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)^{*}
$$

for a sufficient large $k$, and $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$ satisfies the requirement above.
We say that $V$ is a holomorphic vector field on a Fano variety $M$ if $V$ is a holomorphic vector field defined only on its regular part $M_{\text {reg }}$. Then, $V$ induces a local one-parameter family of automorphisms, which extends to a family of $G$ since $\operatorname{codim}\left(M \backslash M_{\text {reg }}\right) \geqslant 2$ by the normality of $M$ (cf. [BBEGZ11, Lemma 5.2]). Thus, by the assumption (3), $V$ is given as the restriction of some holomorphic vector field on $N$ to $M .{ }^{1}$

Definition 2.6. A Hermitian metric $h$ on $-K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}}$ is said to be admissible if $h^{k}$ can be extended to a Hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_{L}$ on $L$ over $N$ under the isomorphisms (2.2).

Let $h$ be an admissible Hermitian metric on $-K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}}$, and put $\omega:=$ $-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h$. For holomorphic vector fields $V, W$, we define the function $\mathcal{F}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(V)=-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the modified Futaki invariant $\mathrm{Fut}_{V}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{F}(V+t W)\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} \mu_{h, W} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{h, V}$ denotes the holomorphy potential of $(h, V)$ defined on $M_{\text {reg. }}$. Since the construction of equivariant Chern curvature form is local,

[^1]if $i: M_{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow N$ is the embedding, we obtain
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(V) & =-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} P\left(\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(h,-K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}}\right)\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} P\left(i^{*} \frac{\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\tilde{h}_{L}, L\right)}{k}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} P\left(\frac{\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\tilde{h}_{L}, L\right)}{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $P(z):=n!e^{z}$, and this shows that the integral (2.3) is finite. Moreover, using the equivariant Chern-Weil theorem, we can show the following.

Theorem 2.7. [Hou08, Section 2.3] The functions $\mathcal{F}$ and Fut ${ }_{V}$ are independent of the embedding $M \hookrightarrow N$ and the choice of an admissible Hermitian metric $h$ on $-K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}}$.

On the other hand, a pluripotential theoretical formulation of Fut ${ }_{V}$ was introduced by Berman and Nyström [BN14]. They also introduced the quantized version of the modified Futaki invariant, which is defined more algebraically in terms of the commuting action on the cohomology $H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)$. Let $V$ be a holomorphic vector field on $M$ generating a torus action, and put

$$
N_{k}:=\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)\right)
$$

We define the quantization of the function $\mathcal{F}$ at level $k$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(V):=-k \operatorname{Trace}\left(e^{V / k}\right)_{H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)}=-k \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} \exp \left(v_{i}^{(k)} / k\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(v_{i}^{(k)}\right)$ are the joint eigenvalues for the action of $\operatorname{Re}(V)$ on $H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)$ defined by the canonical lift of $V$ to $-K_{M}$. Additionally, let $W$ be a holomorphic vector field on $M$ generating a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action and commuting with $V$. We define the quantization of $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)$ at level $k$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Fut}_{V, k}(W):=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V+t W)\right|_{t=0}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} \exp \left(v_{i}^{(k)} / k\right) w_{i}^{(k)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(v_{i}^{(k)}, w_{i}^{(k)}\right)$ are the joint eigenvalues for the commuting action of $\operatorname{Re}(V)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(W)$. Then, we have the following.

Proposition 2.8. In the case when $M$ is smooth, we have the following.
(1) We have the asymptotic expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{k}(V)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}_{k}(V)=\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(V) \cdot k^{n+1}+\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(V) \cdot k^{n}+\cdots,
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(V)$ is proportional to $\mathcal{F}(V)$.
(2) We have the asymptotic expansion of $\operatorname{Fut}_{V, k}(W)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\operatorname{Fut}_{V, k}(W)=\operatorname{Fut}_{V}^{(0)}(W) \cdot k^{n+1}+\operatorname{Fut}_{V}^{(1)}(W) \cdot k^{n}+\cdots,
$$

where $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}^{(i)}(W)$ is the ith-order modified Futaki invariant defined in [BN14, Section 4.4], and $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}^{(0)}(W)$ is proportional to $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)$.
(3) The ith-order modified Futaki invariant $\operatorname{Fut}_{V}^{(i)}(W)$ is the Gâteaux differential of $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}$ at $V$ in the direction $W$, that is,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{F}_{k}^{(i)}(V+t W)\right|_{t=0}=\operatorname{Fut}_{V}^{(i)}(W)
$$

In general, when $M$ is a (possibly singular) Fano variety, we have the following.
(4)

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k N_{k}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Fut}_{V}(W)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k N_{k}} \operatorname{Fut}_{V, k}(W) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The statements (2) and (5) were shown in [BN14, Section 4.4]. The statement (3) is trivial from the definition of $\operatorname{Fut}_{k, V}(W)$.
(1) As with the proof of (2) (cf. [BN14, Section 4.4]) or [WZZ14, Lemma 1.2], $\mathcal{F}_{k}(V)$ can be calculated by the equivariant Riemann-Roch formula as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(V) & =-k \operatorname{Trace}\left(e^{V / k}\right)_{H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)} \\
& =-k \int_{M} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(-k K_{M}\right) \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M) \\
& =-k \int_{M} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \cdot e^{k \omega} \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M) \\
& =-\frac{1}{n!} \int_{M} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n} \cdot k^{n+1}+O\left(k^{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{ch}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (respectively $\mathrm{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ ) denotes the equivariant Chern character (respectively the equivariant Todd class). Thus, $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(V)=\frac{c_{1}(M)^{n}}{n!} \cdot \mathcal{F}(V)$.
(4) By definition, $\mathcal{F}(V)$ can be written as

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{n}} \int_{M} e^{\mu_{h, V}} \omega^{n}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{v} \nu^{V}
$$

where $\nu^{V}$ is the push-forward measure of the Monge-Ampère measure $\frac{\omega^{n}}{c_{1}(M)^{n}}$ under $\mu_{h, V}$. Let $\nu_{k}^{V}$ be the spectral measure on $\mathbb{R}$ attached to the infinitesimal action of $\operatorname{Re}(V)$ on $H^{0}\left(M,-k K_{M}\right)$ :

$$
\nu_{k}^{V}=\frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} \delta_{v_{i}^{(k)} / k}
$$

where $\delta_{v_{i}^{(k)} / k}$ denotes the Dirac measure at $v_{i}^{(k)} / k$. Then, by [BN14, Proposition 4.1], $\nu_{k}^{V}$ converges to $\nu^{V}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in a weak topology. Hence, we have

$$
\frac{1}{k N_{k}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V)=-\frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} \exp \left(v_{i}^{(k)} / k\right)=-\int_{M} e^{v} \nu_{k}^{V} \rightarrow-\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{v} \nu^{V}=\mathcal{F}(V)
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 2.9. When $M$ is smooth, by the equivariant Riemann-Roch formula, we have an asymptotic expansion as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}=\frac{1}{n!} c_{1}(M)^{n} \cdot k^{n}+O\left(k^{n-1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with Proposition 2.8(1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{k N_{k}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V)=\mathcal{F}(V)+O\left(k^{-1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In general, when $M$ is a (possibly singular) Fano variety, we do not know whether we can obtain the expansion (2.8). However, Proposition 2.8(4) allows us to use the equivariant Riemann-Roch formula formally to compute the leading term of (2.8) (i.e., the $\left.\operatorname{limit} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k N_{k}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V)\right)$ even if $M$ has singularities.

## §3. The calculation of the function $\mathcal{F}$

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional variety in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$, and let $X$ be a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$. Then, $X$ can be identified with a linear vector field $\sum_{i, j=0}^{N} a_{i j} z^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, and the traceless matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N} \in \mathfrak{s l} l(N+$ $1, \mathbb{C})$, such that the push-forward of $\sum_{i, j=0}^{N} a_{i j} z^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}$ with the standard projection $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{N+1}-\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{N}$ is equal to $X$.

For a holomorphic vector field $X$, we define a complex-valued smooth function on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}-0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{X}:=X\left(\log \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left|z^{i}\right|^{2}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which descends to a smooth function on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$. Let

$$
\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|z^{i}\right|^{2}\right) \in c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(1))
$$

be the Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X} \omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \theta_{X} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $X$ is tangent to $M$ if $\operatorname{Re}(X)$ leaves $M$ invariant. If $M$ is a hypersurface defined by a homogeneous polynomial $F$ of degree $d, X$ is tangent to $M$ if and only if $X$ fixes $[F] \in \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(M, \mathcal{O}(d))\right)$, or, equivalently, $X F=\gamma F$ for some constant $\gamma$. For any $X$ that is tangent to $M$, equation (3.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{i}=g^{i \bar{j}} \frac{\partial \theta_{X}}{\partial x^{\bar{j}}} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n), \quad X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

at some smooth point in local holomorphic coordinates $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ of $M$, where $\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)$ is the matrix of $\omega$.

Now, let $M$ be a Fano complete intersection in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ defined by the homogeneous polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}$ of degree $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}$ respectively, and suppose that $m \omega \in c_{1}(M)$ for some constant $m>0$. Let $X$ be a holomorphic vector field tangent to $M$, and let $G$ be the Lie group generated by $X$. Using the adjunction formula, we know that $m=N+1-d_{1}-\cdots-d_{s}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left.K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(m)\right|_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we remark that this isomorphism is not $G$-equivariant. However, studying the $G$-action on the normal bundle of $M$, Hou [Hou08, Section 3] (also refer to [Lu99, Theorem 4.1]) showed the following.

Lemma 3.1. Let $h$ be the Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}(1)$ such that $\omega=$ $-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h$ is a Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$, and let $V$ be a holomorphic vector field such that

$$
V F_{i}=\alpha_{i} F_{i}
$$

for some constants $\alpha_{i}(i=1, \ldots, s)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{h^{m}, V}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}+m \theta_{V} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{m}$ is the Hermitian metric on $-K_{M_{\mathrm{reg}}}$ defined via the isomorphism (3.4).

Let $V$ be a holomorphic vector field defined in Lemma 3.1. We set

$$
N_{i}:=\left\{F_{i}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{N} \quad(i=1, \ldots, s)
$$

and $M_{i}:=N_{1} \cap \cdots \cap N_{i}(i=1, \ldots, s)$. Then, we have

$$
M=M_{s} \subset M_{s-1} \subset \cdots \subset M_{1} \subset M_{0}:=\mathbb{C} P^{N}
$$

We define the integrals $I_{k, l}=I_{k, l}(V)(k=0,1, \ldots, s ; l \geqslant 0)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, l}=m^{l} \int_{M_{k}}\left(\theta_{V}\right)^{l} e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N-k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. For $k=1, \ldots, s, I_{k, 0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, 0}=\left(d_{k}-\frac{m \alpha_{k}}{N-k+1}\right) I_{k-1,0}+\frac{d_{k}}{N-k+1} I_{k-1,1} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can prove (3.7) in the same way as [Lu99, Lemma 5.1]. Define a smooth function $\xi_{i}(i=1, \ldots, s)$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ by

$$
\xi_{i}=\frac{\left|F_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left|z^{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{d_{i}}} .
$$

Using the Poincaré-Lelong formula, we obtain

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \xi_{k}=\left[N_{k}\right]-d_{k} \omega
$$

where $\left[N_{k}\right]$ is the divisor of the zero locus of $F_{k}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k, 0} & =\int_{M_{k}} e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N-k} \\
& =\int_{M_{k-1}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \xi_{k}+d_{k} \omega\right) \wedge e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N-k} \\
& =\int_{M_{k-1}} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \xi_{k} \wedge e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N-k}+d_{k} I_{k-1,0}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, using the relation

$$
V \log \xi_{k}=\alpha_{k}-d_{k} \theta_{V}
$$

and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{k-1}} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \xi_{k} \wedge e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N-k} \\
& =-\frac{m}{N-k+1} \int_{M_{k-1}} V\left(\log \xi_{k}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N-k+1} \\
& =-\frac{m \alpha_{k}}{N-k+1} I_{k-1,0}+\frac{d_{k}}{N-k+1} I_{k-1,1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get the desired result.
If we set $V \equiv 0$ and $l=0$, then we obtain the following.
Corollary 3.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(M)^{N-s}\left(=m^{N-s} \int_{M} \omega^{N-s}\right)=d_{1} \cdots d_{s} m^{N-s} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get the explicit expression of $I_{k, 0}$, we show the next lemma.
Lemma 3.4. For $k=1, \ldots, s$, the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(N-k)!}{m^{N-k}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \\
\quad+\frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{p \in\{1, \ldots, k\}-\{i\}}\left(d_{p} \omega+d_{p} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{p}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \\
= & \frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) \cdot \omega \cdot e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

holds.
Proof. For $i=0, \ldots, k$, we define integrals $J_{i}$ by

$$
J_{i}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N} \quad(\text { when } i=0), \\
d_{1} \cdots d_{k} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N} \quad(\text { when } i=k), \\
\sum_{1 \leqslant p_{1}<\cdots<p_{i} \leqslant k} d_{p_{1}} \cdots d_{p_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(d_{q_{1}} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{q_{1}}\right) \\
\times \cdots\left(d_{q_{k-i}} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{q_{k-i}}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \omega^{N} \quad(\text { otherwise }),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{1}<\cdots<q_{k-i}$ and $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k-i}\right\}=\{1, \ldots, k\}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{i}\right\}$. Then, the direct computation shows that

$$
\frac{(N-k)!}{m^{N-k}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{(N-k)!m^{k-i}}{(N-i)!} J_{i}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) \\
& \quad \prod_{p \in\{1, \ldots, k\}-\{i\}}\left(d_{p} \omega+d_{p} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{p}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{(N-k-1)!(k-i) m^{k-i}}{(N-i)!} J_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the left-hand side of (3.9) is

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{(N-k-1)!m^{k-i}}{(N-i-1)!} J_{i}
$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of (3.9).

Lemma 3.5. For $k=1, \ldots, s, I_{k, 0}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, 0}=\frac{(N-k)!}{m^{N-k}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove (3.10) by induction for $k$. When $k=1$, equation (3.10) coincides exactly with (3.7), so the statement holds.

Next, we assume that (3.10) holds for a fixed $k$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
I_{k+1,0}=\left(d_{k+1}-\frac{m \alpha_{k+1}}{N-k}\right) I_{k, 0}+\frac{d_{k+1}}{N-k} I_{k, 1}
$$

Since $\theta_{V+t V}=\theta_{V}+t \theta_{V},(V+t V) F_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}+t \alpha_{i}\right) F_{i}$ and

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V+t V}-\alpha_{i}-t \alpha_{i}\right)\right|_{t=0}=d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}
$$

using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\frac{m \alpha_{k+1}}{N-k} I_{k, 0}=\frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \alpha_{k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d_{k+1}}{N-k} I_{k, 1}= & \left.\frac{d_{k+1}}{N-k} \cdot \frac{d}{d t} I_{k, 0}(V+t V)\right|_{t=0} \\
= & d_{k+1} \frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{p \in\{1, \ldots, k\}-\{i\}}\left(d_{p} \omega+d_{p} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{p}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega} \\
& +\frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} d_{k+1} \theta_{V} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, combining with Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k+1,0}= & d_{k+1}(\text { the LHS of }(3.9))+\frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(d_{k+1} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{k+1}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{(N-k-1)!}{m^{N-k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega}
$$

Hence, the statement holds for $k+1$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{F}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(V) & =-\frac{1}{c_{1}(M)^{N-s}} \int_{M} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}+m \theta_{V}\right)(m \omega)^{N-s} \\
& =-\frac{m^{N-s}}{c_{1}(M)^{N-s}} \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) I_{s, 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, combining with Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we get the desired formula for $\mathcal{F}$.

## §4. Another proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give another proof of Theorem 1.1 using the algebraic formula for $\mathcal{F}$ (cf. Proposition 2.8).

Lemma 4.1. [AV11, Lemma 5.1] Let $B$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $b$ on a manifold $M$, then

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{b}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{i} B\right)=c_{b}(B) \operatorname{td}(B)^{-1}
$$

Proof. Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{b}$ be the Chern roots of $B$. Since $\operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{i} B^{*}\right)=$ $\sum_{1 \leqslant p_{1}<\cdots<p_{i} \leqslant b} e^{-\left(r_{p_{1}}+\cdots+r_{p_{i}}\right)}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{b}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{i} B^{*}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{b}(-1)^{i} \sum_{1 \leqslant p_{1}<\cdots<p_{i} \leqslant b} e^{-\left(r_{p_{1}}+\cdots+r_{p_{i}}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{p=1}^{b}\left(1-e^{-r_{p}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{p=1}^{b} r_{p} \prod_{p=1}^{b} \frac{1-e^{-r_{p}}}{r_{p}} \\
& =c_{b}(B) \operatorname{td}(B)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $M$ be an $(N-s)$-dimensional Fano complete intersection in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$, that is, $M$ is a Fano variety in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ defined by homogeneous polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}$, and $V$ is a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ tangent to $M$. We adopt the notation of Section 3. We further assume that $V \in \mathfrak{s l} l(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ is a Hermitian matrix, so that $\operatorname{Im}(V)$ is Killing with respect to the Fubini-Study metric $\omega$.

Lemma 4.2. [AV11, Lemma 5.2] We have the following asymptotic expansion of $N_{k}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}=\frac{d_{1} \cdots d_{s} m^{N-s}}{(N-s)!} \cdot k^{N-s}+O\left(k^{N-s-1}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3. We have the following asymptotic expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{k}(V)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(V)= & -\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \\
& \cdot e^{m \omega} \cdot k^{N-s+1}+O\left(k^{N-s}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This proof is essentially based on the argument in [AV11, Lemma 5.3]. The only difference between Lemma 4.3 and [AV11, Lemma 5.3] is the linearization of $-K_{M}$, to which we have only to pay attention. In order to avoid confusion, let $L\left(\simeq O(m)\right.$ ) be a linearized line bundle on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ such that $\left.L\right|_{M}$ is isomorphic to $-K_{M}$ as a linearized line bundle whose linearization is determined by the canonical lift of $V / k$ to $-K_{M}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_{i} / k}$ be a trivial bundle on $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$ with linearization $t \cdot u=t^{-\alpha_{i} / k} \cdot u$. Set $L_{i}:=\mathcal{O}\left(d_{i}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_{i} / k}$ and $B:=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{s}$. Then, $\operatorname{rank} B=s$, and the section $F:=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}\right) \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}, B\right)$ is invariant. Since $M$ is complete, the Koszul complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{s} B^{*} \rightarrow \wedge^{s-1} B^{*} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact and equivariant, where $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ denotes the structure sheaf of $M$. Tensoring by $L^{k}$ preserves the exactness and equivariance, so we obtain

$$
\chi^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(M,\left.L^{k}\right|_{M}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^{i} \chi^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}, L^{k} \otimes \wedge^{i} B^{*}\right)
$$

where $\chi^{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the Lefschetz number. By the equivariant Riemann-Roch formula and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(V) & =-k \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^{i} \chi^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}, L^{k} \otimes \wedge^{i} B^{*}\right) \\
& =-k \sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^{i} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\wedge^{i} B^{*}\right) e^{k c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(L)} \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}\right) \\
& =-k \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\wedge^{i} B^{*}\right)\right) e^{k c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(L)} \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}\right) \\
& =-k \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} c_{s}^{\mathfrak{g}}(B) \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}(B)^{-1} e^{k c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(L)} \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}\right) \\
& =-k \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i} c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}(1))-\frac{\alpha_{i}}{k}\right) \cdot \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}(B)^{-1} e^{k c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(L)} \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h$ be a Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}(1)$ such that $\omega=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h$ is the Fubini-Study metric of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, the equivariant 1st Chern forms for $(h, V / k)$ and $\left(h^{m}, V / k\right)$ are written as

$$
\omega+\frac{1}{k} \theta_{V} \in c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \quad \text { and } \quad m \omega+\frac{m}{k} \theta_{V}+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} \in c_{1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(L)
$$

respectively. Both $\operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}(B)^{-1}$ and $\operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}\right)$ can be written as the form

$$
1+A+\sum_{i \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{k^{i}} B_{i}
$$

where $A$ (respectively $B_{i}$ ) denotes $2 l$-forms ( $l \geqslant 1$ (respectively $\left.l \geqslant 0\right)$ ) not depending on $k$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(V)= & -k \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i} \omega+\frac{1}{k}\left(d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}(B)^{-1} e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{k m \omega} \operatorname{td}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{N}\right) \\
= & -\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) e^{m \theta_{V}} \\
& \cdot e^{m \omega} \cdot k^{N-s+1}+O\left(k^{N-s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we have an asymptotic expansion as $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k N_{k}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V)= & -\frac{(N-s)!}{d_{1} \cdots d_{s} m^{N-s}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i} \omega+d_{i} \theta_{V}-\alpha_{i}\right) \\
& \times e^{m \theta_{V}} \cdot e^{m \omega}+O\left(k^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.8(4), $\frac{1}{k N_{k}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(V)$ converges to $\mathcal{F}(V)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have the desired formula.

## §5. Examples

In this section, we compute $\mathcal{F}$ for several examples in [Lu99, Section 6]. Let $M$ be a Fano complete intersection in $\mathbb{C} P^{N}$. We adopt the notation of Section 3. First, we mention some results obtained as a corollary of the localization formula in holomorphic equivariant cohomology theory (cf. [Liu95, Theorem 1.6]).

Lemma 5.1. If $V=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with different eigenvalues $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0,0}=N!\sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{e^{m \lambda_{i}}}{\prod_{p \in\{0, \ldots, N\}-\{i\}}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{p}\right)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $I_{0, l}$ are given by the derivatives of $I_{0,0}$, we can compute $I_{0, l}$ for any integer $l$. On the other hand, by Theorem $1.1, \mathcal{F}(V)$ can be written as a linear combination of $I_{0, l}(0 \leqslant l \leqslant s)$. Hence, we can express $\mathcal{F}(V)$ in terms of the eigenvalues of $V$.

However, we can calculate $\mathcal{F}(V)$ without using Theorem 1.1 in a special case. We assume that $M$ has at worst orbifold singularities, and $V$ satisfies the following conditions.
(1) $V$ has isolated zero points $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$.
(2) $V$ is nondegenerate at each zero point $p_{i}$, that is, for each local uniformization $\pi: U \rightarrow U / \Gamma_{i} \subset M$ with $\pi(U) \cap p_{i} \neq \emptyset, \pi^{*} V$ vanishes along $\pi^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)$ and the matrix $B_{i}=\left(-\frac{\partial v_{j}^{i}}{\partial z^{k}}\right)_{1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant N-s}$ is nondegenerate near $\pi^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)$, where $\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{N-s}\right)$ are local holomorphic coordinates around $\pi^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)$ and $V=\sum_{j=1}^{N-s} v_{j}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}$.
In the same way as [DT92, Proposition 1.2], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let $M$ and $V$ be as above. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(V)=-\frac{(N-s)!}{d_{1} \cdots d_{s}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}\right) \cdot \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{i}\right|} \cdot \frac{e^{m \theta_{V}\left(p_{i}\right)}}{\operatorname{det} B_{i}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\Gamma_{i}\right|$ is the order of the local uniformization group $\Gamma_{i}$ at a point $p_{i}$.
Remark 5.3. One can extend Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 to the case when the zero set of $V$ is the sum of nondegenerate submanifolds, where the word nondegenerate means that the induced actions of $V$ to the normal bundle of submanifolds are nondegenerate. However, since $I_{0,0}(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}(V)$ are clearly continuous with respect to $V$, we may think that equations (5.1) and (5.2) hold in the sense of the limit $V_{\epsilon} \rightarrow V$ of any expression. For instance, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. Let $m=1$, and let $V=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{s l}(4, \mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$, where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are different numbers. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0,0}= & 6\left[\frac{e^{\lambda_{0}}}{\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{e^{\lambda_{1}}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left\{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}-2 \lambda_{2}+\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\right\} e^{\lambda_{2}}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon \neq 0$ be a small number. If we set $V_{\epsilon}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}+\right.$ $\epsilon, \lambda_{2}-\epsilon$ ), then $V_{\epsilon}$ has different eigenvalues. Hence, we can compute $I_{0,0}(V)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{0,0}\left(V_{\epsilon}\right)$ directly using (5.1).

EXAMPLE 5.5. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C} P^{3}$ be the zero set of a cubic polynomial $F:=$ $z_{0} z_{1}^{2}+z_{2} z_{3}\left(z_{2}-z_{3}\right)$, where $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$, and let $V=\operatorname{diag}(-7 t, 5 t, t, t)(t \neq 0)$ be a holomorphic vector field tangent to $M$. We compute $\mathcal{F}$ by two methods.
(1) The variety $M$ has a unique quotient singularity at $p_{0}:=[1,0,0,0]$. If we restrict $V$ to $M, V$ has five zeros, $p_{0}=[1,0,0,0],[0,1,0,0],[0,0,1,0]$, $[0,0,0,1]$ and $[0,0,1,1]$. Let $\zeta_{i}:=\frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}}(i=1,2,3)$ be Euclidean coordinates defined near $p_{0}$. Then, we can rewrite $F$ near $p_{0}$ in the standard form

$$
f=\frac{F}{z_{0}^{3}}=\zeta_{1}^{2}-\zeta_{3}\left(\zeta_{2}^{2}-4 \zeta_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

According to [Lu99, Example 1], we see that there is a uniformization $\phi$ : $\mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma \subset M$ defined by

$$
\phi:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\zeta_{1}=u v\left(u^{4}-v^{4}\right) \\
\zeta_{2}=u^{4}+v^{4} \\
\zeta_{3}=u^{2} v^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the dihedral subgroup in $S U(2)$ of type $D_{4}$. Thus, we have $\phi^{*}(V)=2 t u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+2 t v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$. Since the order of the group $D_{4}$ is 8 , applying Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(V) & =-\frac{2}{3} e^{3 t}\left(\frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{e^{-7 t}}{4 t^{2}}+\frac{e^{5 t}}{16 t^{2}}+3 \cdot \frac{e^{t}}{-32 t^{2}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{e^{-4 t}}{48 t^{2}}-\frac{e^{8 t}}{24 t^{2}}+\frac{e^{4 t}}{16 t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) By Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(V) & =-\frac{2}{3} e^{3 t} \int_{\mathbb{C} P^{3}}\left(3 \omega+3 \theta_{V}-3 t\right) e^{\theta_{V}} e^{\omega} \\
& =-e^{3 t}\left\{\left(1-\frac{t}{3}\right) I_{0,0}+\frac{1}{3} I_{0,1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5.4, we have

$$
I_{0,0}=-\frac{e^{-7 t}}{128 t^{3}}+\frac{e^{5 t}}{32 t^{3}}-\frac{3(1+8 t) e^{t}}{128 t^{3}}
$$

and

$$
I_{0,1}=\frac{(7 t+3) e^{-7 t}}{128 t^{3}}+\frac{(5 t-3) e^{5 t}}{32 t^{3}}-\frac{3\left(8 t^{2}-15 t-3\right) e^{t}}{128 t^{3}}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=-\frac{e^{-4 t}}{48 t^{2}}-\frac{e^{8 t}}{24 t^{2}}+\frac{e^{4 t}}{16 t^{2}}
$$

Example 5.6. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C} P^{4}$ be the zero locus defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1}=z_{0} z_{1}+z_{2}^{2} \\
F_{2}=z_{1}^{2}+z_{3} z_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and let $V=\operatorname{diag}(-7 t, 3 t,-2 t, 5 t, t)(t \neq 0)$ be a holomorphic vector field tangent to $M$. In the same way as (2) in Example 5.5, we get

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=-e^{2 t}\left\{\left(1-\frac{t}{3}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) I_{0,0}+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{t}{12}\right) I_{0,1}+\frac{1}{12} I_{0,2}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0,0} & =\frac{e^{-7 t}}{200 t^{4}}-\frac{3 e^{3 t}}{25 t^{4}}-\frac{24 e^{-2 t}}{525 t^{4}}+\frac{e^{5 t}}{28 t^{4}}+\frac{e^{t}}{8 t^{4}} \\
I_{0,1}= & -\frac{(7 t+4) e^{-7 t}}{200 t^{4}}+\frac{3(4-3 t) e^{3 t}}{25 t^{4}}+\frac{48(t+2) e^{-2 t}}{525 t^{4}} \\
& +\frac{(5 t-4) e^{5 t}}{28 t^{4}}+\frac{(t-4) e^{t}}{8 t^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0,2}= & \frac{\left(49 t^{2}+56 t+20\right) e^{-7 t}}{200 t^{4}}-\frac{3\left(9 t^{2}-24 t+20\right) e^{3 t}}{25 t^{4}}-\frac{96\left(t^{2}+4 t+5\right) e^{-2 t}}{525 t^{4}} \\
& +\frac{5\left(5 t^{2}-8 t+4\right) e^{5 t}}{28 t^{4}}+\frac{\left(t^{2}-8 t+20\right) e^{t}}{8 t^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}(V)=-\frac{e^{-5 t}}{48 t^{2}}-\frac{e^{7 t}}{24 t^{2}}+\frac{e^{3 t}}{16 t^{2}}
$$

Here, we remark that $V$ has only three zero points, $p_{1}=[1,0,0,0,0], p_{2}=$ $[0,0,0,1,0]$ and $p_{3}=[0,0,0,0,1]$, in $M$. Actually, the exponents appearing in the above expression of $\mathcal{F}(V)$ are $-5 t=\theta_{V}\left(p_{1}\right)+2 t, 7 t=\theta_{V}\left(p_{2}\right)+2 t$ and $3 t=\theta_{V}\left(p_{3}\right)+2 t$, and hence correspond to the three zero points of $V$.
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