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#### Abstract

A conjecture of Carlitz on permutation polynomials is as follow: Given an even positive integer $n$, there is a constant $C_{n}$, such that if $F_{q}$ is a finite field of odd order $q$ with $q>C_{n}$, then there are no permutation polynomials of degree $n$ over $F_{q}$. The conjecture is a well-known problem in this area. It is easily proved if $n$ is a power of 2 . The only other cases in which solutions have been published are $n=6$ (Dickson [5]) and $n=10$ (Hayes [7]); see Lidl [11], Lausch and Nöbauer [9], and Lidl and Niederreiter [10] for remarks on this problem. In this paper, we prove that the Carlitz conjecture is true if $n=12$ or $n=14$, and give an equivalent version of the conjecture in terms of exceptional polynomials.


1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 12 C 05.

## 1. Introduction

Let $F_{q}$ denote the finite field with $q=p^{m}$ elements where $p$ is a prime. A polynomial $f(x)$ in $F_{q}(x)$ is called a permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$ if $f(x)=a$ has a solution in $F_{q}$ for every $a$ in $F_{q}$. In 1897, Dickson [5] classified the permutation polynomials of degrees less than 7 over a finite field. His results are quite remarkable in a number of ways. For example, he found that except for a few "accidents" over fields of low order, the permutation polynomials of a given degree fall into a finite number of well-defined categories. Further, his results show that, except for "accidents", there are no permutation polynomials of degree 2,4 , and 6 except when the characteristic of the field is 2 . In an address before the

[^0]Mathematical Association of America, Professor L. Carlitz suggested that this behaviour is perhaps characteristic: that is, Carlitz suggested the conjecture stated in the abstract.

Dickson's results show that the conjecture is true if $n=2,4$, or 6 . Hayes [7] introduced some geometric ideas into the study of permutation polynomials. The principal advantage in looking at permutation polynomials from this point of view is that one is able to make use of a powerful theorem of Lang and Weil [8] which estimated the number of rational points on an absolutely irreducible curve defined over a finite field. Then he was able to prove the Carlitz conjecture when $n$ is 8 or 10 . In this paper, we go further along these lines.

## 2. Some connected results

Hayes' ideas could reduce the Carlitz conjecture to the study of exceptional polynomials. In this section, we present some known results on the relation between permutation polynomials and exceptional polynomials, and give an equivalent version of the Carlitz conjecture in terms of generalized exceptional polynomials.

For a field $K$, a polynomial in $K[x, y]$ of positive degree is called absolutely irreducible if it is irreducible over any algebraic extension of $K$. A polynomial $f \in F_{q}(x)$ of degree at least 2 is said to be exceptional over $F_{q}$ if no irreducible factor of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $F_{q}(x, y)$ is absolutely irreducible. MacCluer [12], Williams [15], Gwehenberger [6] and Cohen [2] proved the following theorem.

TheOrem 2.1. Every exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$ is a permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$.

When the converse of the theorem holds is a difficult problem, intimately connected with the Carlitz conjecture. In 1967, Hayes proved the following general theorem.

Theorem 2.2. There exists a sequence $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ of integers such that for any finite field $F_{q}$ of order $q>c_{n}$ with $(n, q)=1$, the following holds: if $f \in F_{q}[x]$ is a permutation polynomial of degree $n$, then $f$ is exceptional over $F_{q}$.

This theorem was proved for fields $F_{p}, p$ prime, by Davenport and Lewis [3] and quantitive versions for this case were established by Bombieri and Davenport [1] and Tietäväinen [13].

Using the Lang and Weil theorem [7], it is easily seen that the following theorem is true

Theorem 2.3. Let $p(x, y) \in F_{q}[x, y]$ be an absolutely irreducible polynomial with total degree $n$, with $p(x, y)$ not of the form $a(y-x)$ for any $a \in F_{q}$. Then for sufficiently large $q$ relative to $n, p(x, y)$ has a rational point $(\alpha, \beta)$ over $F_{q}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

For any $f(x) \in F_{q}[x]$, there exists a non-negative integer $t$ such that $f(x)=$ $g\left(x^{p^{\prime}}\right)$ for some $g(x) \in F_{q}[x]$ but with $f(x) \neq h\left(x^{p^{\prime+1}}\right)$ for any $h(x) \in F_{q}[x]$. We verify directly that

$$
\phi(x, y)=\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x}=\frac{g^{p^{t}}(y)-g^{p^{t}}(x)}{y-x}=(y-x)^{p^{t}-1}\left(\frac{g(y)-g(x)}{y-x}\right)^{p^{t}} .
$$

When $t>0$ (this happens only in the case $\left.f^{\prime}(x)=0\right), \phi(x, y)$ has an absolutely irreducible factor $y-x$, and hence, $f(x)$ is not exceptional over $F_{q}$. Even in this case, $f(x)$ may be a permutation polynomial; for example, take $f(x)=x^{p}$. In order to exclude this case, we introduce the following definition.

Definition. Let $f(x)=g\left(x^{p^{t}}\right)$ for some $g(x) \in F_{q}[x]$, where $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$. We call $f(x)$ a generalized exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$ if $g(x)$ is exceptional over $F_{q}$ or if $\operatorname{deg}(g(x))=1$.

## From Theorem 2.1, we prove

Theorem 2.4. There exists a sequence $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ of positive integers such that for any finite field $F_{q}$ of order $q>c_{n}$ the following statement holds: $f(x) \in F_{q}[x]$ is a permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$ with degree $(f) \geqslant 2$ if and only if $f(x)$ is a generalized exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$.

Proof. Let $f(x)=g\left(x^{p^{t}}\right)$, with $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$.
If $f(x)$ is a generalized exceptional polynomial, then $\operatorname{deg}(g(x))=1$, or $g(x)$ is exceptional over $F_{q}$. By Theorem 2.1, $g(x)$ is a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$, and so is $g\left(x^{p^{t}}\right)=f(x)$.

If $f(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial, then $g(x)$ is not exceptional. It is easily proved that $y-x+(g(y)-g(x)) /(y-x)$ (otherwise $g^{\prime}(x)$ $=0$ ). Therefore, $(g(y)-g(x)) /(y-x)$ has an absolutely irreducible factor
$h(x, y) \in F_{q}(x, y)$ not of the form $a(y-x)$. Theorem 2.3 shows that $g(x)$ is not a permutation polynomial, and hence $f(x)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$.

For large $q$, Theorem 2.4 gives the converse of Theorem 2.1. It can also be used to establish the following equivalent form of the Carlitz conjecture.

Given an even positive integer $n$, there is a constant $C_{n}$ such that if $F_{q}$ is a finite field of odd order $q$ with $q>C_{n}$, then there are no generalized exceptional polynomials of degree $n$ over $F_{q}$.

## 3. The Carlitz conjecture for $n=12$ and $n=14$

In this section, we use some ideas of Hayes to prove the Carlitz conjecture for $n=12$ and $n=14$. Without loss of generality, we always suppose $f(x)$ is a polynomial of $F_{q}[x]$ with leading coefficient 1 . Let $q=p^{m}, p$ prime, and let $\Omega$ be an algebraic closure of $F_{q}$. We use an idea of Hayes to prove

Lemma 3.1. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of $F_{q}[x]$ with degree $n$, and put

$$
\phi(x, y)=\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x}
$$

(i) If $\phi(x, y)$ has a linear factor of the form $y-x+\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\left((y-x)^{p-1}+d\right) \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\phi(x, y)$ has at least $p-1$ linear factors of the form $y-x+\alpha$. Moreover, if $p^{2}+n$, then $d \in F_{q}$.
(ii) Suppose $p$ is odd and $p^{2}+n$. If $\phi(x, y)$ has a linear factor of the form $y+x+\beta$, then $f(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$.

Proof. The proof is nearly the same as Hayes [7]. We can regard

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y)=f(y)-f(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a polynomial in $y$ over the function field $E=\Omega(f(x))$, which is a subfield of $\Omega(x)$. This polynomial $\varphi(y)$ is irreducible over $E$ as is well known (see van der Waerden [14]) and has the root $y=x$ in $\Omega(x)$. Therefore, $\Omega(x)$ is a simple algebraic extension field of $E$ of degree $d$, and for any two roots $y_{1}, y_{2}$ of $\varphi(y)$ in $\Omega(x)$, there exists an $E$-automorphism of $\Omega(x)$ which maps $y_{1} \mapsto y_{2}$, by a fundamental theorem on the extension of isomorphisms.
(i) From the definition of $\varphi(y)$, we have the factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y)=(y-x)(y-x+\alpha) \cdot \phi_{1}(x, y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma$ be an $E$-automorphism of $\Omega(x)$ which takes the root $x$ of $\varphi(y)$ onto the root $x-\alpha$. Applying this automorphism to the factorization of $\varphi(y)$ we learn that $\varphi(y)$ has the factors $y-x+i \alpha(i=0,1,2, \ldots, p-1)$. Therefore $\varphi(y)$ is divisible by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(x, y) & =\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}(y-x+i \alpha)=(y-x)^{p}-\alpha^{p-1}(y-x) \\
& =(y-x)^{p}+d(y-x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if $p^{2}+n$, then $h(x, y)$ is a product of linear factors with $y-x$ as the homogeneous part of degree 1 . Therefore, by unique factorization, any $F_{q}$ automorphism of $\Omega$ preserves the factor, as such an automorphism preserves $f(y)-f(x)$. It follows that $d=-\alpha^{p-1}$ belongs to $F_{q}$.
(ii) We may let $\beta \notin F_{q}$; then there is an $F_{q}$-automorphism $\sigma$ of $\Omega$ such that $\sigma(\beta)=\beta_{1}$, where $\beta_{1} \neq \beta$ is one of the conjugates of $\beta$ over $F_{q}$. Now, $\sigma(\phi(x, y))$ $=\phi(x, y)$, as $\sigma$ is an $F_{q}$-automorphism. Therefore, by applying $\sigma$ to the factorization (4), we learn that $y+x+\beta_{1}$ is also a factor of $\varphi(y)$. Therefore, $\varphi(y)$ has the factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y)=(y-x)(y+x+\beta)\left(y+x+\beta_{1}\right) \cdot \phi_{2}(x, y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying an $E$-automorphism of $\Omega(x)$, which takes the root $x$ of $\varphi(y)$ onto the root $-x-\beta_{1}$, to the factorization (5), we obtain

$$
\varphi(y)=\left(y+x+\beta_{1}\right)\left(y-x+\beta-\beta_{1}\right)(y-x) \cdot \phi_{3}(x, y)
$$

which shows that $\varphi(y)$ has the factor $y-x+\alpha$, where $\alpha=\beta-\beta_{1} \neq 0$. By (i), $\varphi(y)$ is divisible by

$$
(y-x)^{p}-\alpha^{p-1}(y-x)=\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}(y-x+i \alpha)
$$

Now we apply the $E$-automorphism of $\Omega(x)$ which maps $x \mapsto-x-\beta$ to this last factor and find that $\varphi(y)$ also has the factor

$$
\begin{aligned}
(y+x+\beta)^{p}- & \alpha^{p-1}(y+x+\beta) \\
& =(y+x)^{p}-\alpha^{p-1}(y+x)+\left(\beta^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(y)=(y-x)\left((y-x)^{p-1}+d\right)\left((y+x)^{p}+d(y+x)+e\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=-\alpha^{p-1}, e=\beta^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta$.
Since $p^{2}+n$, similarly to (i), we have both $d$ and $e$ belong to $F_{q}$. Now we consider the polynomials $x^{p-1}+d$ and $x^{p}+d x+e$ in $F_{q}[x]$. At least one of the polynomials has a rational root $c \in F_{q}$ : for if $x^{p-1}+d$ has not root in $F_{q}$, then the map $x^{p}+d x$ is an additive homomorphism of $F_{q}$ into itself with kernel

0 and hence is a permutation of $F_{q}$. Thus, there exists an element $c$ of $F_{q}$ such that $c^{p}+d c=-e$. Returning to (6), we see that $\varphi(y)$ has a factor of the form $y-x+c$ with $c \neq 0$ or a factor of the form $y+x+c$ in $F_{q}[x, y]$. That is, $f(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial.

The following lemma is a version of a theorem of Hayes [7].
Lemma 3.2. If $p+n$, and $F_{q}$ contains an n-th root of unity $\xi \neq 1$ (this is equivalent to $(n, q-1)>1)$, then any polynomial of $F_{q}[x]$ with degree $n$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial.

The lemma shows that the Carlitz conjecture is true if $p+n$. We now consider the case $p \mid n$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $p=3$, and let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of $F_{q}[x]$ with degree 12. Then $f(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$.

Proof. Suppose $f(x)$ is a generalized exceptional polynomial; we deduce a contradiction.

Case I: $(y-x) \mid \phi(x, y)$. Put $x=y$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)=\phi(x, x)=0$, which implies that $f(x)=g^{3}(x)$ for some $g(x) \in F_{q}[x]$ with degree $(g(x))=4$. Therefore

$$
\phi(x, y)=(y-x)^{2}\left(\frac{g(y)-g(x)}{y-x}\right)^{3}
$$

Lemma 3.2 shows that $g(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial, and hence $f(x)=g^{3}(x)$ is not either. This is a contradiction.

Case II: $(y-x)+\phi(x, y)$. Factor $\phi$ in $\Omega[x, y]$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=G_{1}(x, y) \cdot G_{2}(x, y) \cdots G_{r}(x, y) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $G_{i}$ are absolutely irreducible. Since $f(x)$ is a generalized exceptional polynomial, we have $G_{i} \notin F_{q}[x, y]$ for all $i$.

Let $G_{i j}$ be the homogeneous part whose degree is (degree $\left(g_{i}\right)-j$ ) in $G_{i}$; then

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{i}=G_{i 0}+G_{i 1}+G_{i 2}+\cdots, \\
\frac{y^{12}-x^{12}}{y-x}=(y-x)^{2}\left((y+x)\left(y^{2}+x^{2}\right)\right)^{3}=G_{10} \cdot G_{20} \cdots G_{r 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

(i) Suppose $(y-x)^{2} \mid G_{i 0}$ for some $i$. Then $G_{i}$ is preserved under any automorphism $\sigma$ of $\Omega$. Since $(y-x)^{2}=\sigma\left((y-x)^{2}\right) \mid \sigma\left(G_{i 0}\right)$ and $(y-x)+G_{j 0}$ for $j \neq i$, then $\sigma\left(G_{i 0}\right)=G_{i 0}, \sigma\left(G_{i 0}\right) \neq G_{j 0}$. We must have $\sigma\left(G_{i}\right)=G_{i}$, that is, $G_{i} \in F_{q}[x]$. This contradicts $G_{i} \notin F_{q}[x]$.
(ii) Suppose $(y-x)^{2}+G_{i 0}$ for all $i$. We may suppose $(y-x) \| G_{10}$, and $(y-x) \| G_{20}$. Then $G_{1}$ can only be taken to $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$ under automorphisms of $\Omega$. According to our hypothesis on $f(x), G_{1}$ must be taken to $G_{2}$ under some automorphism $\rho_{1}$ of $\Omega$.

Now, $(y+x)^{3} \| G_{10} G_{20} \cdots G_{r 0}$. If $(y+x)^{h} \| G_{10}$, then $(y+x)^{h}=$ $\rho_{1}\left((y+x)^{h}\right) \| \rho_{1}\left(G_{10}\right)=G_{20}$, and hence $h=1$ and some $G_{i 0}(i \geqslant 3)$ is divisible by $y+x: G_{i}$ is then preserved under any automorphism of $\Omega$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $(y+x)^{3} \| G_{30} G_{40} \cdots G_{r 0}$. Let $(y+x) \mid G_{30}$. Then $(y+x)^{2}+G_{30}$, for otherwise $G_{3}$ is preserved under any automorphism of $\Omega$. Therefore, we may suppose $(y+x)\left\|G_{30},(y+x)\right\| G_{40},(y+x) \| G_{50}$ and $G_{3}, G_{4}$, $G_{5}$ can be transformed to one another.

By Lemma 3.1, no one of $G_{3}, G_{4}, G_{5}$ can be a linear factor of the form $y+x+\beta$. Let $\pm \xi$ be the roots of $x^{2}+1$ in $\Omega$; then $y+\xi x \mid G_{30}$ or $y-\xi x \mid G_{30}$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $y-\xi x \mid G_{30}$. We now prove that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ must be linear factors and

$$
(y-\xi x)\left|G_{30}, \quad(y-\xi x)\right| G_{40}, \quad(y-\xi x) \mid G_{50}
$$

Let $\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$ be $F_{q}$-automorphisms of $\Omega$ such that $\tau_{2}\left(G_{3}\right)=G_{4}, \tau_{3}\left(G_{3}\right)=G_{5}$. If $\xi \in F_{q}$, then similarly to the proof that $(y+x)+G_{10}$, we have $(y \pm \xi x)+G_{10}$, $(y \pm \xi x)+G_{20}$, and hence both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are linear factors. From $(y-\xi x) \mid G_{30}$ and $\xi \in F_{q}$, it is easily seen that applications of $\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$ show that $(y-\xi x) \mid G_{40}$ and $(y-\xi x) \mid G_{50}$.

If $\xi \notin F_{q}$, we also have $(y-\xi x) \mid G_{40}$ and $(y-\xi x) \mid G_{50}$, for otherwise, let $\sigma_{1}$ be an $F_{q}$-automorphism of $\Omega$ such that $\sigma_{1}(\xi)=-\xi$ (when $(y-\xi x)+G_{40},(y-$ $\xi x)+G_{50}$ ). Then $(y+\xi x)\left|G_{40},(y+\xi x)\right| G_{50}$ and $\sigma_{1}\left(G_{4}\right)=G_{3}, \sigma_{1}\left(G_{5}\right)=G_{3}$, which is impossible. If $y-\xi x+G_{40}$ and $y-\xi x \mid G_{50}$ then $y+\xi x \mid G_{40}, y+\xi x+$ $G_{50}$ and $\sigma_{1}\left(G_{3}\right)=G_{4}, \sigma_{1}\left(G_{5}\right)=G_{4}$, which is also impossible. Therefore, $(y-$ $\xi x)\left|G_{30},(y-\xi x)\right| G_{40},(y-\xi x) \mid G_{50}$. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are not linear factors, then $(y+\xi x)\left|G_{10},(y+\xi x)\right| G_{20}$, and $(y+\xi x) \mid G_{i 0}$ for some $i \geqslant b$, which leads to $G_{i} \in F_{q}[x]$. Thus we must have both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are linear factors, and ( $y-$ $\xi x)\left|G_{30},(y-\xi x)\right| G_{40},(y-\xi x) \mid G_{50}$. Let $G_{1}=y-x+\alpha_{1}, G_{2}=y-x+\alpha_{2}$, $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \neq 0$.

In the factorization (7), put $y=x$; then

$$
11 a_{11} x^{10}+10 a_{10} x^{f}+\cdots=f^{\prime}(x)=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdot G_{3}(x, x) \cdots G_{r}(x, x) .
$$

The right hand polynomial has degree $11-2=9$, and therefore $a_{11}=0$, and $a_{10} \neq 0$. We put $y=\xi x$; then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{12} a_{i} x^{i-1} \frac{1-\xi^{i}}{1-\xi}=a_{10} x^{p}(1-\xi)+a_{9} x^{8}+\cdots=G_{1}(x, \xi x) \cdots G_{r}(x, \xi x)
$$

The right hand polynomial has degree at most $11-3=8$, and since $1+\xi \neq 0$, we deduce that $a_{10}=0$, which is a contradiction. Lemma 3.3 is proved.

Lemma 3.4. Let $p=7$, and let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of $F_{q}[x]$ with degree 14 . Then $f(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$.

Proof. Factorise $\phi(x, y)$ in $\Omega[x, y]$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x}=G_{1}(x, y) G_{2}(x, y) \cdots G_{r}(x, y) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $f(x)$ is a generalized exceptional polynomial over $F_{q}$. Then all $G_{i}$ are absolutely irreducible and $G_{i} \notin F_{q}[x, y]$.

Let $G_{i j}$ be the homogeneous part of $G_{i}$ whose degree is $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{i}\right)-j\right)$. Then

$$
G_{i}=G_{i 0}+G_{i 1}+G_{i 2}+\cdots, \frac{y^{14}-x^{14}}{y-x}=(y-x)^{6}(y+x)^{7}=G_{10} G_{20} \cdots G_{r 0} .
$$

If no one of $G_{i 0}$ is divisible by $(y+x)^{2}$, then one of them must be a linear factor of the form $y+x$. Lemma 3.1 shows that $f(x)$ is not a generalized exceptional polynomial.

Now, suppose $(y+x)^{h} \| G_{10}(h \geqslant 2)$. Since $G_{1} \notin F_{q}[x, y], G_{1}$ is taken to some $G_{i}$ under automorphism of $\Omega$; let $G_{2}$ be one of the images of $G_{1}$ with $G_{2} \neq G_{1}$. Then $(y+x)^{h} \| G_{2}$. If $G_{1}$ can also be taken to $G_{3}$ then $(y+x)^{h} \| G_{3}$. Since $(y+x)^{7} \| G_{10} G_{20} \cdots G_{r 0}$, we have $h=2$, and $(y+x) \| G_{40} G_{50} \cdots G_{r 0}$. Therefore $(y+x) \mid G_{i 0}$ for only one $i(i \geqslant 4) ; G_{i}$ is then preserved and $G_{i} \in F_{q}[x, y]$. This is impossible. Thus $G_{1}$ can only be taken to $G_{2}$ and $h=3$ or $h=2$. If $h=3$, then $(y+x) \| G_{30} G_{40} \cdots G_{r 0}$ shows that $G_{i} \in F_{q}[x, y]$ for some $i(i \geqslant 3)$, which is also impossible.

We have proved that $h=2$ and $(y+x)^{3} \| G_{30} G_{40} \cdots G_{r 0}$. It is easily seen that we may suppose $y+x\left\|G_{30}, y+x\right\| G_{40}, y+x \| G_{50}$ and $G_{3}, G_{4}, G_{5}$ can be transformed to one another. Since $\phi(x, y)$ has no factors of the form $y+x+\beta$, then $y-x\left|G_{30}, y-x\right| G_{40}, y-x \mid G_{50}$, and $G_{60} \cdots G_{r 0} \mid(y-x)^{3}$. If $G_{60} \cdots$ $G_{r 0} \neq 1$, then one of $G_{i}(i \geqslant 6)$ must be a linear factor of the form $y-x+\alpha$ ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ), and Lemma 3.1 shows that $\phi(x, y)$ would have 6 linear factors of the form $y-x+\alpha$, which is impossible, and hence $G_{60} \cdots G_{r 0}=1$.

Now, $(y-x)^{6} \| G_{10} \cdots G_{50}$. Let $(y-x)^{h_{i} \| G_{i}}$. Then $h_{1}=h_{2}$, and $h_{3}=h_{4}=$ $h_{5} \geqslant 1,2 h_{1}+3 h_{3}=6$, which leads to $h_{1}=0, h_{3}=2$. In the factorization (8), we put $y=x$; then

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{14} i a_{i} x^{i-1}=G_{1}(x, x) \cdots G_{5}(x, x)
$$

The right hand polynomial has degree at most 13-3=10, and hence $a_{13}=a_{12}$ $=0$. Comparing the homogeneous parts of ( 8 ) of degree 11 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & a_{12} \frac{y^{12}-x^{12}}{y-x}=G_{11} G_{21}\left(G_{30} G_{40} G_{50}\right)+\sum_{i} G_{i 2} \frac{G_{10} G_{20} \cdots G_{50}}{G_{i 0}} \\
& +\sum_{(i, j) \neq(1,2)} G_{i 1} G_{j 1} \frac{G_{10} G_{20} \cdots G_{50}}{G_{i 0} G_{j 0}} \\
\equiv & G_{11} G_{21}\left(G_{30} G_{40} G_{50}\right)\left(\bmod (y+x)^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $y+x \mid G_{11} G_{21}$. Let $y+x \mid G_{11}$; then $G_{1}=(y+x)^{2}+\alpha(y+x)+\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, which contradicts that $G_{1}$ is absolutely irreducible. Lemma 3.4 is proved.

Collecting Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 together, we have

Тнеогем 3.5. Let $n=12$ or 14. Then the Carlitz conjecture is valid.

Therefore, up to now the conjecture has been proved for $n \leqslant 16$.
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