

# CLOSED AND PRIME IDEALS IN THE ALGEBRA OF BOUNDED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

RAYMOND MORTINI

Let  $H^\infty$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded analytic functions in the unit disc. We present a complete description of the closed primary (respectively prime) ideals contained in a maximal ideal of the Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty$ . The paper is also concerned with chains of prime ideals in  $H^\infty$ .

## 1. Introduction

One major problem in the analysis of the ideal structure of Banach algebras is the characterisation of the closed ideals. In the disc algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$ , for example, the structure of these ideals has been determined by Beurling and Rudin (see [8, p.88]). The situation in  $H^\infty$  is much more difficult. In section 2 of this paper we determine the structure of the closed ideals in  $H^\infty$  which are contained only in maximal ideals of the set of fibres  $M_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  runs through a compact set of Lebesgue measure zero of the unit circle  $T$ . Using this result we then give a complete characterisation of the closed primary ideals contained in a maximal ideal of the Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty$ .

---

Received 19 March 1986. The author is deeply indebted to Pamela Gorkin who pointed out to him a proof of Theorem 3.3.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/87  
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

Another fundamental problem in  $H^\infty$  is the characterisation of the closed prime ideals. Whereas in the disc algebra every closed prime ideal is maximal, there exist in  $H^\infty$  non-maximal closed prime ideals. In view of this situation a conjecture of Alling [1] states that a non-maximal prime ideal in  $H^\infty$  is closed if and only if it coincides with the set of all functions that vanish on a nontrivial Gleason part. In this paper we give a partial answer to this problem.

In a recent paper [5], Gorkin has shown that each maximal ideal that does not belong to the Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty$  or the unit disc contains an infinite chain of prime ideals. This leads us to the question of whether the set of all prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal of  $H^\infty$  forms a chain, as is the case in the ring  $H(\mathbb{D})$  of all analytic functions in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . The situation in  $H^\infty$  is, however, completely different. In section 4 of the present paper we prove that the prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  are linearly ordered by set inclusion if and only if  $m$  belongs to the Shilov boundary or the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION.** Let  $H^\infty$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded analytic functions in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  under the supremum norm. Let us denote by  $M_\lambda$  the fibre of the maximal ideal space  $M$  over the point  $z = \lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , that is  $M_\lambda$  is the set of all maximal ideals  $m$  in  $M$  such that the function  $\lambda - z$  belongs to  $m$ , and by  $\hat{f}$  the Gelfand transform of  $f$ .

In the sequel let  $X$  be the Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{g}$  the set of all those maximal ideals  $m$  whose Gleason part  $\mathcal{R}(m)$  is non-trivial and  $X_\lambda = X \cap M_\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

We shall call an ideal primary if it is contained in a unique maximal ideal.

If  $K$  is a compact set of Lebesgue measure zero of the unit circle  $T = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , then we denote by  $P_K$  the  $A(\mathbb{D})$  peak-function associated with the set  $K$ ; that is  $P_K$  is a function in  $A(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $P_K(z) = 1$  for  $z \in K$  and  $|P_K(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus K$ . It is

known that such a function always exists (see Hoffman [8], p.81).

### 2. Closed ideals in $H^\infty$

It is known (see Hoffman [8], p.88) that in the disc algebra  $A(\mathcal{D})$  every closed primary ideal  $I$  which is contained in the maximal ideal  $M_1 = \{f \in A(\mathcal{D}) : f(1) = 0\}$  has the form

$$I = \exp \left( -\alpha \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) J,$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $J = M_1$  is the closure of the principal ideal  $(1-z)$ .

In the first theorem of this section we show that, in some sense, a similar result holds for the algebra  $H^\infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** (Hedenmalm [6, p.13]). *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $H^\infty$  that is contained only in a maximal ideals of the fibre  $M_1$ . Then there exists an  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that*

$$I = \exp \left( -\alpha \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) J,$$

where  $J$  is a closed ideal that contains the outer function  $1-z$ .

Theorem 2.1 is due to Hedenmalm [6], who gave a non constructive proof using Banach space techniques. We present in the following a constructive proof.

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the functions in  $I$ .  $\phi$  has the factorisation  $\phi = B\phi_1\phi_2$ , where  $B$  is a Blaschke product,  $\phi_1$  a singular inner function with discrete measure and  $\phi_2$  a singular inner function with continuous measure. Because  $I$  lies only in maximal ideals of the fibre  $M_1$ , it is easy to see that  $B = \phi_2 = 1$ , and  $\phi_1$  has only one discontinuity at the point  $z = 1$  (see for example, Hoffman [8, Ex.13, p.75, and Theorem, p.161]). Therefore,  $\phi$  has the form

$$\phi(z) = \exp \left( -\alpha \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right), \text{ where } \alpha \geq 0$$

Hence  $I$  has the form  $I = \phi J$ , where  $J = \{f \in H^\infty : f\phi \in I\}$  is a closed ideal in  $H^\infty$ . In the sequel we shall show that the outer function  $1-z$  belongs to  $J$ .

In the first two steps we construct a uniformly bounded sequence  $g_n = B_n G_n$  of functions in  $H^\infty$  such that  $g_n$  converges uniformly to 1 outside every neighbourhood  $U_\delta(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z-1| < \delta\}$  of the point  $z = 1$  and such that  $\exp \left( -\beta \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) g_n \in J$  for some  $\beta \geq 0$ .

In what follows,  $H(z, t) = \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z}$  denotes the Herglotz kernel.

Step 1. Let  $f \neq 0$  be any function of  $J$ . The  $H^p$  factorisation theorem yields the representation  $f = BS_\beta G_\mu$ , where  $B$  is a Blaschke product,  $G_\mu(z) = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T H(z, t) d_\mu(t)$  a function in  $H^\infty$  such that the Borel measure  $\mu$  has no mass at the point  $z = 1$  and  $S_\beta$  has at most a point mass at  $z = 1$ ; that is,  $S_\beta$  has the form  $S_\beta(z) = \exp \left( -\beta \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$  ( $\beta \geq 0$ ).

Because the regular measure  $\mu$  has no mass at the point  $z = 1$ , that is  $\mu(\{1\}) = 0$ , we can choose open arcs  $E_n \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$  containing the point  $z = 1$  such that

$$|\mu|(E_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad |\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-, \\ E_n \subset E_{n-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Define the functions  $G_n$  and  $H_n$  by

$$G_n(z) = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_n} H(z, t) d_\mu(t), \quad H_n(z) = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T \setminus E_n} H(z, t) d_\mu(t).$$

It is now easy to check that the sequence  $G_n$  converges uniformly to 1

outside every neighbourhood of the point  $z = 1$ . Note that  $G_\mu = G_n H_n$ .

Let  $E'_n$  be any open arc containing  $z = 1$  such that  $\overline{E'_n} \subset E_n$  for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now we factorise the Blaschke factor  $B$  of the function  $f$  into a product  $B = B_1^{(n)} B_2^{(n)}$  of two Blaschke products such that the zeros  $a_i^{(n)}$  of  $B_1^{(n)}$  are accumulating only on the set  $\overline{E'_n}$  and those of  $B_2^{(n)}$  only on the set  $T \setminus E'_n$ .

$$\text{Hence } P_N^{(n)}(z) = \prod_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{a}_i^{(n)}}{|a_i^{(n)}|} \frac{z - a_i^{(n)}}{1 - \bar{a}_i^{(n)} z} \text{ converges uniformly to } 1$$

on each compact set of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus E_n$ . Now we choose  $N = N(n)$  so that

$$|P_{N(n)}^{(n)}(z) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U_{\delta_n}(1),$$

where  $\delta_n = \text{dist}(1, \partial E_n)$ . Let  $B_n = P_{N(n)}^{(n)}$ . Then the functions  $g_n = B_n G_n$  converge uniformly to 1 outside each neighbourhood of the point  $z = 1$ .

We remark furthermore that  $\|g_n\| \leq \max\{1, \|f\|\}$ . Thus the sequence  $S_\beta g_n(1-z)$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $S_\beta(1-z)$ , that is

$$(3) \quad \|S_\beta g_n(1-z) - S_\beta(1-z)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Step 2. We are now going to show that the functions  $S_\beta g_n$  belong to the ideal  $J$ .

By the first step,  $f$  has the form

$$f = \tilde{B}_n B_n B_2^{(n)} S_\beta G_n H_n = S_\beta g_n (\tilde{B}_n B_2^{(n)} H_n),$$

where  $\tilde{B}_n$  is a finite Blaschke product and where the function  $h_n = \tilde{B}_n B_2^{(n)} H_n$  are bounded away from zero in a neighbourhood of the point  $z = 1$ . Thus the functions  $h_n$  are not contained in any maximal ideal of the fibre  $M_1$ . Because  $J$  is contained only in maximal ideals of the fibre  $M_1$ , we

can conclude that the ideal  $(J, h_n) = H^\infty$ . Hence there exist functions  $x_n \in H^\infty$  and  $y_n \in J$  such that

$$1 = y_n + x_n h_n .$$

Multiplying both sides by  $S_{\beta} g_n$ , we see that

$$S_{\beta} g_n = x_n (S_{\beta} g_n h_n) + y_n (S_{\beta} g_n) = x_n f + y_n (S_{\beta} g_n) \in J ,$$

which proves the assertion of the second step.

Hence the functions  $S_{\beta} g_n (1-z)$  are in  $J$ . The ideal  $J$  being closed, we can conclude then from (3) that

$$(4) \quad S_{\beta} (1-z) \in J .$$

Step 3. Since the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the functions in  $J$  is invertible, we remark that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a function  $f \in J$  such that  $f = S_{\varepsilon} g$ , where the Borel measure associated to the singular inner part of the function  $g \in H^\infty$  has no mass at the point  $z = 1$  and where  $S_{\varepsilon}(z) = \exp \left[ -\varepsilon \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]$ .

Since the function  $f = S_{\varepsilon} g$  can now be factorised, as in the first step, into the product  $f = BS_{\varepsilon} G_{\mu}$ , where  $\mu(\{1\}) = 0$ , we can conclude from (4) that  $S_{\varepsilon} (1-z) \in J$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , in particular for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ .

Since the functions  $S_{1/n}(z) = n \sqrt{\exp \left[ -\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]}$  converge uniformly to 1 outside every neighbourhood of 1 in  $\mathbb{D}$ , we have

$$\|S_{1/n}(1-z) - (1-z)\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 .$$

Thus, since  $J$  is closed, the outer function  $1-z$  belongs to  $J$ .  $\square$

REMARK. Because the closure of the ideal  $(1-z)$  coincides with the ideal  $M = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } M_1\} = \{f \in H^\infty : \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} f(z) = 0\}$  (note that  $\|f(1-z^n) - f\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $f \in M$ ), Theorem 2.1 implies that  $M \subset J$ .

Using the theorem of Beurling and Rudin about the characterisation of the closed ideals in the disc algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$  (see Hoffman [8], p.85), we can generalise Hedenmalm's result with our methods in the following sense:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $H^\infty$  that is contained only in maximal ideals of the set of fibres  $M_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  runs through a compact set  $K \subset T$  of Lebesgue measure zero. Then there exists an inner function  $\phi$  whose boundary singularities are contained in the set  $K$  such that*

$$I = \phi J,$$

where  $J$  is a closed ideal that contains the outer function  $1-p_K$ ,  $p_K$  being the  $A(\mathbb{D})$  peak function of the set  $K$ .

**REMARK.** Because the closure of the ideal  $(1-p_K)$  coincides with the ideal  $M = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } \bigcup_{\lambda \in K} M_\lambda\} = \{f \in H^\infty : \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \lambda \\ \lambda \in K}} f(z) = 0\}$ , Theorem 2.2 implies that  $M \subset J$ . Thus the situation in  $H^\infty$  is similar to that in the disc algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$ , where, under equivalent assumptions,  $J$  coincides with the ideal  $M = \{f \in A(\mathbb{D}) : f \equiv 0 \text{ on } K\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the functions in  $I$ . If  $\lambda$  is a boundary singularity of  $\phi$ , then there exists a sequence  $z_n$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  converging to  $\lambda$  such that  $\phi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\phi$  lies in a maximal ideal  $m$  of the fibre  $M_\lambda$  (see Hoffman [8, p.161]). Under the assumptions of the theorem, it is now clear that  $I$  has the form  $I = \phi J$ , where the boundary singularities of  $\phi$  are contained in the set  $K$  and where  $J$  is the closed ideal  $\{f \in H^\infty : f\phi \in I\}$ .

In the next two steps the proof continues in the same manner as before; we have now only to factorise the functions  $f \in J$  in the following form:

$$(1) \quad f = BS_\nu G_\nu, \quad \nu = \nu(f), \quad \mu = \mu(f),$$

here  $B$  is a Blaschke product,  $S_\nu$  a singular inner function and  $G_\mu(z) = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T H(z,t) d_\mu(t)$  a zero free function in  $H^\infty$  such that  $\mu(K) = 0$ . Thus we can conclude that  $S_\nu(1-p_K) \in J$ .

In order to prove the third step, we remark that by the Beurling-Rudin theorem and the fact that the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the functions of  $J$  is invertible, the closure of the ideal generated by the functions

$$\{S_\nu(f)(1-p_K) : f \in J\}, \quad S_\nu(f) \text{ as in (1)},$$

coincides with the closure of the principal ideal generated by  $1-p_K$ .

Hence the function  $1-p_K$  belongs to  $J$ . □

Using Theorem 2.1 we can give a complete characterisation of the closed primary ideals contained in a maximal ideal of the Shilov boundary. Thus we solve a problem raised by Hoffman (see [6, p.74]).

Before we proceed, we present some background. Let  $L^\infty$  be the Banach algebra of all essentially bounded, complex valued functions on the unit circle  $T$  under the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $A_1 = H^\infty|_{M_1}$  the restriction algebra of  $H^\infty$  to the fibre  $M_1$ . It is well known (see Hoffman [8, p.187]) that  $A_1$  is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient algebra  $H^\infty/M$ , where  $M$  is the closed ideal  $M = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } M_1\}$ . Let  $H^\infty + C = \{f + g : f \in H^\infty, g \in C\}$ . A theorem Axler [2, p.567] states that for each  $f \in L^\infty$  there exists a Blaschke product  $B$  such that  $Bf \in H^\infty + C$ . Axler's proof shows that this result can be extended to sequences of functions. Using this theorem and its proof, one can show that

$$J = \{f \in L^\infty : \hat{Bf}|_{X_1} \in I|_{X_1} \text{ for some Blaschke product } B\}$$

is a closed ideal in  $L^\infty$  whenever  $I$  is a closed ideal in  $H^\infty$  containing  $M$ . A slightly different version of the following was shown to me by Gorkin.



**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $I$  be a closed primary ideal contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  of the Shilov boundary  $X$  of  $H^\infty$ . Then  $I$  is maximal.*

**Proof.** Since  $I$  is primary, the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the functions in  $I$  is invertible. This follows from the fact that for every noninvertible singular inner function  $\phi$  there exists a sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\phi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  (see Hoffman [8, Ex.13, p. 73]) and that the closure of  $\{z_n\}$  in  $M$  contains infinitely many points  $m \in M \setminus \mathcal{D}$  (see Garnett [4, p.190]). Without loss of generality we may assume that  $I \subset m \in X_1$ , where  $X_1 = M_1 \cap X$ . Theorem 2.1 implies that  $I$  contains the ideal

$$M = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } M_1\} .$$

By the remark above, the ideal

$$J = \{f \in L^\infty : \hat{B}\hat{f}|_{X_1} \in I|_{X_1} \text{ for some Blaschke product } B\}$$

is then closed in  $L^\infty$ . Because  $I$  is assumed to be primary, it is obvious that  $J$  has this property too.

On the other hand, every closed primary ideal in  $L^\infty \cong C(X)$  is known to be maximal. Hence  $J$  is maximal; from which we can conclude that  $J \cap H^\infty = m$ . Thus for every function  $f \in m$  there exists a function  $g \in I$  and a Blaschke product  $B$  such that  $(\hat{B}\hat{f}-\hat{g})|_{X_1} \equiv 0$ . Since  $X_1$  is the Shilov boundary of  $A_1$ , we have  $\hat{B}\hat{f}-\hat{g} \equiv 0$  on  $M_1$ ; hence  $Bf-g \in M \subset I$ . Since  $B \notin m$  and  $I \subset m$  is primary, the ideal  $(I, B)$  is the whole algebra  $H^\infty$ . Hence there exist functions  $x \in H^\infty$  and  $y \in I$  such that  $1 = y + xB$ . Multiplying by  $f$  we have  $f = fy + x(Bf) \in I$ . Thus  $I = m$ . □

We remark that a characterisation of the closed primary ideals contained in a maximal ideal whose Gleason part  $R(m)$  is nontrivial is known (see Hedenmalm [6, p.14]). Indeed, if  $I \subset m$  is such an ideal, then  $I$  has the form

$$I = I_n = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \circ \phi \in (z-z_0)^n H^\infty\} ,$$

where  $\phi$  is the analytic disc from  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $R(m)$  such that  $\phi(z_0) = m$ .

On the other hand, however, a characterisation of the closed primary ideals contained in a maximal ideal  $m \in M \setminus (g \cup X)$ , that is a maximal ideal whose Gleason part is trivial, but which does not belong to the Shilov boundary, is still unknown. But we conjecture that the following is true:

**Conjecture 1.** Let  $I$  be a closed primary ideal contained in a maximal ideal  $m \in M \setminus (g \cup X)$ . Then  $I$  is maximal.

In the final part of section 2, we consider ideals of the form

$$I_m = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } m \text{ in the topological space } X_1\},$$

where  $m$  is a maximal ideal of  $X_1 = X \cap M_1$ . Note that  $X_1 \neq M_1$ . In view of the results in the algebra  $L^\infty \cong C(X)$ , we could expect that every ideal of the form  $I_m$  would be dense in the corresponding maximal ideal.

But this does not hold. Indeed, we have the following result:

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a uniform algebra,  $M$  its maximal ideal space and  $X$  its Shilov boundary. Suppose that for every  $x \in X$  the ideal  $I_x = \{f \in A : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } x \text{ in the topological space } X\}$  is dense in  $x$ . Then  $X = M$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that there exists an element  $m \in M \setminus X$ . Since  $\bar{I}_x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ , we can conclude that there exists for each  $x$  a function  $f_x \in I_x$ ,  $\hat{f}_x \equiv 0$  in a neighbourhood  $U(x)$  of  $x$ , such that  $\hat{f}_x(m) \neq 0$ . Hence  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} U(x)$ . Because  $X$  is compact, there exist

thus finitely many functions  $f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_n} \in A$  such that the function

$$g = f_{x_1} \cdots f_{x_n}$$

vanishes identically on  $X$ , and hence on  $M$ , because  $X$  is the Shilov boundary of  $A$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $\hat{g}(m) =$

$\hat{f}_{x_1}^{(m)} \cdots \hat{f}_{x_n}^{(m)} \neq 0$  by construction.

Thus  $X = M$ .

□

### 3. Closed prime ideals in $H^\infty$

In a recent paper [10] we have proved that each prime ideal which contains an interpolating Blaschke product is primary. The next proposition will show that such an ideal cannot be closed unless it is maximal.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a closed prime ideal containing an interpolating Blaschke product. Then  $P$  is maximal.*

**Proof.** Let  $m$  be a maximal ideal that contains  $P$ . Because  $P$  contains an interpolating Blaschke product, it is by [10] or [9, p.52], primary. Hence  $P$  is a closed primary ideal which lies in a maximal ideal  $m$  whose Gleason part is nontrivial. Thus, by the remark after Theorem 2.3,  $P$  has the form

$$P = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \circ \Phi \in (z-z_0)^n H^\infty\}$$

for an integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Because the order of the zero  $m$  of the interpolating Blaschke product is 1, it is easily seen that  $n = 1$ . Hence

$$P = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \circ \Phi \in (z-z_0) H^\infty\}.$$

But the last ideal coincides with  $m$ , thus  $P = m$ .

□

**Remark.** After this work was finished, I learned that Theorem 3.1 has also been proved by Gorkin [5] (independently).

In the next proposition we consider closed prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal of the Shilov boundary.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be a closed prime ideal contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  of the Shilov boundary  $X$  of  $H^\infty$ . Then  $P$  contains the ideal  $I_m = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } m \text{ in the topological space } X_\lambda\}$  for some  $\lambda \in T$ .*

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P \subset m \in X_1$ , where  $X_1 = M_1 \cap X$ . Let  $f$  be any function of the ideal  $I_m$  and  $U$  a neighbourhood of  $m$  on which  $f$  vanishes identically. Because the restriction algebra  $A_1$  of  $H^\infty$  to  $M_1$  is regular on  $X_1$  (Hoffman [8, p.189]), there exists a function  $g \in H^\infty$  such that

$$\hat{g} \equiv 0 \text{ on } X_1 \setminus U, \text{ but } \hat{g}(m) \neq 0$$

Thus  $\hat{f}\hat{g} \equiv 0$  on  $X_1$  and hence on  $M_1$ , because  $X_1$  is the Shilov boundary of  $A_1$ .

Because  $P$  is prime, it is easily seen that the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the functions in  $P$  is invertible. In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we have yet to show that the ideal  $P$  is contained only in maximal ideals of the fibre  $M_1$ .

Assume that there also exists a maximal ideal  $m$  of the fibre  $M_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ , that contains  $P$ . Then the factorisation

$$f = (B_1 \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E H(z,t) d_\mu(t)) (B_2 \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T \setminus E} H(z,t) d_\mu(t)) ,$$

where  $E$  is an open arc such that  $1 \in E$ ,  $\alpha \notin \bar{E}$  and  $B_1$  (respectively  $B_2$ ) are Blaschke products whose zeros accumulate only at  $\bar{E}$  (respectively at  $T \setminus E$ ), shows that  $P$  cannot be prime.

Hence by Theorem 2.1 we conclude that  $P$  contains the ideal  $\{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } M_1\} = \overline{(1-z)}$ ; in particular  $fg \in P$ . Since  $P$  is prime and  $g \notin m$ , we get  $f \in P$ . This yields the assertion  $I_m \subset P$ .  $\square$

We are now able to characterise the closed prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal of the Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Every closed prime ideal in  $H^\infty$  contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  of the Shilov boundary is maximal.*

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Let  $P$  be the closed prime ideal and  $m$  the maximal ideal containing it. We remark that by Proposition 3.2  $P$  contains the ideal

$$M = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } M_1\} .$$

Thus we can conclude that the ideal

$$J = \{f \in L^\infty : \hat{Bf}|_{X_1} \in P|_{X_1} \text{ for some Blaschke product } B\}$$

is closed. By Proposition 3.2 and the fact that  $A^1 = H^\infty|_{M_1}$  is regular on  $X_1$ , we see that  $J$  is primary. Hence  $J$  is, as a closed primary ideal in  $L^\infty$ , maximal. This implies that  $J \cap H^\infty = m$ . By exactly the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 there exists for every  $f \in m$  a function  $g \in P$  and a Blaschke product  $B$  such that  $Bf-g \in M \subset P$ . Since  $P$  is prime and  $B \notin P \subset m$ , we see that  $f \in P$ . Thus  $P = m$ .  $\square$

Note that, unlike conjecture 1, there exist non-maximal closed prime ideals which are contained in a maximal ideal  $m \nsubseteq X$  whose Gleason part is trivial. Indeed, by a result of Budde [3, p.11], every nontrivial Gleason part  $R(m)$  contains a maximal ideal, whose Gleason part is trivial, in its closure. Hence the ideal

$$P = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } R(m)\} ,$$

which is a closed prime ideal, is such an example.

It is therefore of great interest to characterise the closed prime ideals in  $H^\infty$ . In view of this, Alling conjectured:

Conjecture. (Alling [1]). Let  $P$  be a non-maximal closed prime ideal in  $H^\infty$ . Then there exists a maximal ideal  $m$  whose Gleason part is nontrivial such that

$$P = \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } R(m)\} .$$

Our next proposition together with Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 will yield a partial solution to the conjecture of Alling.

DEFINITION. An ideal  $I \subset H^\infty$  is called free, if the functions in  $I$  have no common zeros in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $m \in M$  and  $\hat{f}(m) = 0$ . Then

$$\text{ord}(f, m) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f = f_1 \cdots f_n, \hat{f}_i(m) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

will denote the order of the zero of  $f$  at  $m$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let  $P$  be a free prime ideal in  $H^\infty$  and  $m$  be a maximal ideal that contains  $P$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1)  $P$  does not contain any interpolating Blaschke product.
- (2) For every  $f \in P$  we have  $\text{ord}(f, m) = \infty$ .
- (3)  $P \subset \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } R(m)\}$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since  $P$  does not contain any interpolating Blaschke product, every function  $f \in P$  can be factorised into a product  $f = f_1 f_2$  of two functions in  $H^\infty$  such that  $\hat{f}_1(m) = \hat{f}_2(m) = 0$  (see [10] or [9, p.53, theorem 5.5]). The fact that  $P$  is prime implies that at least one of the factors  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  lies in  $P$ . So continuing, we get the assertion (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Follows directly from a theorem of Hoffman (see [3, p.403, Lemma 1.2]).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): If  $P$  contained an interpolating Blaschke product  $B$ , then  $P$  would be primary by [10] or [9, p.52]. Furthermore, the Gleason part  $R(m)$  of  $m$  would be nontrivial. Thus (3) cannot hold.  $\square$

Remark. If we take the converse of the assertions in Proposition 3.4, we obtain a characterisation of those primary prime ideals in  $H^\infty$  which are contained in a maximal ideal  $m \in \mathfrak{g}$ :

PROPOSITION 3.4'. Let  $P$  be a prime ideal in  $H^\infty$  and  $m$  a maximal ideal that contains  $P$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1)  $P$  contains an interpolating Blaschke product.
- (2) There exists an  $f \in P$  such that  $\text{ord}(f, m) = 1$ .

(3)  $P$  is primary and  $m \in g$ .

Now we can state the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $P$  be a non-maximal closed prime ideal in  $H^\infty$ . Then  $P$  is contained in the ideal of all those functions that vanish on a Gleason part, which is disjoint from the Shilov boundary, that is*

$$P \subset \{f \in H^\infty : \hat{f} \equiv 0 \text{ on } R(m)\}, \quad m \notin X.$$

**Proof.** Follows directly from Proposition 3.1, 3.4 and Theorem 3.3. □

#### 4. Chains of prime ideals in $H^\infty$

In a recent paper [5], Gorkin has shown that each maximal ideal  $m \in M(X \cup D)$  contains an infinite chain of prime ideals. It is now of great interest to ask whether all the prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal form a chain; a situation which occurs, as is known (see Henriksen [7, p.716]), in the ring  $H(D)$  of all analytic functions in the unit disc.

Our next theorem now gives a complete answer to this problem.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The set of prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  of  $H^\infty$  is linearly ordered (by set inclusion) if and only if  $m$  belongs to the unit disc  $D$  or to the Shilov boundary  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Since the case that  $m$  belongs to the unit disc is trivial, we show in the first step that the prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  of the Shilov boundary  $X$  are linearly ordered. Note that  $H^\infty$  is a pseudoBezout ring; that is, any two functions in  $H^\infty$  have a greatest common divisor (*gcd*) (see v.Renteln [11, p.519]).

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal  $m \in X$ . Suppose that there exists a function  $f \in P \setminus Q$  and a function  $g \in Q \setminus P$ . Let  $d = \text{gcd}(f, g)$ . Hence there exist two bounded analytic functions  $F$  and  $G$  which have no proper common divisor such that

$$f = dF \quad (1) \qquad g = dG \quad (2) .$$

Because  $g \notin P$ , we can conclude from (2) that  $d \notin P$ . Thus the primeness of  $P$  and (1) yield that  $F \in P$ . In the same manner we conclude that  $G \in Q$ . Hence the functions  $F$  and  $G$  are both in  $m$  (remark that  $P, Q \subset m$ ). But  $\gcd(F, G) = 1$ ; thus the ideal  $(F, G)$  contains (by v. Renteln [11, p.523]) an inner function  $\phi$ ; in particular  $\phi \in m$ . However  $|\hat{\phi}(m)| = 1$  for every  $m \in X$  and all inner function (see Hoffman [8, p.179]). Thus we have either  $P \subset Q$  or  $Q \subset P$ .

In the final step, we show that the prime ideals contained in a maximal ideal  $m$  that does not belong to the Shilov boundary or to the unit disc, are not linearly ordered. Indeed, we shall construct two prime ideals  $P \subset m$  and  $Q \subset m$  such that  $P \not\subset Q$  and  $Q \not\subset P$ .

Let  $m \in M \setminus (X \cup \mathbb{D})$ . We choose two functions  $f$  and  $g$  of  $m$  such that  $\gcd(f, g) = 1$ . For example, let  $f$  be a Blaschke product in  $m$ , which exists by a theorem of D.J. Newman (see Hoffman [8, p.179]), and  $g$  the outer function  $z^{-\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  is suitably chosen.

We define the following multiplicatively closed subsets of  $H^\infty$ :

$$S_f = \{hf^n : h \in H^\infty, h \notin m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\},$$

$$S_g = \{hg^n : h \in H^\infty, h \notin m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Since  $\gcd(f, g) = 1$ , it is easy to see that  $(g) \cap S_f = \emptyset$  and  $(f) \cap S_g = \emptyset$ .

By the lemma of Krull each super ideal  $P \supset (g)$ , (respectively  $Q \supset (f)$ ), which is maximal with respect to  $P \cap S_f = \emptyset$ , (respectively  $Q \cap S_g = \emptyset$ ), is a prime ideal. By construction  $P$  and  $Q$  are contained in the maximal ideal  $m$ . But on the other hand,  $f \in S_f$  implies  $f \notin P$  and  $g \in S_g$  implies  $g \notin Q$ . Thus  $P \not\subset Q$  and  $Q \not\subset P$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alling, Aufgabe 2.3; *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* 73 (2) (1971/72), 2.
- [2] S. Axler, "Factorization of  $L^\infty$  functions"; *Ann. of Math.* 106 (1977), 567-572.



- [3] Paul E. Budde, *Support sets and Gleason parts of  $M(H^\infty)$* , (Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1979).
- [4] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, (Academic Press, New York, 1981).
- [5] P. Gorkin, "Prime ideals in closed subalgebras of  $L^\infty$ ", *Michigan Math. J.* (to appear).
- [6] H. Hedenmalm, *Bounded analytic functions and closed ideals*, U.U.D.M. Report 1984 11 Uppsala University, 1984.
- [7] M. Henriksen, "On the prime ideals of the ring of entire functions" *Pacific J. Math.* 3 (1953), 711-720.
- [8] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, (Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall, 1962).
- [9] R. Mortini, *Zur Idealstruktur der Disk-Algebra  $A(D)$  und der Algebra  $H^\infty$* , (Dissertation, Universität Karlsruhe, 1984).
- [10] R. Mortini, "Finitely generated prime ideals in  $H^\infty$  and  $A(D)$ ", *Math. Z.* 191 (1986), 297-302.
- [11] M. v. Renteln, "Hauptideale und äussere Funktionen im Ring  $H^\infty$ ", *Arch. Math.* 28 (1977), 519-524.

Mathematisches Institut I  
Universität Karlsruhe  
D-7500 Karlsruhe 1  
Germany