

# NORMAL $p$ -SUBGROUPS OF SOLVABLE LINEAR GROUPS

JOHN D. DIXON

(Received 24 June 1966)

## 1. Introduction

In his paper [8], N. Itô gives an elegant proof that the Sylow  $p$ -group of a finite solvable linear group of degree  $n$  over the field of complex numbers is necessarily normal if  $p > n + 1$ . Moreover he shows that this bound on  $p$  is the best possible when  $p$  is a Fermat prime (i.e. a prime of the form  $2^{2^k} + 1$ ), but that the bound may be improved to  $p > n$  when  $p$  is not a Fermat prime.

The object of this paper is to prove the following generalization of Itô's theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $p$  be a given prime, and let  $G$  be a finite solvable completely reducible subgroup of the general linear group  $GL(n, \mathcal{F})$  over a perfect field  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -group of  $G$ , and let  $K$  denote the  $p$ -core of  $G$  (i.e.  $K$  is the largest normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ). If  $|P : K| = p^\lambda$ , then  $\lambda \leq \lambda_p(n)$  where*

$$\lambda_p(n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^i(p-1)} \right] & \text{if } p \text{ is a Fermat prime,} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^i} \right] & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and not a Fermat prime,} \\ \left[ \frac{4n}{3} \right] - 1 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

(Here  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer  $\leq x$ , and so the formally infinite sums each only have a finite number of nonzero terms.)

**REMARK.** Evidently Itô's theorem is an immediate consequence of this theorem since  $\lambda = 0$  implies that  $P$  is normal in  $G$ . We shall later show that the values for  $\lambda_p(n)$  ( $p \neq 2$ ) are best possible in the sense that for each value of  $n$  there is a group  $G$  satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem with  $\lambda = \lambda_p(n)$ . The value for  $\lambda_2(n)$  is less precise; it is attained for infinitely many  $n$  but not for all  $n$ .

There are two simple corollaries to the Theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable completely reducible subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathcal{F})$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . If the Sylow  $p$ -group of  $G$  has order  $p^\mu$ , then  $\mu \leq \lambda_p(n)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathcal{F})$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -group of  $G$ ,  $K$  be the  $p$ -core of  $G$ , and put  $p^\lambda = |P : K|$ . Then  $\lambda \leq \lambda_p(n)$ . (Thus when the field has characteristic  $p$  we may drop the hypothesis of complete reducibility.)*

**REMARK.** Corollary 1 is a substantial improvement on results of B. Huppert ([6] Satz 13, Satz 14). Huppert shows that, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the finite field with  $p^f$  elements, then  $\mu \leq f(3n/p - 1)$  if  $p$  is odd, and  $\mu \leq f(n - 1)$  if  $p = 2$ . Since any finite field is perfect, our Corollary 1 gives a better estimate (independent of  $f$ ) except when  $\mathcal{F}$  has two elements.

**2. The proof of the Theorem in the primitive case**

We begin with the observation that  $G$  remains completely reducible in any finite normal extension of  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [2] Theorem (70.15)), and hence that  $G$  is completely reducible over the algebraic closure of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus, without loss in generality, we shall assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically closed.

We now proceed to the proof of the Theorem. The technique is similar to that used in [3], and in fact the connexion between these results is even more obvious when we note that  $p^\lambda$  is just the order of the Sylow  $p$ -groups of  $G/F(G)$  (where  $F(G)$  is the Fitting subgroup of  $G$ ). Once again the critical case hinges on an analysis of the primitive solvable groups, and we begin with that.

We shall use the theorem of Suprunenko ([9] Theorem 11) quoted in [3]:

*Let  $G$  be a solvable primitive subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathcal{F})$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebraically closed field. Let  $n = q_1^{l_1} \cdots q_k^{l_k}$  be the canonical decomposition of  $n$  into prime factors. Then  $G$  has a normal nilpotent subgroup  $A$  such that  $G/A$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of the symplectic groups  $Sp(2l_i, q_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ).*

Now let  $G$  be a solvable primitive group satisfying the hypotheses of our Theorem. Since the Sylow  $p$ -group of the group  $A$  (defined above) is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , it is clear that  $p^\lambda$  divides  $|G/A|$ . If  $p^{v_i}$  is the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $|Sp(2l_i, q_i)|$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ), then  $\lambda \leq v_1 + \dots + v_k$  by Suprunenko's theorem. This means that, if we can prove  $v_i \leq \lambda_p(q_i^{l_i})$  for each  $i$ , then  $\lambda \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_p(q_i^{l_i}) \leq \lambda_p(n)$  as required. Thus, in order to show that our Theorem holds in the case  $G$  is primitive, it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA. If  $q$  is a prime,  $l$  is an integer  $\geq 1$ , and  $p^\nu$  is the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $|Sp(2l, q)|$ , then  $\nu \leq \lambda_p(n)$ .

PROOF. We recall that

$$|Sp(2l, q)| = (q^{2l} - 1)(q^{2l-2} - 1) \cdots (q^2 - 1)q^{l^2}$$

(see [1] page 147). If  $p = q$ , then  $\nu = l^2 \leq p^{l-1} + p^{l-2} + \cdots + 1 \leq \lambda_p(p^l)$  if  $p \geq 3$ , and  $\nu = l^2 \leq [4 \cdot 2^l / 3] - 1 = \lambda_2(2^l)$  if  $p = 2$ . This proves the result in this case.

Now suppose that  $p \neq q$ . Then  $p^\nu$  divides

$$(q^l + 1)(q^l - 1)(q^{l-1} + 1) \cdots (q + 1)(q - 1).$$

Since  $q^i - 1 \neq q^{i-1} + 1$  unless  $q = 2$  and  $q^i - 1 = 3$ ,  $p^\nu$  divides  $3 \cdot (q^l + 1)!$ . It is well known that the exponent of the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $m!$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [m/p^i]$ , and so, by direct calculation;

$$(i) \quad \nu \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l + 1}{p^i} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l}{p^i} \right] = \lambda_p(q^l)$$

if  $p$  is odd and not a Fermat prime;

$$(ii) \quad \nu \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l + 1}{p^i} \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l}{p^{i-1}(p-1)} \right] = \lambda_p(q^l)$$

if  $p$  is a Fermat prime and  $p \neq 3$ ;

$$(iii) \quad \nu \leq 1 + \left[ \frac{q^l + 1}{3} \right] + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l + 1}{3^i} \right] \leq \left[ \frac{q^l}{2} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l}{2 \cdot 3^i} \right] = \lambda_3(q^l)$$

if  $p = 3$  and  $l \geq 2$  (and  $\nu \leq \lambda_3(q)$  if  $l = 1$ );

$$(iv) \quad \nu \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^l + 1}{2^i} \right] \leq q^l \leq \left[ \frac{4q^l}{3} \right] - 1 = \lambda_2(q^l)$$

if  $p = 2$  (since  $q \geq 3$ ).

This completes the proof of the lemma, and hence completes the proof of the Theorem for the case  $G$  primitive.

### 3. The proof of the Theorem in the general case

We shall proceed by induction on the degree  $n$ . The reduction to the primitive case (considered in § 2) is very similar to the corresponding reduction in the proof of Theorem 1 of [3]. Therefore we shall outline the steps and refer to [3] for details.

We begin with a few observations. Let us write  $\lambda_p(G) = \lambda$  when  $p^\lambda$  is the index of the  $p$ -core of  $G$  in a Sylow  $p$ -group of  $G$ . Then it is easily seen that for a direct product of finite groups we have

$$\lambda_p(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d) = \lambda_p(G_1) + \cdots + \lambda_p(G_d).$$

Similarly, if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\lambda_p(H) \leq \lambda_p(G)$ .

We now proceed to the proof of the Theorem. Since we have already dealt with the primitive case in § 2, we have two cases to consider.

(a) *Suppose that  $G$  is reducible.* Then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of a direct product  $G_1 \times G_2$  where  $G_i$  is a finite solvable completely reducible subgroup of  $GL(n_i, \mathcal{F})$  and  $n_1 + n_2 = n$ . (Compare [3].) Hence, by the observations above and the induction hypothesis,

$$\lambda = \lambda_p(G) \leq \lambda_p(G_1) + \lambda_p(G_2) \leq \lambda_p(n_1) + \lambda_p(n_2) \leq \lambda_p(n_1 + n_2) = \lambda_p(n).$$

(b) *Suppose that  $G$  is irreducible but imprimitive.* Then there is a divisor  $d > 1$  of  $n$  such that  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $N$  with the following properties. (See [2] Theorem (50.2).) First  $G/N$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_d$ . Secondly  $N$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product  $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_d$  where the  $N_i$  are each isomorphic to a finite solvable completely reducible subgroup of  $GL(m, \mathcal{F})$  (with  $m = n/d$ ). (Compare [3].) Therefore, from the observations above and the induction hypothesis,

$$\lambda = \lambda_p(G) \leq \rho + \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_p(N_i) \leq \rho + d\lambda_p(m)$$

where  $p^\rho$  is the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $d!$ . Thus, it remains to prove that

$$(1) \quad \rho + d\lambda_p(m) \leq \lambda_p(md).$$

The proof of (1) is trivial if  $p = 2$ . In the other cases it is convenient to put  $p' = p - 1$  or  $p$  depending on whether  $p$  is or is not a Fermat prime. (1) is obvious if  $m < p'$ , so suppose that  $m \geq p'$  and choose the integer  $j \geq 0$  so that  $p^j p' \leq m < p^{j+1} p'$ . Then

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{d}{p^i} \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{md}{p^{i+j} p'} \right]$$

and

$$d\lambda_p(m) = \sum_{i=0}^j d \left[ \frac{m}{p^i p'} \right] \leq \sum_{i=0}^j \left[ \frac{md}{p^i p'} \right].$$

Hence, by addition, (1) follows.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

### 4. Limiting cases of the Theorem

We shall give examples in terms of matrix groups over the field  $\mathcal{C}$  of complex numbers, but of course there are corresponding examples in terms of linear transformations.

If  $p$  is a Fermat prime, then Itô gives an example in [7] of a finite solvable matrix group of degree  $p-1$  over  $\mathcal{C}$  with a Sylow  $p$ -group which is not normal. On the other hand, if  $p$  is not a Fermat prime, then the matrix group of degree  $p$  generated by the permutation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cdot & 1 & \cdot & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & 1 \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

together with all diagonal matrices with diagonal entries  $\pm 1$  is a finite solvable group with a Sylow  $p$ -group which is not normal. These examples show that the bound  $\lambda_p(n)$  is exact when  $n = p-1$  or  $p$  depending on whether  $p$  is a Fermat prime or not.

We now show that if  $p \neq 2$  then there is a finite solvable matrix group  $G$  of degree  $n$  over  $\mathcal{C}$  for which  $\lambda_p(G) = \lambda_p(n)$ . For convenience we write  $p' = p-1$  or  $p$  depending on whether  $p$  is or is not a Fermat prime, and we put  $m = \lceil n/p' \rceil$ . Since  $\lambda_p(n) = \lambda_p(mp')$ , it is sufficient to construct  $G$  of degree  $mp'$ . Let  $G_0$  be a finite solvable matrix group of degree  $p'$  over  $\mathcal{C}$  which has a nonnormal Sylow  $p$ -group (see above). We define  $N$  as the matrix group of degree  $mp'$  consisting of all block diagonal matrices  $\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  with each  $x_i \in G_0$ . Let  $H$  be a group of block permutation matrices of degree  $mp'$  (with blocks of degree  $p'$  of the form 0 or 1) such that  $H$  is isomorphic to a Sylow  $p$ -group of the symmetric group  $S_m$ . It is clear that  $N$  is normalized by  $H$ , and that  $G = HN$  is a finite solvable group. It is easily verified that  $G$  has no nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroup (compare with [3] § 4), and that the Sylow  $p$ -group of  $G$  has order  $p^\lambda$  where

$$\lambda = \lambda_p(N) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lceil \frac{m}{p^i} \right\rceil.$$

(The latter sum is the largest exponent to which  $p$  divides  $m!$ .) Since  $\lambda_p(N) = m$  and  $\lceil m/p^i \rceil = \lceil n/p'p^i \rceil$  for each  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\lceil \frac{n}{p'p^i} \right\rceil = \lambda_p(n)$$

as required.



Theorem (36.13)) it can be seen that a theorem analogous to the Theorem proved here must hold even when there is no solvability condition imposed on  $G$ . From the results of Feit and Thompson [5] and Feit [4] it might be conjectured that the corresponding bounds will be about twice  $\lambda_p(n)$ , but the proof would certainly be much more difficult.

### References

- [1] E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra*, (Interscience 1957).
- [2] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, (Interscience 1962).
- [3] J. D. Dixon, "The Fitting subgroup of a linear solvable group", *J. Australian Math. Soc.* 7 (1967), 417—424.
- [4] W. Feit, "Groups which have a faithful representation of degree less than  $p-1$ ", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 112 (1964), 287—303.
- [5] W. Feit and J. G. Thompson, "On groups which have a faithful representation of degree less than  $(p-1)/2$ ", *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961), 1257—1262.
- [6] B. Huppert, "Lineare auflösbare Gruppen", *Math. Z.* 67 (1957), 479—518.
- [7] N. Itô, "On the characters of soluble groups", *Nagoya Math. J.* 3 (1951), 31—48.
- [8] N. Itô, "On a theorem of H. Blichfeldt", *Nagoya Math. J.* 5 (1953), 75—78.
- [9] D. Suprunenko, "Soluble and Nilpotent Linear Groups", *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Math. Monographs* vol. 9, 1963.

University of New South Wales