BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. VOL. 20 (1979), 161-163.

## The inverse of a certain block matrix

## V.N. Singh

A simple formula for the inverse of a block matrix with non-zero blocks in the principal diagonal and the first sub-diagonal only is proved. The matrix had arisen in an investigation of a difference equation.

During an investigation of the general homogeneous linear difference equation  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$ 

$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} a_s(n) u_{n-s} = 0 , \quad n \ge r ,$$

with  $a_0(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq r$ , it was found [2, equation (6)] that the solution involved the inverse of a non-singular block lower triangular matrix of the following type

$$A_{(N)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0_r & 0_r & \cdots & 0_r & 0_r & 0_{r,s} \\ B_2 & A_2 & 0_r & \cdots & 0_r & 0_r & 0_{r,s} \\ 0_r & B_3 & A_3 & \cdots & 0_r & 0_r & 0_{r,s} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & \cdots & B_{N-1} & A_{N-1} & 0_{r,s} \\ 0_{s,r} & 0_{s,r} & 0_{s,r} & \cdots & 0_{s,r} & B_N & A_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here N is the integral part of n/r, and  $0_{p,q}$  denotes the null matrix of dimension p by q with  $0_{r,r} = 0_r$ ; the matrices  $A_k$ ,  $B_k$  have the

Received 9 January 1979.

order r for  $k=1,\ldots,N-1$ , while the dimensions of  $A_N$  and  $B_N$  are s by s and s by r respectively with  $1 \le s \le r$ .

In this note, we prove that the above matrix  $A_{(N)}$  has the following inverse:

(1) 
$$A_{(N)}^{-1} = [L_{i,j}], i, j = 1, ..., N,$$

where

$$\begin{split} & L_{ii} = A_i^{-1} \;, \quad i = 1, \; \dots, \; N \;, \\ & L_{ij} = 0_r \;, \quad i < j \leq N-1 \;, \quad L_{iN} = 0_{r,s} \;, \quad 1 \leq i < N \;, \end{split}$$

and

$$L_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \begin{cases} \frac{j+1}{k} & \left( A_k^{-1} B_k \right) \\ k=i \end{cases} A_k^{-1} , \quad i=2, \ldots, N, \quad j=1, \ldots, i-1.$$

The proof is by induction on  ${\it N}$  . For  ${\it N}$  = 2 , formula (1) takes the form

(2) 
$$A_{(2)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0_{r,s} \\ \\ -A_2^{-1}B_2A_1^{-1} & A_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a special case of a well-known result [1, p. 109].

Suppose that (1) holds for a block matrix of order m; that is, for N=m. Then, for a matrix of order m+1, by (2) we have

$$A_{(m+1)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{(m)}^{-1} & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ -A_{m+1}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{s,r} 0_{s,r} & & & & & & B_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} A_{(m)}^{-1} & & A_{m+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$-A_{m+1}^{-1} \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] A_{(m)}^{-1} = -A_{m+1}^{-1} B_{m+1} \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} L_{m1} L_{m2} & & & \\ L_{mm} L_{m2} & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right] ,$$

it is easy to see that, on account of the induction hypothesis, (1) holds for N = m + 1. The proof of (1) is thus complete.

## References

- [1] George F. Hadley, *Linear algebra* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts; London; 1961).
- [2] V.N. Singh, "Solution of a general homogeneous linear difference equation", submitted.

Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, India.