Corrigendum

Corrigendum to "Objective Assessment of Gender Roles: Gender Roles Test (GRT-36)"

[The Spanish Journal of Psychology 14.2 (2011) 899-911]ⁱ

Juan Fernández, María Ángeles Quiroga, Isabel del Olmo, Javier Aroztegui, and Arantxa Martín

Universidad Complutense (Spain)

The authors regret that the above mentioned article contained an error: The following text on page 905:

Concerning the levels of internal consistency, it must be pointed out that RT measures present, for neutral items, ($\alpha = .85$) and for items of both spheres ($\alpha = .91$). These data show a satisfactory internal consistency, both taking into account their absolute value and carrying out comparative analyses for these types of tests. Among the most well-known ones, at an international scale, is the Implicit Association Test (IAT). This test shows a value of .80 in the majority of studies (Brunel et al., 2004). When GRT-36 is broken down with respect to spheres and sexes, the values of internal consistency are lowered: domestic female ($\alpha = .70$) and work male ($\alpha = .66$). Within this breakdown, it should be remarked that the lower alpha values correspond to categories with fewer items.

Should be replaced with:

Concerning the levels of internal consistency, it must be pointed out that RT measures present, for neutral items, $(\alpha = .85)$ and for items of both spheres $(\alpha = .91)$. These data show a satisfactory internal consistency, both taking into account their absolute value and carrying out comparative analyses for these types of tests. Among the most well-known ones, at an international scale, is the Implicit Association Test (IAT). This test shows a value of .80 in the majority of studies (Brunel et al., 2004). When GRT-36 is broken down with respect to spheres and sexes, the values of internal consistency are lowered: domestic female $(\alpha = .86)$, domestic male $(\alpha = .71)$, work female $(\alpha = .70)$ and work male $(\alpha = .66)$. Within this breakdown, it should be remarked that the lower alpha values correspond to categories with fewer items.

ⁱ TPII of original article http://dx.doi.org/10.5209/rev_SJOP.2011.v14.n2.36

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Juan Fernández. Departamento de Psicología Evolutiva y de la Educación, Facultad de Psicología, Campus de Somosaguas. 28223 Pozuelo de Alarcón – Madrid (Spain). E-mail: jfernandez@psi.ucm.es. Web page: http://sites.google.com/site/jfsprofile/