ON A CONVERGENCE TEST OF HARDY-LITTLEWOOD'S TYPE FOR FOURIER SERIES

FU CHENG HSIANG *

(received 28 December 1961, revised 27 March 1963)

1

Let $\varphi(t)$ be an even function integrable in the Lebesgue sense and periodic with period 2π . Let

$$\varphi(t) \sim \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nt.$$

Write

$$\Phi(t)=\int_0^t\varphi(u)du.$$

By an indirect method based on the method of Riesz summability for the Fourier series, the author has established the following convergence test for the oscillating series $\sum a_n$. The theorem is as follows:

THEOREM A [1]. If, for some $\Delta > 0$

(i)
$$\Phi(t) = o\left\{t\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-4}\right\}$$

as $t \to +0$ and

(ii)
$$a_n > -Kn^{-1} (\log n)^d$$
,

then $\sum a_n$ converges to the sum s = 0. Here K is an absolute constant independent of n.

In this note, we intend to show that it is essential to use the same Δ in the conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem; i.e., we prove the following

THEOREM. For each $\Delta > 0$ and each $\eta > 0$, there exists an even function $\varphi(t)$ satisfying (i), with its Fourier series diverging at t = 0, and such that

(iii)
$$a_n = o\left\{\frac{(\log n)^{d+\eta}}{n}\right\}.$$

* The author expresses his hearty thanks to the referee for his elaborate help in simplifying the calculations of this paper and rewriting it in the present compact form. Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that:

- (a) $\lambda_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$; and
- (b) there exists a constant k and an i with

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i+1}} < k < 1$$

for all $i \ge i_0$.

Then

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_n} < k^{n-i}$$

for all $i_0 \leq i < n$.

Let $\Delta > 0$ and define

$$\alpha_i = \frac{(\log \lambda_i)^d}{\lambda_i}.$$

It can easily be seen that there exists an i' such that $\alpha_{i'} < \pi$, $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1}$ for all $i \ge i'+1$ and

$$rac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i+1}} < k$$

for all $i \geq i'-1$.

Let $\{c_i\}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers tending to zero as limit. Next, we define an even function:

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} c_i \sin \lambda_i t & (\alpha_i \leq t < \alpha_{i-1}; i \geq i'+1), \\ 0 & (\alpha_{i'} < t \leq \pi). \end{cases}$$

We are going to prove that the Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ diverges at the point t = 0 though $\varphi(t)$ and its Fourier coefficients (a_n) satisfy (i) and (iii) respectively. Denote by S_{λ_n} the λ_n -th partial sum of the series $a_0/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_n$, then

$$S_{\lambda_n} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi(t) \frac{\sin \lambda_n t}{t} dt + o(1).$$

Substitute the function $\varphi(t)$ defined above into this integral and write it in the form:

$$S_{\lambda_n} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{i'+1 \le i < n} + \sum_{i > n} \right\} c_i \int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \frac{\sin \lambda_i t \sin \lambda_n t}{t} dt + \frac{2}{\pi} c_n \int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \frac{\sin^2 \lambda_n t}{t} dt + o(1)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_1 + \sum_2 \right\} + \frac{2}{\pi} I + o(1).$$

We require a number of lemmas.

On a convergence test of Hardy-Littlewood's type for Fourier series

2.1 LEMMA. We have

$$\sum_{1} \to 0$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF. Since, for every $i' \leq i < n$, $\lambda_i / \lambda_n < k^{n-i} < k < 1$, thus we have

$$\sum_{1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} O\left(\sum_{i' < i < n} \frac{c_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}\right).$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose p sufficiently large that p > i'+1 and $c_i < \varepsilon$ for all i > p. Then

$$\sum_{i' < i < n} \frac{c_i}{\alpha_i} = \sum_{i' < i \le p} \frac{c_i}{\alpha_i} + \sum_{p < i < n} \frac{c_i}{\alpha_i}$$
$$\leq O(1) + \varepsilon \sum_{p < i < n} \frac{\lambda_i}{(\log \lambda_i)^d}$$
$$\leq O(1) + \varepsilon \lambda_n \sum_{p < i < n} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_n}$$
$$\leq O(1) + \varepsilon \lambda_n \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} k^r.$$

Thus $\sum_{1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

2.2. LEMMA. We have

$$\sum_{2} \to 0$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF. Integrating by parts,

$$\int_{\alpha_{i}}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \frac{\sin \lambda_{i} t \sin \lambda_{n} t}{t} dt = -\left[\frac{\cos \lambda_{i} t}{\lambda_{i}} \cdot \frac{\sin \lambda_{n} t}{t}\right]_{\alpha_{i}}^{\alpha_{i-1}} + \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{i}} \int_{\alpha_{i}}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \left(\cos \lambda_{n} t - \frac{\sin \lambda_{n} t}{\lambda_{n} t}\right) \frac{\cos \lambda_{i} t}{t} dt,$$

and noticing that the integrand in the second term of the right side is $O(\alpha_i^{-1})$, we obtain immediately

$$\sum_{\mathbf{z}} = \lambda_n O\left\{\sum_{i>n} \frac{c_i \alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_i \lambda_i}\right\}$$
$$= \lambda_n O\left\{\sum_{i>n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i-1}}\right\}$$
$$= o(1).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700022734 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[3]

2.3. LEMMA. If $\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} I = \frac{1}{2}\limsup_{n\to\infty} c_n \log \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n}.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \frac{\sin^2 \lambda_n t}{t} \, dt = \int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \frac{1 - \cos 2\lambda_n t}{2t} \, dt$$

and substitute $t = \alpha_n u$, we obtain

$$\int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \frac{\cos 2\lambda_n t}{t} dt = \int_1^{\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n} \frac{\cos 2\lambda_n \alpha_n u}{u} du$$
$$= \int_1^{p_n} \frac{\cos q_n u}{u} du$$

(where $p_n \to \infty$, $q_n = (\log \lambda_n)^d \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$) = $\int_1^{r_n} \cos q_n u du$

(by the second mean-value theorem, where $1 < r_n < p_n$)

$$=\frac{1}{q_n}\left\{\sin q_n r_n - \sin q_n\right\} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, since $q_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} I = \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n \int_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \frac{1}{2t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \to \infty} c_n \log \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} dt$$

2.4. LEMMA.

$$\frac{1}{t}\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{d}\int_{0}^{t}\varphi(\boldsymbol{u})d\boldsymbol{u}\to 0$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. For
$$\alpha_n < t \leq \alpha_{n-1}$$
,

$$\frac{1}{t} \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^d \int_0^t \varphi(u) du = O\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \left(\log \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \right)^d \sum_{i \geq n} \frac{c_i}{\lambda_i} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_n \alpha_n} \left(\log \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \right)^d \sum_{i \geq n} c_i k^{i-n} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ \left(\frac{\log 1/\alpha_n}{\log \lambda_n} \right)^d \sum_{i \geq n} c_i k^{i-n} \right\}$$

$$= O\left\{ \left(\frac{-\log 1/\alpha_n}{\log 1/\alpha_n + \log (\lambda_n \alpha_n)} \right)^d \sum_{i \geq n} c_i k^{i-n} \right\}$$

$$\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

52

2.5. LEMMA. If $\eta > \eta' > 0$, if $\lambda_i/(\lambda_{i-1}+1) \leq i^{\eta'}$ for all $i \geq 2$ and if the series $\sum_i c_i$ converges, then

$$\frac{na_n}{(\log n)^{d+\eta}}\to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF.

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i=i'+1}^{\infty} c_i \int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \sin \lambda_i t \cos nt \, dt,$$

hence

[5]

$$\frac{na_n}{(\log n)^{d+\eta}} = \frac{2n}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_n \leq 2n, \\ i > i'}} c_i \int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \sin \lambda_i t \cos nt \, dt$$
$$+ \frac{2n}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum_{\lambda_i > 2n} c_i \int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \sin \lambda_i t \cos nt \, dt$$
$$= A_n + B_n.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n| &\leq \frac{2n}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum_{\lambda_i \leq 2n} c_i \left| \left[\frac{\sin \lambda_i t \sin nt}{n} \right]_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\lambda_i}{n} \int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \cos \lambda_i t \sin nt \, dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum c_i \left(\frac{2}{n} + \frac{\lambda_i \alpha_{i-1}}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum c_i \left\{ 2 + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}} (\log \lambda_{i-1})^d \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum c_i \left\{ 2 + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}} (\log 2n)^d \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2 (\log 2n)^d}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum K c_i i^{\eta'} \end{aligned}$$

for large n.

Let $\lambda_{p(n)} \leq 2n < \lambda_{p(n)+1}$. Then

$$|A_n| \leq \frac{2(\log 2n)^{\Delta}}{\pi (\log n)^{\Delta+\eta}} \sum K\{p(n)\}^{\eta'}$$
$$\leq K' \left(1 + \frac{\log 2}{\log n}\right)^{\Delta} \frac{\{p(n)\}^{\eta'}}{(\log n)^{\eta}}$$

But

$$\log n + \log 2n \ge \log \lambda_{p(n)}$$

$$= \log \frac{\lambda_{p(n)}}{\lambda_{i'}} + \log \lambda_{i'}$$

$$\ge \{p(n) - i'\} \log \frac{1}{K} + \log \lambda_{i'},$$

hence

$$|A_n| \leq K' \left(1 + \frac{\log 2}{\log n}\right)^d \cdot \frac{\{p(n)\}^{q'}}{\{(p(n) - i') \log 1/K + \log \lambda_{i'} - \log 2\}^{q}}$$

 $\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$

Also

$$|B_n| = \left| \frac{2n}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum_{\lambda_i > 2n} \frac{c_i}{2} \left[-\frac{\cos (\lambda_i + n)t}{\lambda_i + n} - \frac{\cos (\lambda_i - n)t}{\lambda_i - n} \right]_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{4n}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum_{\lambda_i > 2n} \frac{c_i}{\lambda_i - n}$$
$$\leq \frac{4}{\pi (\log n)^{d+\eta}} \sum_{\lambda_i > 2n} c_i$$
$$\rightarrow 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

3

Let $\eta > 0$. Take η' such that $0 < \eta' < \eta$ and define

$$\lambda_i = [(i!)^{\eta'}].$$

Then $\lambda_i/\lambda_{i+1} \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, hence k exists. Also, $\lambda_i \leq (i!)^{\eta'}$ and $\lambda_{i-1}+1 \geq \{(i-1)!\}^{\eta'}$, so that

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}+1} \leq i^{\eta'}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_i} = \left(\frac{\log \lambda_{i-1}}{\log \lambda_i}\right)^d \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i-1}} \cong i^{\eta} \to \infty$$

as $i \to \infty$, since

On a convergence test of Hardy-Littlewood's type for Fourier series

$$\log \lambda_i \cong \eta' \log i! \cong \eta'(i+\frac{1}{2}) \log i \to \infty$$

as $i \to \infty$ by Stirling's approximation formula for *i*!. Define

$$e_i = \left(\log \frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_i}\right)^{-1}$$

Then $e_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Let $c_i = e_i$ for an infinite number of *i*'s, but put $c_i = 0$ for enough values of *i* to make $\sum c_i$ converge. Thus by 2.3

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} I = \infty,$$

so that by 2.1 and 2.2, the Fourier series of φ diverges at t = 0. By 2.4, (i) is satisfied and it follows from 2.5 that (iii) is satisfied. Thus the theorem is completely established.

References

- F. C. Hsiang, On Riesz summability of Fourier series, Proc. American Math. Soc., 9 (1958), 37-44.
- [2] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series (Cambridge, 1959).

National Taiwan University, Formosa, China.

[7]