

Research Article

Cite this article: Thorburn D-MJ, Bal TMP, Deflem IS, Volckaert FAM, Eizaguirre C, Raeymaekers JAM (2022). Context-dependent parasite infection affects trophic niche in populations of sympatric stickleback species. *Parasitology* **149**, 1164–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182022000531>

Received: 17 November 2021
Revised: 8 April 2022
Accepted: 11 April 2022
First published online: 16 May 2022

Key words:

Character displacement; *Gasterosteus aculeatus*; niche specialization; parasite infection; *Pungitius pungitius*; stable isotope analysis

Author for correspondence:

Doko-Miles J. Thorburn,
E-mail: d.m.j.thorburn@qmul.ac.uk

Context-dependent parasite infection affects trophic niche in populations of sympatric stickleback species

Doko-Miles J. Thorburn^{1,2} , Thijs M. P. Bal³ , Io S. Deflem⁴,
Filip A. M. Volckaert⁴, Christophe Eizaguirre¹ and Joost A. M. Raeymaekers³

¹School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK; ²Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London, UK; ³Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, Nord University, Bodø, Norway and ⁴Laboratory of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Genomics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Abstract

How parasites alter host feeding ecology remains elusive in natural populations. A powerful approach to investigate the link between infection and feeding ecology is quantifying unique and shared responses to parasite infection in related host species within a common environment. Here, 9 pairs of sympatric populations of the three-spined and nine-spined stickleback fishes were sampled across a range of freshwater and brackish habitats to investigate how parasites alter host feeding ecology: (i) biotic and abiotic determinants of parasite community composition, and (ii) to what extent parasite infection correlates with trophic niche specialization of the 2 species, using stable isotope analyses ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$). It was determined that parasite community composition and host parasite load varied among sites and species and were correlated with dissolved oxygen. It was also observed that the digenean *Cyathocotyle* sp.'s abundance, a common directly infecting parasite with a complex life cycle, correlated with host $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in a fish species-specific manner. In 6 sites, correlations were found between parasite abundance and their hosts' feeding ecology. These effects were location-specific and occasionally host species or host size-specific. Overall, the results suggest a relationship between parasite infection and host trophic niche which may be an important and largely overlooked ecological factor. The population specificity and variation in parasite communities also suggest this effect is multifarious and context-dependent.

Introduction

Parasites are strong selective agents on their hosts, reducing their fitness as well as altering their behaviour, life-history traits and habitat use (Milinski, 1984; Miura *et al.*, 2006; Pagán *et al.*, 2008; Lefèvre *et al.*, 2009; Barber and Huntingford, 1995). Parasites are involved in approximately 75% of food web links (Lafferty *et al.*, 2006), and often exceed total biomass of top predators (Kuris *et al.*, 2008). Despite growing evidence that parasites have a strong impact on food web ecology (Lafferty *et al.*, 2006; Dobson *et al.*, 2009; Anaya-Rojas *et al.*, 2019), their role in altering an individual hosts' trophic niche specialization remains largely unknown (Araújo *et al.*, 2011; Pegg *et al.*, 2015a, 2017; Britton and Andreou, 2016; Lockley *et al.*, 2020). Such parasite-mediated effects on trophic niche specialization are unresolved because (i) the effects of parasites on altering host trophic niche may be system-specific, or (ii) parasites with different life-history strategies may alter trophic interactions in different ways. For example, trophically transmitted parasites often have complex life cycles and can manipulate host behaviour, therein modifying trophic interactions (Lafferty *et al.*, 2008; Hammerschmidt *et al.*, 2009). Specifically, through infection and regulation in all trophic levels, including top predators, parasites can induce or inhibit trophic cascades affecting overall ecosystem functioning (Brunner *et al.*, 2017; Anaya-Rojas *et al.*, 2019). It should be noted that hosts are not defenceless, but mounting an immunological response can be energetically costly, and therefore an appropriate feeding strategy is necessary to compensate the costs of infection (Lee *et al.*, 2006; Brunner *et al.*, 2014, 2017).

The theoretical effects of parasite infection on trophic niche specialization have been summarized into 2 main effects: constriction and divergence (Britton and Andreou, 2016). Trophic niche constriction occurs when a population subgroup specializes on a restricted breadth of food items that are also consumed by conspecific generalists. Indeed, parasite infection can result in the sub-population of infected hosts being nested within the overall host's population niche (Britton and Andreou, 2016; Pegg *et al.*, 2017; Villalobos *et al.*, 2019). For example, when comparing the trophic niche of the generalist common roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) and common bream (*Abramis brama*) infected by *Ergasilus briani*, infected individuals showed a smaller niche width that is nested within that of uninfected conspecifics (Pegg *et al.*, 2017). Conversely, the overall population niche might expand due to a sub-population of infected individuals shifting diet to food items not previously exploited by conspecifics, resulting in

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

trophic niche divergence (Britton and Andreou, 2016). Competitive exclusion of infected individuals would manifest as an overall population niche expansion. For instance, common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) infected with the non-native Asian tapeworm (*Bothriocephalus acheilognathi*) can become competitively disadvantaged upon infection, and alter their diet compared to uninfected conspecifics (Britton *et al.*, 2011; Pegg *et al.*, 2015a).

Identifying whether parasite-induced niche constriction or divergence are a function of environmental conditions represents a logical next step in uncovering the causal mechanisms that underlie the relationship between parasitism and host trophic ecology. Indeed, abiotic environmental conditions such as variation in physico-chemistry affect important aspects of the ecology of host–parasite interactions, including host community structure, and parasite abundance and virulence (Blanar *et al.*, 2009; Karvonen *et al.*, 2013; Mahmud *et al.*, 2017; Deflem *et al.*, 2021). For example, reductions in dissolved oxygen (DO) can induce hypoxic stress in hosts which can result in ineffective immune responses, and is associated with increased parasite diversity and infection load in fish (Lacerda *et al.*, 2018; Ojwala *et al.*, 2018; Abdel-Tawwab *et al.*, 2019). Conversely, acidification of aquatic environments can deplete intermediate host populations as in the case in many trematode parasites and their snail hosts, and is associated with reductions in parasite richness and virulence (Lafferty and Kuris, 1999; Young and MacColl, 2017).

To disentangle the relative contributions of host-related and environmental drivers of parasite-mediated shifts in host trophic niche, studies can focus on natural systems with environmental gradients and multiple related species persisting in a common landscape. In general, if genetically and ecologically similar sympatric species respond in a similar manner, the response is likely constrained by niche availability in the environment. However, if species living in sympatry respond differently to a shared environment, the responses are likely linked to mechanisms unique to a species (Raeymaekers *et al.*, 2017; Bal *et al.*, 2021).

The three-spined (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* Linnaeus, 1758; Gasterosteidae) and nine-spined (*Pungitius pungitius* Linnaeus, 1758; Gasterosteidae) sticklebacks are 2 small teleost fishes, which diverged around 26 million years ago (Varadharajan *et al.*, 2019). Often found living in sympatry, both species demonstrate examples of overlapping dietary preference and habitat use, similar population genetic structure and parallel phenotypic divergence (Copp and Kováč, 2003; Raeymaekers *et al.*, 2017; Bal *et al.*, 2021). Their macroparasites are well documented, with several parasites able to infect both species, and some that are host-specific (Kalbe *et al.*, 2002; Zander, 2007; Henrich *et al.*, 2013). Responses to infection in both species use a similar set of immune genes (Lenz *et al.*, 2013). The shared ecological context among the species provides a good opportunity to investigate the relative roles of host species, their environment and parasite infection on trophic niche ecology.

Using natural coexisting populations of *G. aculeatus* and *P. pungitius* across a range of habitats in Belgium and the Netherlands, we investigated the role of parasites in altering the trophic niche of host species, including (i) quantifying parasite diversity and abundance among host populations and their relationship with abiotic environmental variables, (ii) using stable isotope analysis (SIA; $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) to assess the size of host trophic niche and investigate its relationship with parasite infection and (iii) determine whether these effects are consistent among species and sampling site, or more context-dependent. These aims test our hypotheses that infected individuals will have constricted or divergent trophic niches compared with uninfected conspecifics, and whether constriction or divergence will be linked to additional environmental variables.




Fig. 1. Map of study area. A total of 9 sites were sampled across a salinity gradient in Belgium and the Netherlands. Sites in green are considered brackish (conductivity $\geq 1000 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), and sites highlighted in red are freshwater. Map was produced using QGIS (QGIS.org, 2021).

Materials and methods

Sample and data collection

The coastal lowlands of the Netherlands and Belgium contain both freshwater and brackish habitats. These habitats are often dominated by 2 coexisting and phylogenetically related fish species: the 3-spined (*G. aculeatus*) and the 9-spined (*P. pungitius*) sticklebacks. Five freshwater and 4 brackish sites were sampled in March–April 2018 using dipnets (Fig. 1). Sites were selected to represent a range of salinity and were only sampled if the target species were identified living in sympatry. Sampling started with recording conductivity ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), pH, temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), DO (mg L^{-1}), turbidity and average water depth of the site. Fish densities were then recorded across a 100 m transect using a standardized approach of dipnetting once per meter. A total of 30 individuals per species were taken from the Belgian sites, and 25 individuals per species from the Dutch sites. In the laboratory, fish from each site and species were kept separately for up to 48 h in aerated aquaria. Lighting was controlled under a 12:12 day: night regime. The fish were euthanized with a lethal dose of tricaine methanesulphonate (MS-222) and weight ($\text{g} \pm 0.001$), length ($\text{cm} \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}$) and sex were recorded. A detailed evaluation of parasite infection was conducted for each individual, detecting the presence of parasites using a protocol developed for sticklebacks (Kalbe *et al.*, 2002). First, ectoparasites were identified scanning the entire body using a stereomicroscope. Then, endoparasites were identified using a microscope and high-pressure compressorium containing the liver, gut, intestines, swim bladder, body and head, kidneys, gill arches and right eye. Finally, a muscle sample without skin was removed from the right flank of each individual, and frozen for SIA. The muscle was chosen due to the lower stable isotope turnover rate when compared with other tissues such as the liver (Guelinckx *et al.*, 2008).

Stable isotope analysis

The energy flow among trophic links can be estimated through the use of stable isotopes. In particular, nitrogen stable isotopes ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) are typically enriched by 3–4‰ between consumers and their prey (Post, 2002). Carbon stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) on the other hand inform on the initial source of carbon (i.e. littoral vs

pelagic), enabling inferences on the feeding ecology of organisms (Post, 2002). Hence, with SIA it is possible to determine the trophic niche of individuals within and among populations and explore the link between trophic niche and parasite infection. Specifically, the study of stable isotopes and parasite infection in populations of sympatric host species can reveal whether shared parasite infections consistently alter a host's trophic niche. Here, muscle samples were dried at 60°C for 48 h, ground into a fine powder using a ball mill (Retsch UK Ltd., Hope, UK), and weighed into tin capsules (~0.8 mg; Elemental Microanalysis Ltd., Oakhampton, UK) using an ultra-microbalance (± 0.001 mg; Sartorius Lab Instruments, Göttingen, Germany). Isotope ratios were analysed using continuous flow isotope mass spectrometry (Sercon, Crewe, UK). Isotope ratios are expressed in per mil (‰) relative to known reference materials for both carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$). The C:N ratio is a proxy of body composition with respect to lipids, and in the data it indicates an overall low lipid content, negating the need to apply lipid correction protocols (Post *et al.*, 2007).

Parasite infection and the environment

Environmental conditions affect the distribution of parasites. Hence, the variation in parasite abundance among host populations and the relationship between parasite community composition and abiotic environmental variables was investigated. To conduct the analyses effectively, 2 datasets were created. The first dataset used square-root-transformed aggregated mean parasite abundance for each site and species (hereafter aggregated dataset), and the second was kept as an individual-based dataset which permitted site-specific inferences. The aggregated dataset was created to avoid pseudo-replication when comparing parasite community data to environmental variables, upon which a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) was performed based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarities. Then a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on the same Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix was performed to assess the effect of site, host species and the interaction between host species and site using the *adonis* function in the R package *vegan* v.2.5.5. A *post hoc* test was run using the *pairwise.adonis* function (*pairwiseAdonis* package v.0.4) to identify all significant pairwise combinations (Martinez Arbizu, 2020). Next, a similarity percentages test based on permutations (SIMPER) was used to identify which parasites contributed most to the differences between species and site. Finally, differences were correlated among environmental conditions (i.e. DO, pH, temperature, conductivity and total stickleback density), and the parasite community that used the PCoA axes' scores as response variables in linear models.

Linking parasite infection and trophic niche

An index of parasite load, the Individual Parasite Index (IPI; Kalbe *et al.*, 2002), was calculated for each individual fish comparing intra-individual parasite abundance to abundances. Two datasets were created. First, all samples were pooled and IPI was calculated across all sites (IPI_{All}), which enables comparison of infection load across fish from all sites. However, to detect patterns of trophic niche specialization across the entire dataset, the impact of parasite infections needs to be consistent and strong. Differences in parasite community, coevolutionary histories and environmental heterogeneity all decrease the likelihood of detecting such patterns in natural systems. Hence, the second dataset was created by calculating IPI within each site separately (IPI_{Site}), permitting the investigation of site-specific patterns of parasite infection that would be obscured using the whole dataset.

This is needed as IPI considers variation in parasite count in the total sample.

To link parasite infection and trophic niche, the stable isotopes $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of both species were used to investigate the hypothesis that parasite infection affects host trophic niche specialization. Firstly, collinearity was removed from IPI_{All} and fish length by taking the residuals of a linear model between those 2 factors. Models were then created using $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ as the response variable, an interaction between IPI_{All}, stickleback species and fish length as the fixed factor, and sampling site as a random factor. To permit comparisons among fish sizes, we grouped fish by species and size evenly into 3 groups based on length for each site. The same model was created but changing the response variable to $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. Stepwise model selections were performed based on the AIC criterion using the *step* function in the *lmerTest* package v.3.1 (Kuznetsova *et al.*, 2017). In addition, previous work has shown that patterns of parasite-mediated trophic niche specialization can be achieved by a single parasite species (Pegg *et al.*, 2015a, 2017). To investigate whether a single parasite putatively induced trophic niche specialization here, IPI_{All} was swapped for the square-root-transformed abundance of the 3 most important parasites as indicated by the SIMPER analysis (*Gyrodactylus* sp., *Neochinorhynchus* sp. and *Cyathocotyle* sp.), and Glochidia in L05 due to its extreme abundance only in this population. A minimum of 10 individual parasites from each of the 3 most common species were required to run the model.

Analyses for each site were repeated using the same model, only swapping IPI_{All} for each of the following terms individually: IPI_{Site}, and the square-root-transformed abundances of *Gyrodactylus* sp., *Neochinorhynchus* sp., *Cyathocotyle* sp. and Glochidia in L05. Linear mixed-effects models were obtained with the R package *lme4* v.1.1 (Bates *et al.*, 2015), and *P* values were calculated using Satterthwaite's type II degree of freedom method from the *lmerTest* package. As each parasite load or abundance fixed factor addressed a discrete hypothesis, we corrected *P* values for multiple testing for each hypothesis. For instance, does $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ differ among *Cyathocotyle* sp. infections groups? As a result, each hypothesis had a maximum of 18 linear models, and *P* values were corrected for multiple testing accordingly using false discovery rate (FDR). However, for Glochidia, only 1 site (L05) had extreme abundances, so *P* values were not corrected when investigating these patterns.

To test whether parasite infection can specifically mediate host trophic niche constriction or divergence, for each site, fish were grouped by species, and then by parasite infection categories (low, medium and high). The infection categories were created by grouping individuals from each species evenly into 3 groups based upon IPI_{Site}. The groupings were repeated for each of the 3 most important parasite species indicated by the SIMPER analysis and Glochidia in site L05 due to their extreme abundance only in this site. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to determine whether the low and high infection group distributions differed among the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ axes within each site. Where appropriate, *P* values were corrected for multiple testing using FDR. Given the role of stickleback species denoted by previous analyses, this analysis was repeated for all sites for each host species separately. All analyses were conducted in R v4.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2019).

Results

Parasite infection and the environment

In total, 19 species of parasites were identified, with *Gyrodactylus* sp. and *Cyathocotyle* sp. species being identified in every site (Supplementary Table S1). Notably, *Proteocephalus* sp., *Neochinorhynchus* sp., *Diplostomum* sp. and *Anguillicoloides*

Table 1. Summary of parasite diversity and load by site

Site	Species	Parasite diversity	Mean individual parasite diversity \pm s.e.		Mean individual parasite index \pm s.e.	
L01	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	8	2.160	± 0.149	2.548	± 0.654
L01	<i>P. pungitius</i>	8	2.120	± 0.176	1.938	± 0.297
L02	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	5	1.240	± 0.132	0.994	± 0.443
L02	<i>P. pungitius</i>	7	1.500	± 0.186	2.703	± 0.806
L03	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	6	1.800	± 0.152	1.363	± 0.253
L03	<i>P. pungitius</i>	7	1.708	± 0.185	1.310	± 0.275
L05	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	11	3.613	± 0.248	6.990	± 0.769
L05	<i>P. pungitius</i>	9	2.867	± 0.207	5.866	± 0.524
L07	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	9	2.517	± 0.189	2.016	± 0.260
L07	<i>P. pungitius</i>	6	1.724	± 0.148	0.924	± 0.123
L09	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	11	2.069	± 0.191	3.470	± 0.600
L09	<i>P. pungitius</i>	7	2.407	± 0.179	2.728	± 0.641
L10	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	8	1.815	± 0.192	3.611	± 0.678
L10	<i>P. pungitius</i>	8	0.963	± 0.164	1.719	± 0.497
L12	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	8	2.000	± 0.126	6.983	± 1.035
L12	<i>P. pungitius</i>	7	1.933	± 0.126	2.550	± 0.537
L14	<i>G. aculeatus</i>	10	3.724	± 0.209	8.636	± 1.005
L14	<i>P. pungitius</i>	8	2.533	± 0.164	2.750	± 0.404

crassus were all identified in at least 7 of 9 sites. *Raphidascaris acus*, *Schistocephalus solidus* and *Paradilepsis scolecina* were the rarest parasites in *G. aculeatus*, with the latter being found in 1 site only (Supplementary Table S1); whereas only *Schistocephalus pungitii* was restricted to *P. pungitius*. Parasite diversity significantly differed among species and site, and in general, *G. aculeatus* were infected with a more diverse assemblage of parasites [analysis of variance (ANOVA) parasite diversity, $F_{17,480} = 16.72$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1], with mean individual parasite diversity differing among species and site, ranging from 1.50 ± 0.19 (standard error; s.e.) for *P. pungitius* in site L02 to 3.61 ± 0.25 for *G. aculeatus* in site L05. Similarly, parasite load (IPI_{All}) differed among sites and species, with *G. aculeatus* generally having higher infection loads than *P. pungitius* (ANOVA, $F_{17,480} = 14.41$, $P < 0.001$).

Overall, parasite community composition significantly differed among sites and species (PERMANOVA, individual-based dataset, site:species, $F_{8,475} = 7.36$, $P < 0.001$; aggregated mean parasite abundance dataset, site, $F_{8,17} = 3.15$, $P < 0.001$, species, $F_{1,17} = 2.76$, $P = 0.040$; pairwise PERMANOVAs are reported in Supplementary Table S2; Fig. 2A). Notably, the parasite community composition among species and site, inferred from PCoA axes (PC1 and PC2), was linked to DO in both stickleback species (3S, $F_{1,7} = 12.03$, $P = 0.010$; 9S, $F_{1,7} = 15.07$, $P = 0.006$, Fig. 2B). However, when investigating whether parasite diversity or parasite load was correlated with DO, we found no significant correlations in either *G. aculeatus* (parasite diversity, $F_{1,7} = 1.22$, $P = 0.117$; IPI_{All}, $F_{1,7} = 2.60$, $P = 0.151$) or *P. pungitius* (parasite diversity, $F_{1,7} = 2.57$, $P = 0.153$; IPI_{All}, $F_{1,7} = 2.51$, $P = 0.157$).

Based on site by species comparisons in the individual-based dataset, SIMPER analyses revealed that the abundances of 3 parasites, *Gyrodactylus* sp., *Neochinorhynchus* sp. and *Cyathocotyle* sp., were significantly different in at least 25% of all comparisons (Supplementary Table S3). Next, using the aggregated dataset, *Diplostomum* sp. and *S. solidus* were significantly more abundant in *G. aculeatus* than in *P. pungitius* (Supplementary Table S3). Among the 2 stickleback species, both *Gyrodactylus* sp. and *Neochinorhynchus* sp. were generally more

abundant in *G. aculeatus*, whereas *Cyathocotyle* sp. was more abundant in *P. pungitius* (ANOVA; *Gyrodactylus*; $F_{17,480} = 26.80$, $P < 0.001$; *Neochinorhynchus*; $F_{17,480} = 17.17$, $P < 0.001$; *Cyathocotyle*; $F_{17,480} = 5.84$, $P < 0.001$; Supplementary Table S1).

Linking parasite infection and trophic niche

Across all sites and after model simplification, the best predictor for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was stickleback species, whereby *P. pungitius* had higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ mean than *G. aculeatus* (LMER, random effect variance 4.05 ± 2.01 , residual variance 2.91 ± 1.70 , stickleback species, $F_{488} = 24.09$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 3A). The same stickleback species effect was detected when replacing IPI_{All} by the most common parasites, *Gyrodactylus* sp., *Neochinorhynchus* sp. and *Cyathocotyle* sp. Alternatively, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was positively correlated with an interaction between fish species and size, with the slope of *G. aculeatus* being steeper than that of *P. pungitius* (LMER, random effect variance 5.73 ± 2.39 , residual variance 1.24 ± 1.12 , species:length, $F_{487} = 8.48$, $P = 0.004$, Fig. 3B). The same minimum adequate model was reached when replacing IPI_{All} with *Gyrodactylus* sp. or *Neochinorhynchus* sp. abundances. However, in addition to the species:length term, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ increased with *Cyathocotyle* abundance in *G. aculeatus*, but decreased with this parasite in *P. pungitius* (LMER, random effect variance 5.58 ± 2.36 , residual variance 1.23 ± 1.11 , species:length, $F_{485} = 10.61$, $P = 0.001$, *Cyathocotyle*:species, $F_{485} = 6.90$, $P = 0.009$, Fig. 3B and C).

To reiterate, it was hypothesized that by mixing multiple distinct population together it would obscure any context-dependent patterns, which merited investigating sites individually. Using the site-specific parasite index (IPI_{Site}), in 6 of 9 sites stickleback species was the most common predictor of both $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (L01, L05, L07, L14) and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ variation (L07, L10, L12; all models reported in Supplementary Table S4), although fish length or a species by length interaction was also significant in some sites ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$; L05* and L14⁺; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$; L12*; * indicates a species by length interaction; ⁺ indicates length as an additive effect; Supplementary Table S4). Notably, in 2 sites $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ increases with either IPI_{Site} or the




Fig. 2. Linking parasite community composition and the environment. (A) PCoA of mean aggregated parasite communities for each sampling site and species based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Figures (B-C) represent the relationships between parasite community composition (PC2) and the DO for each host (B) *G. aculeatus*, and (C) *P. pungitius*. Colours denote sampling site. Squares represent *G. aculeatus*, triangles represent *P. pungitius*. Grey shaded area represents the 95% confidence intervals.




Fig. 3. Relationship of (A) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and (B-C) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and their significant predictors across all sites. (A) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ by site residuals were plotted to remove the effect of site. (B-C) The solid lines represent the significant relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, stickleback species and (B) fish length or (C) *Cyathocotyle* sp. abundance. The colours represent the same species in all panels, squares represent *G. aculeatus* and triangles *P. pungitius*. Grey shaded area represents the 95% confidence intervals.

abundance of Glochidia (LM; L03, IPI_{Site} , $F_{2,46} = 4.95$, $\text{FDR} = 0.025$; L05, Glochidia, $F_{1,56} = 6.18$, $P = 0.016$; Supplementary Table S4; Fig. 4A and B). When testing $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ variation against *Cyathocotyle* sp. abundance, a host species and parasite abundance interaction was observed in 1 site, with the correlation for *P. pungitius* being positive and the correlation for *G. aculeatus* being negative (L07, $F_{1,54} = 8.47$, $\text{FDR} < 0.001$; Fig. 4C). Furthermore, stable isotope variation was explained by the interaction between parasite load (IPI_{Site}) and fish length in 3 sites. Firstly, in site L02 the correlation between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and IPI_{Site} was positive for large fish and negative for small fish (L02, $F_{1,45} = 4.23$, $\text{FDR} = 0.009$; Fig. 4D). Secondly, in site L14 the correlation between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and *Gyrodactylus* sp. was negative for large fish and positive for small fish (L14, $F_{4,54} = 7.55$, $\text{FDR} = 0.001$; Fig. 4E). Thirdly, in site L10 the correlation between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and *Cyathocotyle* sp. abundance was positive for large fish and negative for small fish ($F_{4,49} = 5.40$, $\text{FDR} = 0.004$, Fig. 4F).

Trophic niche constriction and divergence

There were no significant differences in trophic niche distributions when comparing low and high infection groups in each site (Supplementary Table S5, Fig. S1). However, when scrutinizing sites where specific parasites were linked to trophic niche (namely, $\text{L05}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}}$ -Glochidia, $\text{L07}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}}$ -*Cyathocotyle* sp., $\text{L10}_{\delta^{13}\text{C}}$ -*Cyathocotyle* sp. and $\text{L14}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}}$ -*Gyrodactylus* sp.), it was found that fish in site L05 with high infection of Glochidia had a significantly reduced $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ breadth compared to fish with low infection (2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $D = 0.5383$, $P = 0.003$; Fig. 5). All other trophic niche distributions were not significantly different (Supplementary Table S5). Yet, when considering trophic niche use as a character, evidence of character displacement among the stickleback species was observed in trophic niche axes in 6 of 9 sites (Supplementary Table S5). Specifically, constricted trophic niches with *G. aculeatus* having




Fig. 4. Site-specific parasite infection relationship with (A–E) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and (F) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. Each plot shows the significant parasite infection term in the linear mixed models (cf. Results and Supplementary Table S4). Figures (A–F) correspond to sampling sites L03, L05, L07, L02, L14 and L10, respectively. Circles are used when the depicted effect is across both species (A–B, D–F). When the species effect was significant, red squares were used for *G. aculeatus* and blue triangles for *P. pungitius* (D). When appropriate, to better visualize the parasite infection by host length interaction (D–F), hosts were grouped into 3 approximately equal categories: small (purple), medium (dark blue) and large (green). Where necessary (B, C, E), parasite abundances were corrected for collinearity (cf. Methods). Grey shaded area represents the 95% confidence intervals.

the broader niche were observed in 2 sites (2-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test; L02, $D_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.408$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.045$; L07, $D_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.483$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.007$, $D_{\delta^{13}\text{C}} = 0.690$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{13}\text{C}} < 0.001$; Supplementary Fig. S2) and *P. pungitius* with the broader niche also in 2 sites (L05, $D_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.434$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.012$; L07, $D_{\delta^{13}\text{C}} = 0.469$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{13}\text{C}} = 0.007$; L09, $D_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.425$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.019$; Supplementary Fig. S2). Finally, partial trophic niche divergence was observed in 2 sites (L10, $D_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.481$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.0100$, $D_{\delta^{13}\text{C}} = 0.630$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{13}\text{C}} < 0.001$; L14, $D_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.464$, $\text{FDR}_{\delta^{15}\text{N}} = 0.007$; Supplementary Fig. S2).

Discussion

Focusing on 2 coexisting and phylogenetically related species and their parasites, an environmental determinant of parasite infection was identified and to what extent parasites affect trophic niche specialization was tested. Firstly, parasite community composition was correlated with DO. Secondly, parasite load and the abundance of

specific parasite species were regularly negatively correlated with hosts' stable isotope values ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ or $\delta^{13}\text{C}$). These patterns were often site-specific, highlighting the context-dependent nature of parasite-mediated selection on niche use. Of the predicted patterns of parasite-mediated trophic niche specialization (Britton and Andreou, 2016), one case of trophic niche constriction was observed, where the trophic niche of the highly infected fish was entirely nested within trophic niche of the lowly infected fish. Thirdly, both species differed in trophic niche use at the majority of the study sites, albeit not consistently or in the same direction. Together, the results suggest that both host species and parasite infection simultaneously affect niche specialization and the directions of these effects are context-dependent.

Parasite community composition and the environment

Many parasite species spend the vast majority of their lifetime, and for some species their entire lifetime, inside their hosts.




Fig. 5. Differences in trophic niche among Glochidia infection groups in sampling site L05. Fish were separated into approximately equal groups based on Glochidia abundance. Density of each infection group is plotted along the side of each axis. Squares represent *G. aculeatus* and triangles *P. pungitius*.

Therefore, whether environmental conditions directly affect parasite abundance and diversity is still debated (e.g. Sweeny *et al.*, 2021). Here, a correlation between parasite community composition and DO was observed in both species. Such correlations can independently or jointly shape host–parasite interactions (Johnson *et al.*, 2007; Brunner *et al.*, 2017; Ojwala *et al.*, 2018). Indeed, variation in assemblages of parasites has been shown to correlate with DO in other aquatic systems (Lacerda *et al.*, 2018; Ojwala *et al.*, 2018; Abdel-Tawwab *et al.*, 2019). However, when investigating whether specific measures of parasite community composition – namely parasite diversity and load – were also correlated with DO (and the other environmental variables: pH, temperature, conductivity and total stickleback density) we found no significant correlations. Such a result highlights the complex nature of the interaction between parasite communities and their environments over a spatial scale.

Linking parasite infection and trophic niche

The effects of parasite infection on host physiology and behaviour are well documented (Barber and Dingemans, 2010; Abdel-Tawwab *et al.*, 2019). However, relatively little is known about the role of parasite infection on host trophic niche in nature (Britton and Andreou, 2016; Brunner *et al.*, 2017). There are a few empirical examples of an association between parasite infection and host trophic niche constriction and divergence (Pegg *et al.*, 2015a, 2017; Villalobos *et al.*, 2019), but whether environmental conditions, such as abiotic factors, interspecific competition or host density, affect these patterns remain elusive. Across the entire dataset, a correlation between *Cyathocotyle* sp. abundance and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was observed, with a host-specific direction of this correlation being observed. *Cyathocotyle* sp. is a trematode parasite that uses snails as intermediate hosts before actively infecting fish hosts, including both stickleback species (Lenz *et al.*, 2013; Kvach *et al.*, 2016). Trematode parasites are known

to affect host locomotion and trigger host immune responses (Khan *et al.*, 2003; Goodman and Johnson, 2011), including in fish hosts (Barber *et al.*, 2000; Binning *et al.*, 2017). Such effects are consistent with species-specific shifts in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, which suggest increasing *Cyathocotyle* sp. infection load is associated with change in host diet and may be a proxy or driver of displacement in niche use among host species. While both species share a similar landscape, the different responses to *Cyathocotyle* sp. infections likely stem from species-specific immune response and may be an example of parasite-mediated trophic niche divergence.

Individual trophic niche specialization within an ecosystem can be associated with differences in adaptive and behavioural traits such as body shape, body size, gape size, gill-raker morphology and habitat utilization, differentially exposing hosts to parasites (Cucherousset *et al.*, 2011; Svanbäck and Schluter, 2012; Pegg *et al.*, 2015b; Britton and Andreou, 2016; Barry *et al.*, 2017). Recently, parasites have also been speculated as a driver of trophic niche specialization (Pegg *et al.*, 2015a, 2015b, 2017; Britton and Andreou, 2016). Here, parasite load – specifically the abundances of *Gyrodactylus* sp., *Cyathocotyle* sp. or Glochidia – was each associated with alterations of host $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ or $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. Parasite infections can lead to significant phenotypic changes in their hosts (Miura *et al.*, 2006; Britton and Andreou, 2016; Anaya-Rojas *et al.*, 2019). Theory also predicts infected individuals to have different optimal diets due to differences in phenotypic capacity to detect, capture and digest the available prey (Araújo *et al.*, 2011). Hence, the occasionally host size- or host species-dependent nature of the observations may be a consequence of the variation in parasite assemblages and the resultant parasite induced phenotypes within and among sites. Additionally, it should be noted that previous studies identifying consistent patterns of parasite-mediated trophic niche specialization targeted non-native parasites on native fishes (Pegg *et al.*, 2015a, 2017). In the present study, the effects of both native and non-native parasites were included, where the ecological impact of each may not be in the same magnitude or direction.

Competition within and among species in an environment is another driver of individual niche specialization (Araújo *et al.*, 2011; Evangelista *et al.*, 2014; Newsome *et al.*, 2015). Here, host species was the strongest and most consistent determinant of trophic niche. When considering trophic niche use as a character, these results are suggestive of interspecific competition and character displacement (Schluter and McPhail, 1992; Gray and Robinson, 2002). Given the strength of species interaction, it is difficult to evaluate the role of parasite infection. As parasites contribute to host local adaptation and even to speciation (Eizaguirre *et al.*, 2009; Brunner and Eizaguirre, 2016), it seems likely they also influence these evolutionary mechanisms through processes like parasite spillover and parasite-mediated phenotypic modifications (Britton and Andreou, 2016). Finally, some of the patterns observed may also be summarized by differences in adaptive potential among host species, which is underpinned by differences in demographic histories (Raeymaekers *et al.*, 2017; Bal *et al.*, 2021). Specifically, *G. aculeatus* tends to rapidly adapt to changing local environmental conditions while *P. pungitius* instead has the capacity to tolerate a wide range of conditions (Raeymaekers *et al.*, 2017; Bal *et al.*, 2021).

Trophic niche constriction and divergence

After capturing the role of the environment and host species on parasite community and host trophic niche, the main hypotheses of this study can be tested: can parasites drive trophic niche specialization in a consistent and measurable manner (Britton and Andreou, 2016)? Here, the majority of the results showed context-dependent patterns of parasite-mediated shifts in trophic niche, if

detectable at all. Notably, in 1 site (L05), parasite-mediated trophic niche constriction was observed, whereby the trophic niche of highly *Cyathocotyle* sp. infected fish was nested in that of lowly infected fish. A previous study showed similar patterns of trophic niche constriction suggesting it is likely due to infected conspecifics compensating the costs of infection by consuming specific food items already within the population's dietary breadth (Pegg *et al.*, 2017). Another factor to consider is differences in dietary preferences among life stages have also been shown to differentially expose hosts to parasites (Barry *et al.*, 2017). However, given the field nature of the study, we are unable to identify whether the parasitized phenotype is the result of infection or whether existing dietary preferences differently exposed subpopulations to parasites (e.g. Cucherousset *et al.*, 2011; Pegg *et al.*, 2015b; Barry *et al.*, 2017). Regardless, one explanation for this observation is that parasite-mediated trophic niche constriction is driven by host diet compensation, and matches the only other known study to identify such a pattern (Pegg *et al.*, 2017). Overall, such findings highlight the need for further investigations into the causal mechanisms underlying this ecological phenomenon.

Conclusions

Overall, research on the determinants of trophic niche specialization has primarily identified factors such as competition, predation and resource availability as leading causes (Araújo *et al.*, 2011). Whilst the results principally contribute to evidence supporting the impact of interspecific competition, the role of parasitism in trophic niche specialization remains poorly resolved (Britton and Andreou, 2016). Hence, studies observing an instance of trophic niche constriction, and multiple observations of parasitism putatively affecting the trophic niche of hosts are important. Such results suggest that consequences of parasite infection are an important driver of niche specialization which have been largely overlooked.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182022000531>.

Acknowledgements. We thank Martin Kalbe, Bart Hellemans, Conny Coeckelberghs, Ria Van Houdt, Scott Dorssers and Ian Sanders for field support and technical assistance, and the Royal Netherlands Institute of Sea Research (NIOZ) for hosting us in the Netherlands. Finally, we thank Liam Dickson for helping with the map.

Author contributions. D.-M. J. T., T. M. P. B., C. E., F. V. and J. A. M. R. conceived the study. D.-M. J. T., T. M. P. B., C. E., J. A. M. R. and I. S. D. collected the data. D.-M. J. T. analysed the data. J. A. M. R. and C. E. advised the analyses. D.-M. J. T., J. A. M. R. and C. E. drafted the manuscript. All co-authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Financial support. D.-M. J. T. was supported by a studentship from Queen Mary University of London. C. E. was supported by research enabling fund from Queen Mary University of London. I. D. benefited from a scholarship of the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO-SBO, 1S48117N). Fieldwork was supported by a Young Research Talents grant by Nord University to J. A. M. R., and T. M. P. B. was supported by Nord University.

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical standards. Sampling was done in accordance with the European directive 2010/63/EU. Explicit permission for the Belgium and Dutch sites was granted by the Flemish Agency for Nature and Forests (*Agentschap voor Natuur en Bos*) and by Reptile, Amphibian & Fish Conservation Netherlands (RAVON; AVD261002016604), respectively. All individuals were euthanized following directions of the KU Leuven Animal Ethics Committee (<https://admin.kuleuven.be/raden/en/animal-ethics-committee>).

References

- Abdel-Tawwab M, Monier MN, Hoseinifar SH and Faggio C (2019) Fish response to hypoxia stress: growth, physiological, and immunological biomarkers. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **45**, 997–1013.
- Anaya-Rojas JM, Best RJ, Brunner FS, Eizaguirre C, Leal MC, Melián CJ, Seehausen O and Matthews B (2019) An experimental test of how parasites of predators can influence trophic cascades and ecosystem functioning. *Ecology* **100**, 1–12.
- Araújo MS, Bolnick DI and Layman CA (2011) The ecological causes of individual specialisation. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 948–958.
- Bal TMP, Llanos-garrido A, Chaturvedi A, Verdonck I, Hellemans B and Raeymaekers JAM (2021) Adaptive divergence under gene flow along an environmental gradient in two coexisting stickleback species. *Genes* **12**, 1–15.
- Barber I and Dingemans NJ (2010) Parasitism and the evolutionary ecology of animal personality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **365**, 4077–4088.
- Barber I and Huntingford FA (1995) The Effect of *Schistocephalus solidus* (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea) on the Foraging and Shoaling Behaviour of Three-Spined Sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Behaviour*, 1223–1240. doi: 10.1163/ej.9789004170292.i-540.37
- Barber I, Hoare D and Krause J (2000) Effects of parasites on fish behaviour: A review and evolutionary perspective. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **10**, 131–165. doi: 10.1023/A:1016658224470
- Barry J, Newton M, Dodd JA, Evans D, Newton J and Adams CE (2017) The effect of foraging and ontogeny on the prevalence and intensity of the invasive parasite *Anguillicola crassus* in the European eel *Anguilla anguilla*. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **40**, 1213–1222.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM and Walker SC (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1–48.
- Binning SA, Shaw AK and Roche DG (2017) Parasites and host performance: Incorporating infection into our understanding of animal movement. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **57**, 267–280. doi: 10.1093/icb/ix024
- Blanar CA, Munkittrick KR, Houlihan J, MacLachly DL and Marcogliese DJ (2009) Pollution and parasitism in aquatic animals: a meta-analysis of effect size. *Aquatic Toxicology* **93**, 18–28.
- Britton JR and Andreou D (2016) Parasitism as a driver of trophic niche specialisation. *Trends in Parasitology* **32**, 437–445.
- Britton JR, Pegg J and Williams CF (2011) Pathological and ecological host consequences of infection by an introduced fish parasite. *PLoS ONE* **6**, 1–8.
- Brunner FS and Eizaguirre C (2016) Can environmental change affect host/parasite-mediated speciation? *Zoology* **119**, 384–394.
- Brunner FS, Schmid-Hempel P and Barribeau SM (2014) Protein-poor diet reduces host-specific immune gene expression in *Bombus terrestris*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **281**, 1–10.
- Brunner FS, Anaya-Rojas JM, Matthews B and Eizaguirre C (2017) Experimental evidence that parasites drive eco-evolutionary feedbacks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **114**, 3678–3683.
- Copp GH and Kováč V (2003) Sympatry between threespine *Gasterosteus aculeatus* and ninespine *Pungitius pungitius* English lowland streams. **40**, 341–355.
- Cucherousset J, Acou A, Blanchet S, Britton JR, Beaumont WRC and Gozlan RE (2011) Fitness consequences of individual specialisation in resource use and trophic morphology in European eels. *Oecologia* **167**, 75–84.
- Deflem IS, Bennetsen E, Opedal ØH, Calboli FCF, Ovaskainen O, Van Thuyne G, Volckaert FAM and Raeymaekers JAM (2021) Predicting fish community responses to environmental policy targets. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **30**, 1457–1478. doi: 10.1007/s10531-021-02154-2
- Dobson A, Lafferty KD, Kuris AM, Hechinger RF and Jetz W (2009) Homage to Linnaeus: how many parasites? How many hosts? *In the Light of Evolution* **2**, 63–82.
- Eizaguirre C, Lenz TL, Traulsen A and Milinski M (2009) Speciation accelerated and stabilized by pleiotropic major histocompatibility complex immunogenes. *Ecology Letters* **12**, 5–12. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01247.x
- Evangelista C, Boiche A, Lecerf A and Cucherousset J (2014) Ecological opportunities and intraspecific competition alter trophic niche specialization in an opportunistic stream predator. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **83**, 1025–1034.

- Goodman BA and Johnson PTJ (2011) Disease and the extended phenotype: Parasites control host performance and survival through induced changes in body plan. *PLoS ONE* **6**, 1–10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020193
- Gray SM and Robinson BW (2002) Experimental evidence that competition between stickleback species favours adaptive character divergence. *Ecology Letters* **5**, 264–272.
- Guelinckx J, Maes J, Geysen B and Ollevier F (2008) Estuarine recruitment of a marine goby reconstructed with an isotopic clock. *Oecologia* **157**, 41–52.
- Hammerschmidt K, Koch K, Milinski M, Chubb JC and Parker GA (2009) When to go: optimization of host switching in parasites with complex life cycles. *Evolution* **63**, 1976–1986.
- Henrich T, Benesh DP and Kalbe M (2013) Hybridization between two cestode species and its consequences for intermediate host range. *Parasites and Vectors* **6**, 1–9.
- Johnson PTJ, Chase JM, Dosch KL, Hartson RB, Gross JA, Larson DJ, Sutherland DR and Carpenter SR (2007) Aquatic eutrophication promotes pathogenic infection in amphibians. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **104**, 15781–15786.
- Kalbe M, Wegner KM and Reusch TBH (2002) Dispersion patterns of parasites in 0+ year three-spined sticklebacks: a cross population comparison. *Journal of Fish Biology* **60**, 1529–1542.
- Karvonen A, Kristjánsson BK, Skúlason S, Lanki M, Rellstab C and Jokela J (2013) Water temperature, not fish morph, determines parasite infections of sympatric Icelandic threespine sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 1507–1517.
- Khan RN, Spiers JA and Pung OJ (2003) Effects of the trematode *Rhopallium turgidus* on locomotion and prey capture in the grass shrimp *Palaemonetes pugio*. *Journal of Helminthology* **77**, 327–330. doi: 10.1079/joh2003192
- Kuris AM, Hechinger RF, Shaw JC, Whitney KL, Aguirre-Macedo L, Boch CA, Dobson AP, Dunham EJ, Fredensborg BL, Huspeni TC, Lorda J, Mababa L, Mancini FT, Mora AB, Pickering M, Talhouk NL, Torchin ME and Lafferty KD (2008) Ecosystem energetic implications of parasite and free-living biomass in three estuaries. *Nature* **454**, 515–518.
- Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB and Christensen RHB (2017) lmerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. *Journal of Statistical Software* **82**, 1–26.
- Kvach Y, Ondračková M and Jurajda P (2016) First report of metacercariae of *Cyathocotyle prussica* parasitising a fish host in the Czech Republic, Central Europe. *Helminthologia* **53**, 257–261.
- Lacerda ACF, Roumbedakis K, Bereta Junior JGS, Nuñez APO, Petrucio MM and Martins ML (2018) Fish parasites as indicators of organic pollution in southern Brazil. *Journal of Helminthology* **92**, 322–331.
- Lafferty KD and Kuris AM (1999) How environmental stress affects the impacts of parasites. *Limnology and Oceanography* **44**, 925–931.
- Lafferty KD, Dobson AP and Kuris AM (2006) Parasites dominate food web links. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **103**, 11211–11216.
- Lafferty KD, Allesina S, Arim M, Briggs CJ, De Leo G, Dobson AP, Dunne JA, Johnson PTJ, Kuris AM, Marcogliese DJ, Martinez ND, Memmott J, Marquet PA, McLaughlin JP, Mordecai EA, Pascual M, Poulin R and Thieltges DW (2008) Parasites in food webs: the ultimate missing links. *Ecology Letters* **11**, 533–546.
- Lee KP, Cory JS, Wilson K, Raubenheimer D and Simpson SJ (2006) Flexible diet choice offsets protein costs of pathogen resistance in a caterpillar. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**, 823–829.
- Lefèvre T, Lebarbençon C, Gauthier-Clerc M, Missé D, Poulin R and Thomas F (2009) The ecological significance of manipulative parasites. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **24**, 41–48.
- Lenz TL, Eizaguirre C, Kalbe M and Milinski M (2013) Evaluating patterns of convergent evolution and trans-species polymorphism at mhc immunogenes in two sympatric stickleback species. *Evolution* **67**, 2400–2412.
- Lockley EC, Fouda L, Correia SM, Taxonera A, Nash LN, Fairweather K, Reichsig T, Durão J, Dinis H, Roque SM, Lomba JP, Passos L, Cameron SJK, Stiebens VA and Eizaguirre C (2020) Long-term survey of sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) reveals correlations between parasite infection, feeding ecology, reproductive success and population dynamics. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1–11.
- Mahmud MA, Bradley JE and MacColl ADC (2017) Abiotic environmental variation drives virulence evolution in a fish host–parasite geographic mosaic. *Functional Ecology* **31**, 2138–2146.
- Martínez Arbizu P (2020) pairwiseAdonis: pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis. R package version 0.4.
- Milinski M (1984) Parasites determine a predator's optimal feeding strategy. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **15**, 35–37.
- Miura O, Kuris AM, Torchin ME, Hechinger RF and Chiba S (2006) Parasites alter host phenotype and may create a new ecological niche for snail hosts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**, 1323–1328.
- Newsome SD, Tinker MT, Gill VA, Hoyt ZN, Doroff A, Nichol L and Bodkin JL (2015) The interaction of intraspecific competition and habitat on individual diet specialization: a near range-wide examination of sea otters. *Oecologia* **178**, 45–59.
- Ojwala RA, Otachi EO and Kitaka NK (2018) Effect of water quality on the parasite assemblages infecting Nile tilapia in selected fish farms in Nakuru County, Kenya. *Parasitology Research* **117**, 3459–3471.
- Pagán I, Alonso-Blanco C and García-Arenal F (2008) Host responses in life-history traits and tolerance to virus infection in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Pathogens* **4**, 1–10.
- Pegg J, Andreou D, Williams CF and Britton JR (2015a) Temporal changes in growth, condition and trophic niche in juvenile *Cyprinus carpio* infected with a non-native parasite. *Parasitology* **142**, 1579–1587.
- Pegg J, Andreou D, Williams CF and Britton JR (2015b) Head morphology and piscivory of European eels, *Anguilla anguilla*, predict their probability of infection by the invasive parasitic nematode *Anguillicoloides crassus*. *Freshwater Biology* **60**, 1977–1987.
- Pegg J, Andreou D, Williams CF and Britton JR (2017) Consistent patterns of trophic niche specialization in host populations infected with a non-native copepod parasite. *Parasitology* **144**, 945–953.
- Post DM (2002) Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology* **83**, 703–718.
- Post DM, Layman CA, Arrington DA, Takimoto G, Quattrochi J and Montaña CG (2007) Getting to the fat of the matter: models, methods and assumptions for dealing with lipids in stable isotope analyses. *Oecologia* **152**, 179–189.
- QGIS.org (2021). QGIS Geographic Information System. QGIS Association. <http://www.qgis.org>
- Raeymaekers JAM, Chaturvedi A, Hablützel PI, Verdonck I, Hellemans B, Maes GE, De Meester L and Volckaert FAM (2017) Adaptive and non-adaptive divergence in a common landscape. *Nature Communications* **8**, 1–8.
- R Development Core Team (2019) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at <http://www.R-project.org/>. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7.
- Schluter D and McPhail JD (1992) Ecological character displacement and speciation in sticklebacks. *American Naturalist* **140**, 85–108.
- Svanbäck R and Schluter D (2012) Niche specialization influences adaptive phenotypic plasticity in the threespine stickleback. *American Naturalist* **180**, 50–59.
- Sweeny AR, Albery GF, Venkatesan S, Fenton A and Pedersen AB (2021) Spatiotemporal variation in drivers of parasitism in a wild wood mouse population. *Functional Ecology* **35**, 1–11.
- Varadharajan S, Rastas P, Löytynoja A, Matschiner M, Calboli FCF, Guo B, Nederbragt AJ, Jakobsen KS and Merilä J (2019) A high-quality assembly of the nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) genome. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **11**, 3291–3308.
- Villalobos G, Nava-Bolaños A, De Fuentes-Vicente JA, Téllez-Rendón JL, Huerta H, Martínez-Hernández F, Rocha-Ortega M, Gutiérrez-Cabrera AE, Ibarra-Cerdeña CN and Córdoba-Aguilar A (2019) A reduction in ecological niche for *Trypanosoma cruzi*-infected triatomine bugs. *Parasites and Vectors* **12**, 1–9.
- Young RE and MacColl ADC (2017) Spatial and temporal variation in macro-parasite communities of three-spined stickleback. *Parasitology* **144**, 436–449.
- Zander CD (2007) Parasite diversity of sticklebacks from the Baltic Sea. *Parasitology Research* **100**, 287–297.