# REMARKS ON THE UNIQUENESS THEOREM OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DARBOUX PROBLEM 

James S. W. Wong<br>(received January 7, 1965)

Recently B. PaIczewski and W. Pawelski [1] have given some sufficient conditions of uniqueness for the solutions of the Darboux problem for equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{\partial} y}=f(x, y, u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The criteria given there for equations of the form (1) are natural generalizations of the criteria given by Krasnosielski and Krein [2] in the corresponding ordinary differential case. The purpose of the present note is to give a further generalization of the above result and two other uniqueness conditions for the solutions of the Darboux problem of the same form.

Let $D$ denote the rectangle, $0 \leq x \leq a, 0 \leq y \leq b(a, b>0)$ and let $f(x, y, u)$ be a function defined and continuous on the set $E=D \times\{-\infty<u<\infty\}$. Then every solution $u(x, y)$ of the Darboux problem of the form (1), satisfying the conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\mathrm{x}, 0)=\sigma(\mathrm{x}), \quad \mathrm{u}(0, \mathrm{y})=\tau(\mathrm{y}), \quad \sigma(0)=\tau(0)=u_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the following integral equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\sigma(x)+\tau(y)-u_{0}+\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f(s, t, u(s, t)) d s d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely. Here the functions $\sigma(x), \tau(y)$ may be taken as functions of the class $C^{\prime}$ defined respectively on $[0, a]$ and [ $0, b$ ]. (Note: Some regularity restrictions on $\sigma(x)$ and $T(y)$
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are necessary to ensure the existence of solutions to (1) under conditions (2). We are not concerned with these conditions here.)

THEOREM 1. If $f(x, y, u)$ is defined, continuous and bounded on $E$, and it satisfies in addition the following:

$$
\left|f\left(x, y, u_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{k}{x y}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|, k>0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(x, y, u_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{x^{\beta} y^{\beta}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|^{\alpha}, \quad C>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<\alpha<1, \beta<\alpha$, and $k(1-\alpha)^{2}<(1-\beta)^{2}$ for all $(x, y, u) \in E$, then there exists at most one solution $u(x, y)$ of the Darboux problem for equation (1) satisfying conditions (2).

Proof: Let $M=\sup |f(x, y, u)|$, and assume $u(x, y)$ and E
$\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ are two solutions to the Darboux problem satisfying condition (2). We obtain from (3) that

$$
|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq 2 M x y
$$

for all $(x, y) \in D$. From (4) it follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}|f(s, t, u(s, t))-f(s, t, v(s, t))| d s d t \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}(2 M)^{\alpha}(s t)^{\alpha-\beta} d s d t \\
& \quad \leq C(2 M)^{\alpha} \frac{(x y)^{(1-\beta)+\alpha}}{((1-\beta)+\alpha)^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq C(2 M)^{\alpha}(x y)^{(1-\beta)+\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and in general:
$|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq C^{1+\alpha+\ldots+\alpha^{m}}(2 M)^{\alpha^{m+1}}(x y)^{(1-\beta)\left(1+\alpha+\ldots+\alpha^{m}\right)+\alpha^{m+1}}$ for $\mathrm{m}=1,2,3, \ldots$ Therefore, we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq c^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(x y)^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $Q(x, y)=(x y)^{-\sqrt{k}}|u(x, y)-v(x, y)|$ for $x y>0$. Then, it follows from (5) that

$$
0 \leq Q(x, y)=Q(s) \leq C^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(x y) \frac{(1-\beta)-\sqrt{k}(1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha}
$$

Hence, we have $\lim Q(s)=0$, where $s \in D$ and $\mathrm{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{s}$ 。
$s_{o} \in \Gamma=\{s: s=(x, y) \in D$ and $x=0$ or $y=0\}$. Clearly $Q$ is continuous on $D$ if we define $Q\left(s_{o}\right)=0$ for $s_{0} \in \Gamma$. We wish to show that $Q(x, y) \equiv 0$ on $D$. Assume the contrary. Then there exists a point ( $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ ) such that:

$$
0<r=Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=\sup Q(x, y) .
$$

D

On the other hand, if we use (3) and (4), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r=Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) & \leq(\bar{x} \bar{y})^{-\sqrt{k}} \int_{0}^{\bar{x}} \int_{0}^{\bar{y}}|f(s, t, u(s, t))-f(s, t, v(s, t))| d s d t \\
& \leq(\bar{x} \bar{y})^{-\sqrt{k}} \int_{0}^{\bar{x}} \int_{0}^{\bar{y}} k(s t)^{\sqrt{k}-1} Q(s, t) d s d t \\
& <r(\bar{x} \bar{y})^{-\sqrt{k}} \int_{0}^{\bar{x}} \sqrt{k s} s^{\sqrt{k}-1} d s \int_{0}^{\bar{y}} \sqrt{k} t^{\sqrt{k}-1} d t \\
& =r .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired contradiction.

THEOREM 2. If $f(x, y, u)$ is defined and continuous on $E$, and it satisfies in addition the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
|f(x, y, u)| \leq A(x y)^{p} p>-1, \quad A>0  \tag{6}\\
\left|f\left(x, y, u_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{(x y)^{r}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|^{q} q \geq 1, \quad C>0,
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(1+p)-r=p \\
& \zeta=\frac{C(2 A)^{q-1}}{(p+1)^{q}}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(x, y, u) \in E$, then there exists at most one solution $u(x, y)$ of the Darboux problem for equation (1) satisfying conditions (2).

Proof: Let $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ be two solutions to the Darboux problem satisfying condition (2). We obtain from (3) and (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq \frac{2 A}{p+1}(x y)^{p+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, y) \in D . \quad U s i n g(6)$ and the estimate of (7), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| & \leq C\left(\frac{2 A}{p+1}\right)^{q} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}(s t)^{(p+1) q-r} d s d t \\
& =\zeta\left(\frac{2 A}{p+1}\right)(x y)^{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence successively,

$$
|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq 5^{1+q+\ldots+q^{m}}\left(\frac{2 A}{p+1}\right)(x y)^{p+1}
$$

for $m=1,2, \ldots$ Since $q \geq 1$, we conclude that $v(x, y)=u(x, y)$.
THEOREM 3. If $f(x, y, u)$ is defined and continuous on $E$, and it satisfies in addition the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(x, y, u_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{k}{x y}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k \leq 1$ for all $(x, y, u) \in E$, then there exists at most one solution of the Darboux problem for equation (1) satisfying conditions (2).

Proof. Assume $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are two solutions to the Darboux problem satisfying conditions (2). We obtain from (8) the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, y)-v(x, y)| \leq k \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{|u(s, t)-v(s, t)|}{s t} d s d t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $B(x, y)=\frac{|u(x, y)-v(x, y)|}{x y}$ for $x y>0$. Since $f(x, y, u)$ is continuous, we note that $|f(x, y, u(x, y))-f(x, y, v(x, y))| \leq M_{x y}$ where $M_{x y}$ tends to zeroas $x$ or $y$ tends to zero, or both. Therefore it follows that $B(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in D$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow s} B(s)=0$ where $s=(x, y) \in D$ and $s_{0} \in \Gamma=\{s: x=0$ or $y=0\}$. $\mathrm{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{s}$ 。
Since $B(x, y)$ is now continuous over the compact region $D$, it attains its maximum at some point ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ). Using (9), we observe:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid u\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) & -v\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \mid \leq k \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \int_{0}^{y_{0}} B(s, t) d s d t \\
& <k B\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) x_{0} \cdot y_{0} \\
& =k\left|u\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)-v\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired contradiction.

REMARK 1. Theorem 1 reduces to the result of Palczewski and Pawelski [1], by taking $\beta=0$.

REMARK 2. The criteria given in Theorems 1 and 2 are strictly connected with the results of [3] and [4] for the case of ordinary differential equations.

REMARK 3. Theorem 3 gives the uniqueness of solutions to the Darboux problem under conditions analogous to the Nagumo-Perron criteria in the case of ordinary differential equations (Cf. [5]).

REMARK 4. All these results may easily be generalized to respective theorems for higher orders and to theorems concerning systems of equations of the type (1) (Cf. Theorem 2 [1]).
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