Reviews 565

acterized by a vacillation between the two kinds of segmentation: nom. sg. BUO-IPAPU]H, gen. pl. BUOIPAPU]H, but gen. sg. MY3E]H, nom. sg. MY3EH]; gen. sg. YACT]H, but inst. sg. YACTb]IO. And how does the author justify including the soft sign with the stem in YACTb]IO, but with the ending in PbIE]bH (p. xviii)?

Schacht is incorrect in saying that TPETUM (p. vii) and adjectives like Pbibum (p. xviii) decline like soft adjectives. They inflect according to the pronominal pattern in which the nominative and nonoblique accusative (acc. \neq gen.) take the short (nominal) endings and the oblique cases take the long (adjectival) ones. A fleeting vowel, namely i, is manifested in the adjectives when the ending is \emptyset ; see the masculine singular examples below:

NOM.	tréțij+Ø	ТРЕТИЙ	ríþij+Ø	РЫБИЙ	čej+Ø'	чей
ACC.	\$		‡		\$	
GEN.	trétj+ovo	TPETLETO	ríþj+ovo	РЫБЬЕГО	čj+ovó	ОТЗАР

In her attempt to eschew morphological rules, the mastery of which would render unnecessary most of the "irregular" forms in the book, Schacht has not entirely freed herself from linguistic considerations, and therein lies the inconsistency of her analysis. She would do well in a second edition to reshape the system upon which the book is based according to strictly orthographical principles, thus ensuring a consistent picture for the intended audience of linguistically untrained users.

MICHAEL S. FLIER
University of California, Los Angeles

SYMPOSIA

AMERICAN CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SIXTH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS OF SLAVISTS, PRAGUE, 1968, AUGUST 7-13. Vol. 1: LINGUISTIC CONTRIBUTIONS. Edited by *Henry Kučera*. Slavistic Printings and Reprintings, 80. The Hague and Paris: Mouton, 1968. 427 pp.

CONTENTS: Robert Abernathy, "The Slavic Liquid-Metatheses." Henrik Birnbaum, "Obshcheslavianskoe nasledie i inoiazychnye obraztsy v strukturnykh raznovidnostiakh staroslavianskogo predlozheniia." Paul L. Garvin, "Russian Lexical Units in the Light of Machine Translation Research." Zbigniew Gołąb, "The Grammar of Slavic Causatives." Gerta H. Worth, "Rol' tserkovnoslavianskogo iazyka v razvitii russkogo literaturnogo iazyka." R. Jakobson, "Voprosy sravnitel'noi indoevropeiskoi mifologii v svete slavianskikh pokazanii." D. Barton Johnson, "Toward a Typology of the Slavic Verb: The Verbs of Body Position." Henry Kučera, "Some Quantitative Lexical Analyses of Russian, Czech and English." Rado L. Lencek, "The Theme of the Greek Koine in the Concept of a Slavic Common Language and Matija Majar's Model." Thomas F. Magner, "Post-Vukovian Accentual Norms in Modern Serbo-Croatian." Ladislav Matějka, "On Translating from Latin into Church Slavonic." Lew R. Micklesen, "Impersonal Sentences in Russian." Michael Shapiro, "Zametki po udareniiu zaimstvovannykh slov v sovremennom russkom iazyke." C. H. van Schooneveld, "On the Opposition Determinate-Indeterminate in the Contemporary Standard Russian Verb." Edward Stankiewicz, "The Accent Patterns of the Slavic Verb." Kirill Taranovsky, "Formy obshcheslavianskogo i tserkovnoslavianskogo stikha v drevnerusskoi literature XI-XIII vv." Dean S. Worth, "'Surface Structure' and 'Deep Structure' in Slavic Morphology."