



Invariant Means on a Class of von Neumann Algebras Related to Ultraspherical Hypergroups II

N. Shravan Kumar

Abstract. Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup associated with a locally compact group G and a spherical projector π and let $VN(K)$ denote the dual of the Fourier algebra $A(K)$ corresponding to K . In this note, we show that the set of invariant means on $VN(K)$ is singleton if and only if K is discrete. Here K need not be second countable. We also study invariant means on the dual of the Fourier algebra $A_0(K)$, the closure of $A(K)$ in the cb-multiplier norm. Finally, we consider generalized translations and generalized invariant means.

Introduction

Let G be a locally compact group and let $A(G)$ and $VN(G)$ denote the Fourier algebra and its Banach space dual (von Neumann algebra), respectively. Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup associated with the locally compact group G and a spherical projector π . Let $A(K)$ denote the Fourier algebra corresponding to the hypergroup K and let $VN(K)$ be its Banach space dual.

In [17], the author introduced and studied the notion of invariant means on $VN(K)$. One of the main results of that paper is that, if K is second countable, then a unique invariant mean exists if and only if K is discrete. For the case of locally compact groups, this result is due to Renaud [16]. Renaud's result was extended to the case of general locally compact groups by Lau and Losert [12]. In Section 1, we shall imitate the proof and ideas of [12], to generalize the result of [17] to a general ultraspherical hypergroup.

Let $TIM(\widehat{K})$ denote the set of all invariant means on $VN(K)$. In [17], the proof of Theorem 5.6 contains the fact that $r_K^{**}(TIM(\widehat{K})) = TIM(\widehat{H})$, where H is an open subhypergroup. Theorem 1.10 of this paper gives the same result for a general open subhypergroup.

Forrest and Miao [9] studied invariant means on the algebra $A_0(G)$. Here $A_0(G)$ denotes the closure of $A(G)$ with the cb-multiplier norm. In Section 2 we also define the algebra $A_0(K)$ for an ultraspherical hypergroup and study invariant means on its

Received by the editors September 7, 2015; revised April 25, 2016.

Published electronically March 16, 2017.

This research was supported by a project, with project No. MI01197, under the new faculty grant in IIT Delhi.

AMS subject classification: 43A62, 46J10, 43A30, 20N20.

Keywords: ultraspherical hypergroup, Fourier algebra, Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, invariant mean, generalized translation, generalized invariant mean.

dual. The main result of this section states that the set of invariant means on the dual of $A_0(K)$ coincides with the set of invariant means on $VN(K)$.

M. Y.-H. Cheng introduced generalized translations and generalized invariant means on $VN(G)$ [1]. In the same spirit, we also consider generalized translations and generalized invariant means on $VN(K)$. This is done in Section 3, where we prove some analogues of Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 2.4.

We shall follow the notations as in [17]. For any undefined notations or definitions, we refer the reader to [15,17].

1 Invariant Means on $VN(K)$

The author proved that for a second countable ultraspherical hypergroup K , the space $VN(K)$ admits a unique topological invariant mean if and only if K is discrete [17]. The aim of this section is to extend this to the context of general ultraspherical hypergroups. We begin with a theorem that is an analogue of [10, Theorem 8.7].

Theorem 1.1 *Let K be a σ -compact ultraspherical hypergroup. Then for every countable family $\{\tilde{U}_n\}$ of neighbourhoods of $\{e\}$, there exists a compact subhypergroup H of K such that*

- (i) $H \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_n$,
- (ii) $K//H$ is a second countable ultraspherical hypergroup.

Proof Let \tilde{V}_0 be a neighbourhood of $\{e\}$ in K with compact closure. Construct a sequence $\{\tilde{V}_n\}$ of symmetric neighbourhoods of $\{e\}$ in K such that $\tilde{V}_n^2 \subseteq \tilde{V}_{n-1} \cap \tilde{U}_n$ and $\tilde{V}_n \subseteq \tilde{V}_{n-1}$. Consider the family $\{V_n\}$ of neighbourhoods of $\{e\}$ in G , where $V_n = p^{-1}(\tilde{V}_n)$. As K is σ -compact, G is also σ -compact and hence by [10, Theorem 8.7], there exists a compact normal subgroup N of G such that $N \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ and G/N is second countable. As $N \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$, it follows that $p(N) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_n$. Further, as in [10, Theorem 5.6], one can show that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_n$ is a closed subhypergroup of K containing the symmetric set $p(N)$. Let $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_n$. Then H is compact, as $H \subseteq \tilde{V}_0$. Also $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_n$. As H is a subhypergroup of K , by [3, Corollary 3.2], it follows that $p^{-1}(H)$ is a compact subgroup of G . Now $G//p^{-1}(H)$ is second countable, being the continuous image of G/N under the map $gN \mapsto p^{-1}(H)gp^{-1}(H)$. Notice that by [11, Theorem 14.4A] and the fact that the positive definite functions separate points, it follows that $p(p^{-1}(H)gp^{-1}(H)) = Hp(g)H$, for all $g \in G$. Hence, if q denotes the canonical quotient map from K onto $K//H$, the map $p^{-1}(H)gp^{-1}(H) \mapsto q(p(g))$, from $G//p^{-1}(H)$ to $K//H$ is well defined. Further, it is onto and continuous. Thus, $K//H$ is second countable. As $p(p^{-1}(H)gp^{-1}(H)) = Hp(g)H$, for all $g \in G$, it follows that $\pi \circ \pi_{p^{-1}(H)} = \pi_{p^{-1}(H)} \circ \pi$. Hence, $\pi \circ \pi_{p^{-1}(H)}$ is a spherical projector. Thus, the pair $(G, \pi \circ \pi_{p^{-1}(H)})$ defines an ultraspherical hypergroup. Let $K_{p^{-1}(H)}$ denote this ultraspherical hypergroup. Further, the hypergroup $K_{p^{-1}(H)}$ is isomorphic to the hypergroup $K//H$. Hence the proof. ■

Remark 1.2 Although the above theorem extends [10, Theorem 8.7], our proof makes use of it.

Lemma 1.3 *Let K be a σ -compact ultraspherical hypergroup. Let H be a second countable closed subhypergroup of K . Then there exists a compact subhypergroup H' of K such that*

- (i) $H \cap H' = \{\dot{e}\}$,
- (ii) $K//H'$ is a second countable ultraspherical hypergroup.

Proof Let $\{\tilde{U}_n\}$ be a neighbourhood basis of $\{\dot{e}\}$ in H . Let $\{\tilde{V}_n\}$ be neighbourhoods of $\{\dot{e}\}$ in K such that $\tilde{U}_n = H \cap \tilde{V}_n$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a compact subhypergroup H' of K such that $K//H'$ is a second countable ultraspherical hypergroup and $H' \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_n$. Further, it is clear that $H \cap H' = \{\dot{e}\}$. ■

Lemma 1.4 *Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup and let H be a compact subhypergroup of K with $H \neq \{\dot{e}\}$. Then there exists a compact subhypergroup H' of K such that*

- (i) $H' \subseteq H$,
- (ii) $H//H'$ is a non-trivial second countable hypergroup.

Proof If H is second countable, choose a proper subhypergroup H' of H . This will satisfy our requirements. If H is not second countable, let \tilde{U} be a neighbourhood of \dot{e} in H . Then by Lemma 1.3, there exists a compact subhypergroup H' of K satisfying our requirements. ■

Proposition 1.5 *Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup which is not second countable. Then there exists a limit ordinal λ and a decreasing family $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$ of subhypergroups of K such that*

- (i) $H_0 = K$,
- (ii) H_α is compact for $\alpha > 0$,
- (iii) $\bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} H_\alpha = \{\dot{e}\}$,
- (iv) $H_\alpha//H_{\alpha+1}$ is a non-discrete second countable ultraspherical hypergroup for $\alpha < \lambda$.

Proof By Lemma 1.4 and transfinite induction, one obtains a decreasing family $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \mu}$ such that

- (i) $H_0 = K$,
- (ii) H_α is a compact subhypergroup for $\alpha > 0$,
- (iii) $H_\mu = \{\dot{e}\}$,
- (iv) $\bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} H_\alpha = H_\lambda$ for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \mu$,
- (v) $H_\alpha//H_{\alpha+1}$ is second countable.

Without loss of generality, one can even assume that μ is minimal among all such families. Now the fact that μ is a limit ordinal follows exactly as in [12, Lemma 4.8]. In the group case, the proof of this uses [12, Lemma 4.6], while for the ultraspherical hypergroup case one will have to use Lemma 1.3. Now by passing to an appropriate subfamily, we get the desired family. ■

The following is an analogue of [12, Lemma 4.9].

Lemma 1.6 Let $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be the family given by Proposition 1.5. For $\alpha > 0$, let m_α be a topologically invariant mean on $VN(K//H_\alpha)$. If m is a weak*-cluster point of $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$, then m is a topologically invariant mean on $VN(K)$.

Proof Let $\varphi \in A(K//H_\alpha)$. Then $\varphi.m_\alpha = \varphi(\dot{\epsilon})m_\alpha$. Hence $\varphi.m = \varphi(\dot{\epsilon})m$. By the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, $\cup_{\alpha < \lambda} L^2(K//H_\alpha)$ is dense in $L^2(K)$ and hence it follows that $\cup_{\alpha < \lambda} A(K//H_\alpha)$ is dense in $A(K)$ and consequently, $\varphi.m = \varphi(\dot{\epsilon})m$ for all $\varphi \in A(K)$. ■

Theorem 1.7 Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup. If $VN(K)$ admits a unique topological invariant mean, then K is discrete.

Proof Notice that if K is second countable, then by [17, Theorem 5.9], we are done. So let us assume that K is not separable. We now claim that the topological invariant mean on $VN(K)$ is not unique. In order to prove this claim, without loss of generality, let us assume that K is σ -compact. Let $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$ and m_α be as in Lemma 1.6. Suppose to the contrary that the topological invariant mean on $VN(K)$ is unique. The net $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$ would be w^* -convergent. Let $P_\alpha \in VN(K)$ be the central projection defined by convolution with the Haar measure of H_α . The remaining proof is similar to the proof of [12, Theorem 4.10]. Hence we omit the details. ■

In the next corollary, we would like to single out the special case when the ultraspherical hypergroup is the double coset hypergroup.

Corollary 1.8 Let G be a locally compact group containing a compact subgroup H . Then $VN(G//H)$ admits a unique topological invariant mean if and only if H is open.

Proof The proof of this follows from Theorem 1.7 and [11, Theorem 7.1B]. ■

For the notations and terminologies used in the next corollary we shall refer to [2, 7].

Corollary 1.9 Let G be a locally compact group containing a compact subgroup H . Then $VN(G/H)$ admits a unique topological invariant mean if and only if H is open.

Proof If G is second countable, then this is proved in [2, Proposition 5.22, Proposition 5.24]. If G is not second countable, then it follows from Corollary 1.8. ■

In [17], the author proved that $r_K^{**}(TIM(\widehat{K})) = TIM(\widehat{H})$, under the assumption that H is open. Our next theorem generalizes this to an arbitrary closed subhypergroup. The proof of this is an adaptation of the proof given in [1] for the case of locally compact groups.

Theorem 1.10 Let H be a closed subhypergroup of K . Then

$$r_K^{**}(TIM(\widehat{K})) = TIM(\widehat{H}).$$

Proof (a) Let $m \in r_K^{**}(\text{TIM}(\widehat{K}))$. Let $\tilde{m} \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{K})$ such that $r_K^{**}(\tilde{m}) = m$. Let $\psi \in A(H)$ and $T \in \text{VN}(H)$. Choose $\varphi \in A(K)$ such that $r_K(\varphi) = \psi$. Then $\langle \psi.T, m \rangle = \psi(\dot{\epsilon})\langle T, m \rangle$ follows exactly as in [17, Theorem 5.6]. Thus $r_K^{**}(\text{TIM}(\widehat{K})) \subseteq \text{TIM}(\widehat{H})$.

(b) Let $m \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{H})$. We now claim that there exists a mean \tilde{m} on $\text{VN}(K)$ such that $r_K(\tilde{m}) = m$. Since $m \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{H})$, there exists a net $\{\varphi_\alpha\} \subset M_{A(H)}$ converging to m in the weak*-topology. As $r_K(M_{A(K)}) = M_{A(H)}$, there exists a net $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \subset M_{A(K)}$ such that $r_K(\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha) = \varphi_\alpha$. By the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, $\{\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha\}$ has a subnet $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha_\beta}\}$ converging weak* to say \tilde{m} . As r_K^{**} is weak*-weak*-continuous, it follows that $r_K^{**}(\tilde{m}) = m$.

(c) Let $m \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{H})$ and let \tilde{m} be the mean (provided by (ii)) such that $r_K^{**}(\tilde{m}) = m$. We now claim that for any $\varphi \in M_{A(K)}$, $r_K^{**}(\varphi.\tilde{m}) = m$. Indeed, for any $T \in \text{VN}(H)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, r_K^{**}(\varphi.\tilde{m}) \rangle &= \langle r_K^*(T), \varphi.\tilde{m} \rangle = \langle \varphi.r_K^*(T), \tilde{m} \rangle \\ &= \langle r_K^*(r_K(\varphi).T), \tilde{m} \rangle = \langle r_K(\varphi).T, r_K^{**}(\tilde{m}) \rangle \\ &= \langle r_K(\varphi).T, m \rangle = \langle T, m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim.

(d) We now prove the remaining inclusion. Let $m \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{H})$. Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ \tilde{m} : \tilde{m} \text{ is a mean on } \text{VN}(K) \text{ and } r_K^{**}(\tilde{m}) = m \}.$$

By (b) it follows that \mathcal{C} is non-empty. It is clear that \mathcal{C} is weak*-compact. By (c), it follows that for any $\varphi \in M_{A(K)}$, the mapping $\tilde{m} \mapsto \varphi.\tilde{m}$ maps \mathcal{C} to itself. Thus the remaining proof follows from the Markov–Kakutani fixed point theorem. ■

2 cb-multipliers and Invariant Means

In this section, we define a new algebra, denoted by $A_0(K)$, and study the invariant means on the dual of this algebra. Let $MA(K)$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded multipliers of $A(K)$ [14]. That is, the space of all continuous functions ψ on K such that $\psi\varphi \in A(K)$ for every $\varphi \in A(K)$. For each $\psi \in MA(K)$, $\varphi \in A(K)$, let $m_\psi(\varphi) = \psi\varphi$. As $A(K)$ being the dual of the von Neumann algebra $\text{VN}(K)$, it makes sense to speak of completely bounded multipliers [4]. We call ψ a completely bounded multiplier if the map m_ψ is completely bounded. Let $\|\psi\|_{\text{cb}}$ denote the completely bounded norm of m_ψ . Let $M_{\text{cb}}A(K)$ denote the Banach algebra of all completely bounded multipliers of $A(K)$. Then $B(K) \subset M_{\text{cb}}(A(K))$.

We will denote by $A_0(K)$ the closure of $A(K)$ in the cb-multiplier norm. We now proceed to study the invariant means on $A_0(K)$.

Lemma 2.1 (i) For $\varphi \in A(K)$ and $T \in \text{VN}(K)$, $\varphi.T \in \iota^*(A_0(K)^*)$.
 (ii) For $\varphi \in A_0(K)$ and $T \in A_0(K)^*$, $\iota^*(\varphi.T) = \varphi.\iota^*(T)$.

Proof (i) Define $f_{\varphi,T}: A_0(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $f_{\varphi,T}(\psi) = \langle \varphi\psi, T \rangle$. It is clear that $f_{\varphi,T}$ defines a bounded linear functional on $A_0(K)$ and $\|f_{\varphi,T}\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{A(G)}\|T\|_{\text{VN}(G)}$. Further, notice that $f_{\varphi,T}$, when restricted to $A(K)$, equals $\varphi.T$ and hence it follows that $\varphi.T = \iota^*(f_{\varphi,T})$.

(ii) For any $\psi \in A(K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \iota^*(\varphi.T) \rangle &= \langle \iota(\psi), \varphi.T \rangle = \langle \varphi\iota(\psi), T \rangle \\ &= \langle \iota(\varphi\psi), T \rangle = \langle \varphi\psi, \iota^*(T) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, \varphi.\iota^*(T) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 2.2 (i) A linear functional m on $A_0(K)^*$ is called a *mean* if $\|m\| = m(I) = 1$, where I denotes the identity in $A_0(K)^*$.

(ii) A mean m on $A_0(K)^*$ is said to be topologically invariant if $\varphi.m = \varphi(\dot{e})m$ for all $\varphi \in A_0(K)$ i.e., $\langle T, \varphi.m \rangle = \langle \varphi.T, m \rangle = \varphi(\dot{e})\langle T, m \rangle \forall T \in A_0(K)^*, \forall \varphi \in A_0(K)$.

Proposition 2.3 $\iota^{**}(\text{TIM}(\widehat{K})) \subseteq \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})$.

Proof Let $m \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{K})$. Let $\varphi \in A_0(K)$ and $T \in A_0(K)^*$. Since $\varphi \in A_0(K)$, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset A(K)$ such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in the cb-multiplier norm. Hence $\varphi_n(\dot{e}) \rightarrow \varphi(\dot{e})$. Further, for any $\psi \in A_0(K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi, \varphi_n.T - \varphi.T \rangle| &= |\langle \psi, (\varphi_n - \varphi).T \rangle| = |\langle (\varphi_n - \varphi)\psi, T \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{A_0(K)} \|\psi\|_{A_0(K)} \|T\|_{A_0(K)^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that $\varphi_n.T \rightarrow \varphi.T$ in $A_0(K)^*$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \varphi.T, \iota^{**}(m) \rangle &= \langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n.T, \iota^{**}(m) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_n.T, \iota^{**}(m) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \iota^*(\varphi_n.T), m \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_n.\iota^*(T), m \rangle \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(\dot{e})\langle \iota^*(T), m \rangle = \varphi(\dot{e})\langle \iota^*(T), m \rangle \\ &= \varphi(\dot{e})\langle T, \iota^{**}(m) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 2.4 Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then

$$\iota^{**}: \text{TIM}(\widehat{K}) \rightarrow \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})$$

is a bijection.

Proof We first claim that $\iota^{**}: \text{TIM}(\widehat{K}) \rightarrow \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})$ is injective. In fact, let $m_1, m_2 \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{K})$ with $m_1 \neq m_2$. Then there exists $T \in VN(K)$ such that $m_1(T) \neq m_2(T)$. Choose $\varphi_0 \in A(K)$ with $\varphi_0(\dot{e}) = 1$. Then

$$\langle m_1, \varphi_0.T \rangle = \langle m_1, T \rangle \neq \langle m_2, T \rangle = \langle m_2, \varphi_0.T \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\varphi.T \in A_0(K)^*$. Thus, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_0.T, \iota^{**}(m_1) \rangle &= \langle \iota^*(\varphi_0.T), m_1 \rangle = \langle \varphi_0.T, m_1 \rangle \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &\neq \langle \varphi_0.T, m_2 \rangle = \langle \iota^*(\varphi_0.T), m_2 \rangle \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \langle \varphi_0.T, \iota^{**}(m_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To show that $\iota^{**}: \text{TIM}(\widehat{K}) \rightarrow \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})$ is surjective, let $m \in \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})$. Define $\tilde{m} \in VN(K)^*$ as $\langle T, \tilde{m} \rangle := \langle \varphi.T, m \rangle$, for all $T \in VN(K)$, where $\varphi \in A(K)$ with

$\varphi_0(\dot{e}) = 1$. Notice that \tilde{m} is independent of the choice of φ_0 and hence it follows that $\tilde{m} \in \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})$. Now for any $T \in A_0(K)^*$, we have

$$\langle T, \iota^{**}(\tilde{m}) \rangle = \langle \iota^*(T), \tilde{m} \rangle = \langle \varphi_0.\iota^*(T), m \rangle = \langle \iota^*(T), m \rangle = \langle T, m \rangle.$$

Thus $\iota^{**}(\tilde{m}) = m$. ■

Lemma 2.5 *Let H be a closed subhypergroup. Then the restriction map r_K is a contraction from $A_0(K)$ into $A_0(H)$.*

Proof The proof of this is exactly the same as in the group case. See [8, Lemma 1]. ■

Corollary 2.6 *Let H be a closed subhypergroup of K . Then*

$$r_K^{**}(\text{TIM}_0(\widehat{K})) = \text{TIM}_0(\widehat{H}).$$

Proof This follows from Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 2.4. ■

3 Generalized Translation and Generalized Invariant Means

Let K be an ultraspherical hypergroup and let K^* denote the set of all extreme points of $M_{B(K)}$. For $\varphi \in K^*$, define $L_\varphi: A(K) \rightarrow A(K)$ as $L_\varphi(\psi) = \varphi\psi$. The adjoint of L_φ is called the generalized translation on $\text{VN}(K)$ with respect to $\varphi \in K^*$. The map L_φ is called the *generalized translation*.

We say that a subset E of $A(K)$ is said to be K^* -invariant if $L_\varphi(E) \subseteq E$ for all $\varphi \in K^*$.

In the case of a locally compact group G , we know that a closed subspace I of $L^1(G)$ is an ideal if and only if it is translation invariant [5, Proposition 2.43]. The same result is also true for hypergroups [13, Corollary 1]. The following is the corresponding analogue for $A(K)$. For the case of locally compact groups, see [1, Proposition 6.3]. As the proof of this is exactly the same as [1, Proposition 6.3], we omit the proof.

Theorem 3.1 *Let I be a closed subalgebra of $A(K)$. Suppose that for any $\varphi \in A(K)$, $\varphi \in \varphi A(K)$. Then I is an ideal if and only if I is K^* -invariant.*

Similarly, a subset F of $\text{VN}(K)$ is said to be K^* -invariant if $L_\varphi^*(F) \subseteq F$ for all $\varphi \in K^*$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $0 \neq T \in \text{VN}(K)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $L_\varphi^*(T) = T$ for all $\varphi \in K^*$.
- (ii) $\varphi.T = T$ for all $\varphi \in M_{B(K)}$.
- (iii) $\varphi.T = T$ for all $\varphi \in M_{A(K)}$.
- (iv) $T = c\lambda(\dot{e})$ for $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is true, as $co(K^*)$ is dense in $M_{B(K)}$ with respect to the strict topology.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious, as $M_{A(K)} \subset M_{B(K)}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). By the properties of the support of a linear functional, for each $\varphi \in M_{A(K)}$, it follows that $\text{supp}(T) \subset \text{supp}(\varphi)$. Since functions in $M_{A(K)}$ separate points, $\text{supp}(T) = \{\dot{e}\}$ and hence $T = c\lambda(\dot{e})$ for some non-zero scalar c .

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $\psi \in A(K)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, L_\varphi^*(T) \rangle &= \langle L_\varphi(\psi), T \rangle = \langle \varphi\psi, T \rangle = \langle \varphi\psi, c\lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle \\ &= c\langle \varphi\psi, \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = c\varphi(\dot{e})\psi(\dot{e}) = c\psi(\dot{e}) \\ &= \langle \psi, c\lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 3.3 A K^* -invariant mean (or generalized invariant mean) on $VN(K)$ is a mean m on $VN(K)$ such that $m(L_\varphi^*(T)) = m(T)$ for all $\varphi \in K^*$.

We denote by $\text{TIM}(K^*)$ the set of all K^* -invariant means on $VN(K)$. As $A(K)$ is an ideal in $B(K)$, it follows that $\text{TIM}(\widehat{K}) \subseteq \text{TIM}(K^*)$. Thus by [17, Theorem 3.5], $\text{TIM}(K^*)$ is always a non-empty subset of $VN(K)^*$.

For any $m \in \text{TIM}(K^*)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(K) &= \{\varphi \in B(K) : m(\varphi.T) = \varphi(\dot{e})m(T) \forall T \in VN(K)\}, \\ B_{\text{TIM}(K^*)}(K) &= \bigcap_{m \in \text{TIM}(K^*)} B_m(K). \end{aligned}$$

Also, let $B_{K^*}(K)$ denote the closure of the span of K^* in $B(K)$.

Lemma 3.4 (i) $B_m(K)$ is a closed subalgebra of $B(K)$.

(ii) $m \in \text{TIM}(K^*)$ if and only if $B_{K^*}(K) \subseteq B_m(K)$.

(iii) $m \in \text{TIM}(\widehat{K})$ if and only if $A(K) \subseteq B_m(K)$.

(iv) $\text{TIM}(K^*) = \text{TIM}(\widehat{K})$ if and only if $A(K) \subseteq B_{\text{TIM}(K^*)}(K)$.

The next theorem is an analogue of Theorem 1.10. As the proof is same as the proof of Theorem 1.10, we omit it. Here some extra conditions are needed because of the previous lemma.

Theorem 3.5 (i) If $r_K(B_{K^*}(K)) \subseteq B_{H^*}(H)$, then $r_K^{**}(\text{TIM}(K^*)) \supseteq \text{TIM}(H^*)$.

(ii) If $r_K(B_{K^*}(K)) \supseteq B_{H^*}(H)$, then $r_K^{**}(\text{TIM}(K^*)) \subseteq \text{TIM}(H^*)$.

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 3.6 Let H be a closed subhypergroup of an ultraspherical hypergroup K such that $r_K(B_{K^*}(K)) \subseteq B_{H^*}(H)$. Then

(i) $r_K(B_{\text{TIM}(K^*)}(K)) \subseteq B_{\text{TIM}(H^*)}(H)$.

(ii) If $\text{TIM}(K^*) = \text{TIM}(\widehat{K})$, then $\text{TIM}(H^*) = \text{TIM}(\widehat{H})$.

Proof (i) Let $\varphi \in B_{\text{TIM}(K^*)}(K)$. Then $r_K(\varphi) \in B(H)$. For any $m \in \text{TIM}(H^*)$ and $S \in VN(H)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_K(\varphi).S, r_K^{**}(m) \rangle &= \langle r_K^*(r_K(\varphi).S), m \rangle = \langle \varphi.r_K^*(S), m \rangle \\ &= \varphi(\dot{e})\langle r_K^*(S), m \rangle = \varphi(\dot{e})\langle S, r_K^{**}(m) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (i) follows.

(ii) This follows from the hypothesis and (i). ■

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for useful comments and corrections that improved the quality of this paper. The author would also like to thank Prof. Ajit Iqbal Singh for providing the reference [13].

References

- [1] M. Y.-H. Cheng, *Dual spaces and translation invariant means on group von Neumann algebras*. *Studia Math.* 223(2014), 97–121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/sm223-2-1>
- [2] C.-H. Chu and A. T. Lau, *Jordan structures in harmonic functions and Fourier algebras on homogeneous spaces*. *Math. Ann.* 336(2006), 803–840. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-006-0013-y>
- [3] S. Degenfeld-Schonburg, E. Kaniuth, and R. Lasser, *Spectral synthesis in Fourier algebras of ultraspherical hypergroups*. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 20(2014), 258–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00041-013-9311-4>
- [4] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [5] G. B. Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [6] B. E. Forrest, *Some Banach algebras without discontinuous derivations*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114(1992), 965–970. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1992-1068120-4>
- [7] ———, *Fourier analysis on coset spaces*. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 28(1998), 173–190. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1216/rmj/1181071828>
- [8] ———, *Completely bounded multipliers and ideals in $A(G)$ vanishing on closed subgroups*. In: *Banach algebras and their applications*. Contemporary Mathematics, 363. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, pp-89–94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/363/06644>
- [9] B. E. Forrest and T. Miao, *Uniformly continuous functionals and M -weakly amenable groups*. *Canad. J. Math.* 65(2013), 1005–1019. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2013-019-x>
- [10] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol I: Structure of topological groups, integration theory, group representations*. Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, 115. Springer, 1963.
- [11] R. I. Jewett, *Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures*. *Adv. in Math.* 18(1975), 1–101. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(75\)90002-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(75)90002-X)
- [12] A. T. Lau and V. Losert, *The C^* -algebra generated by operators with compact support on a locally compact group*. *J. Funct. Anal.* 112(1993), 1–30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1993.1024>
- [13] G. L. Litvinov, *Hypergroups and hypergroup algebras*. *J. Soviet Math.* 38(1987), 1734–1761.
- [14] V. Muruganandam, *Fourier algebra of a hypergroup*. *I. J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 82(2007), 59–83. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S144678870001747X>
- [15] ———, *Fourier algebra of a hypergroup. II. Spherical hypergroups*. *Math. Nach.* 11(2008), 1950–1603. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mana.200510699>
- [16] P. F. Renaud, *Invariant means on a class of von Neumann algebras*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 170(1972) 285–291. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1972-0304553-0>
- [17] N. Shravan Kumar, *Invariant means on a class of von Neumann algebras related to ultraspherical hypergroups*. *Studia Math.* 225(2014), 235–247. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/sm225-3-4>

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Delhi-110016, India
e-mail: shravankumar@maths.iitd.ac.in