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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABSTRACT. Some authors have employed the method and technique of } \\
& \text { differential inequalitites to obtain fairly general resulth concerning the exis- } \\
& \text { tence and asymptotic behavior, as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} \text {, of the solutions of scalar } \\
& \text { boundary value problems } \\
& \qquad \epsilon y^{\prime \prime}=h(t, y), \quad a<t<b, \\
& y(a, \epsilon)=A, y(b, \epsilon)=B .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we extend these results to vector boundary value problems, under analogous stability conditions on the solution $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ of the reduced equation $0=\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{u})$.

Two types of asymptotic behavior are studied, depending on whether the reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ has or does not have a continuous first derivative in $(a, b)$, leading to the phenomena of boundary and angular layers.

1. Introduction. We consider in this paper semilinear boundary value problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2} \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{y}(a, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\boldsymbol{A}, y(b, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\boldsymbol{B} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are $n$-vectors and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}>0$ is a small real-valued parameter. The aim is to show that under appropriate conditions, there exist solutions of (1.1) which exhibit boundary layer and angular layer behavior for all sufficiently small $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

We assume that the corresponding reduced system

$$
\mathbf{0}=\boldsymbol{h}(t, u)
$$

has at least one solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right)$. We require, as in the scalar case, that the reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ is $I_{q}$-stable. The definition of $I_{q}$-stability will be given in section 3. This "componentwise" $I_{q}$-stability condition will allow us to obtain estimates for each component of the solution $\boldsymbol{y}(t, \epsilon)$ of (1.1).
2. Preliminary results. We need the following basic result on differential inequalities ([3], chap. 1):

[^0]Lemma 1. Consider the boundary problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{y}(a)=\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{y}(b)=\boldsymbol{B} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that there exist $n$ bounding pairs $\left(\alpha_{i}(t), \beta_{i}(t)\right)$ of $C^{(2)}$-functions on $[a, b]$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}(a) \leq A_{i} \leq \beta_{i}(a), \alpha_{i}(b) \leq B_{i} \leq \beta_{i}(b), i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{2.1}\\
& \alpha_{i}(t) \leq \beta_{i}(t), t \text { in }(a, b), i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \geq h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \\
\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime} \leq h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t$ in $(a, b), \alpha_{j}(t) \leq y_{j} \leq \beta_{j}(t), j \neq i$. Also suppose that $\boldsymbol{h}$ is continuous in the region $[a, b] \times \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]$.
Then the problem (2.1) has a solution $\boldsymbol{y}(t)=\left(y_{1}(t), \ldots, y_{n}(t)\right)$ of class $C^{(2)}[a, b]$ satisfying

$$
\alpha_{i}(t) \leq y_{i}(t) \leq \beta_{i}(t)
$$

for $t$ in $[a, b]$ and $i=1, \ldots, n$.
The following extension of Lemma 1 will also be needed [2].
Lemma 2. Consider the problem (2.1) and suppose that there exist $n$ bounding pairs which are piecewise $-C^{(2)}$ on $[a, b]$, namely there is a partition $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{m}$ of $[a, b]$ with $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{m}=b$ such that on each subinterval $\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right], i=1, \ldots, m$, the $n$ bounding pairs $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, n$, are twice continuously differentiable; at the partition points, $t_{i-1}$ and $t_{i}$, the derivatives are righthand and lefthand derivatives respectively. Suppose also that $(2.1)_{1},(2.1)_{2},(2.1)_{3}$ hold on each subinterval $\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$. Lastly, suppose that for each $t$ in $[a, b], D_{L} \alpha_{i}(t) \leq D_{R} \alpha_{i}(t)$ and $D_{L} \beta_{i}(t) \geq D_{R} \beta_{i}(t)$, where $D_{L}, D_{R}$ denote, respectively, lefthand and righthand differentation.

Then (2.1) has a solution $\left.\boldsymbol{y}(t)=\left(y_{1} t\right), \ldots, y_{n}(t)\right)$ of class $C^{(2)}[a, b]$ satisfying

$$
\alpha_{i}(t) \leq y_{i}(t) \leq \beta_{i}(t)
$$

for $t$ in $[a, b]$ and $i=1, \ldots, n$.
3. Boundary layer phenomenon. Let $q$ be a non-negative integer. In the following definition of $I_{q}$-stability for the reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$, we assume that the function $\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{y})$ has the stated number of continuous partial derivatives with respect to $y_{i}$ in $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$ $\mathscr{D}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, where

$$
\mathscr{D}_{i}=\left\{\left(t, y_{i}\right): t \in[a, b],\left|y_{i}-u_{i}(t)\right| \leq d_{i}(t)\right\} .
$$

Here $d_{i}(t)$ is a smooth positive function such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right| \leq d_{i}(t) \leq\left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right|+\delta, \text { on }[a, a+\delta] \\
& \left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right| \leq d_{i}(t) \leq\left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right|+\delta, \text { on }[b-\delta, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
d_{i}(t) \leq \delta \text { on }[a+\delta, b-\delta] .
$$

where $A_{i}, B_{i}$ are components of $A, B$ respectively and $\delta>0$ is a small constant.
Definition. The vector function $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right)$ is said to be $I_{q}$-stable in $[a, b]$, if there exist $n$ positive constants $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{k} h_{i}}{\partial y_{i}^{k}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, u_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq k \leq 2 q, i=1, \ldots, n,\left(t, y_{j}\right) \in \mathscr{D}_{j}, j \neq i$,
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(2 q+1)!} \frac{\partial^{2 q+1} h_{i}}{\partial y_{i}^{2 q+1}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \geq m_{i}^{2}>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n,(t, y) \in \Pi_{i=1}^{n} \mathscr{D}_{i}$.
We note that the definition of $I_{q}$-stability for a scalar function was first given by Boglaev [4], and has been employed and extended by other authors [2].

We have the following result.
Theorem 1. Assume that the reduced system $\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{u})=\mathbf{0}$ has an $I_{q}$-stable solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)=\left(u_{l}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right)$ of class $C^{(2)}[a, b]$. Then there exists an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}>0$ such that for $0<\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}$, the boundary value problem (1.1) has a solution

$$
\boldsymbol{y}(t)=\boldsymbol{y}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\left(y_{1}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}), \ldots, y_{n}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right)
$$

for $t$ in $[a, b]$, satisfying

$$
\left|y_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})-u_{i}(t)\right| \leq L_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})+R_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})+O(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$. Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{i}(t, \epsilon)= \begin{cases}\left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right| \exp \left[-m_{i} \epsilon^{-1}(t-a)\right], & \text { if } q=0, \\
\left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right|\left[1+\sigma_{1 i} \epsilon^{-1}(t-a)\right]^{-q^{-1}}, & \text { if } q \geq 1\end{cases}  \tag{3.3}\\
& R_{i}(t, \epsilon)= \begin{cases}\left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right| \exp \left[-m_{i} \epsilon^{-1}(b-t)\right], & \text { if } q=0 \\
\left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right|\left[1+\sigma_{2 i} \epsilon^{-1}(b-t)\right]^{-q^{-1}}, & \text { if } q \geq 1\end{cases} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1 i}=m_{i} \frac{q}{\sqrt{q+1}}\left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right|^{q}, \quad \sigma_{2 i}=m_{i} \frac{q}{\sqrt{q+1}}\left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right|^{q},
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Remark. For $q=0$, the boundary layers at both end-points are of exponential type, while for $q \geq 1$, the boundary layers are of algebraic type.

Proof. Theorem 1 will follow from Lemma 1, if we can exhibit, by construction, the existence of lower and upper pairs of bounding functions $\left(\alpha_{i}(t, \epsilon), \beta_{i}(t, \epsilon)\right.$ ) which possess the required properties $(2.1)_{1},(2.1)_{2}$ and $(2.1)_{3}$, with $\alpha_{i}(t), \beta_{i}(t)$ replaced by $\alpha_{i}(t, \epsilon), \beta_{i}(t, \epsilon)$ respectively and with $h_{i}$ replaced by $h_{i} \epsilon^{-2}$.

By assumption (3.2), we must have $h_{i}(t, y) \sim m_{i}^{2} y_{i}^{2 q+1}$, and we are led to consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} z_{i}^{\prime \prime}=m_{i}^{2} z_{i}^{2 q+1} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the function $L_{i}(t, \epsilon)$ is non-negative and is the solution of (3.5) such that

$$
L_{i}(a, \epsilon)=\left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right|,
$$

and

$$
L_{i}^{\prime}(a, \epsilon)=-\frac{m_{i}}{\epsilon \sqrt{q+1}}\left|A_{i}-u_{i}(a)\right|^{q+1}
$$

This solution decreases to the right. Similarly, the function $R_{i}(t, \epsilon) \geq 0$ is the solution of (3.5) such that

$$
R_{i}(b, \epsilon)=\left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right|,
$$

and

$$
R_{i}^{\prime}(b, \epsilon)=\frac{m_{i}}{\epsilon \sqrt{q+1}}\left|B_{i}-u_{i}(b)\right|^{q+1}
$$

and decreases to the left.
We now define, for $t$ in $[a, b]$ and $\epsilon>0$, the required lower and upper functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}(t, \epsilon)=u_{i}(t)-L_{i}(t, \epsilon)-R_{i}(t, \epsilon)-\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon), \\
& \beta_{i}(t, \epsilon)=u_{i}(t)+L_{i}(t, \epsilon)+R_{i}(t, \epsilon)+\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{i}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i} / m_{i}^{2}(2 q+1)!\right]^{1 /(2 q+1)} .
$$

Here, each $\gamma_{i}$ is a positive constant chosen so large that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i} \geq M_{i}(2 q+1)! \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i}=\max _{[a, b]}\left[\left|u_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right]$. Clearly we have $\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon)>0$.
Observe that the region between $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$, that is, the set $\left\{\left(t, y_{i}\right), t \in[a, b]\right.$, $\left.\alpha_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \leq y_{i} \leq \beta_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right\}$ is contained in the region $\mathscr{D}_{i}$ when $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is sufficiently small.
Clearly, $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ satisfy the required properties (2.1) $)_{1}$ and (2.1) $)_{2}$. It remains to show that the property $(2.1)_{3}$, with $h_{i} \epsilon^{-2}$ in place of $h_{i}$, also holds. Applying Taylor's Theorem and the hypothesis that $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ is $I_{q}$-stable, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}-h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)= & \epsilon^{2} u_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\epsilon^{2} L_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\epsilon^{2} R_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{(2 q+1)!} \frac{\partial^{2 q+1} h_{i}}{\partial y_{i}^{2 q+1}} \\
& \times\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\left[\alpha_{i}(t, \epsilon)-u_{i}(t)\right]^{2 q+1} \\
= & \epsilon^{2} u_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\epsilon^{2} L_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\epsilon^{2} R_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{(2 q+1)!} \frac{\partial^{2 q+1} h_{i}}{\partial y_{i}^{2 q+1}} \\
& \times\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\left(L_{i}+R_{i}+\Gamma_{i}\right)^{2 q+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{i}$ is some intermediate point between $\alpha_{i}(t, \epsilon)$ and $u_{i}(t)$. The point $\left(t, \theta_{i}\right)$ is therefore in $\mathscr{D}_{i}$ if $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small, say, $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}$. Since $L_{i}, R_{i}, \Gamma_{i}$ are all positive and since both $L_{i}$ and $R_{i}$ satisfy (3.5), it follows by virtue of (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon^{2} \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}-h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \geq-\epsilon^{2}\left|u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|+m_{i}^{2} \Gamma_{i}^{2 q+1} \\
& \geq-\epsilon^{2} M_{i}+\frac{\epsilon^{2} \gamma_{i}}{(2 q+1)!}=\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{\gamma_{i}}{(2 q+1)!}-M_{i}\right] \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \geq h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) .
$$

The proof for $\beta_{i}$ is similar. Therefore Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1.
4. Angular layer phenomenon. We now turn to the following situation: suppose that the reduced equation $\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{u})=\mathbf{0}$ has a pair of $C^{(2)}$-solutions $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}=\boldsymbol{u}_{1}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{2}=$ $\boldsymbol{u}_{2}(t)$ which intersect at an interior point $t=T$ in $(a, b)$. That is to say, $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}(T)=\boldsymbol{u}_{2}(T)$, but $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{\prime}(T) \neq \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{\prime}(T)$, or if we define the reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ by

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(t)= \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}(t), & a \leq t \leq T, \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{2}(t), & T \leq t \leq b,\end{cases}
$$

then $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}\left(T^{-}\right) \neq \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}\left(T^{+}\right)$. Thus, the essential characteristic of this situation is that the reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ does not have a continuous first derivative in $(a, b)$, but has a 'corner' at an interior point.

We wish to determine if results similar to Theorem 1 can be obtained under appropriate stability assumptions on this type of reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$. In view of the corner or angular nature of the reduced solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$, we expect that the bounding functions will be more complex than those considered earlier in Theorem 1. Furthermore, each component of the original solution can, in general, be expected to exhibit an angular or corner layer at a different interior point and also simultaneously exhibit boundary layer behavior at the end-points. This situation is demonstrated by an example in Section 5.

Theorem 2. Assume that
(1) there exists functions $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}=\left(u_{11}(t), \ldots, u_{1 n}(t)\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{2}=\left(u_{21}(t), \ldots, u_{2 n}(t)\right)$ with $u_{j i}(t)$ of class $C^{(2)}$ on $\left[a, T_{i}\right]$ and $\left[T_{i}, b\right]$ respectively, satisfying for $j=1,2$,;

$$
h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, u_{j i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=0
$$

for $t \in[a, b]$ and $y_{k}$ in $D_{k}, k \neq i$. Moreover, $u_{1 i}\left(T_{i}\right)=u_{2 i}\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $u_{1 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)<u_{2 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)$, $T_{i}$ in $(a, b)$, where

$$
D_{k}=\left\{y_{k}:\left|y_{k}-u_{k}(t)\right| \leq d_{k}(t)\right\}
$$

with

$$
u_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}u_{1 k}(t), & t \in\left[a, T_{k}\right] \\ u_{2 k}(t), & t \in\left[T_{k}, b\right],\end{cases}
$$

and $d_{k}$ is a smooth positive function such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{k}-u_{k}(t)\right| \leq d_{k}(t) \leq\left|A_{k}-u_{k}(t)\right|+\delta \text { on }\left[a, a+\frac{\delta}{2}\right] \\
& \left|B_{k}-u_{k}(t)\right| \leq d_{k}(t) \leq\left|B_{k}-u_{k}(t)\right|+\delta \text { on }\left[b-\frac{\delta}{2}, b\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\delta>0$ a small constant;
(2) for a nonnegative integer $q$, the function $\boldsymbol{h}$ is continuous in $(t, \boldsymbol{y})$ and $C^{(2 q+1)}$ with respect to $y_{i}$ in $D_{i}$;
(3) $u_{j}(t)$ is $I_{q}$-stable for $j=1,2$ in $\left[a, T_{i}\right]$ and $\left[T_{i}, b\right]$ respectively.

Then there exists an $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that for each $\epsilon, 0<\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}$, there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{y}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\left(y_{1}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}), \ldots, y_{n}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right)$ of (1.1). Moreover, for $t$ in $[a, b]$

$$
\left|y_{i}(t, \epsilon)-u_{i}(t)\right| \leq L_{i}(t, \epsilon)+R_{i}(t, \epsilon)+C_{q} \epsilon^{1 /(q+1)},
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, where $C_{q}$ is a positive, computable constant independent of $\epsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}(t, \epsilon)=\left|A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right| E_{i}(t, \epsilon) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i}(t, \epsilon)=\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right| F_{i}(t, \epsilon), \\
& E_{i}(t, \epsilon)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\exp \left[-\frac{m_{i}}{\epsilon}(t-a)\right], & \text { if } q=0 \\
{\left[1+\frac{\sigma_{1 i}}{\epsilon}(t-a)\right]^{-(1 / q)},} & \text { if } q \geq 1, \\
\exp \left[-\frac{m_{i}}{\epsilon}(b-t)\right], & \text { if } q=0 \\
F_{i}(t, \epsilon)= \begin{cases}{\left[1+\frac{\sigma_{2 i}}{\epsilon}(b-t)\right]^{-(1 / q)},} & \text { if } q \geq 1\end{cases}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{1 i}=\frac{m_{i} q}{\sqrt{q+1}}\left|A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right|^{q}, \quad \sigma_{2 i}=\frac{m_{i} q}{\sqrt{q+1}}\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right|^{q} .
$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Lemma 2, if we can show the existence of functions $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ which satisfy the required differential inequalities.

For $t$ in $[a, b]$ and $\epsilon>0$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}(t, \epsilon)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
& u_{1 i}(t)-\left|A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right| E_{i}(t, \epsilon) \\
&-\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right| F_{i}\left(T_{i}, \epsilon\right)-\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon), t \in\left[a, T_{i}\right], \\
& u_{2 i}(t)-\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right| F_{i}(t, \epsilon) \\
&-\left|A_{i}-u_{2 i}(a)\right| E_{i}\left(T_{i}, \epsilon\right)-\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon), t \in\left[T_{i}, b\right]
\end{aligned}\right. \\
& \beta_{i}(t, \epsilon)= \begin{cases}u_{1 i}(t)+\left|A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right| E_{i}(t, \epsilon)+H_{i}(t, \epsilon)+\Delta_{i}(\epsilon), & t \in\left[a, T_{i}\right] \\
u_{2 i}(t)+\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right| F_{i}(t, \epsilon)+\Omega_{i}(\epsilon), & t \in\left[T_{i}, b\right],\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{i}(\epsilon)=(b-t)\left|A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right| E_{i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}, \epsilon\right)+\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon) \\
& +\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right|\left[F_{i}\left(T_{i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)+\left(b-T_{i}\right) F_{i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)\right], \\
& \Omega_{i}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})=H_{i}\left(T_{i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)+(b-t)\left|B_{i}-u_{2 i}(b)\right| F_{i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)+\Gamma_{i}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \\
& +\left|A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right|\left[E_{i}\left(T_{i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)+\left(b-T_{i}\right) E_{i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)\right], \\
& H_{i}(t, \epsilon)= \begin{cases}\frac{\epsilon}{m_{i}}\left[u_{2 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)-u_{1 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)\right] \exp \left[-\frac{m_{i}}{\epsilon}\left(T_{i}-t\right)\right], & \text { if } q=0, \\
\frac{q \epsilon^{1 /(q+1)}\left[u_{2 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)-u_{1 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)\right]}{k_{i}\left[1+k_{i} \epsilon^{-(q+1)^{-1}}\left(T_{i}-t\right)\right]^{q^{-1}}}, & \text { if } q \geq 1\end{cases} \\
& k_{i}=\left|m_{i} \sqrt{q+1} q^{(q+1)}\left[u_{2 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)-u_{1 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)\right]^{q}\right|^{(q+1)^{-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{i}(\epsilon)=\left[\gamma_{i} \epsilon^{2} / m_{i}(2 q+1)!\right]^{(2 q+1)^{-1}}$, and $\gamma_{i}>0$ is a constant chosen so large that

$$
\gamma_{i} \geq M_{i}(2 q+1)!, \quad M_{i}=\max \left\{\max _{\left[a, T_{i}\right]}\left|u_{1 i}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|, \quad \max _{\left[T_{i}, b\right]}\left|u_{2 i}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right\} .
$$

We observe that $\alpha_{i} \leq \beta_{i}, \alpha_{i}(a, \epsilon) \leq A_{i} \leq \beta_{i}(a, \epsilon), \alpha_{i}(b, \epsilon) \leq B_{i} \leq \beta_{i}(b, \epsilon)$, and that $D_{R} \alpha_{i}\left(T_{i}\right) \geq D_{L} \alpha_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $D_{R} \beta_{i}\left(T_{i}\right) \leq D_{L} \beta_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)$, for all sufficiently small values of $\epsilon$.

It only remains to verify that the differential inequalities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \geq h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}), \ldots, y_{n}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \leq h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}), \ldots, y_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

are satisfied on $\left[a, T_{i}\right]$ and $\left[T_{i}, b\right]$. We only verify the inequality for $\beta_{i}$, since the verification for $\alpha_{i}$ is similar.

We can easily see that the terms $\Delta_{i}(\epsilon)$ and $\Omega_{i}(\epsilon)$ are nonnegative for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, even though they contain the negative terms $(b-t)\left[A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right] E_{i}^{\prime}(a, \epsilon)$ and
$\left[A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right] E_{i}^{\prime}(a, \epsilon)$ respectively. On $\left[a, T_{i}\right]$, by differentiating $\beta_{i}$, substituting into (2.1) $)_{3}$ and expanding by Taylor's Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)-\epsilon^{2} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}=h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, u_{1 i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{2 q}\left\{\frac { 1 } { k ! } \frac { \partial ^ { k } h _ { i } } { \partial y _ { i } ^ { k } } ( t , y _ { 1 } , \ldots , u _ { 1 i } , \ldots , y _ { n } ) \left[\left(A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right] E_{i}(t, \epsilon)\right.\right. \\
& + \\
& \left.\left.+H_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})+\Delta_{i}(\epsilon)\right]^{k}\right\}+\frac{1}{(2 q+1)!} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2 q+1} h_{i}}{\partial y_{i}^{2 q+1}}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{1 i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \\
& \times\left[\left(A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right) E_{i}(t, \epsilon)+H_{i}(t, \epsilon)+\Delta_{i}(\epsilon)\right]^{2 q+1} \\
& \\
& \quad-\epsilon^{2} u_{1 i}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\epsilon^{2}\left[A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right] E_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})-\epsilon^{2} H_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{1 i}$ is the appropriate intermediate value. In view of the $I_{q}$-stability of $\boldsymbol{u}$ and the fact that $\Delta_{i}(\epsilon) \geq 0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)-\epsilon^{2} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime} \geq m_{i}\left[\left(A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right)^{2 q+1} E_{i}^{2 q+1}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right. \\
& \left.+H_{i}^{2 q+1}(t, \epsilon)+\Delta_{i}^{2 q+1}(\epsilon)\right]-\epsilon^{2} M_{i}-\epsilon^{2}\left(A_{i}-u_{1 i}(a)\right) \\
& \times E_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})-\epsilon^{2} H_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction, the functions $E_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ satisfy the differential equation

$$
\epsilon^{2} Z_{i}^{\prime \prime}=m_{i}^{2} Z_{i}^{2 q+1}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i}\left(t, y_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)-\epsilon^{2} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime} \geq m_{i}^{2} \Delta_{i}^{(2 q+1)}(\epsilon)-\epsilon^{2} M_{i} \\
& \quad \geq m_{i}^{2}\left[\frac{\gamma_{i} \epsilon^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}(2 q+1)!}\right]-\epsilon^{2} M_{i}=\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{\gamma_{i}}{(2 q+1)!}-M_{i}\right] \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The verification of the differential inequality for $\beta_{i}(t, \epsilon)$ for $t$ in $\left[T_{i}, b\right]$ is similar and so we omit details.

Remark. If some of the derivatives of the functions $u_{1 i}$ and $u_{2 i}$ satisfy the inequality $u_{1 i}^{\prime}\left(T_{i}\right)>u_{2 i}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{T}_{i}\right)$, then it is possible to obtain results which are analogous to Theorem 2. We can simply make the change of dependent variable $y_{i} \rightarrow-y_{i}$ and apply Theorem 2 to the transformed problem.
5. An example. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2} \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{y}), & -1<t<1, \\
\boldsymbol{y}(-1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\boldsymbol{A}, & \boldsymbol{y}(1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})=\boldsymbol{B},
\end{array}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{h}(t, \boldsymbol{y})$ is the column vector

$$
\left(\left(y_{1}-|t|\right)^{2 q+1}\left(1+G\left(y_{2}\right)\right), \quad\left(y_{2}-1+|t|\right)^{2 q+1}\left(1+H\left(y_{1}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

Here $q$ is a nonnegative integer, $G\left(y_{2}\right) \geq 0, H\left(y_{1}\right) \geq 0$.

The reduced solution is the column vector $(|t|, 1-|t|)$ and does not have a continuous derivative at $t=0$. The reduced solution is stable, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2 q+1} h_{i}}{\partial y_{1}^{2 q+1}}=1+G\left(y_{2}\right) \geq 1>0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2 q+1} h_{2}}{\partial y^{2 q+1}}=1+H\left(y_{1}\right) \geq 1>0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2 there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}), y_{2}(t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right)$ for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ sufficiently small which satisfies the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|y_{1}-|t|\right| \leq L_{1}+R_{1}+C_{q} \epsilon^{(q+1)^{-1}} \\
& \left|y_{2}-1+|t|\right| \leq L_{2}+R_{2}+C_{q} \epsilon^{(q+1)^{-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}= \begin{cases}\left|A_{1}-1\right| \exp \left(\frac{-1-t}{\epsilon}\right), & q=0, \\
\frac{\left|A_{1}-1\right|}{\left[1+\frac{q}{\epsilon \sqrt{q+1}}\left|A_{1}-1\right|^{q}(1+t)\right]^{q-1}}, & q \geq 1,\end{cases} \\
& R_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left|B_{1}-1\right| \exp \left(\frac{-1+t}{\epsilon}\right), & q=0, \\
\frac{\left|B_{1}-1\right|}{\left[1+\frac{q}{\epsilon \sqrt{q+1}}\left|B_{1}-1\right|^{q}(1-t)\right]^{q^{-1}}}, & q \geq 1, \\
L_{2}= \begin{cases}\left|A_{2}\right| \exp \left(\frac{-1-t}{\epsilon}\right), & q=0, \\
\frac{\left|A_{2}\right|}{\left[1+\frac{q}{\epsilon \sqrt{q+1}}\left|A_{2}\right|^{q}(1+t)\right]^{q^{-1}}}, & q \geq 1,\end{cases} \\
R_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left|B_{2}\right| \exp \left(\frac{-1+t}{\epsilon}\right), & q \geq 1, \\
\frac{q}{\left[1+\frac{q}{\epsilon \sqrt{q+1}}\left|B_{2}\right|^{q}(1-t)\right]^{q^{-1}}}, &
\end{array},\right.
\end{array}, \begin{array}{ll}
{\left[B_{2} \mid\right.}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{q}$ is positive, computable constant independent of $\epsilon$. (The result is indicated in the following figures.)
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