## SINGULAR ISOMETRIES IN ORTHOGONAL GROUPS
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In this paper, we study the behaviour of singular isometries in orthogonal groups. These are isometries whose path is a singular subspace. We shall prove that the path of such a singular isometry is always even-dimensional. We shall use this result to show that the subgroup of the orthogonal group $O_{n}(K, Q)$ which is generated by the singular isometries is the commutator subgroup $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$. In particular, in the case that $n=4$ and index $Q=2$, we shall obtain a nice geometric interpretation for the well-known result that $P \Omega_{n}(K, Q)$ is isomorphic to $P S L_{2}(K) \times P S L_{2}(K)$. In addition, we shall discuss some subgroups of the commutator group.

1. Introduction. Let $(V, Q)$ be an $n$-dimensional metric vector space over a field $K$, with a quadratic form $Q$. Let $f$ be the bilinear form associated with $Q$. The form $f$ induces an orthogonality on $V$. We say that a subspace $H$ is regular if $\operatorname{Rad} H=H^{\cap} H^{\perp}=0$ and $H$ is isotropic if $\operatorname{Rad} H=H$. A subspace $H$ is singular if $Q(h)=0$ for all $h \in H$. The index of $Q$ is the dimension of the maximal singular subspaces of $V$.

In this paper, we shall assume that $V$ is regular, that $K \neq G F(2)$, and that the index of $Q$ is at least 2. We use the notation of Ellers ([5], [6] and [7]) throughout this text.

A transformation $\pi$ of $V$ is called an isometry if it preserves $Q$ (and hence preserves $f$ ). The set of all isometries is the orthogonal group $O_{n}(K, Q)$. With every isometry we can associate two subspaces:

$$
\text { the path of } \pi:=B(\pi)=\{\pi(x)-x \mid x \in V\}
$$

and

$$
\text { the fix of } \pi:=F(\pi)=\{x \in V \mid \pi(x)=x\} .
$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)+\operatorname{dim} F(\pi)=n$, and $B(\pi)^{\perp}=F(\pi)$. An isometry $\sigma$ is simple if $\operatorname{dim} B(\sigma)=1$; these simple isometries generate the group $O_{n}(K, Q)$. We say that an isometry $\pi$ is singular if $B(\pi)$ is a singular subspace; otherwise, we call $\pi$ non-singular.

We let $l(\pi)$ (the length of $\pi$ ) be the minimum number of simple isometries whose product yields $\pi$. If $l(\pi)=2$, we call $\pi$ a rotation. We shall use projective

[^0]language, and say "point", respectively "line" for a one, respectively twodimensional subspace. A line can then contain 0,1 , or 2 singular points, or consist entirely of singulär points. We call such lines respectively elliptic, parabolic, hyperbolic, or singular, and say that a rotation is elliptic, parabolic, hyperbolic, or singular as its path is a line of the corresponding type. In the following theorem, we gather some well-known results.

## Theorem 1.1

(a) Let $\pi$ be an isometry, and $\sigma$ be a simple isometry. Then $B(\pi \sigma)=$ $B(\pi)+B(\sigma)$ if and only if $B(\sigma) \not \subset B(\pi)$, and $\operatorname{dim} B(\pi \sigma)=\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)-1$ if and only if $B(\sigma) \subset B(\pi)$.
(b) If $\pi$ is non-singular, then $l(\pi)=\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)$.
(c) If $\pi$ is singular, then $l(\pi)=\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)+2$.
(d) If $\sigma$ is simple and $B(\sigma)=K p$, then

$$
\sigma(x)=x-\frac{f(x, p)}{Q(p)} p
$$

(e) If $\rho$ is a parabolic rotation with $B(\rho)=\langle s, z\rangle$ with $Q(s)=f(s, z)=0$ and $Q(z)=\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$
\rho(x)=x+\frac{\delta}{\lambda}[f(x, s) z-f(x, z+\delta s) s] \text { for some } \delta \in K
$$

(f) If $\rho$ is a hyperbolic rotation, $B(\rho)=\langle s, t\rangle$ with $Q(s)=Q(t)=0$ and $f(s, t)=$ 1 , then $\rho(s)=\alpha s, \rho(t)=\alpha^{-1} t$, for some $\alpha \in K$, and $\rho$ is uniquely determined by its effect on $s$.

The proof of these results will be omitted. See [4] for details; also [8] for a proof of (b) and (c).

If $H$ is any subspace, we let $O(H)=\left\{\pi \in O_{n}(K, Q) \mid B(\pi) \subset H\right\}$, and $O^{+}(H)=\{\pi \in O(H) \mid l(\pi)$ is even $\}$. In particular, if $H$ is a line, $O^{+}(H)$ is the group of all rotations whose path is the line $H$.

As in Ellers [5], we also define, for any isometry $\pi$, the set $P(\pi)$ by

$$
P(\pi):=\{x \in V \mid f(\pi(x)-x, x)=0\}
$$

The condition " $x \in P(\pi)$ " is clearly equivalent to " $Q(\pi(x)-x)=0$ ", so that the size of $P(\pi)$ gives a measure of the occurrence of singular vectors in $B(\pi)$. Clearly, the isometry $\pi$ is singular if and only if $P(\pi)=V$.
2. Representation of singular isometries. In 1.1 d , we see that a simple isometry can never be singular. This raises the question: for what singular subspaces $H$ does there exist a singular isometry whose path is $H$ ? Before answering this, we prove

Lemma 2.1. Let $A$ be a $k$-dimensional non-singular subspace containing a
singular k-1-dimensional subspace $B$. The one of two cases occurs:
(a) $B \subset \operatorname{rad} A$, and all singular points of $A$ lie in $B$.
(b) $B \not \subset \operatorname{rad} A$, and $A$ contains a second singular $k$-1-dimensional subspace $C \neq B$.

Every singular point of $A$ lies in either $A$ or $B$. If $\sigma$ is any simple isometry such that $B(\sigma) \subset A$, then $\sigma(B)=C$.

## Proof

(a) This is immediate, as otherwise $A$ would be singular.
(b) Now $B \not \subset \operatorname{rad} A$. Let $a$ be a non-singular vector of $A$, and $b$ a vector of $B$ such that $f(a, b) \neq 0$. Then $\langle a, b\rangle$ is a hyperbolic line, and hence contains a second singular vector $c \notin B$. Then $K c \oplus\left(c^{\perp} \cap B\right)=C$ is a second singular $k$-1-dimensional subspace of $A$. Now suppose that $x=b+\alpha c$ with $b \in B$ is any vector of $A$. Then $Q(b+\alpha c)=\alpha f(b, c)=0$ if and only if $\alpha=0$ and $x \in B$, or $f(b, c)=0$ and $x \in C$. Finally, let $B(\sigma)=K a \subset A$. Then there exists a hyperbolic line $\left\langle b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with $b^{\prime} \in B$ and $c^{\prime} \in C$ containing $a$ such that $\sigma\left(\left\langle b^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle c^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Hence $\sigma(B)=C$.

This enables us to prove
Lemma 2.2. Let $\pi$ be singular and $\sigma$ be simple. Then $\pi \sigma$ is non-singular.
Proof. Since $\pi$ is singular, we know that $P(\pi)=V$, and hence $f(\pi \sigma(x), \sigma(x))=f(x, x)$ for all $x \in V$. If $\pi \sigma$ were also singular, we would also have $f(\pi \sigma(x), x)=f(x, x)$ for all $x \in V$, implying that $f(\pi \sigma(x), B(\sigma))=0$ for all $x \in V$. But then $B(\sigma) \subset \operatorname{rad} V$, contrary to the assumption that $V$ is regular.

We are now able to answer the question posed at the top in
Theorem 2.3. Let $\pi$ be a singular isometry. Then $\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)$ is even.
Proof. Suppose $l(\pi)=k+2$. Let $K p$ be a non-singular point such that $p \notin B(\pi)^{\perp}$, and let $B(\sigma)=K p$. By 2.2 , we can write $\pi=\rho \sigma$, where $\rho$ is a non-singular isometry of length $k+1$. Clearly, $B(\pi), B(\sigma) \subset B(\rho)=A$, and by our choice of $p$, we ensure that $B(\pi) \not \subset \mathrm{rad} A$. Thus Theorem 2.1 pertains. Now $\pi(B(\pi))=B(\pi)$. But if $l(\pi)$ were odd, then $\pi(B(\pi))$ would be the image of $B(\pi)$ under an odd number of simple isometries, which is distinct from $B(\pi)$ by 2.1. Hence $l(\pi)=k+2$ is even, and thus $\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)=k$ is even.

We observe that if $\pi$ is a singular isometry, then $B(\pi)$ is singular, and hence isotropic, implying that $B(\pi) \subset F(\pi)$. If char $K=p$, then let $\pi$ be any singular isometry. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{p}(x)-x & =\pi^{p}(x)-\pi^{p-1}(x)+\pi^{p-1}(x)-\cdots+\pi(x)-x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \pi^{i-1}(\pi(x)-x)=\sum_{i=1}^{p}(\pi(x)-x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have proved
Lemma 2.4. If Char $K=p$, and $\pi$ is singular, then $\pi^{p}=1$.
We now state the representation theorem for singular isometries.
Theorem 2.5. Let $\pi$ be an isometry. Then $\pi$ is singular if and only if

$$
\pi(x)=x+\sum_{i, j=1}^{k} \alpha_{i j} f\left(x, s_{j}\right) s_{i}
$$

where the matrix $\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ is skew-symmetric with zeros down the main diagonal and $S=\left\langle s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\rangle$ is a $k$-dimensional singular subspace.

The proof of this theorem involves straightforward calculation, and thus is omitted. Now, if $H$ is any singular subspace, we let $O(H)$ be the group generated by all singular isometries whose path lies in $H$. As corollaries of 2.5 we have

Corollary 2.6. If $H$ is a singular subspace of even dimension, then there exists a singular isometry whose path is $H$.

Corollary 2.7. If $H$ is a singular line, then $O(H)$ is isomorphic to $(K,+)$. If $\pi \in O(H)$, then $\pi(x)=x+\alpha[f(x, s) t-f(x, t) s]$, where $\langle s, t\rangle=H$.

The singular rotations are important because they generate the singular isometries. This is the content of the next

Theorem 2.8. Let $\pi$ be a singular isometry. Let $\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)=2 k$. Then $\pi$ is the product of $k$ singular rotations.

Proof. Choose $s \in B(\pi)$. Then there exists some $a \in V$ such that $\pi(a)=a+s$. But then $Q(\pi(a))=Q(a)=Q(a+s)=Q(a)+f(a, s)$, implying that $f(a, s)=0$. Clearly $B(\pi) \not \subset a^{\perp}$, and so we can find some $t \in B(\pi)$ for which $f(a, t)=1$. Let $\tau$ be the singular rotation given by $\tau(x)=x+f(x, s) t-f(x, t) s$. Then $a \in F(\tau \pi)$. Since $F(\pi) \subset F(\tau \pi)$, we thus see that $F(\pi)$ is properly contained in $F(\tau \pi)$. Since $\tau \pi$ is singular, we thus conclude that $\operatorname{dim} B(\tau \pi)=\operatorname{dim} B(\pi)-2$. The result now follows by induction.

In the next lemma, we study products of two singular or two parabolic rotations.

Lemma 2.9
(a) Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ be two singular rotations such that $B\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\langle s, t\rangle$ and $B\left(\tau_{2}\right)=$ $\langle s, r\rangle$. If $f(t, r)=0$, then the product $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is a singular rotation. If $f(t, r) \neq 0$, the product is a parabolic rotation. In either case, we have $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}=\tau_{2} \tau_{1}$.
(b) Let $\rho$ be a parabolic rotation with $B(\rho)=\langle s, z\rangle$, such that $Q(s)=0=$ $f(s, z)$, and $Q(z) \neq 0$. Then $\rho$ is the product of two singular rotations $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ such that $B\left(\tau_{1}\right) \cap B\left(\tau_{2}\right)=K s$.
(c) Let $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ be two parabolic rotations with $B\left(\rho_{1}\right) \cap B\left(\rho_{2}\right)=K s$, and $Q(s)=$ 0 . Then $\rho_{1} \rho_{2}=\rho_{2} \rho_{1}$, and the product is either singular or parabolic.
(d) Let $\tau$ be a singular rotation. Then $\tau=\rho_{1} \rho_{2}$, where the $\rho_{i}$ are parabolic rotations with $B\left(\rho_{1}\right) \cap B\left(\rho_{2}\right)=K s \subset B(\tau)$.

## Proof

(a) If $\tau_{1} \neq \tau_{2}$, then by $1.1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$, we have $1\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right)=2$. If $f(t, r)=0$, then $B\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{27} \subset\right.$ $\langle s, t, r\rangle$, which is a singular subspace, implying the result. We can assume that $B\left(\tau_{1}\right) \neq B\left(\tau_{2}\right)$, as otherwise 2.7 yields the result. But then $F\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{x \in V \mid \tau_{1} \tau_{2}(x)=x\right\} \subset\left\{x \mid \tau_{2}(x)-x \in K s\right\}$. But $\tau_{2}(x)=x+\alpha s$ implies that $f(x, s)=$ 0 . Hence $F\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right) \subset s^{\perp}$, or $s \in B\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is a rotation fixing $s$. If $\tau_{1} \tau_{2} \neq$ id., then in view of 1.1 f, we can conclude that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is parabolic. A simple computation shows that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}=\tau_{2} \tau_{1}$.
(b) In view of 1.1 e , we can write $\rho(x)=x+\delta / \lambda[f(x, s) z-f(x, z+\delta s) s]$, where $\lambda=Q(z)$. Choose a singular vector $t \in s^{\perp}$ such that $f(t, z)=1$, and let $\tau$ be the singular isometry $\tau(x)=x+\delta[f(x, t) s-f(x, s) t]$. Then a simple computation shows that the product $\tau \rho$ is again a singular rotation $\tau^{\prime}$ with path $B\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=\langle s, \delta z-\delta \lambda t\rangle$.
(c) As in (a), we see that the product $\rho_{1} \rho_{2}$ is again a rotation whose path contains $K s$, and which fixes the vector $s$. Hence, either $\rho_{1} \rho_{2}=\mathrm{id}$, or, by 1.1 f , $\rho_{1} \rho_{2}$ is not hyperbolic. Again, a simple computation shows that $\rho_{1} \rho_{2}=\rho_{2} \rho_{1}$.
(d) As in (b), this again follows constructively.
3. The group of a singular point. We can now use Lemma 2.9 to define a certain family of subgroups of the group $O_{n}(K, Q)$. We let $K s$ be any singular point, and we define the set
$I(s)=\bigcup O^{+}(H)$, where this union is taken over all lines $H$ that contain the point $K s$. We also define a subset of $I(s)$ by $R(s)=\bigcup O^{+}(H)$, where the line $H$ contains the point $K s$ and is itself contained in the hyperplane $s^{\perp}$. We prove the following

Theorem 3.1. The set $I(s)$ is a group. $R(s)$ is a normal abelian subgroup of $I(s)$, and the factor group $I(s) / R(s)$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the field $K$.

Proof. Let $\tau \neq \mathrm{id} \neq \rho$ be elements of $I(s)$. Since $s \in B(\tau) \cap B(\rho)$, we see that $\operatorname{dim} B(\tau \rho) \leq 3$. Also, $\operatorname{dim} B(\tau \rho)$ is even, and hence the product $\tau \rho$ is a rotation or $\tau \rho=$ id. If $B(\tau)=B(\rho)$, there is nothing to prove. So assume that $B(\tau) \cap$ $B(\rho)=K$. Then $F(\tau \rho)=\{x \in V \mid \tau \rho(x)=x\} \subset\{x \in V \mid \rho(x)-x \in K s\}$. But $\rho(x)=$ $x+\alpha s$ implies that $f(x, s)=0$, and thus $F(\tau \rho) \subset s^{\perp}$, or equivalently, $s \in B(\tau \rho)$. Thus $I(s)$ is a subgroup. The same reasoning shows that $R(s)$ is a subgroup which is clearly normal in $I(s)$. The elements of $R(s)$ are either singular or parabolic rotations, and so we see from 2.9 that the elements of $R(s)$ all commute. We now fix a hyperbolic line through $s$, and let $\tau$ be any hyperbolic rotation whose path is a second hyperbolic line. Then $\tau(s)=\alpha(s)$ for some
$\alpha \in K$. We let $\tau^{\prime}$ be the hyperbolic rotation whose path is the given hyperbolic line $H$ such that $\tau^{\prime}(s)=\alpha^{-1} s$. Then $\tau \tau^{\prime}(s)=s$ and hence $\tau \tau^{\prime}$ is a parabolic or singular rotation. Also, the rotation $\tau$ uniquely determines the rotation $\tau^{\prime}$. We have thus shown that every rotation $\tau$ can be expressed as a product of a rotation in $\mathrm{O}^{+}(H)$ and a rotation in $R(s)$, and that this decomposition is unique. We define a map $\psi: I(s) \rightarrow O^{+}(H)$, where $\psi(\tau)$ is the uniquely determined element of $\mathrm{O}^{+}(H)$ in the decomposition described above. Clearly, $\psi(\mathrm{id})=\mathrm{id}$, and $\tau \tau^{\prime}=\psi(\tau) \rho \cdot \psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime}$, where $\rho, \rho^{\prime} \in R(s)=\psi(\tau) \psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\left[\psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \rho \psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime}\right]$, so that $\psi\left(\tau \tau^{\prime}\right)=\psi(\tau) \psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$, since $\psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \rho \psi\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \rho^{\prime} \in R(s)$. Hence the map $\psi$ is a homomorphism whose kernel is clearly $R(s)$. Hence we have $I(s) / R(s)$ is isomorphic to $O^{+}(H)$, where $H$ is a hyperbolic line, and from Dieudonné [4] we know that $O^{+}(H)$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the field.

If $\operatorname{dim} V=3$ and index $Q=1$, we have a nice geometric interpretation of the group $I(s)$, which is due to Dr. H. Mäurer at the Technische Hochschule Darmstadt. For now the quadric is a Möbius plane, if we define the cycles as the plane sections of $V$ with the quadric. Then the derived plane in the point $K s$ is an affine plane. If $H$ is a hyperbolic line through $K s$ which meets the quadric in a second point $K t$, then all the hyperbolic rotations with path $H$ induce central dilatations with center $K t$ in the derived affine plane. If $H$ is a parabolic line, on the other hand, then the corresponding parabolic rotations induce all the translations in a given direction. Thus $R(s)$ is isomorphic to the translation group of this affine plane, and this group is, as we know, an abelian group.
4. A class of subgroups of $O_{n}$. In this section we describe another class of subgroups of $O_{n}$. Before doing this, we require a general

Lemma 4.1. Let $A$ be an $n$-2-dimensional subspace of an $n$-dimensional vector space. Define $T(A):=\left\langle\left\{\tau \in S L_{n}(K) \mid \tau\right.\right.$ is a transvection and $\left.\left.A \subset F(\tau)\right\}\right\rangle$, and $B T(A):=\langle\{\pi \in T(A) \mid B(\pi) \subset A\}\rangle$. Then $T(A), B T(A)$ are groups; $B T(A)$ is a normal subgroup of $T(A)$, and the factor group $T(A) / B T(A)$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{~K})$.

Proof. Clearly, $T(A)$ and $B T(A)$ are subgroups, and $B T(A)$ is normal in $T(A)$. We now define a map $\delta$ as follows

$$
\delta:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T(A) \rightarrow G L_{2}(K) \\
\pi \rightarrow \delta(\pi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\delta(\pi):\left\{\begin{aligned}
V / A & \rightarrow V / A \\
x+A & \rightarrow \pi(x)+A
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

This is a well-defined homomorphism, since $A \subset F(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in T(A)$. Now
$\operatorname{ker} \delta=\{\pi \mid \pi(x)+A=x+A$ for all $x \in V\}=\{\pi \mid B(\pi) \subset A\}$. Hence $\operatorname{ker} \delta=$ $B T(A)$. Now choose any transvection $\tau \in T(A)$. We know that $\tau(x)=x+\psi(x) b$, where $A \subset \operatorname{ker} \psi$. Then $\delta(\tau)(x+A)=x+\psi(x) b+A=x+A+\psi(x+A)(b+A)$, and $\delta(\tau)(b+A)=b+A$. Thus we see that $\delta(\tau)$ is a transvection of $V / A$, and hence $\delta$ is a homomorphism into $S L_{2}(K)$. Now let $T$ be any transvection of $V / A$, say $T(x+A)=(x+A)+\phi(x+A)(c+A)$ with $c \notin A$. Then $A+K c$ is a hyperplane of $V$, and thus the map $\tau^{\prime}(x)=x+\phi^{\prime}(x) c$ is a transvection in $T(A)$, where $H=\operatorname{ker} \phi^{\prime}$ and $\phi^{\prime}(y)=1=\phi(y+A)$ for a suitable $y \notin A+K c$. Then we see at once that $\delta\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=T$. Hence $\delta$ is surjective. Thus the theorem is proved.
We now return to the study of the orthogonal group, and use Theorem 4.1 to prove

Theorem 4.2. Let $H$ be a fixed singular line. Let $L(H):=\left\{H^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{dim} H^{\prime}=2\right.$ and $H \cap H^{\prime} \neq 0$, and $H^{\prime}$ singular $\}$. Define $G(H):=\left\langle\left\{\pi \in O_{n} \mid B(\pi) \in L(H)\right\}\right\rangle$. Then $O(H)$ is a normal subgroup of $G(H)$, and the factor group $G(H) / O(H)$ is isomorphic to the group $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$, which is defined as in the statement of Theorem 4.1.

Proof. We first observe that if $\tau$ is any singular rotation whose path is a line of $L(H)$, then $\tau$ fixes $H$. This is a direct consequence of 2.1 b . As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we define a map $\rho$ as follows

$$
\rho:\left\{\begin{aligned}
G(H) & \rightarrow G L_{n-2}(V / H) \\
\pi & \rightarrow \rho(\pi)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\rho(\pi)$ is defined by

$$
\rho(\pi):\left\{\begin{array}{c}
V / H \rightarrow V / H \\
x+H \rightarrow \pi(x)+H
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we argue as in 4.1. We see that $\rho$ is a homomorphism with kernel $O(H)$. If $\tau$ is any singular rotation with path $a$ line in $L(H)$, then as in 4.1, we see that $\rho(\tau)$ is a transvection of $V / H$. Indeed, if $H \cap B(\tau)=K s$, then $\rho(\tau)$ is a transvection whose path is $K(t+H)$, where $t$ is a second vector of $B(\tau)$, and whose fix is the hyperplane $s^{\perp} / H$ of $V / H$. But $s \in H$ implies that $H^{\perp} \subset s^{\perp}$, and thus $\rho(\tau)$ is a transvection of $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$. Thus $\rho$ is a homomorphism into $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$. Now consider any $s \in H$, and any non-singular $z \in s^{\perp}$. Then $\langle s, z\rangle$ is a parabolic line, and let $\sigma$ be a parabolic rotation with this line as path. Then by 2.9 b , we know that $\sigma$ can be written as the product of two singular rotations which are clearly elements of $G(H)$. Hence $\sigma \in G(H)$. But then we can check that $\rho(\tau)$ is a transvection of $V / H$ whose path is $K(z+H)$, and whose fix is $s^{\perp} / H$. Thus these parabolic rotations yield all the transvections of $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$ whose path is a non-singular point. Conversely, if $T$ is any transvection in $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$, then $T$ is induced by a singular or a parabolic rotation in $G(H)$,
depending whether $B(T)$ is a singular or non-singular point. Thus $\rho$ is surjective, and the theorem is proved. The following corollary is of particular interest.

Corollary 4.3. If $\operatorname{dim} V=4$, then $G(H) / O(H)$ is isomorphic to $S L_{2}(K)$.
Proof. In this case, $H=H^{\perp}$, and hence $H^{\perp} / H=0$. But then 4.1 allows us to deduce that $B T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)=1$, and hence $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right) / B T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$ is isomorphic to $T\left(H^{\perp} / H\right)$, which in turn is isomorphic to $S L_{2}(K)$.
5. The group generated by singular isometries. We now let $S$ be the set of all singular isometries, and $G(S)$ be the subgroup of $O_{n}$ which is generated by the elements of $S$. We let $\Omega_{n}$ denote the commutator subgroup of $O_{n}(K, Q)$, and let $O_{n}^{+}(K, Q)$ be the subgroup of $O_{n}(K, Q)$ which is generated by the rotations. As in [4], we let $\theta$ be the spinor norm, which is the map $\theta: O_{n}^{+}(K, Q) \rightarrow K^{*} / K^{*^{2}}$. The spinor norm is defined as follows: suppose $\pi \in$ $O_{n}^{+}(k, Q)$, and $\pi=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{k}$, where the $\sigma_{i}$ are simple isometries and $B\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=$ $K p_{i}$. Then $\theta(\pi)=Q\left(p_{1}\right) \cdots Q\left(p_{k}\right) \cdot K^{*^{2}}$. From [4], we know that $\theta$ is a surjective homomorphism whose kernel is $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$, and hence $O_{n}^{+}(K, Q) / \Omega_{n}(K, Q)$ is isomorphic to $K^{*} / K^{* 2}$.

Now let $\rho$ be any parabolic rotation with path $\langle s, z\rangle$ where $Q(s)=f(s, z)=0$, and $Q(z) \neq 0$. Then any other vector in $\langle s, z\rangle$ can be expressed in the form $x=\alpha(\beta s+z)$, and so $Q(x)=\alpha^{2} Q(z)$. But $\rho$ is a product of two simple isometries whose path lies in $\langle s, z\rangle$ and thus $\theta(\rho)=\alpha^{2} \beta^{2} Q(z)^{2} K^{* 2}=K^{* 2}$, implying that the parabolic rotations all lie in $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$. But by 2.9 d , we see that every singular rotation can be expressed as the product of two parabolic rotations. Thus we have proved

Lemma 5.1. The group $G(S)$ is a subgroup of $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$.
We can improve upon this lemma. We do this in the next two theorems.
Theorem 5.2. If $n \geq 5$, then $G(S)=\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$.
Proof. If char $K=2$, we know from [4] that $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$ is a simple group for $n \geq 5$. Since $G(S)$ is clearly a normal subgroup of $\Omega_{n}(K, S)$, we therefore conclude the result. If char $K \neq 2$, we need only check that $G(S)$ is not contained in the center $Z_{n}$ of $O_{n}(K, Q)$. But $Z_{n}=\{1,-1\}$, and clearly no singular rotation lies in $Z_{n}$. Hence we may apply Theorem 5.27 of Artin [1], to conclude that $G(S)$ contains the group $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$. This again proves the result.

We deal separately with the case that $n=4$ and index $Q=2$. In this case the quadric is a hyperboloid and contains two families of singular lines. We denote these two families $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Then any two distinct lines of $L_{1}$, respectively $L_{2}$, span the whole space, and every line of $L_{1}$ meets every line of $L_{2}$. Also, every singular point lies on exactly one line of each family. We define $G\left(L_{1}\right)$,
respectively $G\left(L_{2}\right)$, to be the group generated by all the singular rotations whose path lies in $L_{1}$, respectively in $L_{2}$. Let $\tau_{1}$ be a singular rotation in $G\left(L_{1}\right)$, and $\tau_{2}$ be a singular rotation whose path lies in $L_{2}$. In view of 2.9a, we know that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ commute. Hence we have proved

Lemma 5.3. Let $\pi_{1} \in G\left(L_{1}\right)$, and $\pi_{2} \in G\left(L_{2}\right)$. Then $\pi_{1} \pi_{2}=\pi_{2} \pi_{1}$.
We now strengthen this result in
Lemma 5.4. Suppose that $\pi \in G\left(L_{1}\right)$, and that $\pi$ fixes all the lines in $L_{1}$. Then $\pi \in Z_{4}=$ center of the group $O_{4}(K, Q)$.

Proof. By 5.3, we know that $\pi$ fixes all the lines in $L_{2}$. If $\pi$ also fixes all the lines of $L_{1}$ then $\pi$ fixes all the point of the quadric, since every singular point lies on two fixed lines. But then $\pi$ is a homothety (see [1]). Since $\pi$ is also an isometry, we conclude that $\pi=1$ or -1 .

We now check that $-1 \in G\left(L_{1}\right)$. For this purpose we decompose $V$ into two hyperbolic planes $V=\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle(1)\left\langle s_{3}, s_{4}\right\rangle$. Then we define the following three singular isometries: (we assume char $K \neq 2$, as otherwise the result is trivial)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1}(x)=x+2\left[f\left(x, s_{3}\right) s_{1}-f\left(x, s_{1}\right) s_{3}\right] \\
& \pi_{2}(x)=x+2\left[f\left(x, s_{4}\right) s_{2}-f\left(x, s_{2}\right) s_{4}\right] \\
& \pi_{3}(x)=x+2\left[f\left(x, s_{1}+s_{4}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{3}\right)-f\left(x, s_{2}-s_{3}\right)\left(s_{1}+s_{4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

These are three singular rotations, and it is easy to see that they belong to $G\left(L_{1}\right)$. Now an easy, though laborious, calculation shows that the product $\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{3}=-1$.

We now choose a particular line $H$ in $L_{2}$. Recalling the definition of the group $G(H)$ (Theorem 4.2), we see at once from the preceding results that $G(H)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $G\left(L_{1}\right)$ with $O(H)$. From this we deduce at once that the factor group $G(H) / O(H)$ is isomorphic to the group $G\left(L_{1}\right)$. Combining this with Corollary 4.3, we see that the group $G\left(L_{1}\right)$ (and hence $G\left(L_{2}\right)$ ) is isomorphic to the group $S l_{2}(K)$. Thus we have proved

Theorem 5.5. The group $G(S)$ is isomorphic to $G\left(L_{1}\right) \times G\left(L_{2}\right)$ if char $K=2$. If char $K \neq 2$, then $G(S) / Z_{4}$ is isomorphic to $G\left(L_{1}\right) / Z_{4} \times G\left(L_{2}\right) / Z_{4}$. The groups $G\left(L_{i}\right)$ are isomorphic to $S L_{2}(K)$.

Thus we see that the group $G(S)$ is always the commutator subgroup $\Omega_{n}(K, Q)$, (see Dieudonne [4]). Thus we see why the commutator displays the uncharacteristic behaviour of being the direct product of two groups in the case that $\operatorname{dim} V=4$. This is a consequence of the geometric result that a hyperboloid is a ruled quadric, admitting the two families of lines.
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